

# A Note on Development

이준범 \*

## 1 Payoff Decomposition

ELS Payoff, denoted by  $X$  is like:

$$\begin{aligned}
 X &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \prod_{j<i} \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_j} < \mathcal{R}_j} \right) \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_i} \geq \mathcal{R}_i} C_i + \sum_{i=1}^L \left( \prod_{j<i} \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_j} < \mathcal{R}_j} \right) \mathbb{1}_{S_{(T_{i-1}, T_i]} \geq \mathcal{L}_i} C_i^L \\
 &\quad + \left( \prod_{j<N} \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_j} < \mathcal{R}_j} \right) \left( \mathbb{1}_{S_T^* < \mathcal{B}} \phi(S_T) + \mathbb{1}_{S_T^* \geq \mathcal{B}} C^B \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \prod_{j<i} \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_j} < \mathcal{R}_j} \right) \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_i} \geq \mathcal{R}_i} C_i + \sum_{i=1}^L \left( \prod_{j<i} \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_j} < \mathcal{R}_j} \right) \mathbb{1}_{S_{(T_{i-1}, T_i]} \geq \mathcal{L}_i} C_i^L \\
 &\quad + \left( \prod_{j<N} \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_j} < \mathcal{R}_j} \right) \left( \phi(S_T) + \mathbb{1}_{S_T^* \geq \mathcal{B}} (C^B - \phi(S_T)) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( \prod_{j<i} \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_j} < \mathcal{R}_j} \right) \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_i} \geq \mathcal{R}_i} C_i + \left( \prod_{j<N-1} \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_j} < \mathcal{R}_j} \right) \left( \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_N} \geq \mathcal{R}_N} C_N + \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_N} < \mathcal{R}_N} \phi(S_T) \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^L \left( \prod_{j<i} \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_j} < \mathcal{R}_j} \right) \mathbb{1}_{S_{(T_{i-1}, T_i]} \geq \mathcal{L}_i} C_i^L \\
 &\quad + \left( \prod_{j<N} \mathbb{1}_{S_{T_j} < \mathcal{R}_j} \right) \mathbb{1}_{S_T^* \geq \mathcal{B}} (C^B - \phi(S_T)) \tag{1.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence there should be  $L + 2$  grids: one for ranges,  $L$  for the lizard barriers, one for the maturity barrier.

## 2 OIS schedule

Let us denote the calculation period pair of fixing, value start, value end, payment dates by:

$$I_i = \{\phi_i, \sigma_i, \epsilon_i\}. \tag{2.1}$$

To explain the notation, we usually have  $\epsilon_i - \sigma_i = \text{Day}(1)$  in OIS cases. These periods comprise the swap intervals  $\{(S_i, E_i]\}_i$  such that:

$$(S_N, E_N] := (\sigma_{M_i}, \epsilon_{N_i}] = \cup(\sigma_i, \epsilon_i] \tag{2.2}$$

---

\*OTC Trading, Yuanta Securities Korea, 04538 Seoul, Korea.  
Tel: +82-2-3770-5993. Email: [junbeom.lee@yuantakorea.com](mailto:junbeom.lee@yuantakorea.com).

Then, we have the latest past fixing data  $\delta_t$  at  $t \geq 0$  and the regarding value end date  $\epsilon_d$

$$t \mapsto \delta_t \mapsto \epsilon_d \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $I = [a, b]$  be a calculation interval,  $\phi$  be the fixing interval, and  $\mu$  be the respective payment date. Note that  $\phi$  may or may not be in the calculation interval, i.e., either  $\phi \in [a, b]$  or  $\phi \notin [a, b]$ , but the payment date cannot be earlier than the end date  $b$ . Moreover, let  $t \geq 0$  denote the evaluation date and  $C(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  denote the (zero) curve given at  $t \geq 0$ .

Consider that there are a set of past data  $d(\phi_i)$  such that  $\phi_i \leq t$ :

$$\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_N\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let us denote by  $\epsilon_N$  the calculation end date regarding the last fixing date.