

Interest Rate Modeling

Junbeom Lee

Yuanta Securities Korea

Table of Contents

- 1 Outline
- 2 PDE of Range Accrual Type Note
- 3 Hull-White One Factor Model
- 4 G2++ Model

- ① Range Accrual Type PDE
 - A crash course on semi-martingale theory
 - Derivation of PDE using martingale method

① Range Accrual Type PDE

- A crash course on semi-martingale theory
- Derivation of PDE using martingale method

② One-factor Model: Hull-White

- Bond Price \Rightarrow forward rate fitting parameters to market curve, etc
- Bond Option \Rightarrow Swaption price (Jamshidian decomposition)
- Calibration by diagonal swaption price quote (in OTC database, refer to “otcora:FICC_SWAPTION_ATM”)

① Range Accrual Type PDE

- A crash course on semi-martingale theory
- Derivation of PDE using martingale method

② One-factor Model: Hull-White

- Bond Price \Rightarrow forward rate fitting parameters to market curve, etc
- Bond Option \Rightarrow Swaption price (Jamshidian decomposition)
- Calibration by diagonal swaption price quote (in OTC database, refer to “otcora:FICC_SWAPTION_ATM”)

③ Two-factor Model: G2++

- Two Factor Calibration

- Hyperplane method: Choi & Shin (2016)
- Low Variance Martingale (LVM) : Schrager & Pelsser (2006)
- Edgeworth expansion (Fourier transformation): Singleton & Umantsev (2002)

A Crash Course on Semi-martingale Theory

Why Semi-martingale?

Denote each day by $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_N\}$, and let $(A_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the process counting the number of day that an interest rate F is in a range \mathcal{R} :

$$A_t := \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{t \geq \tau_i} \mathbb{1}_{F_{\tau_i} \in \mathcal{R}}. \quad (1)$$

Why Semi-martingale?

Denote each day by $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_N\}$, and let $(A_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the process counting the number of day that an interest rate F is in a range \mathcal{R} :

$$A_t := \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{t \geq \tau_i} \mathbb{1}_{F_{\tau_i} \in \mathcal{R}}. \quad (1)$$

Note that τ_i is a deterministic time, so it is not a Poisson process.

Remark

If there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\{\mathbb{1}_{\tau \geq t} - \lambda t\}$ is a martingale, then λ is called the intensity of τ . For τ to have an intensity, τ must be a totally inaccessible stopping time. However, deterministic time is a predictable stopping time which is not totally inaccessible.

It means that we do not have yet Itô's formula with the process. Even before Itô's formula, we first have to define stochastic integral with a good integrator including processes like $(A_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

Semi-martingale (Warning! I said “Semi” not “Sub”)

We want to define a good class of process for integrator X : $\int_0^t \xi_s dX_s$.
Here are something to consider.

- ① X should include all processes we have known, e.g., Brownian motion, Poisson process, default indicator, etc.
- ② More or less, X comes with surprise, like Poisson process, thus càdlàg.

Semi-martingale (Warning! I said “Semi” not “Sub”)

We want to define a good class of process for integrator X : $\int_0^t \xi_s dX_s$.
Here are something to consider.

- ① X should include all processes we have known, e.g., Brownian motion, Poisson process, default indicator, etc.
- ② More or less, X comes with surprise, like Poisson process, thus càdlàg.

Definition

X is semi-martingale if $X = M + A$ for some local-martingale M and adapted càdlàg process A with bounded variation.

Examples of Semi-martingale

Example

Brownian motion is a martingale, so is a semi-martingale.

Example

A Poisson process $\sum \mathbf{1}_{\tau_i \leq t}$ is a semi-martingale.

Example

The counting process for range accrual type note:

$$A_t := \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{t \geq \tau_i} \mathbf{1}_{F_{\tau_i} \in \mathcal{R}}. \quad (2)$$

is a semi-martingale.

Proposition

Let $X = (X^1, X^2, \dots, X^d)$ be a d -dimensional semi-martingale, \overline{X} be the continuous part of X , and F be a twice continuously differentiable function. Then for any stopping time τ and $a \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\tau, X_\tau) - F(a, X_a) &= \int_a^\tau \partial_t F(t, X_t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_a^\tau \partial_{x^i} F(t, X_t) d\overline{X}_t^i \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_a^\tau \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^j} F(t, X_t) d[\overline{X}_t^i, \overline{X}_t^j] \\ &\quad + \sum_{s \leq \tau} [F(s, X_s) - F(s, X_{s-})]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The last jump term can be written in a dirac-delta expression:

$$\sum_{s \leq \tau} [F(s, X_s) - F(s, X_{s-})] = \int_0^t \Delta_s F(s, X_s) dX_s^J \quad (4)$$

Example

Let $X_t = W_t + \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}$. Then X is a semi-martingale and the continuous part is $\bar{X}_t = W_t$. Hence for a twice continuously differentiable function $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_t) - F(X_0) &= \int_0^t F'(X_s) dW_s ds + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} F''(X_s) ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t} [F(X_{\tau-} + 1) - F(X_{\tau-})] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Example

Let $X_t = W_t + \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}$. Then X is a semi-martingale and the continuous part is $\bar{X}_t = W_t$. Hence for a twice continuously differentiable function $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_t) - F(X_0) &= \int_0^t F'(X_s) dW_s + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} F''(X_s) ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t} [F(X_{\tau-} + 1) - F(X_{\tau-})] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By using dirac-delta expression, the last term can be put as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t} [F(X_{\tau-} + 1) - F(X_{\tau-})] &= \int_0^t [F(X_{s-} + 1) - F(X_{s-})] \delta_\tau(ds) \\ &=: \int_0^t \Delta_s F(X) dX_s^J. \end{aligned}$$

Note that X^J means the pure jump part of X , i.e.,

$$X^J := X - \bar{X} = \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}. \quad (6)$$

PDE Derivation using Martingale Argument

PDE derivation using martingale argument

Proposition

Let S be a tradable asset and V is its self-financing portfolio. If $N^{-1}S$ is a \mathbb{P} -martingale for a Numéraire N , then $N^{-1}V$ is also a \mathbb{P} -martingale.

PDE derivation using martingale argument

Proposition

Let S be a tradable asset and V is its self-financing portfolio. If $N^{-1}S$ is a \mathbb{P} -martingale for a Numéraire N , then $N^{-1}V$ is also a \mathbb{P} -martingale.

Let us verify the proposition for a special case where N is the money market account B . Under a risk-neutral probability,

$$dB_t = rB_t dt, \quad dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t. \quad (7)$$

Define a self financing portfolio by the two asset:

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &:= \eta_t B_t + \xi_t S_t \\ dV_t &= \eta_t dB_t + \xi_t dS_t \\ &= r(\eta_t B_t + \xi_t S_t) dt + \sigma V_t dW_t \\ &= rV_t dt + \sigma V_t dW_t \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Let us guess $V_t = V(t, S_t)$. Recall from the previous slide, $\{B_t^{-1}V(t, S_t)\}$ is a martingale. Applying Itô's formula yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(B_t^{-1}V(t, S_t)) &= -rB_t^{-1}V(t, S_t) dt + B_t^{-1} dV(t, S_t) \\
 &= [-rB_t^{-1}V(t, S_t) + B_t^{-1}\partial_t V(t, S_t)] dt \\
 &\quad + [B_t^{-1}\partial_s V(t, S_t)rS_t + B_t^{-1}\frac{\sigma^2 S_t^2}{2}\partial_s^2 V(t, S_t)] dt \\
 &\quad + B_t^{-1}\sigma S_t \partial_s V(t, S_t) dW_t
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let us guess $V_t = V(t, S_t)$. Recall from the previous slide, $\{B_t^{-1}V(t, S_t)\}$ is a martingale. Applying Itô's formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} d(B_t^{-1}V(t, S_t)) &= -rB_t^{-1}V(t, S_t)dt + B_t^{-1}dV(t, S_t) \\ &= [-rB_t^{-1}V(t, S_t) + B_t^{-1}\partial_t V(t, S_t)]dt \\ &\quad + [B_t^{-1}\partial_s V(t, S_t)rS_t + B_t^{-1}\frac{\sigma^2 S_t^2}{2}\partial_s^2 V(t, S_t)]dt \\ &\quad + B_t^{-1}\sigma S_t \partial_s V(t, S_t) dW_t \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Therefore, so that $B^{-1}V(t, S.)$ is a martingale, we should have

$$\partial_t V(t, x) + rx\partial_x V(t, x) + \frac{\sigma^2 x^2}{2}\partial_x^2 V(t, x) - rV(t, x) = 0 \tag{10}$$

Forgive me. Painful time has finally gone. Now, let us get the PDE for range accrual type note. Let

$$dx_t = \mu(t, x_t) dt + \sigma(t, x_t) dW_t, \quad (11)$$

$$A_t := \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{t \geq \tau_i} \mathbb{1}_{(\tau_i, x_{\tau_i}) \in \mathcal{R}}, \quad (12)$$

and let $V(t, x_t, A_t)$ be the value of range accrual note. From (12), we can formally write

$$dA_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{(t, x_t) \in \mathcal{R}} \delta_{\tau_i}(dt).$$

We will apply Itô's formula (of semi-martingale) to $\{B_t^{-1}V(t, x_t, A_t)\}$ to obtain the PDE.

Note that $\{(x_t, A_t)\}$ is a 2-dimensional semi-martingale whose continuous part is $\{(x_t, 0)\}$. Then, applying Itô's formula to $V(t, x_t, A_t)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 dV(t, x_t, A_t) &= [\partial_t V(t, x_t, A_t) + \partial_x V(t, x_t, A_t) \mu(t, x_t)] dt \\
 &\quad + \frac{\sigma^2(t, x_t)}{2} \partial_x^2 V(t, x_t, A_t) dt + \partial_x V(t, x_t, A_t) \sigma(t, x_t) dW_t \\
 &\quad + [V(t, x_t, A_t) - V(t, x_t, A_{t-})] dA_t \\
 &= [\dots] dt + [\dots] dW_t \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N [V(t, x_t, A_t) - V(t, x_t, A_{t-})] \mathbf{1}_{(t, x_t) \in \mathcal{R}} \delta_{\tau_i}(dt) \quad (13)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{(x_t, A_t)\}$ is a 2-dimensional semi-martingale whose continuous part is $\{(x_t, 0)\}$. Then, applying Itô's formula to $V(t, x_t, A_t)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} dV(t, x_t, A_t) &= [\partial_t V(t, x_t, A_t) + \partial_x V(t, x_t, A_t) \mu(t, x_t)] dt \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma^2(t, x_t)}{2} \partial_x^2 V(t, x_t, A_t) dt + \partial_x V(t, x_t, A_t) \sigma(t, x_t) dW_t \\ &\quad + [V(t, x_t, A_t) - V(t, x_t, A_{t-})] dA_t \\ &= [\dots] dt + [\dots] dW_t \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N [V(t, x_t, A_t) - V(t, x_t, A_{t-})] \mathbf{1}_{(t, x_t) \in \mathcal{R}} \delta_{\tau_i}(dt) \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the jump size of A is always 1. Hence, the last term in (13) can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N [V(t, x_t, A_{t-} + 1) - V(t, x_t, A_{t-})] \mathbf{1}_{(t, x_t) \in \mathcal{R}} \delta_{\tau_i}(dt) \quad (14)$$

By applying Itô's formula to $B_t^{-1}V(t, x_t, A_t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d[B_t^{-1}V] = & B_t^{-1} \left[-r_t V(t, x_t, A_t) + \partial_t V(t, x_t, A_t) \right] dt \\
 & + B_t^{-1} \left[\frac{\sigma^2(t, x_t)}{2} \partial_x^2 V(t, x_t, A_t) \right] dt \\
 & + B_t^{-1} \partial_x V(t, x_t, A_t) \mu(t, x_t) dt \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^N [V(t, x_t, A_{t-} + 1) - V(t, x_t, A_{t-})] \mathbb{1}_{(t, x_t) \in \mathcal{R}} \delta_{\tau_i}(dt) \\
 & + B_t^{-1} \partial_x V(t, x_t, A_t) \sigma(t, x_t) dW_t.
 \end{aligned}$$

By applying Itô's formula to $B_t^{-1}V(t, x_t, A_t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d[B_t^{-1}V] = & B_t^{-1} \left[-r_t V(t, x_t, A_t) + \partial_t V(t, x_t, A_t) \right] dt \\ & + B_t^{-1} \left[\frac{\sigma^2(t, x_t)}{2} \partial_x^2 V(t, x_t, A_t) \right] dt \\ & + B_t^{-1} \partial_x V(t, x_t, A_t) \mu(t, x_t) dt \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^N [V(t, x_t, A_{t-} + 1) - V(t, x_t, A_{t-})] \mathbb{1}_{(t, x_t) \in \mathcal{R}} \delta_{\tau_i}(dt) \\ & + B_t^{-1} \partial_x V(t, x_t, A_t) \sigma(t, x_t) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to find a function $V(t, x, A)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V(t, x, A) + \mu(t, x) \partial_x V(t, x, A) + \frac{\sigma^2(t, x)}{2} \partial_x^2 V(t, x, A) = rV(t, x, A), \\ V(t, x, A + 1) = V(t, x, A), \quad \text{where } (t, x) \in \mathcal{R}. \end{cases}$$

Hull-White One Factor Model

Hull-White One Factor Model

$$dr_t = (\theta_t - ar_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t, \quad \sigma_t = \sigma_i, \quad t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}$$

Hull-White One Factor Model

$$dr_t = (\theta_t - ar_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t, \quad \sigma_t = \sigma_i, \quad t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}$$

Hull-White One Factor Model

$$dr_t = (\theta_t - ar_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t, \quad \sigma_t = \sigma_i, \quad t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}$$

- We fix $0.03 < a < 0.1$.

Hull-White One Factor Model

$$dr_t = (\theta_t - ar_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t, \quad \sigma_t = \sigma_i, \quad t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}$$

- We fix $0.03 < a < 0.1$. Question time!

Hull-White One Factor Model

$$dr_t = (\theta_t - ar_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t, \quad \sigma_t = \sigma_i, \quad t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}$$

- We fix $0.03 < a < 0.1$. Question time! You choose a bigger $a > 0$, then does volatility become bigger or smaller?

Hull-White One Factor Model

$$dr_t = (\theta_t - ar_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t, \quad \sigma_t = \sigma_i, \quad t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}$$

- We fix $0.03 < a < 0.1$. Question time! You choose a bigger $a > 0$, then does volatility become bigger or smaller?
- $\{\sigma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ can be calibrated by (diagonal) swaption quotes.

Hull-White One Factor Model

$$dr_t = (\theta_t - ar_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t, \quad \sigma_t = \sigma_i, \quad t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}$$

- We fix $0.03 < a < 0.1$. Question time! You choose a bigger $a > 0$, then does volatility become bigger or smaller?
- $\{\sigma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ can be calibrated by (diagonal) swaption quotes.
- Then $\{\theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is given by market curve, a , and $\{\sigma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Hull-White One Factor Model

$$dr_t = (\theta_t - ar_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t, \quad \sigma_t = \sigma_i, \quad t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}$$

- We fix $0.03 < a < 0.1$. Question time! You choose a bigger $a > 0$, then does volatility become bigger or smaller?
- $\{\sigma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ can be calibrated by (diagonal) swaption quotes.
- Then $\{\theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is given by market curve, a , and $\{\sigma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$.

To this end, we first have to find the formula of bond prices:

$$P(t, T) := \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \int_t^T r_s ds \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \quad (15)$$

Hull-White One Factor Model: Bond Formula and Calibrating the Curve

$$\begin{aligned}
d(e^{at}r_t) &= ae^{at}r_t dt + e^{at} dr_t \\
&= ae^{at}r_t dt + e^{at}(\theta_t - ar_t) dt + e^{at}\sigma_t dW_t
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}$$

Thus, we have for $t \leq s$

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{as}r_s &= e^{-at}r_t + \int_t^s e^{au}\theta_u du + \int_t^s e^{au}\sigma_u dW_u \\
\Rightarrow r_s &= e^{-a(s-t)}r_t + \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)}\theta_u du + \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)}\sigma_u dW_u
\end{aligned}
\tag{17}$$

We will continue to get $\int_t^T r_s ds$.

Recall

$$r_s = e^{-a(s-t)}r_t + \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)}\theta_u \, du + \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)}\sigma_u \, dW_u \quad (18)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^T r_s \, ds &= r_t e^{at} \int_t^T e^{-as} \, ds + \int_t^T \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)}\theta_u \, du \, ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)}\sigma_u \, dW_u \, ds \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Recall

$$r_s = e^{-a(s-t)}r_t + \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)}\theta_u \, du + \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)}\sigma_u \, dW_u \quad (18)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^T r_s \, ds &= r_t e^{at} \int_t^T e^{-as} \, ds + \int_t^T \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)}\theta_u \, du \, ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)}\sigma_u \, dW_u \, ds \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

We will reformulate the last two terms by Fubini's theorem. Before doing so, let us recall one lemma:

Lemma

Let $(\Delta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a (measurable) deterministic process, then

$$\int_0^t \Delta_s \, dW_s \sim N\left(0, \int_0^t \Delta_s^2 \, ds\right) \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_t^T \int_t^s e^{-a(s-u)} \sigma_u \, dW_u \, ds &= \int_t^T \int_u^T e^{-a(s-u)} \sigma_u \, ds \, dW_u \\
&= \int_t^T e^{au} \sigma_u \int_u^T e^{-as} \, ds \, dW_u \\
&= \int_t^T \frac{1}{a} (1 - e^{-a(T-u)}) \sigma_u \, dW_u.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Hence, good news is that $\int_t^T r_s \, ds$ follows a normal distribution. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T r_s \, ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] &= \frac{r_t}{a} (1 - e^{-a(T-t)}) + \int_t^T \frac{\theta_u}{a} (1 - e^{-a(T-u)}) \, du \\
\mathbf{Var} \left[\int_t^T r_s \, ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] &= \int_t^T \frac{\sigma_u^2}{a^2} (1 - e^{-a(T-u)})^2 \, du.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(t, T) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \int_t^T r_s \, ds \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= \exp \left(- \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T r_s \, ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Var} \left[\int_t^T r_s \, ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right) \\
&=: \exp \left(- r_t A^a(t, T) + C^{a, \sigma, \theta}(t, T) \right). \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(t, T) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(- \int_t^T r_s \, ds \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= \exp \left(- \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T r_s \, ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Var} \left[\int_t^T r_s \, ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right) \\
&=: \exp \left(- r_t A^a(t, T) + C^{a, \sigma, \theta}(t, T) \right). \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark

Let $F(t, T^*, T)$, $T^* < T$, denote the simple forward rate seen from $t \geq 0$. Then $F(t, T^*, T)$ can be represented by the bond formula (23):

$$F(t, T^*, T) = \frac{1}{(T - T^*)} \left(\frac{P(t, T^*)}{P(t, T)} - 1 \right). \tag{24}$$

In the next slide, we continue to obtain the form of $\{\theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ by using bond formula (23).

First, we fit $\{\theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ so that $P^M(0, T) = P(0, T)$, where

$$P^M(0, T) = \exp \left(- \int_0^T f^M(0, t) dt \right). \quad (25)$$

In other words,

$$f^M(0, T) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \ln P^M(0, T).$$

So, we obtained $\{\theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. Then finding $\{\sigma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ will be our last job. Let us finish the calculation for $\{\theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in the next slide.

Let us summarize what we have gotten so far:

$$P(t, T) = \exp \left(-r_t A(t, T) + C(t, T) \right)$$

$$A(t, T) = \frac{1}{a} (1 - e^{-a(T-t)})$$

$$C(t, T) = - \int_t^T \frac{\theta_u}{a} (1 - e^{-a(T-u)}) \, du + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \frac{\sigma_u^2}{a^2} (1 - e^{-a(T-u)})^2 \, du.$$

Let us summarize what we have gotten so far:

$$P(t, T) = \exp \left(-r_t A(t, T) + C(t, T) \right)$$

$$A(t, T) = \frac{1}{a} (1 - e^{-a(T-t)})$$

$$C(t, T) = - \int_t^T \frac{\theta_u}{a} (1 - e^{-a(T-u)}) \, du + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \frac{\sigma_u^2}{a^2} (1 - e^{-a(T-u)})^2 \, du.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\partial_t A(0, t) = e^{-at}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t C(0, t) = & - \int_0^t \partial_t \left(\frac{\theta_u}{a} (1 - e^{-a(t-u)}) \right) \, du \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \partial_t \left(\frac{\sigma_u^2}{a^2} (1 - e^{-a(t-u)})^2 \right) \, du. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(0, t) &= -\partial_t \ln P(0, t) \\
 &= r_0 \partial_t A(0, t) - \partial_t C(0, t) \\
 &= r_0 e^{-at} + \int_0^t \theta_u e^{-a(t-u)} \, du - \int_0^t \frac{\sigma_u^2}{a} (e^{-a(t-u)} - e^{-2a(t-u)}) \, du.
 \end{aligned}$$

By differentiating again both sides,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t f(0, t) &= -a r_0 e^{-at} + \theta_t - a \int_0^t \theta_u e^{-a(t-u)} \, du \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \sigma_u^2 (e^{-a(t-u)} - 2e^{-2a(t-u)}) \, du \\
 &= \theta_t - a f(0, t) - \int_0^t \sigma_u^2 e^{-2a(t-u)} \, du
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally!

$$\theta_t = \partial_t f^M(0, t) + af^M(0, t) + \int_0^t \sigma_u^2 e^{-2a(t-u)} \mathrm{d}u \quad (26)$$

Finally!

$$\theta_t = \partial_t f^M(0, t) + af^M(0, t) + \int_0^t \sigma_u^2 e^{-2a(t-u)} du \quad (26)$$

Oh no.... $f^M(0, t)$ may not be differentiable.

Finally!

$$\theta_t = \partial_t f^M(0, t) + af^M(0, t) + \int_0^t \sigma_u^2 e^{-2a(t-u)} du \quad (26)$$

Oh no.... $f^M(0, t)$ may not be differentiable. No problem!

Finally!

$$\theta_t = \partial_t f^M(0, t) + a f^M(0, t) + \int_0^t \sigma_u^2 e^{-2a(t-u)} du \quad (26)$$

Oh no.... $f^M(0, t)$ may not be differentiable. No problem! We will put $r = \varphi + x$, for some (deterministic & absolutely continuous) φ and x , where $x_0 = 0$. Then, recalling (18)

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= e^{-at} r_0 + \int_0^t e^{-a(t-u)} \theta_u du + \int_0^t e^{-a(t-u)} \sigma_u dW_u \\ &= \varphi_t + x_t. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Note that $r_0 = \varphi_0 = f^M(0, 0)$ and $dx_t = -ax_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t$. This is called Gaussian model. The term $\partial_t f^M(0, t)$ will hopefully disappear by integration in (27). Indeed, it will.

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-at} \int_0^t e^{au} (\partial_u f^M(0, u) + a f^M(0, u)) \, du \\
&= e^{-at} \int_0^t (e^{au} \partial_u f^M(0, u) + \partial_u e^{au} f^M(0, u)) \, du \\
&= e^{-at} (e^{at} f^M(0, t) - f^M(0, 0)) = f^M(0, t) - e^{-at} r_0. \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-at} \int_0^t e^{au} (\partial_u f^M(0, u) + a f^M(0, u)) \, du \\
&= e^{-at} \int_0^t (e^{au} \partial_u f^M(0, u) + \partial_u e^{au} f^M(0, u)) \, du \\
&= e^{-at} (e^{at} f^M(0, t) - f^M(0, 0)) = f^M(0, t) - e^{-at} r_0. \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \left(\int_0^u \sigma_s^2 e^{-2a(u-s)} \, ds \right) e^{-a(t-u)} \, du \\
&= e^{-at} \int_0^t e^{2as} \sigma_s^2 \left(\int_s^t e^{-au} \, du \right) \, ds \\
&= e^{-at} \int_0^t \sigma_s^2 \left(\frac{e^{as} - e^{2as-at}}{a} \right) \, ds \\
&= e^{-at} \left(\sigma_s^2 \frac{2e^{as} - e^{2as-at}}{2a^2} \Big|_0^t - \int_0^t \frac{d\sigma_s^2}{ds} \frac{2e^{as} - e^{2as-at}}{2a^2} \, ds \right) \quad (\text{Cont...}) \\
&=: I + II \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

Let t_k denote the time such that $t_k \leq t$ but $t_{k+1} > t$. Then

$$I = \frac{\sigma_k^2}{2a^2} - \frac{\sigma_0^2(2e^{-at} - e^{-2at})}{2a^2}. \quad (30)$$

Recall that

$$(\sigma_t^2)' = \begin{cases} \sigma_0^2 & t = 0, \\ \sigma_i^2 - \sigma_{i-1}^2 & t = t_i, \ i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have

$$II = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{2e^{-a(t-t_i)} - e^{-2a(t-t_i)}}{2a^2} (\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_{i-1}^2) \quad (31)$$

From (30) and (31), we have

$$I + II = \frac{\sigma_0^2(1 - e^{-at})^2}{2a^2} + \sum_{1 \leq i, t_i \leq t} \frac{\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_{i-1}^2}{2a^2} (1 - e^{-a(t-t_i)})^2 \quad (32)$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\varphi_t = f^M(0, t) + \frac{\sigma_0^2(1 - e^{-at})^2}{2a^2} + \sum_{1 \leq i, t_i \leq t} \frac{\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_{i-1}^2}{2a^2} (1 - e^{-a(t-t_i)})^2. \quad (33)$$

Form 1

$r = \varphi + x$, where φ is defined as (33) and $\{x_t\}$ follows

$$x_t = e^{-at} \int_0^t e^{au} \sigma_u dW_u, \quad \sigma_t = \sigma_i \text{ for } t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}. \quad (34)$$

Form 2

In other forms, one is able to write $r = f^M(0, t) + y$, where

$$dy_t = (\Theta_t - ay_t) dt + \sigma_t W_t, \quad y_0 = 0,$$

$$\Theta_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s^2 e^{-2a(t-s)} ds$$

With this from, the bond formula becomes

$$P(t, T) = P^M(t, T) \exp \left(-y_t g(t, T) - \frac{\Theta_t}{2} g^2(t, T) \right)$$

$$g(t, T) = \int_t^T e^{-a(t-s)} ds.$$

Hull-White One Factor Model: Bond Option Formula and Calibrating the Volatility

Bond Option Formula

Let us consider a bond call option with the maturity $T^* > 0$ on a bond with the maturity $T > T^*$, i.e.,

$$B_t \mathbb{E} [B_{T^*}^{-1} (P(T^*, T) - K)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t]. \quad (35)$$

The typical approach is transforming (35) by using T^* -forward measure, but the calculation is still heavy. Instead, we will use a little trick using Black-Scholes PDE. To this end, we first define

$$S_t := \frac{P(t, T)}{P(t, T^*)}. \quad (36)$$

Then the bond option can be seen as a product on S_t :

$$v_c(t, S_t) := B_t \mathbb{E} [B_{T^*}^{-1} (S_{T^*} - K)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t]. \quad (37)$$

Instead of directly dealing with the bond option, we consider a slightly different product

$$\omega(t, S_t) := \frac{v_c(t, S_t)}{P(t, T^*)} \quad (38)$$

and hedge ω using S . Then the hedge portfolio with the hedge ratio Δ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &:= \omega - \Delta S \\ d\Pi &= d\omega - \Delta dS. \end{aligned}$$

For the SDE governing $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$, recall that

$$P(t, T) = \exp \left(-r_t A(t, T) + C(t, T) \right). \quad (39)$$

Thus, S_t is given by

$$S_t = \exp \left\{ -r_t \left(A(t, T) - A(t, T^*) \right) + C(t, T) - C(t, T^*) \right\}. \quad (40)$$

It follows that $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$dS_t = S_t \left[\alpha(t, S_t) dt - \sigma_t \left(A(t, T) - A(t, T^*) \right) dW_t \right], \quad (41)$$

for some $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For the time being, let's not care for what α is. In what follows, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_t &:= \sigma_t \left(A(t, T) - A(t, T^*) \right) \\ \Gamma(t^*, t) &:= \int_{t^*}^t \Sigma_s^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Pi_t &= d\omega(t, S_t) - \Delta_t dS_t \\
&= \left[\partial_t \omega(t, S_t) + \frac{\Sigma_t^2}{2} \partial_s^2 \omega(t, S_t) \right] dt \\
&\quad + [\partial_s \omega(t, S_t) \alpha(t, S_t) - \Delta_t \alpha(t, S_t)] dt \\
&\quad - \Sigma_t [\partial_s \omega(t, S_t) - \Delta_t] dW_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Observing that the proper hedge ratio is $\Delta_t = \partial_s \omega(t, S_t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega(t, s) + \frac{\Sigma_t^2}{2} \partial_s^2 \omega(t, s) = 0 \\ \omega(T^*, s) = (s - K)^+. \end{cases}$$

The solution of the heat equation (or Black-Scholes with $r = 0$),

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(t, s) &= s\phi(d_+(t, s)) - K\phi(d_-(t, s)) \\
d_+(t, s) &= \frac{\ln(s/K) + \frac{\Gamma(t, T^*)}{2}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(t, T^*)}} \\
d_-(t, s) &= d_+(t, s) - \Gamma(t, T^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the value of call option is $v(t, S_t) = P(t, T^*)\omega(t, S_t)$,

$$v_c(t, S_t) = P(t, T)\phi(d_+(t, S_t)) - KP(t, T^*)\phi(d_-(t, S_t)). \quad (42)$$

For the precise form of (42), note that

$$d_+(t, S_t) = \frac{\ln(P(t, T)) - \ln(P(t, T^*)K) + \frac{\Gamma(t, T^*)}{2}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(t, T^*)}}$$

$$d_-(t, S_t) = \frac{\ln(P(t, T)) - \ln(P(t, T^*)K) - \frac{\Gamma(t, T^*)}{2}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(t, T^*)}}$$

Then we can easily derive the bond put option formula:

$$v_p(t, S_t) = KP(t, T^*)\phi(-d_-(t, S_t)) - P(t, T)\phi(-d_+(t, S_t)) \quad (43)$$

In what follows, we will specify the maturities and exercise price for denoting the call option value, e.g.,

$$v_c(T^*, T, K, t, S_t^{T^*, T}) := v_c(t, S_t). \quad (44)$$

The Final Touch: Swaption Formula

Jamshidian's Trick

Unfortunately, bond option markets are dead. For now, the most liquid interest rate option is the swaption. Since swaption can be seen as a coupon bearing bond, we first consider a (call) pay-off of a coupon bearing bond:

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^N c_i P(T^*, T_i) - K \right]^+. \quad (45)$$

Recall that in a short rate model, a bond price at $t \geq 0$ can be represented by t , r_t and its maturity. We denote the function by Π , namely

$$\Pi(t, T, \textcolor{red}{r}) := \exp \left(- \textcolor{red}{r} A(t, T) + C(t, T) \right). \quad (46)$$

Then we denote by r^* the short rate that (45) becomes zero:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N c_i \Pi(T^*, T_i, r^*) = K. \quad (47)$$

By observing $\partial_r \Pi(T^*, T, r) < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\sum_{i=1}^N c_i (\Pi(T^*, T_i, r_{T^*}) - \Pi(T^*, T_i, r^*)) \right]^+ \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \left[(\Pi(T^*, T_i, r_{T^*}) - \Pi(T^*, T_i, r^*)) \right]^+. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Hence, the call option on a coupon bearing bond is the sum of zero coupon bond options:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N c_i v_c(T^*, T_i, \Pi(T^*, T_i, r^*), t, S_t^{T^*, T_i}). \quad (49)$$

(Payer) Swaption

A swaption is an option on IRS. Recall that the value of the payer swap starting at T_α with an exercise $K > 0$ is

$$\sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\beta} (F(T_\alpha, T_{i-1}, T_i) - K) \tau_i P(T_\alpha, T_i), \quad (50)$$

where $\tau_i = T_i - T_{i-1}$.

Remark

Let $S_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ be the par swap rate that the coupon payments are made $\{T_{\alpha+1}, \dots, T_\beta\}$. Then, in a classical single curve model,

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \frac{P(t, T_\alpha) - P(t, T_\beta)}{\sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\beta} (T_i - T_{i-1}) P(t, T_i)}. \quad (51)$$

Then the payer (resp. receiver) swaption is the option on the payer (resp. receiver) swap that its pay-off is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\beta} (F(T_{\alpha}, T_{i-1}, T_i) - K) \tau_i P(T_{\alpha}, T_i) \right]^+ \\
 &= \left[1 - P(T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}) - \sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\beta} K \tau_i P(T_{\alpha}, T_i) \right]^+ \\
 &= \left[1 - \sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\beta} c_i P(T_{\alpha}, T_i) \right]^+, \tag{52}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $c_i = K \tau_i$, $i < \beta$, and $c_{\beta} = 1 + K \tau_{\beta}$. Defining r^* such that $\sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\beta} c_i \Pi(T_{\alpha}, T_i, r^*) = 1$, the value of the payer swaption is

$$\sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\beta} c_i v_p(T_{\alpha}, T_i, \Pi(T_{\alpha}, T_i, r^*), t, S_t^{T_{\alpha}, T_i}). \tag{53}$$

Two Factor Model: G2++

The Model

Let (W_t) be a d -dimensional Brownian motion with the correlation Matrix $R_t = [\rho_t^{i,j}]_{i,j}$. We consider a short rate $r_t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a d -dimensional gaussian process \mathbf{x}_t such that

$$r_t = f^M(0, t) + \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{x}_t \quad (54)$$

$$d\mathbf{x}_t = (\Theta_t \mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_t \circ \mathbf{x}_t) + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_t^\top dW_t, \quad \mathbf{x}_0 = 0 \quad (55)$$

$$\Theta_t := \left[\int_0^t \rho_s^{i,j} \sigma_s^i \sigma_s^j m^i(s, t) m^j(s, t) ds \right]_{i,j} \quad (56)$$

$$\mathbf{m}(s, t) := [m^i(s, t)]_i = \left[e^{-\int_s^t \lambda_u^i du} \right]_i \quad (57)$$

In this setup, we have the following bond formula

$$P(t, T) = P^M(t, T) \exp \left(-\mathbf{g}(t, T)^\top \mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(t, T)^\top \Theta_t \mathbf{g}(t, T) \right) \quad (58)$$

$$\mathbf{g}(t, T) := \int_t^T \mathbf{m}(t, s) ds. \quad (59)$$

Two Factor Model: Swaption Approximation

Hyperplane Method

Thank You!

- Choi, J., & Shin, S. (2016). Fast swaption pricing in gaussian term structure models. *Mathematical Finance*, 26(4), 962–982.
- Schrager, D. F., & Pelsser, A. A. (2006). Pricing swaptions and coupon bond options in affine term structure models. *Mathematical Finance*, 16(4), 673–694.
- Singleton, K. J., & Umantsev, L. (2002). Pricing coupon-bond options and swaptions in affine term structure models. *Mathematical Finance*, 12(4), 427–446.