

Appendix

In this appendix, we give the detailed proofs of the main theorems and lemmas.

A.1 Proof of Lemma 1

Proof. We just analyze S_i for a fixed $i \in [K]$. Let the times of removing operation be J . Denote by $B = \alpha\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{J} = \{t_r, r \in [J]\}$, $T_r = \{t_{r-1} + 1, \dots, t_r\}$ and $t_0 = 0$. For any $t \in T_r$, if $\nabla_{t,i} \neq 0$, $\neg \text{con}(a(i))$ and $b_{t,i} = 1$, then (\mathbf{x}_t, y_t) will be added into S_i . For simplicity, we define a new notation $\nu_{t,i}$ as follows,

$$\nu_{t,i} = \mathbb{I}_{y_t f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t) < 1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\neg \text{con}(a(i))} \cdot b_{t,i}.$$

At the end of the t_r -th round, the following equation can be derived,

$$|S_i| = |S_i(t_{r-1} + 1)| + \sum_{t=t_{r-1}+1}^{t_r} \nu_{t,i} = \frac{B}{K},$$

where $|S_i(t_{r-1} + 1)|$ is defined the initial size of S_i .

Let $s_r = t_{r-1} + 1$. Assuming that there is no budget. We will present an expected bound on $\sum_{t=s_r}^{\bar{t}} \nu_{t,i}$ for any $\bar{t} > s_r$. In the first epoch, $s_1 = 1$ and $|S_i(s_1)| = 0$. Taking expectation w.r.t. $b_{t,i}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=s_1}^{\bar{t}} \nu_{t,i} \right] &= \sum_{t=s_1}^{\bar{t}} \frac{\|\nabla_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\nabla_{t,i} \neq 0}}{\|\nabla_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 + \|\hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k_1} \underbrace{\left(1 + \sum_{t=2}^{\bar{t}} \left\| y_t \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}_t, \cdot) - \frac{\sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in V_t} y \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)}{|V_t|} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \right)}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[s_1, \bar{t}], \kappa_i}} \\ &= \frac{2}{k_1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[s_1, \bar{t}], \kappa_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact $\kappa_i(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_t) \geq k_1$. Let t_1 be the minimal \bar{t} such that

$$\frac{2}{k_1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[s_1, t_1], \kappa_i} \geq \frac{B}{K}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The first epoch will end at t_1 in expectation. We define $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T_1, \kappa_i} := \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[s_1, t_1], \kappa_i}$.

Next we consider $r \geq 2$. It must be $|S_i(s_r)| = \frac{B}{2K}$. Similar to $r = 1$, we can obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=s_r}^{\bar{t}} \nu_{t,i} \right] \leq \frac{2}{k_1} \sum_{t=s_r}^{\bar{t}} \underbrace{\left\| y_t \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}_t, \cdot) - \frac{\sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in V_t} y \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)}{|V_t|} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[s_r, \bar{t}], \kappa_i}} = \frac{2}{k_1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[s_r, \bar{t}], \kappa_i}.$$

Let t_r be the minimal \bar{t} such that

$$\frac{2}{k_1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[s_r, t_r], \kappa_i} \geq \frac{B}{2K}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T_r, \kappa_i} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[s_r, t_r], \kappa_i}$. Combining (A1) and (A2), and summing over $r = 1, \dots, J$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B}{K} + \frac{B(J-1)}{2K} &\leq \frac{2}{k_1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T_1, \kappa_i} + \sum_{r=2}^J \frac{2}{k_1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T_r, \kappa_i} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k_1} \sum_{t=s_1}^T \underbrace{\left\| y_t \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}_t, \cdot) - \frac{\sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in V_t} y \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)}{|V_t|} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T, \kappa_i}} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k_1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T, \kappa_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Arranging terms gives

$$J \leq \frac{4K\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}}{Bk_1} - 1 \leq \frac{4K\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}}{Bk_1}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Taking expectation w.r.t. the randomness of reservoir sampling gives

$$\mathbb{E}[J] \leq \frac{4K}{Bk_1} \cdot \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}] \leq \frac{12K}{Bk_1} \mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\ln T}{M}\right) + \frac{32K}{Bk_1},$$

where the last inequality comes from Lemma A.1.1. Omitting the last constant term concludes the proof. \square

Lemma A.1.1. *The reservoir sampling guarantees*

$$\forall i \in [K], \quad \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}] \leq 3\mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} + 8 + \frac{3\mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} \ln T}{M}.$$

Proof. Let $\mu_{t,i} = -\frac{1}{t} \sum_{\tau=1}^t y_\tau \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}_\tau, \cdot)$ and $\tau_0 = M$. For $t \leq \tau_0$, it can be verified that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tau_0,\kappa_i} &= 1 + \sum_{t=2}^{\tau_0} \|-y_t \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}_t, \cdot) - \mu_{t-1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \\ &= 1 + \sum_{t=2}^{\tau_0} \|-y_t \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}_t, \cdot) - \mu_{t,i} + \mu_{t,i} - \mu_{t-1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \\ &\leq 1 + 2\mathcal{A}_{[2:\tau_0],\kappa_i} + 2 \sum_{t=2}^{\tau_0} \|\mu_{t,i} - \mu_{t-1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{0,i} = 0$. Let V_t be the reservoir at the beginning of round t . Next we consider the case $t > \tau_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[\tau_0:T],\kappa_i} &= \sum_{t=\tau_0+1}^T \|-y_t \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}_t, \cdot) - \tilde{\mu}_{t-1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{t=\tau_0+1}^T 3 \left[\|y_t \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}_t, \cdot) + \mu_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 + \|\mu_{t,i} - \mu_{t-1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 + \|\mu_{t-1,i} - \tilde{\mu}_{t-1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \right] \\ &= 3\mathcal{A}_{[\tau_0:T],\kappa_i} + 3 \sum_{t=\tau_0+1}^T \|\mu_{t,i} - \mu_{t-1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 + 3 \sum_{t=\tau_0+1}^T \left\| \mu_{t-1,i} + \frac{1}{|V_t|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x},y) \in V_t} y \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation w.r.t. the reservoir sampling yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}] \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tau_0,\kappa_i} + \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{[\tau_0:T],\kappa_i}] \\ &\leq 1 + 3\mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} + 3 \sum_{t=2}^T \|\mu_{t,i} - \mu_{t-1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 + 3 \sum_{t=\tau_0+1}^T \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \mu_{t-1,i} + \frac{1}{|V_t|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x},y) \in V_t} y \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \right] \quad \text{by Lemma A.8.1} \\ &\leq 1 + 3\mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} + 3 \sum_{t=2}^T \|\mu_{t,i} - \mu_{t-1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 + \sum_{t=\tau_0+1}^T \frac{3\mathcal{A}_{t-1,\kappa_i}}{(t-1)|V_t|} \\ &\leq 1 + 3\mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} + \sum_{t=2}^T \frac{12}{t^2} + \frac{3\mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} \ln T}{M} \\ &\leq 1 + 3\mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} + 7 + \frac{3\mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} \ln T}{M}, \end{aligned}$$

where $|V_t| = M$ for all $t \geq \tau_0$. \square

A.2 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. By the convexity of the Hinge loss function, we decompose the regret as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Reg}(f) &= \sum_{t=1}^T \ell \left(\sum_{j=1}^K p_{t,j} f_{t,j}(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t \right) - \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) \\
&\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^K p_{t,j} \ell(f_{t,j}(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) - \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) \\
&\leq \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^T \left[\sum_{j=1}^K p_{t,j} \ell(f_{t,j}(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) - \ell(f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) \right]}_{\mathcal{T}_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{t \in E_{T,i}} [\ell(f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) - \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t)]}_{\mathcal{T}_2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $E_{T,i} = \{t \in [T], \nabla_{t,i} \neq 0\}$.

A.2.1 Analyzing \mathcal{T}_1

The following analysis is same with the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [A1]. Let $c_{t,i} := \ell(f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t)$. The updating of probability is as follows,

$$p_{t+1,i} = \frac{w_{t+1,i}}{\sum_{j=1}^K w_{t+1,j}}, \quad w_{t+1,i} = \exp \left(-\eta_{t+1} \sum_{\tau=1}^t c_{\tau,i} \right).$$

Similar to the analysis of Exp3 [A1], we define a potential function $\Gamma_t(\eta_t)$ as follows,

$$\Gamma_t(\eta_t) := \frac{1}{\eta_t} \ln \sum_{i=1}^K p_{t,i} \exp(-\eta_t c_{t,i}) \leq -\sum_{i=1}^K p_{t,i} c_{t,i} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_t \sum_{i=1}^K p_{t,i} c_{t,i}^2,$$

where we use the following two inequalities

$$\ln x \leq x - 1, \forall x > 0, \quad \exp(-x) \leq 1 - x + \frac{x^2}{2}, \forall x \geq 0.$$

Summing over $t \in [T]$ yields

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \Gamma_t(\eta_t) \leq -\sum_{t=1}^T \langle \mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{c}_t \rangle + \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{\eta_t}{2} p_{t,i} c_{t,i}^2. \quad (\text{A4})$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $p_{t,i}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_t(\eta_t) &= \frac{1}{\eta_t} \ln \frac{\sum_{i=1}^K \exp \left(-\eta_t \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} c_{\tau,i} \right) \exp(-\eta_t c_{t,i})}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp \left(-\eta_t \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} c_{\tau,j} \right)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\eta_t} \ln \frac{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K \exp \left(-\eta_t \sum_{\tau=1}^t c_{\tau,i} \right)}{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \exp \left(-\eta_t \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} c_{\tau,j} \right)} \\
&= \bar{\Gamma}_t(\eta_t) - \bar{\Gamma}_{t-1}(\eta_t),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}_t(\eta) = \frac{1}{\eta} \ln \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \exp \left(-\eta \sum_{\tau=1}^t c_{\tau,j} \right)$.

Without loss of generality, let $\bar{\Gamma}_0(\eta) = 0$. Summing over $t = 1, \dots, T$ yields

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \Gamma_t(\eta_t) = \bar{\Gamma}_T(\eta_T) - \bar{\Gamma}_0(\eta_1) + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} [\bar{\Gamma}_t(\eta_t) - \bar{\Gamma}_t(\eta_{t+1})],$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}_T(\eta_T) \geq \frac{1}{\eta_T} \ln \frac{1}{K} - \sum_{\tau=1}^T c_{\tau,i}$. Combining with the upper bound (A4), we obtain

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \langle \mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{c}_t \rangle - \sum_{\tau=1}^T c_{\tau,i} \leq \frac{1}{\eta_T} \ln K + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} [\bar{\Gamma}_t(\eta_{t+1}) - \bar{\Gamma}_t(\eta_t)] + \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{\eta_t}{2} p_{t,i} c_{t,i}^2.$$

For simplicity, let $\bar{C}_{t,j} := \sum_{\tau=1}^t c_{\tau,j}$. The first derivative of $\bar{\Gamma}_t(\eta)$ w.r.t. η is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \bar{\Gamma}_t(\eta)}{d \eta} &= \frac{-\ln \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{\exp(-\eta \bar{C}_{t,j})}{K}}{\eta^2} - \frac{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \bar{C}_{t,j} \exp(-\eta \bar{C}_{t,j})}{\frac{\eta}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \exp(-\eta \bar{C}_{t,j})} \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta^2} \text{KL}(\tilde{p}_t, \frac{1}{K}) \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{p}_{t,j} = \frac{\exp(-\eta \bar{C}_{t,j})}{\sum_{i=1}^K \exp(-\eta \bar{C}_{t,i})}$. Since $\eta_{t+1} \leq \eta_t$, we have $\bar{\Gamma}_t(\eta_{t+1}) \leq \bar{\Gamma}_t(\eta_t)$. Combining all results, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{t=1}^T \langle \mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{c}_t \rangle - \sum_{\tau=1}^T c_{\tau,i} \\ &\leq \frac{\ln K}{\eta_T} - \frac{\ln K}{\eta_1} + \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{\eta_t}{2} p_{t,i} c_{t,i}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\ln K}}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{T-1} \langle \mathbf{p}_\tau, \mathbf{c}_\tau^2 \rangle} - \frac{\sqrt{\ln K}}{\sqrt{2}} + \sqrt{\ln K} \left(\sqrt{2 \sum_{\tau=1}^T \langle \mathbf{p}_\tau, \mathbf{c}_\tau^2 \rangle} + \frac{\max_{t,j} c_{t,j}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \quad \text{by Lemma A.8.2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \sum_{\tau=1}^T \langle \mathbf{p}_\tau, \mathbf{c}_\tau \rangle \ln K}. \end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

Solving for $\sum_{t=1}^T \langle \mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{c}_t \rangle$ gives

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \sum_{t=1}^T [\langle \mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{c}_t \rangle - c_{t,i}] \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \sum_{\tau=1}^T c_{\tau,i} \ln K} + \frac{9}{2} \max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \ln K. \tag{A6}$$

A.2.2 Analyzing \mathcal{T}_2

We decompose $E_{T,i}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &= \{t \in E_{T,i} : \text{con}(a(i))\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_i &= \{t \in E_{T,i} : |S_i| = \alpha \mathcal{R}_i, b_{t,i} = 1\}, \\ \bar{E}_i &= E_{T,i} \setminus (E_i \cup \mathcal{J}_i). \end{aligned}$$

We separately analyze the regret in E_i , \mathcal{J}_i and \bar{E}_i .

Case 1: regret in E_i

For any $f \in \mathbb{H}_i$, the convexity of loss function gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell(f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) - \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) \\ &\leq \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} \rangle \\ &= \underbrace{\langle f_{t,i} - f'_{t,i}, \hat{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_1} + \underbrace{\langle f'_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{i(s_t),i} \rangle}_{\Xi_2} + \langle f'_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \nabla_{i(s_t),i} \rangle + \langle f_{t,i} - f'_{t,i}, \nabla_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle \\ &= \Xi_1 + \Xi_2 + \langle f_{t,i} - f'_{t,i}, \nabla_{i(s_t),i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \nabla_{i(s_t),i} \rangle \\ &\leq [\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t,i})] + \underbrace{\|f_{t,i} - f\| \cdot \gamma_{t,i}}_{\Xi_3} + \underbrace{\langle f_{t,i} - f'_{t,i}, \nabla_{i(s_t),i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f_{t,i})}_{\Xi_4} \end{aligned}$$

where the standard analysis of OMD [A2] gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_1 &\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i}), \\ \Xi_2 &\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i}).\end{aligned}$$

Substituting into $\gamma_{t,i}$ and summing over $t \in E_i$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t \in E_i} \Xi_3 &\leq \sum_{t \in E_i} \frac{\max_t \|f_{t,i} - f\|_{\mathcal{H}_i} \cdot \|\nabla_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau \leq t} \|\nabla_{\tau,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{\tau,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\nabla_{\tau,i} \neq 0}}} \\ &\leq 2(U + \lambda_i) \cdot \sum_{t \in E_i} \frac{\|\nabla_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau \leq t} \|\nabla_{\tau,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{\tau,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\nabla_{\tau,i} \neq 0}}} \\ &\leq 4(U + \lambda_i) \sqrt{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T, \kappa_i}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\|f_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i} \leq U + \lambda_i$.

According to Lemma A.8.6, we can obtain

$$\sum_{t \in E_i} \Xi_4 \leq \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \sum_{t \in E_i} \|\nabla_{i(s_t),i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \leq 2\lambda_i \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T, \kappa_i}.$$

Case 2: regret in \bar{E}_i

We decompose the instantaneous regret as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} \rangle \\ &= \underbrace{\langle f_{t,i} - f'_{t,i}, \hat{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_1} + \underbrace{\langle f'_{t,i} - f, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_2} + \underbrace{\langle f_{t,i} - f'_{t,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_3} + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle \\ &\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \Xi_3 - [\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f_{t,i}) + \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i})] \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \Xi_3 - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f_{t,i}) - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \|\hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \\ &\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \|\tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \|\hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \quad \text{by Lemma A.8.6} \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \left(\frac{\|\nabla_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{(\mathbb{P}[b_{t,i} = 1])^2} \mathbb{I}_{b_{t,i}=1} - \|\hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Xi_1 + \Xi_2$ follows the analysis in **Case 1**.

Case 3: regret in \mathcal{J}_i

Recalling that the second mirror updating is

$$f'_{t,i} = \arg \min_{f \in \mathbb{H}_i} \left\{ \langle f, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, \bar{f}'_{t-1,i}(1)) \right\}.$$

We still decompose the instantaneous regret as follows

$$\langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} \rangle = \underbrace{\langle f_{t,i} - f'_{t,i}, \hat{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_1} + \underbrace{\langle f'_{t,i} - f, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_2} + \underbrace{\langle f_{t,i} - f'_{t,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_3} + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle.$$

We reanalyze Ξ_1 and Ξ_2 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_1 &\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i}), \\ \Xi_2 &\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, \bar{f}'_{t-1,i}(1)) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, \bar{f}'_{t-1,i}(1)).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\Xi_1 + \Xi_2 + \Xi_3$ can be further bounded as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_1 + \Xi_2 + \Xi_3 &\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t,i}) + [\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, \bar{f}'_{t-1,i}(1)) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t-1,i})] + \\ &\quad [\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, \bar{f}'_{t-1,i}(1))] - [\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f_{t,i}) + \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i})] + \Xi_3. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma A.8.6, we analyze the following term

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_3 &- [\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f'_{t,i}, f_{t,i}) + \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i})] \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \left[\frac{\|\nabla_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{(\mathbb{P}[b_{t,i} = 1])^2} \mathbb{I}_{b_{t,i}=1} - \|\hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} \|f'_{t-1,i} - f'_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 + \langle f'_{t-1,i} - f'_{t,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into the instantaneous regret gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} \rangle &\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i} - f'_{t,i} \rangle + \\ &\quad \frac{\|\bar{f}'_{t-1,i}(1) - f\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 - \|f'_{t-1,i} - f\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{2\lambda_i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \frac{\|\nabla_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{(\mathbb{P}[b_{t,i} = 1])^2} \mathbb{I}_{b_{t,i}=1} - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \|\hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all

Combining the above three cases, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_2 &\leq \sum_{t \in E_{T,i}} [\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t-1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f'_{t,i})] + 4(U + \lambda_i) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{J}_i} \left[\langle \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i}, f'_{t-1,i} - f'_{t,i} \rangle + \frac{2U^2}{\lambda_i} \right] + \\ &\quad \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \sum_{t \in \bar{E}_i \cup \mathcal{J}_i} \left[\frac{\|\nabla_{t,i} - \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{(\mathbb{P}[b_{t,i} = 1])^2} \mathbb{I}_{b_{t,i}=1} - \|\hat{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \right] + \sum_{t \in \bar{E}_i \cup \mathcal{J}_i} \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + 2\lambda_i \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\|f'_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i} \leq U$ and $f \leq U$. Conditioned on $b_{s_r,i}, \dots, b_{t-1,i}$, taking expectation w.r.t. $b_{t,i}$ gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_2] \leq \frac{U^2}{2\lambda_i} + \left(2U + \frac{2U^2}{\lambda_i} \right) \cdot J + \frac{5\lambda_i}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i} + 4(U + \lambda_i) \sqrt{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Let $\lambda_i = \frac{\sqrt{KU}}{2\sqrt{B}}$. Assuming that $B \geq K$, we have $\lambda_i \leq \frac{U}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_2] &= O \left(\frac{U\sqrt{B}}{\sqrt{K}} + \frac{\sqrt{KU}}{\sqrt{B}k_1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i} + U \sqrt{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}} \right) \quad \text{by (A3)} \\ &= O \left(\frac{U\sqrt{B}}{\sqrt{K}} + \frac{\sqrt{KU} \mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} \ln T}{\sqrt{B}k_1} \right), \quad \text{by Lemma A.1.1} \end{aligned}$$

where we omit the lower order term.

A.2.3 Combining \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2

Combining \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 , and taking expectation w.r.t. the randomness of reservoir sampling gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}[\text{Reg}(f)] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) - \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) \right] + \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_2] \\ &\leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sqrt{\max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) \ln K} \right] + \frac{9}{2} \max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \ln K + \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_2] \quad \text{by (A6)} \\ &= \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sqrt{\max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) + \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_2] \right) \ln K} \right] + \frac{9}{2} \max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \ln K + \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_2] \\ &= O \left(\sqrt{\max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot L_T(f) \ln K} + \frac{U\sqrt{B}}{\sqrt{K}} + \frac{\sqrt{KU} \mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} \ln T}{\sqrt{B}k_1} + \max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \ln K \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the Hinge loss function, we have $\max_{t,j} c_{t,j} = 1 + U$. □

A.3 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. For simplicity, denote by

$$\Lambda_i = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{J}_i} \left[\|\bar{f}'_{t-1,i}(1) - f\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 - \|f'_{t-1,i} - f\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \right].$$

There must be a constant $\xi_i \in (0, 4]$ such that $\Lambda_i \leq \xi_i U^2 J$. We will prove a better regret bound if ξ_i is small enough. Recalling that (A3) gives an upper bound on J . If $\xi_i \leq \frac{1}{J}$, then we rewrite (A7) by

$$\mathcal{T}_2 \leq \frac{U^2}{2\lambda_i} + 2UJ + \frac{U^2}{2\lambda_i} + \frac{5\lambda_i}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i} + 4(U + \lambda_i) \sqrt{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}}.$$

Let $\lambda_i = \frac{\sqrt{2}U}{\sqrt{5\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{T,\kappa_i}}}$. Taking expectation w.r.t. the reservoir sampling and using Lemma A.1.1 gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_2] = O\left(\frac{UK}{Bk_1} \mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} \ln T + U \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} \ln T}\right),$$

where we omit the lower order terms. Combining \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\text{Reg}(f)] \\ &= \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sqrt{\max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) + \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_2] \right) \ln K} \right] + \frac{9}{2} \max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \ln K + \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_2] \\ &= O\left(\sqrt{\max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot L_T(f) \ln K} + \frac{UK}{Bk_1} \mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} \ln T + U \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_{T,\kappa_i} \ln T} + \max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \cdot \ln K \right), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

A.4 Proof of Lemma 2

Proof. Recalling the definition of \mathcal{J} and T_r in Section A.1. For any $t \in T_r$, (\mathbf{x}_t, y_t) will be added into S only if $b_t = 1$. At the end of the t_r -th round, we have

$$|S| = \frac{B}{2} \mathbb{I}_{r \neq 1} + \sum_{t=t_{r-1}+1}^{t_r} b_t = B.$$

We remove $\frac{B}{2}$ examples from S at the end of the t_r -th round.

Assuming that there is no budget. For any $t_0 > t_{r-1} + 1$, we will prove an upper bound on $\sum_{t=t_{r-1}+1}^{t_0} b_t$. Define a random variable X_t as follows,

$$X_t = b_t - \mathbb{P}[b_t = 1], \quad |X_t| \leq 1.$$

Under the condition of $b_{t_{r-1}+1}, \dots, b_{t-1}$, we have $\mathbb{E}_{b_t}[X_t] = 0$. Thus $X_{t_{r-1}+1}, \dots, X_{t_0}$ form bounded martingale difference. Let $\hat{L}_{a:b} := \sum_{t=a}^b \ell(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t)$ and $\hat{L}_{1:T} \leq N$. The sum of conditional variances satisfies

$$\Sigma^2 \leq \sum_{t=t_{r-1}+1}^{t_0} \frac{|\ell'(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t)|}{|\ell'(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t)| + G_1} \leq \frac{G_2}{G_1} \hat{L}_{t_{r-1}+1:t_0},$$

where the last inequality comes from Assumption 3. Since $\hat{L}_{t_{r-1}+1:t_0}$ is a random variable, Lemma A.8.3 can give an upper bound on $\sum_{t=t_{r-1}+1}^{t_0} b_t$ with probability at least $1 - 2\lceil \log N \rceil \delta$. Let t_r be the minimal t_0 such that

$$\frac{G_2}{G_1} \hat{L}_{t_{r-1}+1:t_r} + \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + 2\sqrt{\frac{G_2}{G_1} \hat{L}_{t_{r-1}+1:t_r} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} \geq \frac{B}{2} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{r \geq 2} + B \cdot \mathbb{I}_{r=1}.$$

The r -th epoch will end at t_r . Summing over $r \in \{1, \dots, J\}$, with probability at least $1 - 2J\lceil \log N \rceil \delta$,

$$\sum_{r=1}^J \sum_{t=t_{r-1}+1}^{t_r} b_t \leq \sum_{r=1}^J \left(\frac{G_2}{G_1} \hat{L}_{t_{r-1}+1:t_r} + \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + 2\sqrt{\frac{G_2}{G_1} \hat{L}_{t_{r-1}+1:t_r} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} \right),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{B}{2} + \frac{JB}{2} \leq \frac{G_2}{G_1} \hat{L}_{1:T} + \frac{2}{3} J \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + 2\sqrt{J \frac{G_2}{G_1} \hat{L}_{1:T} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}.$$

Solving the above inequality yields,

$$J \leq \frac{2G_2}{G_1} \frac{\hat{L}_{1:T}}{B - \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} + \frac{16G_2}{G_1} \frac{\hat{L}_{1:T}}{(B - \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta})^2} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{(B - \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{G_2}{G_1} \hat{L}_{1:T} \sqrt{\ln \frac{1}{\delta}}.$$

Let $B \geq 21 \ln \frac{1}{\delta}$. Simplifying the above result concludes the proof. \square

A.5 Proof of Theorem 3

Proof. Let $\mathbf{p} \in \Delta_{K-1}$ satisfy $p_i = 1$. By the convexity of loss function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Reg}(f) &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \langle \ell'(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t), f_t(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}_t) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \left\langle \ell'(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t), \sum_{i=1}^K p_{t,i} f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}_t) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \left\langle \ell'(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t), \sum_{i=1}^K p_{t,i} f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \sum_{i=1}^K p_i f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t) + \sum_{i=1}^K p_i f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}_t) \right\rangle \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^T \ell'(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) \sum_{i=1}^K (p_{t,i} - p_i) f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t)}_{\mathcal{T}_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^T \langle \nabla_{t,i}, f_{t,i} - f \rangle}_{\mathcal{T}_2}. \end{aligned}$$

We first analyze \mathcal{T}_1 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1 &= \sum_{t \in T^1} \sum_{i=1}^K (p_{t,i} - p_i) \cdot \ell'(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) \cdot \left(f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \min_{j \in [K]} f_{t,j}(\mathbf{x}_t) \right) + \\ &\quad \sum_{t \in T^2} \sum_{i=1}^K (p_{t,i} - p_i) \cdot \ell'(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) \cdot \left(f_{t,i}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \max_{j \in [K]} f_{t,j}(\mathbf{x}_t) \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \langle \mathbf{p}_t - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{c}_t \rangle \quad \text{by (16)} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \sum_{\tau=1}^T \langle \mathbf{p}_\tau, \mathbf{c}_\tau \rangle \ln K} \quad \text{by (A5)} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\max_{t,j} c_{t,j} \sum_{\tau=1}^T |\ell'(f_\tau(\mathbf{x}_\tau), y_\tau)| \cdot 2U \ln K} \\ &\leq \frac{6}{\sqrt{2}} U \sqrt{G_2 G_1 \hat{L}_{1:T} \ln K}. \quad \text{by Assumption (3)} \end{aligned}$$

Next we analyze \mathcal{T}_2 . We decompose $[T]$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \{t \in [T] : \text{con}(a)\}, \\ \mathcal{J} &= \{t \in [T] : |S| = \alpha \mathcal{R}, b_t = 1\}, \\ \bar{T}_1 &= [T] \setminus (T_1 \cup \mathcal{J}). \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: regret in T_1

We decompose $\langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} \rangle$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} \rangle \\
&= \langle f_{t+1,i} - f, \nabla_{i(s_t),i} \rangle + \langle f_{t+1,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \nabla_{i(s_t),i} \rangle + \langle f_{t,i} - f_{t+1,i}, \nabla_{i(s_t),i} + \nabla_{t,i} - \nabla_{i(s_t),i} \rangle \\
&\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t+1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t+1,i}, f_{t,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f_{t+1,i}, \nabla_{i(s_t),i} \rangle + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \nabla_{i(s_t),i} \rangle \\
&\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t+1,i}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla_{i(s_t),i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \nabla_{i(s_t),i} \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from Lemma A.8.6. Next we analyze the third term.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t \in T_1} \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \nabla_{i(s_t),i} \rangle &\leq 2U \cdot \sum_{t \in T_1} \frac{|\ell'(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t)|}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau \in T_1, \tau \leq t} |\ell'(f_\tau(\mathbf{x}_\tau), y_\tau)|}} \\
&\leq 4U \sqrt{G_2 \hat{L}_{1:T}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: regret in \bar{T}_1

We use a different decomposition as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} \rangle \\
&= \underbrace{\langle f_{t+1,i} - f, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_1} + \underbrace{\langle f_{t+1,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_2} + \underbrace{\langle f_{t,i} - f_{t+1,i}, \nabla_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_3} \\
&\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t+1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t+1,i}, f_{t,i}) + \langle f_{t+1,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \\
&\quad \langle f_{t,i} - f_{t+1,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \langle f_{t,i} - f_{t+1,i}, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle \\
&= \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t+1,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \langle f_{t,i} - f_{t+1,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t+1,i}, f_{t,i}) \\
&\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t+1,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \|\tilde{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 3: regret in \mathcal{J}

We decompose $\langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} \rangle$ into three terms as in **Case 2**. The second mirror updating is

$$f_{t+1,i} = \arg \min_{f \in \mathbb{H}_i} \left\{ \langle f, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, \bar{f}_{t,i}(2)) \right\}.$$

Similar to the analysis of **Case 2**, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_1 &\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t+1,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t+1,i}, \bar{f}_{t,i}(2)) + [\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, \bar{f}_{t,i}(2)) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i})], \\
\Xi_3 &= \langle \bar{f}_{t,i}(2) - f_{t+1,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \langle f_{t,i} - \bar{f}_{t,i}(2), \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \langle f_{t,i} - f_{t+1,i}, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining Ξ_1, Ξ_2 and Ξ_3 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} \rangle \\
&\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t+1,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \\
&\quad \underbrace{\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, \bar{f}_{t,i}(2)) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - \bar{f}_{t,i}(2), \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_4} + \langle \bar{f}_{t,i}(2) - f_{t+1,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t+1,i}, \bar{f}_{t,i}(2)) \quad (\text{A8}) \\
&\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t+1,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \\
&\quad \frac{2U^2}{\lambda_i} + 4UG_1 + \langle \bar{f}_{t,i}(2) - f_{t+1,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t+1,i}, \bar{f}_{t,i}(2)) \quad \text{by Lemma A.8.6} \\
&\leq \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t+1,i}) + \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle + \frac{2U^2}{\lambda_i} + 4UG_1 + \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \|\tilde{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the regret in T_1 , \mathcal{J} and \bar{T}_1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_2 &\leq 4U\sqrt{G_2\hat{L}_{1:T}} + \left(\frac{2U^2}{\lambda_i} + 4UG_1\right)|\mathcal{J}| + \underbrace{\sum_{t \in \bar{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}} \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle}_{\Xi_{2,1}} + \\
&\quad \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^T (\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t,i}) - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, f_{t+1,i})) + \lambda_i \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t \in \bar{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}} \|\tilde{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 + \sum_{t \in T_1} \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_{i(s_t),i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \right)}_{\Xi_{2,2}} \\
&\leq 4U\sqrt{G_2\hat{L}_{1:T}} + \left(\frac{U^2}{2\lambda_i} + 4UG_1\right)|\mathcal{J}| + \Xi_{2,1} + \frac{U^2}{2\lambda_i} + \Xi_{2,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.8.4 gives, with probability at least $1 - \Theta(\lceil \ln T \rceil)\delta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{2,1} &\leq \frac{4}{3}UG_1 \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + 2U\sqrt{2G_2G_1\hat{L}_{1:T} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}, \\
\Xi_{2,2} &\leq G_1G_2\hat{L}_{1:T} + \frac{2}{3}G_1^2 \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + 2\sqrt{G_1^3G_2\hat{L}_{1:T} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda_i = \frac{2U}{\sqrt{BG_1}}$. Using Lemma 2 and combining \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 gives, with probability at least $1 - \Theta(\lceil \ln T \rceil)\delta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Reg}(f) &= \hat{L}_{1:T} - L_T(f) \\
&\leq \mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2 \\
&\leq 10U\sqrt{G_2G_1\hat{L}_{1:T} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} + \frac{UG_1\sqrt{B}}{4} + \frac{6UG_2\hat{L}_{1:T}}{\sqrt{B - \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we omit the constant terms and the lower order terms. Let $\gamma = \frac{6UG_2}{\sqrt{B - \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}}$ and $U \leq \frac{1}{8G_2}\sqrt{B - \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}$.

Then $1 - \gamma \geq \frac{1}{4}$. Solving for $\hat{L}_{1:T}$ concludes the proof.

Finally, we explain why it must be satisfied that $K \leq d$. The space complexity of M-OMD-S is $O(KB + dB + K)$. According to Assumption 1, the coefficient α only depends on d . If $K \leq d$, then the space complexity of M-OMD-S is $O(dB)$. In this case, $B = \Theta(\alpha\mathcal{R})$. If $K > d$, then the space complexity is $O(KB)$. M-OMD-S must allocate the memory resource over K hypotheses. For instance, if $K = d^\nu$, $\nu > 1$, then $B = \Theta(K^{\frac{1-\nu}{\nu}}\alpha\mathcal{R})$. Thus the regret bound will increase a factor of order $O(K^{\frac{\nu-1}{2\nu}})$. \square

A.6 Proof of Theorem 4

Proof. Let $\kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle^p$. The adversary first constructs \mathcal{I}_T . For $1 \leq t \leq 3B$, let $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{e}_t$ where \mathbf{e}_t is the standard basis vector in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $y_t = 1$ if t is odd. Otherwise, $y_t = -1$. For $3B + 1 \leq t \leq T$, let $(\mathbf{x}_t, y_t) \in \{(\mathbf{x}_\tau, y_\tau)\}_{\tau=1}^{3B}$ uniformly.

We construct a competitor as follows,

$$\bar{f}_{\mathbb{H}} = \frac{U}{\sqrt{3B}} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{3B} y_t \kappa(\mathbf{x}_t, \cdot).$$

It is easy to prove

$$\begin{aligned}
L_T(\bar{f}_{\mathbb{H}}) &= T \cdot \ln \left(1 + \exp \left(-\frac{U}{\sqrt{3B}} \right) \right), \\
\|\bar{f}_{\mathbb{H}}\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= U.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bar{f}_{\mathbb{H}} \in \mathbb{H}$.

Let \mathcal{A} be an algorithm storing B examples at most. At the beginning of round t , let

$$f_t = \sum_{i \leq B} a_i^{(t)} \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i^{(t)}, \cdot)$$

be the hypothesis maintained by \mathcal{A} where $\mathbf{x}_i^{(t)} \in \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}\}$. Besides, it must be satisfied that

$$\|f_t\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i \leq B} |a_i^{(t)}|^2} \leq U. \quad (\text{A9})$$

It is easy to obtain $\sum_{t=1}^{3B} \ell(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t) = 3 \ln(2)B$. For any $t \geq 3B + 1$, the expected per-round loss is

$$\mathbb{E}[\ell(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t)] = \frac{2}{3} \ln(2) + \frac{1}{3B} \sum_{i \leq B} \ln(1 + \exp(-|a_i^{(t)}|)).$$

Note that $|a_1^{(t)}|, \dots, |a_B^{(t)}|$ must satisfy (A9). By the Lagrangian multiplier method, the minimum is obtained at $|a_i^{(t)}| = \frac{U}{\sqrt{B}}$ for all i . Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\ell(f_t(\mathbf{x}_t), y_t)] \geq \frac{2}{3} \ln(2) + \frac{\ln(1 + \exp(-\frac{U}{\sqrt{B}}))}{3}.$$

It can be verified that

$$\forall 0 < x \leq 0.2, \quad \ln(1 + \exp(-x)) \leq \ln(2) - 0.45x.$$

Let $B < T$ and $U \leq \frac{1}{5}\sqrt{3B}$. The expected regret w.r.t. $\bar{f}_{\mathbb{H}}$ is lower bounded as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\text{Reg}(\bar{f}_{\mathbb{H}})] &\geq 3 \ln(2)B + (T - 3B) \cdot \frac{\ln(1 + \exp(-\frac{U}{\sqrt{B}}))}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \ln(2) \cdot (T - 3B) - T \cdot \ln\left(1 + \exp\left(-\frac{U}{\sqrt{3B}}\right)\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{3}T + B\right) \cdot \left(\ln(2) - \ln\left(1 + \exp\left(-\frac{U}{\sqrt{3B}}\right)\right)\right) + \frac{1}{3}(T - 3B) \ln \frac{1 + \exp(-\frac{U}{\sqrt{B}})}{1 + \exp(-\frac{U}{\sqrt{3B}})} \\ &\geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{10} \cdot \frac{UT}{\sqrt{B}} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} - 1\right) \cdot \frac{UT}{\sqrt{B}}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that $L_T(\bar{f}_{\mathbb{H}}) = \Theta(T)$. Replacing T with $\Theta(L_T(\bar{f}_{\mathbb{H}}))$ concludes the proof. \square

A.7 Proof of Theorem 5

Proof. There is a $\xi_i \in (0, 4]$ such that

$$\Lambda_i = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \left[\|\bar{f}_{t,i}(2) - f\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 - \|f_{t,i} - f\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \right] \leq \xi_i U^2 |\mathcal{J}|.$$

We can rewrite Ξ_4 in (A8) as follow,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \Xi_4 \leq \left(\frac{\xi_i U^2}{2\lambda_i} + 4UG_1 \right) \cdot |\mathcal{J}|.$$

If $\xi_i \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{J}|}$, then $\frac{\xi_i U^2}{2\lambda_i} \cdot |\mathcal{J}| \leq \frac{U^2}{2\lambda_i}$. Let $\lambda_i = \frac{U}{\sqrt{G_1 G_2 \hat{L}_{1:T}}}$. In this way, we obtain a new upper bound on \mathcal{T}_2 . Combining \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 gives

$$\hat{L}_{1:T} - L_T(f) \leq 12U \sqrt{G_2 G_1 \hat{L}_{1:T} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} + \frac{16UG_2 \hat{L}_{1:T}}{B - \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} + 4UG_1 \ln \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

Let $\gamma = 16UG_2(B - \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta})^{-1}$ and $U < \frac{B - \frac{4}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{32G_2}$. We have $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Solving for $\hat{L}_{1:T}$ concludes the proof. \square

A.8 Auxiliary Lemmas

Lemma A.8.1 ([A3]). $\forall t > M$ and $\forall i \in [K]$, $\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{t,i} - \mu_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2] \leq \frac{1}{t|V|} \mathcal{A}_{t,\kappa_i}$.

Lemma A.8.2. Let η_t follow (10) and \mathbf{p}_1 be the uniform distribution. Then

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{\eta_t}{2} p_{t,i} c_{t,i}^2 \leq \sqrt{2 \ln K} \sqrt{\sum_{\tau=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^K p_{\tau,i} c_{\tau,i}^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2 \ln K}}{2} \max_{t,i} c_{t,i}.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma_\tau = \sum_{i=1}^K p_{\tau,i} c_{\tau,i}^2$ and $\sigma_0 = 1$. We decompose the term as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{\ln K}}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\sigma_t}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}} &= \frac{\sqrt{\ln K}}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\sigma_t}{\sqrt{\sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\ln K}}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left[\sigma_1 + \sum_{t=2}^T \frac{\sigma_{t-1}}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}} + \sum_{t=2}^T \frac{\sigma_t - \sigma_{t-1}}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We analyze the third term.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=2}^T \frac{\sigma_t - \sigma_{t-1}}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}} &= \frac{-\sigma_1}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_1}} + \frac{\sigma_T}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{T-1} \sigma_\tau}} + \sum_{t=2}^{T-1} \sigma_t \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^t \sigma_\tau}} \right] \\ &\leq -\frac{\sigma_1}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_1}} + \max_{t=1, \dots, T} \sigma_t \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we analyze the second term.

$$\sum_{t=2}^T \frac{\sigma_{t-1}}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}} = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_1}} + \sum_{t=3}^T \frac{\sigma_{t-1}}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}}.$$

For any $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, we have $2\sqrt{a}\sqrt{b} \leq a + b$. Let $a = 1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau$ and $b = 1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-2} \sigma_\tau$. Then we have

$$2\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau} \cdot \sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-2} \sigma_\tau} \leq 2 \left(1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau \right) - \sigma_{t-1}.$$

Dividing by \sqrt{a} and rearranging terms yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_{t-1}}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}} \leq \sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau} - \sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-2} \sigma_\tau}.$$

Summing over $t = 3, \dots, T$, we obtain

$$\sum_{t=3}^T \frac{\sigma_{t-1}}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}} \leq 2\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{T-1} \sigma_\tau} - 2\sqrt{1 + \sigma_1}.$$

Summing over all results, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\sigma_t}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \sigma_\tau}} &\leq 2\sqrt{1 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{T-1} \sigma_\tau} - 2\sqrt{1 + \sigma_1} + \max_t \sigma_t \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_1}} + \sigma_1 \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{\sum_{\tau=1}^T \sigma_\tau} + \max_t \sigma_t, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma A.8.3 (Improved Bernstein's inequality [A4]). *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a bounded martingale difference sequence w.r.t. the filtration $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ and with $|X_k| \leq a$. Let $Z_t = \sum_{k=1}^t X_k$ be the associated martingale. Denote the sum of the conditional variances by*

$$\Sigma_n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_k^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \leq v,$$

where $v \in [0, V]$ is a random variable and $V \geq 2$ is a constant. Then for all constants $a > 0$, with probability at least $1 - 2\lceil \log V \rceil \delta$,

$$\max_{t=1, \dots, n} Z_t < \frac{2a}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{V} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} + 2\sqrt{v \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}.$$

Lemma A.8.4. *With probability at least $1 - \Theta(\lceil \ln T \rceil) \delta$,*

$$\sum_{t \in \bar{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}} \frac{1}{(\mathbb{P}[b_t = 1])^2} \|\nabla_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \cdot \mathbb{I}_{b_t=1} \leq \sum_{t \in \bar{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}} \frac{\|\nabla_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{\mathbb{P}[b_t = 1]} + \frac{4G_1^2}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + 4\sqrt{G_1^3 G_2 \hat{L}_{1:T} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}.$$

Proof. Define a random variable X_t by

$$X_t = \frac{\|\nabla_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{(\mathbb{P}[b_t = 1])^2} \mathbb{I}_{b_t=1} - \frac{\|\nabla_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{\mathbb{P}[b_t = 1]}, \quad |X_t| \leq 2G_1^2.$$

$\{X_t\}_{t \in \bar{T}_1}$ forms bounded martingale difference w.r.t. $\{b_\tau\}_{\tau=1}^{t-1}$. The sum of conditional variances is

$$\Sigma^2 \leq \sum_{t \in \bar{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}[X_t^2] \leq 4G_1^3 G_2 \hat{L}_{1:T}.$$

Using Lemma A.8.3 concludes the proof. \square

Lemma A.8.5. *Let $\Delta_t = \langle f_{t,i} - f, \nabla_{t,i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle$. With probability at least $1 - \Theta(\lceil \ln T \rceil) \delta$,*

$$\sum_{t \in \bar{T}_1} \Delta_t \leq \frac{4UG_1}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + 2U\sqrt{2G_2 G_1 \hat{L}_{1:T} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma A.8.4. \square

Lemma A.8.6. *For each $i \in [K]$, let $\psi_i(f) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2$. Then $\mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f, g) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} \|f - g\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2$ and the solutions of (4) and (5) are as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{t,i} &= f'_{t-1,i} - \lambda_i \hat{\nabla}_{t,i}, \\ f'_{t,i} &= \min \left\{ 1, \frac{U}{\|f'_{t-1,i} - \lambda_i \nabla_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}} \right\} \cdot (f'_{t-1,i} - \lambda_i \nabla_{t,i}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain the solution of (14)

$$f_{t+1,i} = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{U}{\|f_{t,i} - \lambda_i \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}} \right\} \cdot (f_{t,i} - \lambda_i \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i}).$$

Besides,

$$\langle f_{t,i} - f_{t+1,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t+1,i}, f_{t,i}) \leq \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \|\tilde{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2.$$

Proof. We can solve (4) and (5) by Lagrangian multiplier method. Next we prove the last inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{t,i} - f_{t+1,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle - \mathcal{B}_{\psi_i}(f_{t+1,i}, f_{t,i}) &= \langle f_{t,i} - f_{t+1,i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t,i} \rangle - \frac{\|f_{t+1,i} - f_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2}{2\lambda_i} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \|\tilde{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} \|f_{t+1,i} - f_{t,i} - \lambda_i \hat{\nabla}_i\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \|\tilde{\nabla}_{t,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

References

- [A1] S. Bubeck and N. Cesa-Bianchi, “Regret analysis of stochastic and nonstochastic multi-armed bandit problems,” *Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–122, 2012.
- [A2] C. Chiang, T. Yang, C. Lee, M. Mahdavi, C. Lu, R. Jin, and S. Zhu, “Online optimization with gradual variations,” in *Proceedings of the 25th Annual Conference on Learning Theory*, 2012, pp. 6.1–6.20.
- [A3] E. Hazan and S. Kale, “Better algorithms for benign bandits,” in *Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, 2009, pp. 38–47.
- [A4] J. Li, Z. Xu, Z. Wu, and I. King, “On the necessity of collaboration in online model selection with decentralized data,” *CoRR*, vol. arXiv:2404.09494v3, Apr 2024, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.09494v3>.