

Grid-Proof Programming Models



Jason Maassen jason@cs.vu.nl



Before lunch ...

- We looked at how Ibis makes Grids user friendly:
 - JavaGAT provides an easy-to-use API for the various flavours of Grid middleware
 - Zorilla provides a configuration free alternative to existing Grid middleware
 - SmartSockets provides an easy-to-use library that solves connectivity problems





Remaining problems

- However, grids are still:
 - Heterogeneous: many differences in hardware, performance, OS, libraries, etc.
 - Faulty: machines may be claimed by others, lose contact, or simply crash
 - Malleable: the set of available machines varies constantly
- Therefore we need ways to make applications Grid Proof





Grid Proof

Heterogeneity:

- Solved by using modern languages that run in a managed execution environment:
 - Safer and more portable
 - Easy to deploy and less dependencies
 - no compilation on grid sites
 - no libraries, headers, scripts, compilers, build tools...
- Java, Python, Fortress, C#, ...
 - Language level virtualization
 - Alternative: system level virtualization





Grid Proof -- cont'd

Fault tolerance:

- We cannot prevent machines crashing
- However, we can provide mechanisms to detects crashes ...
- .. and use those to implement faulttolerant programming models!


Malleability:

- Cleanly adding / removing machines
- Handled by same mechanism





Overview







Ibis Portability Layer (IPL)

- Simple API for Grid Communication
 - Flexible communication model
 - connection oriented messaging
 - abstract addressing scheme
 - Malleability/Fault Tolerance
 - notifications when machines join/leave
 - open & closed world (not just SMPD)
 - Serialization
 - send bytes, doubles, objects, etc.





IPL

- Clean & abstract API
- Designed with Grids in mind
 - Hides network specific details
 - hostnames, IP addresses, MPI ranks, etc
 - Uses IbisIdentifier instead
 - Results in more portable applications
- Implemented on top of TCP, MPI, MX...






IbisIdentifiers

- IbisIdentifier:
 - Abstract 'machine address' object
 - Uniquely identifies an IPL instance
- Why don't we use ranks?
 - Complicates malleability
 - what happens to the ranks when machines leave or crash?
 - Ranks can be implemented using IbisIdentifiers!






IPL and Friends







Example







Communication

- Simple communication model
- Unidirectional pipes
- Two end points
- Connection oriented
 - allows streaming (good with high latency)








Send & receive ports

Can be connected in arbitrary ways








Send & receive ports

- Simplicity may cause some additional administration...
 - Example: need two pairs for RPC / RMI








Port Types

- All ports have a type
 - Defined at runtime
 - Specify set of capabilities
- Types must match when connecting!







Port Types

- Forces programmer to specify how each communication channel is used
 - Prevents bugs
 - Exception when contract is breached
 - Allows efficient implementation to be selected
 - Unicast only ?
 - Bytes only ?
 - Can save a lot complexity!





Port Types

- Consists of a set of capabilities:
 - Connection patterns
 - Unicast, many-to-one, one-to-many, many-tomany.
 - Communication properties:
 - Fifo ordering, numbering, reliability.
 - Serialization properties:
 - bytes, data, object
 - Message delivery:
 - · Explicit receipt, automatic upcalls, polling





Ports communicate using 'messages'

- Contain read or write methods for
 - Primitive types (byte, int, ...)
 - Object
 - Arrays slices (partial write / read in place)
- Unlimited message size







Get WriteMessage from SendPort



vrije Universiteit



Write data into WriteMessage








Finish the WriteMessage






Data is send to ReceivePort









- ReceivePort produces ReadMessage
 - Explicit receive or callback (upcall)





Read data from ReadMessage








Finish the ReadMessage








· Done!





Messages or streams?

- Message size is unlimited
 - Data may be forwarded at any time
 - Both S. & R. messages alive at same time
 - There's streaming!



Ibis Serialization

- Based on bytecode-rewriting
 - Adds serialization and deserialization code to serializable types
 - Prevents reflection overhead during (de-)serialization
 - Has fallback mechanism for non-rewritten classes
- Experimented with runtime rewriting






Fault-Tolerance and Malleability

- IPL can provide callback mechanism
 - notifies the application when a machine joins, leaves or crashes
- Implemented using a registry
 - server that manages who participates in the application run
- Example shows a centralized version
 - also have broadcast tree and gossiping implementations (improve scalability)































































































Registry

- Many implementations
 - centralized, broadcast, gossiping, etc.
 - different tradeoffs in complexity, robustness and consistency
- You can select the functionality and consistency that is needed
 - reducing functionality or consistency further improves scalability





Elections

- IPL also offers an 'election'
 - Allows a group to determine who's special
 - Ranks don't work in a malleable grid!
- Each election
 - Has a name (String)
 - Produces IbisIdentifier of the winner
 - Is not democratic
 - You can also be 'an observer'





Summary

- IPL offers an abstract model
 - Connection oriented message passing
 - Hides network details (for portability)
- Supports fault tolerance / malleability
 - No system-level fault tolerance!
 - Only offers the means to implement fault tolerance in application / runtime system!
- Higher level models (Satin) can offer transparent fault tolerance










D-Grid


- Groot, GAT gebruikt bij
 - Max Planck inst. (Munchen)
 - Workflow (ProC)
 - Astronomen simulaties in workflow
 - GUI op GAT
 - Henri weet meer ?
 - Potsdam (Berlijn, Alexander)
 - contactpers. / PR.
























vrije Universiteit












ibis-34fdw21


connect([ibis-34fdw21], "server")

(How do you get this? Explained later!)



































Short Recap

- First create PortType
- PortType creates Send & ReceivePort
 - Type is checked when connecting
- Several ways to connect
 - Abstact addressing
- Use Messages to communicate
 - Allows streaming
 - 3/4 types of serialization





Remember this question?



ibis

IbisIdentifiers

- In a parallel/distributed application
 - Each process has an IPL instance
 - Each instance has an IbisIdentifier
- IbisIdentifier:
 - Uniquely identifies an IPL instance
 - Abstracts away from the implementation
 - e.g. hostnames, IP addresses, MPI-ranks, etc.
 - Makes your application a bit more portable





Crashes and Malleability

- Membership information
 - Can subscribe to information
 - Updates when Ibis instances join or leave
 - Useful for determining who's participating
 - Also used for fault-tolerance
- Ibis instances are part of a pool
 - Either variable size or fixed (create-once)
 - Fixed used by 'legacy' MPI-type applications



