

2nd basic algebra

2022年4月9日 星期六 下午1:23

Fundamental theorem of arithmetic

①

Chinese remainder theorem

②

Euler φ function. ✗ next class.

③

Contents.

Lemma. Within \mathbb{Z} , if p is a prime and p divides a product ab .

Euclid's lemma then p divides a or p divides b .

proof: suppose p does not divide a , since p is prime.

$$\text{GCD}(a, p) = 1$$

Taking $m=a$, $n=b$ and $c=p$, from previous corollary.
 $\frac{p}{p}$ divides b . □

FToA. Each positive integer n can be written as a product of primes, $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$. with the integer 1 being written as an empty product. $15 = 3 \times 5$ ~~$\times 1$~~ (1) existence

This factorization is unique in the following sense:

$$15 = 5 \times 3 = \cancel{1} \times \cancel{5} \times \cancel{3}$$

if $n = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$ is another such factorization, then $r=s$, and after some reordering of the factors - $q_j = p_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$

(2) uniqueness.

proof: (1) induct on n . $n=1$ ✓

$$\underline{k=1 \Rightarrow k=n-1.} \quad \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} \text{ } n \text{ is prime } n=n \text{ } \checkmark \\ \textcircled{2} \text{ } n \text{ is not prime } n=ab. \quad a>1, b>1. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} a \leq n-1 \\ b \leq n-1 \end{array}$$

a, b have factorizations into primes.

\rightarrow a, b have factorizations into primes.

a · b

Putting them together yields a factorization into primes for $n = ab$.

(2). suppose $n = \underbrace{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r}_{r \leq 3} = \underbrace{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s}$ with all factors prime.

By induction. $r=0$ trivial.

$r=1$ following from the definition of prime. $n = p_1 = q_1$

Inductively from Euclid's lemma, we have $\cancel{p_r \mid q_k}$ for some k .

Since q_k is prime. $\cancel{p_r \mid q_k}$.

Thus we cancel and obtain $p_1 \cdots p_{r-1} \downarrow = q_1 q_2 \cdots \hat{q}_k \cdots q_s$.

By induction, the factors on the two sides here are the same.
except for order.

$p_1 \cdots p_r = q_1 \cdots q_s$.

prime factorization of n .

□.

$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$ with primes p_j distinct.

we dropped $p_j^{k_j}$ if $k_j = 0$. this kind of decomposition is unique up to order.

Corollary: If $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$ is a prime factorization of a positive integer n then the positive divisors d of n are exactly all products $d = \underline{p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_r^{l_r}}$ with $0 \leq l_j \leq k_j$. $\forall j$.

$$24 = 2^3 \cdot 3^1 \quad \text{for all.}$$

$$3 = 3^1 = 2^0 \cdot 3^1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_1 = 2 \quad p_2 = 3 \quad 0 \leq l_j \leq k_j. \quad \forall j$$

$$8 = 2^3 \cdot 3^0 \quad l_1 = 3 \quad l_2 = 0$$

$$4 \quad l_1 = 2 \quad l_2 = 0$$

6
proof. Certainly all such product divides n . $p_1^{k_1-l_1} p_2^{k_2-l_2} \dots p_r^{k_r-l_r}$

If d divides n , $n = dx$ for some positive integer x .

$$d = d_1 \dots d_r \\ x = q_1 \dots q_s$$

$n = d_1 \dots d_r q_1 \dots q_s$ $\textcircled{*}$ $= p_1^{k_1} \dots p_r^{k_r}$
unique. only prime can occur in $\textcircled{*}$ are $p_1 \dots p_n$.
the sum of the exponents of p_j in $\textcircled{*}$ is k_j .

therefore. $d = p_1^{l_1} \dots p_r^{l_r}$. $0 \leq l_j \leq k_j$

$$m = \frac{p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_r^{k_r}}{p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \dots p_r^{d_r}} q_1^{y_1} \dots q_s^{y_s} x_1^{z_1} \dots x_t^{z_t} \quad \text{GCD}(m, n)$$

$$n = p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \dots p_r^{d_r} x_1^{y_1} \dots x_t^{y_t} q_1^{x_1} \dots$$

$$p_1^{\min(d_1, k_1)} p_2^{\min(k_2, d_2)} \dots p_r^{\min(k_r, d_r)}$$

$$24 = 2^3 \cdot 3^1$$

$$x_1^{\min(0, y_1)} = 1$$

$$30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 2^1 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^1$$

$$\underline{2^1 3^1} = 6 = \text{GCD}(24, 30)$$

Corollary, If two positive integers a and b have expansions as products of power of r distinct prime given by

$$a = p_1^{k_1} \dots p_r^{k_r} \text{ and } b = p_1^{l_1} \dots p_r^{l_r} \text{ then}$$

$$\text{GCD}(a, b) = p_1^{\min(k_1, l_1)} \dots p_r^{\min(k_r, l_r)}$$

proof. Let $d' = p_1^{\min(k_1, l_1)} \dots p_r^{\min(k_r, l_r)}$ \Leftarrow

d' is positive \checkmark

d' divides a and b . \checkmark

From previous corollary: the GCD of a and b is a number d of the form $p_1^{m_1} \dots p_r^{m_r}$ with the property that $m_j \leq k_j$ and

of the form $p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ with the property that $m_j \leq k_j$ and $m_j \leq l_j$ for all j . $\Rightarrow \frac{m_j}{d} \leq \frac{k_j}{d'} \cdot \frac{l_j}{d}$ $\forall j$. $\frac{d}{d'} \leq \frac{d}{d'}$

since any positive divisor of a and b is $\leq d$. $\frac{d'}{d} \leq 1$.

$$d = d'$$

$$\frac{GCD}{\square}$$

Two nonzero integers a and b are said to be relatively prime if $GCD(a, b) = 1$.

a and b are relatively prime if and only if there is no prime p that divides both a and b .

Corollary: Let a and b be positive relatively prime integers.

Chinese Remainder Theorem.

To each pair (r, s) of integers with $0 \leq r < a$, $0 \leq s < b$ corresponds a unique integer n , such that $0 \leq n < ab$, a divides $n-r$, b divides $n-s$.

Moreover, every integer n with $0 \leq n < ab$ arises from some such pair (r, s) .

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 a=3 & (r, s). \quad 0 \leq r < 3 \\
 b=5 & 0 \leq s < 5. \\
 & \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \underline{12}, \underline{4} \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} 3 \mid n-2 \\ 5 \mid n-4 \end{array} \quad 0 \leq n < 15 \\
 & (1, 2) \quad \begin{array}{l} n=14. \\ 3 \mid n-1 \end{array} \quad \Delta
 \end{array}$$

Remark.

If $GCD(a, b) = 1$, then the congruences $n \equiv r \pmod{a}$ and $n \equiv s \pmod{b}$ have one and only one simultaneous solution n with $0 \leq n < ab$.

Proof. ① n . exists.

a, b are relatively prime. $\Rightarrow ax' - by' = 1$ x', y' integers.

multiplying $s-r$. $(ax' - by')(s-r) = s-r$

$$ax's - by's - ax'r + by'r = s-r$$

$$a(x's - x'r) - b(y's - y'r) = s-r$$

$$ax - by = s-r \text{ for suitable integers } x, y.$$

put. $t = ax + \underline{r} = \underline{\Delta} by + s$

division algorithm, $t = \underline{abq} + \underline{n}$ ($0 \leq n < ab$) for suitable q.

$$n-r \stackrel{\oplus}{=} t - abq - r \stackrel{(t, ab) \Rightarrow (a, b)}{=} ax - abq = a(x - bq)$$

is divisible by a.

similarly $n-s$ is divisible by b.

② suppose n and n' both have the asserted properties.

a divides $n-n' = (n-r) - (n'-r)$.

b divides $n-n' = (n-s) - (n'-s)$

a, b are relatively prime.

From corollary 1.4. ab divides $n-n'$. $(n-n')$ $< ab$.

and the only integer $|N|$ with $|N| < ab$ that is divisible by ab is $N=0$

$$n-n' = 0 \Rightarrow n=n'.$$

$(r,s) \quad 0 \leq r < a \quad 0 \leq s < b \Rightarrow ab$ pairs. $\xrightarrow{\text{one to one function}} 0 \leq n < ab$

□

23. April.
4 pm.

4 pm.