PCRS

Quests

Code Editor ▼

Logged in as zhan4662



Prep 2 - Part 1 of 3

Multiple Choice Question



What implications would arise if we made {mID, aID} the key in relation Roles instead of {mID, aID, character}?

- ☑ That would disallow a movie from having the same actor in two different roles.
- ☐ That would allow a movie to have the same actor in two different roles.
- ☐ That would require a movie to have the same actor in two different roles.
- We can't do that because mID and aID are already keys in other relations.

History

Submit

✓ Your solution is complete.

Submitted after the deadline!

Multiple Choice Question



Consider these changes to the instance of Roles given in the handout. Which would violate the constraint implied by its key (i.e. only by its key, not by any foreign key constraints)? Check all that apply.

- ☐ Adding the tuple <1, 1, "Michael Corleone">.
- ☐ Removing the tuple <6, 3, "The Tin Man">.
- ✓ Adding the tuple <5, 2, "Han Solo">.
- ☐ Removing the tuple <5, 2, "Han Solo">.
- Adding the tuple <8, 4, "The Scarecrow">.

PCRS 2019/9/6 上午10:33

None of the above.	
History	Submit
✓ Your solution is complete.	
Submitted after the deadline!	
Iultiple Choice Qu	uestion 🗸
oreign key constraint always	has the form
I[X] ⊆ R2[Y],	
A foreign key constraint res	
History	Submit
✓ Your solution is complete.	
Submitted after the deadline!	
Iultiple Choice Qu	uestion 🗸
•	s does the foreign key constraint
Two roles in one movie play	yed by the same actor.
A role in a movie played by	two different actors.
A role in a movie that does	n't exist in the Movies table.

PCRS 2019/9/6 上午10:33

