Resolution Exercise Solutions

- **2.** Consider the following axioms:
 - 1. Every child loves Santa. $\forall x (CHILD(x) \rightarrow LOVES(x,Santa))$
 - 2. Everyone who loves Santa loves any reindeer. $\forall x (LOVES(x,Santa) \rightarrow \forall y (REINDEER(y) \rightarrow LOVES(x,y)))$
 - 3. Rudolph is a reindeer, and Rudolph has a red nose. REINDEER(Rudolph) \(\Lambda \) REDNOSE(Rudolph)
 - 4. Anything which has a red nose is weird or is a clown. $\forall x (REDNOSE(x) \rightarrow WEIRD(x) \lor CLOWN(x))$
 - 5. No reindeer is a clown. $\neg \exists x (REINDEER(x) \land CLOWN(x))$
 - 6. Scrooge does not love anything which is weird. $\forall x (WEIRD(x) \rightarrow \neg LOVES(Scrooge,x))$
 - 7. (Conclusion) Scrooge is not a child. ¬ *CHILD*(*Scrooge*)
- **3.** Consider the following axioms:
 - 1. Anyone who buys carrots by the bushel owns either a rabbit or a grocery store. $\forall x (BUY(x) \rightarrow \exists y (OWNS(x,y) \land (RABBIT(y) \lor GROCERY(y))))$
 - 2. Every dog chases some rabbit. $\forall x (DOG(x) \rightarrow \exists y (RABBIT(y) \land CHASE(x,y)))$
 - 3. Mary buys carrots by the bushel. *BUY(Mary)*
 - 4. Anyone who owns a rabbit hates anything that chases any rabbit. $\forall x \ \forall y \ (OWNS(x,y) \land RABBIT(y) \rightarrow \forall z \ \forall w \ (RABBIT(w) \land CHASE(z,w) \rightarrow HATES(x,z)))$
 - 5. John owns a dog. $\exists x (DOG(x) \land OWNS(John,x))$
 - 6. Someone who hates something owned by another person will not date that person. $\forall x \ \forall y \ \forall z \ (OWNS(y,z) \land HATES(x,z) \rightarrow \neg DATE(x,y))$
 - 7. (Conclusion) If Mary does not own a grocery store, she will not date John. $((\neg \exists x (GROCERY(x) \land OWN(Mary,x))) \rightarrow \neg DATE(Mary,John))$

4. Consider the following axioms:

1. Every Austinite who is not conservative loves some armadillo. $\forall x (AUSTINITE(x) \land \neg CONSERVATIVE(x) \rightarrow \exists y (ARMADILLO(y) \land LOVES(x,y)))$

2. Anyone who wears maroon-and-white shirts is an Aggie.

```
\forall x (WEARS(x) \rightarrow AGGIE(x))
```

3. Every Aggie loves every dog.

```
\forall x (AGGIE(x) \rightarrow \forall y (DOG(y) \rightarrow LOVES(x,y)))
```

4. Nobody who loves every dog loves any armadillo.

```
\neg \exists x ((\forall y (DOG(y) \rightarrow LOVES(x,y))) \land \exists z (ARMADILLO(z) \land LOVES(x,z)))
```

5. Clem is an Austinite, and Clem wears maroon-and-white shirts.

```
AUSTINITE(Clem) \( \text{WEARS(Clem)} \)
```

6. (Conclusion) Is there a conservative Austinite?

```
\exists x (AUSTINITE(x) \land CONSERVATIVE(x))
```

```
( ( (not (Austinite x)) (Conservative x) (Armadillo (f x)) )
( (not (Austinite x)) (Conservative x) (Loves x (f x)) )
( (not (Wears x)) (Aggie x) )
( (not (Aggie x)) (not (Dog y)) (Loves x y) )
( (Dog (g x)) (not (Armadillo z)) (not (Loves x z)) )
( (not (Loves x (g x))) (not (Armadillo z)) (not (Loves x z)) )
( (Austinite (Clem)) )
( (Wears (Clem)) )
( (not (Conservative x)) (not (Austinite x)) )
```

5. Consider the following axioms:

1. Anyone whom Mary loves is a football star.

```
\forall x (LOVES(Mary,x) \rightarrow STAR(x))
```

2. Any student who does not pass does not play.

```
\forall x (STUDENT(x) \land \neg PASS(x) \rightarrow \neg PLAY(x))
```

3. John is a student.

```
STUDENT(John)
```

4. Any student who does not study does not pass.

```
\forall x (STUDENT(x) \land \neg STUDY(x) \rightarrow \neg PASS(x))
```

5. Anyone who does not play is not a football star.

```
\forall x (\neg PLAY(x) \rightarrow \neg STAR(x))
```

6. (Conclusion) If John does not study, then Mary does not love John.

```
\neg STUDY(John) \rightarrow \neg LOVES(Mary,John)
```

6. Consider the following axioms:

1. Every coyote chases some roadrunner.

```
\forall x (COYOTE(x) \rightarrow \exists y (RR(y) \land CHASE(x,y)))
```

2. Every roadrunner who says `beep-beep' is smart.

```
\forall x (RR(x) \land BEEP(x) \rightarrow SMART(x))
```

3. No coyote catches any smart roadrunner.

```
\neg \exists x \exists y (COYOTE(x) \land RR(y) \land SMART(y) \land CATCH(x,y))
```

4. Any coyote who chases some roadrunner but does not catch it is frustrated.

```
\forall x (COYOTE(x) \land \exists y (RR(y) \land CHASE(x,y) \land \neg CATCH(x,y)) \rightarrow FRUSTRATED(x))
```

5. (Conclusion) If all roadrunners say "beep-beep", then all coyotes are frustrated.

```
(\forall x (RR(x) \rightarrow BEEP(x)) \rightarrow (\forall y (COYOTE(y) \rightarrow FRUSTRATED(y)))
```

- 7. Consider the following axioms:
 - 1. Anyone who rides any Harley is a rough character.

```
\forall x ((\exists y (HARLEY(y) \land RIDES(x,y))) \rightarrow ROUGH(x))
```

2. Every biker rides [something that is] either a Harley or a BMW.

```
\forall x (BIKER(x) \rightarrow \exists y ((HARLEY(y) \lor BMW(y)) \land RIDES(x,y)))
```

3. Anyone who rides any BMW is a yuppie.

```
\forall x \ \forall y \ (RIDES(x,y) \ \land BMW(y) \rightarrow YUPPIE(x))
```

4. Every yuppie is a lawyer.

$$\forall x (YUPPIE(x) \rightarrow LAWYER(x))$$

5. Any nice girl does not date anyone who is a rough character.

```
\forall x \ \forall y \ (NICE(x) \land ROUGH(y) \rightarrow \neg DATE(x,y))
```

6. Mary is a nice girl, and John is a biker.

```
NICE(Mary) A BIKER(John)
```

7. (Conclusion) If John is not a lawyer, then Mary does not date John.

```
\neg LAWYER(John) \rightarrow \neg DATE(Mary,John)
```

8. Consider the following axioms:

- 1. Every child loves anyone who gives the child any present. $\forall x \forall y \forall z (CHILD(x) \land PRESENT(y) \land GIVE(z,y,x) \rightarrow LOVES(x,z)$
- 2. Every child will be given some present by Santa if Santa can travel on Christmas eve. $TRAVEL(Santa, Christmas) \rightarrow \forall x (CHILD(x) \rightarrow \exists y (PRESENT(y) \land GIVE(Santa, y, x)))$
- 3. It is foggy on Christmas eve. *FOGGY(Christmas)*
- 4. Anytime it is foggy, anyone can travel if he has some source of light. $\forall x \ \forall t \ (FOGGY(t) \rightarrow (\exists y \ (LIGHT(y) \land HAS(x,y)) \rightarrow TRAVEL(x,t)))$
- 5. Any reindeer with a red nose is a source of light. $\forall x (RNR(x) \rightarrow LIGHT(x))$
- 6. (Conclusion) If Santa has some reindeer with a red nose, then every child loves Santa. $(\exists x (RNR(x) \land HAS(Santa,x))) \rightarrow \forall y (CHILD(y) \rightarrow LOVES(y,Santa))$

9. Consider the following axioms:

- 1. Every investor bought [something that is] stocks or bonds. $\forall x (INVESTOR(x) \rightarrow \exists y ((STOCK(y) \lor BOND(y)) \land BUY(x,y)))$
- 2. If the Dow-Jones Average crashes, then all stocks that are not gold stocks fall. $DJCRASH \rightarrow \forall x ((STOCK(x) \land \neg GOLD(x)) \rightarrow FALL(x))$
- 3. If the T-Bill interest rate rises, then all bonds fall. $TBRISE \rightarrow \forall x (BOND(x) \rightarrow FALL(x))$
- 4. Every investor who bought something that falls is not happy. $\forall x \ \forall y \ (INVESTOR(x) \ \land BUY(x,y) \ \land FALL(y) \ \& rarrm; \ \neg HAPPY(x))$
- (Conclusion) If the Dow-Jones Average crashes and the T-Bill interest rate rises, then any investor who is happy bought some gold stock.
 (DJCRASH ∧ TBRISE) → ∀x (INVESTOR(x) ∧ HAPPY(x) → ∃y (GOLD(y) ∧ BUY(x,y)))

10. Consider the following axioms:

- 1. Every child loves every candy. $\forall x \ \forall y \ (CHILD(x) \land CANDY(y) \rightarrow LOVES(x,y))$
- 2. Anyone who loves some candy is not a nutrition fanatic. $\forall x ((\exists y (CANDY(y) \land LOVES(x,y))) \rightarrow \neg FANATIC(x))$
- 3. Anyone who eats any pumpkin is a nutrition fanatic. $\forall x ((\exists y (PUMPKIN(y) \land EAT(x,y))) \rightarrow FANATIC(x))$

- 4. Anyone who buys any pumpkin either carves it or eats it. $\forall x \ \forall y \ (PUMPKIN(y) \land BUY(x,y) \rightarrow CARVE(x,y) \ v \ EAT(x,y))$
- 5. John buys a pumpkin. $\exists x (PUMPKIN(x) \land BUY(John,x))$
- 6. Lifesavers is a candy. *CANDY(Lifesavers)*
- 7. (Conclusion) If John is a child, then John carves some pumpkin. $CHILD(John) \rightarrow \exists x \ (PUMPKIN(x) \land CARVE(John,x))$

Gordon S. Novak Jr.