

Final Project Peer Review

Due Sunday by 11:59pm **Points** 15

Available Apr 21 at 12am - Apr 26 at 11:59pm 6 days

Note: You do **not** need to submit anything for this assignment, we are simply using this to keep track of the individual student's peer reviews.

Note 2: For the Peer Review, when you press "Save", it will publish the review and you cannot edit it. If you had accidentally saved an incomplete draft earlier, you can just submit another full one and indicate that we should ignore the other comment/review.

Note on the rubric for peer review: Quercus requires you to both add a comment and fill out the rubric for the peer review to be considered complete. But since we will be ignoring what you put on the rubric, you can just choose the "No Mark" option for all the criteria. If you have already filled out with other values, that is fine as well just leave it.

With the final project peer-review, each student will be reviewing reports from two randomly assigned teams in a single-blinded reviewing format. That is, the reviewers will be anonymous. For many of you, this is the first time to review another technical report. You will directly provide peer review on Quercus. You will find the "peer reviews" link on the final project page. For each assigned peer review, fill out the comment section and choose the "No Mark" option for all the criteria in the rubric. You may view an example process on Quercus [here](https://ctl1.utsc.utoronto.ca/quercus/help/Peer_reviews_student_view.pdf).

[\(https://ctl1.utsc.utoronto.ca/quercus/help/Peer_reviews_student_view.pdf\)](https://ctl1.utsc.utoronto.ca/quercus/help/Peer_reviews_student_view.pdf)

We provide a brief guideline for a successful peer-review comment:

- For each assigned peer review, fill out the comment section with a brief paragraph justifying your evaluation score with respect to the 4 criteria (quality, clarity, originality, and significance).
- A typical review starts with a 2- or 3-sentence summary of the peer-reviewed report. This is done to show the reviewers have a basic understanding of the material.
- Justify your evaluation score out of 10 regarding the 4 criteria (quality, clarity, originality, and significance).
- For each of the criteria, the review comment needs to address both the strength and the weaknesses.
- It will be easy to use a bullet format. Assign 2-3 bullets per criteria that discuss the strength and the weakness in separate bullets.
- Your peer reviews will be graded based on their completion and quality.

Thus, we suggest the following format for the peer reviews:

Summary_Sentences

Quality

-

Clarity

-

Originality

-

Significance

-

Score XX/10

