









产。智课网

下载智课 APP



官方网站: http://www.smartstudy.com₽

客服热线: 400-011-91914 新浪微博: @智课网4 微信公众号: 智课网4



GRE 官方写作题库 ISSUE 8-111-149

Claim: In any field (business, politics, education, government) those in power should step down after five years (和 111、149 一篇文章) because the most certain path to success for any enterprise is revitalization through new leadership.

范文

Modern democratic nations have mostly established systems of government that require leaders to step down after several years in office. I think this is an effective practice because those who are in power may be tired and unable to bring new things to their work. Regarding the argument above, although I agree that leaders should step down after a certain amount of time, a 5-year fixed time period is too inflexible. For revitalizing an enterprise, changes of its leaders and other powerful positions needs to be more carefully considered.

	内容	详细条目
段落	此段结构	第一段按顺序提出了作者对题目所述主张的两方面看法:第一,同意
		事业成功需要定期更换领导者;第二,换领导者的周期是否一定是五
		年尚待商榷。
	此段功能	开头段,简单分析题目,提出作者观点。

Even the most intelligent and passionate leader may tired of his or her role and begin making mistakes. Mao Zedong is a striking example of just such a case. Mao was regarded as an outstanding and powerful leader. During his early years, Mao won the wars against Japanese and other enemies. Then he built an effective economical system for his country. But as time went on, Mao became unable to offer sufficient consideration to the people he was governing and began making bad decisions. These bad decisions resulted in disasters from which China and the Chinese suffered immensely. I think this is because Mao became too comfortable and complacent in his position. His fixed ways of thinking and the fixed ways of thinking employed by the individuals around him created a stagnant situation, one that could have been avoided if leadership were periodically changed.

aB		
	内容	详细条目
段落	此段结构	第二段,总分结构。首句提出论点,再伟大的领导人也有可能对领导
		角色把握不佳和做错误决定。作者大胆地以毛泽东为例,纵然他功绩
		显赫,但其作为国家主席的后期,对一些事务考虑不周,做出了若干
		糟糕决定,置中国人民于水深火热之中。尾句假设道如果领导者定期
		更换的话,一些损失或许可以避免,作为总结。
	此段功能	论述作者的第一方面观点,即解释为何事业成功需要定期换领导者。



具体来说,是没有定期更换领导人的弊端。本段给出的理由是对领导 地位的倦怠和松懈导致决定错误。

There is another advantage that enterprises can enjoy if they change their leaders periodically. New people can bring new ideas and policy to the enterprises. Since a person's thoughts are limited, after his intellectual ability is tapped, a company should turn to others. A person, no matter how hard he studies and keeps abreast with developments, is likely to run out of creativity and be restricted in his own perspective. For instance, the famous Japanese architect, Tadao Ando, had designed many creative buildings in last century. However, he failed in several competitions in some recent projects. His failure can be attributed to his single-mindedness, a lack of creativity. As claimed in his new book, Ando benefited from his assistants, who are mostly students and new members in his group. After working more closely with new minds, Ando managed to design with a totally new form. His new designs won him a project of a museum in the United States in 2003. It seems to me that people can only change their perspectives fundamentally when they are required to consider others. And for enterprises, leaders and managers can change their stagnant old ways by being required to cooperate with new minds.

	内容	详细条目
段落	此段结构	第三段,总分总结构。本段的论点是定期更换领导者的益处:新人可以带
		来新思想新主张,拓宽思路,因为每个人的想法都是有限的。随后作者用
		日本建筑大师安藤忠雄作为支持事例,对比其自己思考时和接受他人想法
		后的事业成果,得出结论。作者认为,领导者接受外界新思想是必要的。
	此段功能	继续论述作者第一方面的观点,解释事业成功为何需要定期更换领导者,
		和上一段不同,本段介绍的是定期更换领导者的好处。

Nevertheless, in the argument above, it is stated that a fixed period of five years should be mandated. In my view, that period is too fixed. As we know, the voting period of the president of the United States is four years, and a president cannot hold on his position for more than 8 years. But in many business enterprises, leaders may take longer time to realize his or her plan. In order to provide enough time to allow for the realization of a reasonable plan, the period—5 years—needs to be extended. Bill Gates, for instance, had worked as the CEO of Microsoft for almost twenty years, during which time the company grew from a small one to one of the world's biggest companies. If Gates had not worked for so long in Microsoft, it would have been hard for him to develop so many operating systems, including DOS, Windows 3.0 and so forth, which made Microsoft what it has today. A consistent ideal for an enterprise is important and can be effectively maintained when one or several people maintain power.

	内容	详细条目
段落	此段结构	第四段,总分。作者在段首提出自己的观点:以五年为周期过于不灵
		活。接下来,作者举了美国总统选举周期为4年,最长任期不超8年为
		例,说明现实中的更换周期不一定是五年,可短可长。至于商业领导
		者,周期可能会长于5年,比尔盖茨的例子证明了这一点。



此段功能	论述作者第二方面的观点,提出对定期更换领导者的周期的看法。题中	‡
	的 5 年不切实际,有时可以延长,有时可以缩短。	

To sum up, since individuals are often limited in their capacities and may be restricted by fixed thinking, it is important for any enterprise to keep an open mind to all competitive members and allow them access to leadership positions through the regular changing of members. As long as the enterprises are willing to revitalize by accepting new blood, and as long as they properly maintain an effective tradition, they will develop and prosper.

	内容	详细条目
段落	此段结构	第五段,两个长句分别概括总结了全文的论述。第一句简述定期更换领
		导者的必要性及其原因;第二句重申更换领导者的周期应该更灵活。
	此段功能	结尾段,涵盖作者的两方面观点,总结全文论述。

满分要素剖析

语言表达

本文没有选择过多复杂的句型,而是用很多易读的语言来进行论述。尽管如此,作者还是展示了其一定级别的词汇量,以及组织文章的能力。文章的时态用法正确,一些句型也发挥了其应有的功能。

His fixed ways of thinking and the fixed ways of thinking employed by the individuals around him created a stagnant situation, one that could have been avoided if leadership were periodically changed. 本句的后半部分的非限定性定语从句修饰 a stagnant situation,使用了虚拟语气,注意 were...和 could have...的呼应。因为这是对过去事情的假设,因此有了本句的用法。

A person, no matter how hard he studies and keeps abreast with developments, is likely to run out of creativity and be restricted in his own perspective. 这一句中的亮点是 keep abreast with , 生动形象地表示了与...齐头并进的意思 , abreast 一词也是 GRE 考生应该掌握的词汇。

It seems to me that people can only change their perspectives fundamentally when they are required to consider others. 本句是主语从句。It 作为本句的形式主语,代替了 that 后引导的内容,即本句的真实主语。主语过长的情况下,有时会采用 it 作为形式主语保持句子平衡。

逻辑结构

本题要求考生发表对题中主张和原因的看法。作者对题目的分析比较准确和全面,其论述涵盖了题干的两个方面,并且对这两个方面分别表明了立场。作者同意定期更换领导者是保持任何事业繁荣发展的必要条件,但对题目中提到的 5 年周期表示了异议。作者的论述逻辑是,



在总分总的结构框架下,先论证第一方面:从未定期更换领导者的弊和定期更换领导者的利两个角度,完整地支持了他/她的第一方面立场;再论证第二方面:5年周期过于死板,实际上有效的周期既可以短于,又可以长于5年。例证素材的选取也比较得当。最后的总结收尾也很简洁,但很清晰。



