



## 智课网 GRE 备考资料



下载智课 APP



官方网站: <http://www.smartstudy.com>

客服热线: 400-011-9191

新浪微博: @智课网

微信公众号: 智课网

## GRE 官方写作题库 Argument 9

Nature's Way, a chain of stores selling health food and other health-related products, is opening its next franchise in the town of Plainesville. The store should prove to be very successful: Nature's Way franchises tend to be most profitable in areas where residents lead healthy lives, and clearly Plainesville is such an area. Plainesville merchants report that sales of running shoes and exercise clothing are at all-time highs. The local health club has more members than ever, and the weight training and aerobics classes are always full. Finally, Plainesville's schoolchildren represent a new generation of potential customers: these schoolchildren are required to participate in a fitness-for-life program, which emphasizes the benefits of regular exercise at an early age.

Write a response in which you examine the stated and/or unstated assumptions of the argument. Be sure to explain how the argument depends on these assumptions and what the implications are for the argument if the assumptions prove unwarranted.

### 【满分范文赏析】

In this memo the vice president of Nature's Way (NW), a chain of stores selling health food and health-related products, recommends opening a store in Plainesville. To support this recommendation the vice president cites the following facts about Plainesville: (1) sales of exercise shoes and clothing are at all-time highs; (2) membership at the local health club has peaked; and (3) the city's schoolchildren are required to participate in a fitness program. Close scrutiny illuminates the fact that the assumptions lend little credible support to the recommendation.

#### 【本段结构】

本段采用了标准的 Argument 开头段结构，即 C—A—F 的开头结构。段落首先概括原文的 *Conclusion*，接下来概括原文为支持其结论所引用的一系列 *Assumption*，最后给出开头段到正文段的过渡句，指出原文的 *Flaw*，即其 *Assumption* 不能为其结论提供可靠的支持。

#### 【本段功能】

本段作为 Argument 开头段，具体功能就在于发起攻击并概括原文的结论，即 NW 应在 Plainesville 开店。本段接下来分布列举了原文中 NW 的副总裁为支持其结论所引用的事实：(1)

锻炼鞋和服装的销售量空前的高；(2) 当地健身俱乐部的会员数达到最高点；(3) 该市的学童被要求参加一个健康计划。这些信息的归纳为正文段中即将进行的具体攻击作铺垫。

Let's first examine the assumptions that strong sales of exercise apparel indicated that Plainessville residents would be interested in NW's products, or even that these residents are interested in exercising. Consider the possibility that exercise apparel could be in fashion. Perhaps exercise apparel is inexpensive compared to other types of clothing. For that matter, perhaps the stronger-than-usual sales are due to increasing sales to tourists. In short, without a conclusive market analysis, the vice president fails to provide a link between the sales of exercise clothing and the projected sales revenue of a new franchise. Therefore, a conclusion based on this particular assumption, that Plainessville residents would be interested in health food based on the sales of a particular style of clothes, is entirely undependable.

### 【本段结构】

本段采用了标准的 Argument 正文段结构，即：概括第一个逻辑错误的错误类型和其在原文中出现的位置，接下来给出合理的理由和他因来反驳原文。

### 【本段功能】

本段作为正文第一段，攻击原文中出现的第一个重要逻辑错误——因果类错误。原文的因果链条如下：

①P 镇运动服饰销量高→②P 镇居民对健身感兴趣→③P 镇居民对 NW 的健康食品感兴趣。

这一因果链并不成立。首先，①并不能推出②，造成①的原因也有可能是运动服的价钱便宜，或是旅游业带动等等。其次，即便②成立，也不一定说明居民对 NW 健康食品感兴趣。因此还需要提供具体的市场调查等证据来表明③成立。

Next, let's examine the assumption that because membership at the Plainessville gym is at an all-time high, a potential demand for health food and health-related products exists. The memo contains no evidence to support this assumption. Lacking such evidence, it is equally possible that aside from exercising, Plainessville residents have little interest in leading a healthy lifestyle. In fact, perhaps as a result of regular exercise, they believe they are sufficiently fit and healthy and do not need a healthy diet.

**【本段结构】**

本段采用了标准的 Argument 正文段结构，即：概括第二个逻辑错误的错误类型和其在原文中出现的位置，接下来给出合理的理由和他因来反驳原文。

**【本段功能】**

本段作为正文第二段，攻击原文中出现的第二个重要逻辑错误——因果类错误。原文假设 Plainesville 的健身俱乐部会员数空前的高意味着存在对于健康食品和健康相关产品的潜在需求。然而，原文没有提供任何证据支持这一假设。如果缺少这样的证据，事实同样可能是 Plainesville 的居民除了运动外对于引领健康的生活方式毫无兴趣。或许是经常锻炼的结果，Plainesville 的居民可能会相信他们已经足够健康了，不再需要一份健康的饮食。

Let's examine this assumption a little more in depth. The rate of membership at the local health club is little indication that NW will be "very successful" in Plainesville. It is possible that club members in the Plainesville area are nowhere near the anticipated site for the NW store. Perhaps the club's primary appeal isn't even health related. Maybe it is a social site attracting members only as a meeting place. Besides, the actual number of members was never mentioned. Even if the club's members would patronize a NW store, these members might be insufficient in number to ensure a profit for the store.

**【本段结构】**

本段采用了标准的 Argument 正文段结构，即：概括第三个逻辑错误的错误类型和其在原文中出现的位置，接下来给出合理的理由和他因来反驳原文。

**【本段功能】**

本段作为正文第三段，攻击原文中出现的第三个重要逻辑错误——因果类错误。本段对上面提到的假设作进一步分析，并指出：当地健身俱乐部的会员数并不能预示 NW 将在 Plainesville “非常成功”。例如，Plainesville 地区的俱乐部会员可能离 NW 预期开店的地方很远，俱乐部的主要吸引力可能与健康无关，而只是一个为会员们提供集会地点的社交场所。另外，原文中也从未提及俱乐部会员的具体数目。即使俱乐部的会员们会光顾 NW 的商店，这些会员们在数量上也可能并不足以保证商店盈利。

Now, let's attend the assumption that a mandatory fitness program in Plainesville's schools somehow indicates that a franchise would be very successful there. Without a market analysis, we cannot determine the spending power of this demographic, nor can we determine their ability to affect overall household buying habits. Besides, mandatory participation is no indication of genuine interest in health, fitness or related products.

### 【本段结构】

本段采用了标准的Argument正文段结构，即：概括第四个逻辑错误的错误类型和其在原文中出现的位置，接下来给出合理的理由和他因来反驳原文。

### 【本段功能】

本段作为正文第四段，攻击原文中出现的第四个重要逻辑错误——因果类错误。原文假设在 Plainesville 的学校中开设的一个强制性的健康计划将以某种方式预示着 NW 的商店将会非常成功。如果没有一个市场分析，我们不能确定该地区人们的购买力，也不能确定他们影响全部住户购买习惯的能力。另外，强制性的参与也并不能体现出对于健康或相关产品的真实兴趣。

In sum, the recommendation of the vice president relies on unproven assumptions, which render the argument unconvincing. To bolster argument and validate the recommendation, the vice president must provide clearer connections between the argument and the assumptions upon which it is based.

### 【本段结构】

本段采用了标准的 Argument 结尾段结构，即 C—S 的结尾结构。段落首先再次重申原文站不住脚的 *Conclusion*，接下来给出可以增强原文说服力的合理的 *Suggestion*，包括原文作者需要进一步提供的证据和信息等。

### 【本段功能】

本段作为 Argument 结尾段，具体功能即为总结归纳+提出建议。段落首先再次重申强调 Argument 作者的论证不合理，接下来给出合理的建议：副总裁必须对 Argument 和其所基于

的 *Assumption* 之间提供更清晰的关联。

## 【满分要素剖析】

### 【语言表达】

本文的语言使用规范、清晰，词汇也用得准确地道，并使用多变的句式让考官读起来津津有味，这些都是 GRE 写作官方的语言要求。同时，文章的结构型语言和内容型语言相得益彰，结构是骨架，内容是血肉，二者完美结合。

In this memo ... recommends .... (标志性的 Argument 开头段引出原文结论的语言表达形式。)  
To support this recommendation the vice president cites the following facts about ...: (1) ...; (2) ...; and (3) .... (引出原文为支持其结论所引用的一系列的荒谬论据。)  
Close scrutiny illuminates the fact that the assumptions lend little credible support to the recommendation. (标志性的指出文章错误的语言表达。整体开头段是标准的 C—A—F 的语言和逻辑模版体系。)

Let's first examine the assumptions that ..., or even that .... Consider the possibility that .... Perhaps .... For that matter, perhaps .... In short, without a conclusive market analysis, the vice president fails to provide a link between .... Therefore, a conclusion based on this particular assumption, that ..., is entirely undependable. (标志性的因果类错误的语言和逻辑模版体系。)

Next, let's examine the assumption that .... The memo contains no evidence to support this assumption. Lacking such evidence, it is equally possible that .... In fact, perhaps .... (标志性的因果类错误的语言和逻辑模版体系。)

In sum, the recommendation of the vice president relies on unproven assumptions, which render the argument unconvincing. To bolster argument and validate the recommendation, the vice president must provide clearer connections between the argument and the assumptions upon which it is based. (标志性的 Argument 结尾段的 Conclusion—Suggestion 体系的语言和逻辑模版体系。)

### 【逻辑结构】

本文的写作体现出了非常严谨的开头段—正文段 1、2、3、4—结尾段的逻辑体系：

(开头段) In this memo ... recommends ....

(正文段 1) Let's first examine the assumptions that ..., or even that ....



（正文段 2）Next, let's examine the assumption that ....

（正文段 3）Let's examine this assumption a little more in depth.

（正文段 4）Now, let's attend the assumption that ....

（结尾段）In sum, the recommendation of the vice president relies on unproven assumptions, which render the argument unconvincing.

特别值得一提的是本文正文第一段的写作。该段首先通过 *Let's first examine the assumptions that strong sales of exercise apparel indicated that Plainesville residents would be interested in NW's products, or even that these residents are interested in exercising.* 一句说明原文中出现的因果类错误。接下来，该段使用 *Consider the possibility that exercise apparel could be in fashion.* 一句简短有力地过渡，并紧接着通过 *Perhaps exercise apparel is inexpensive compared to other types of clothing.* 和 *For that matter, perhaps the stronger-than-usual sales are due to increasing sales to tourists.* 两句递进地提出两种其它的可能解释。最后，该段 *In short, without a conclusive market analysis, the vice president fails to provide a link between the sales of exercise clothing and the projected sales revenue of a new franchise. Therefore, a conclusion based on this particular assumption, that Plainesville residents would be interested in health food based on the sales of a particular style of clothes, is entirely undependable.* 两句对原文中的错误作进一步深刻的分析，并对全段讨论进行总结，充分展现出了正文段严密的逻辑思路。

老师好！教的才好



58位

出国考试首席  
教学专家



108位

哈佛、耶鲁、剑桥等  
世界名校教学研发讲师



120万

合计培训  
出国考试考生



20万

世界名校  
录取学子



92本

合计出版出国  
考试畅销图书

TOEFL名师



**魏少成**  
中国TOEFL口语第一人  
托福人物“老魏”  
公认的“托福口语王”  
学生心目中的“男神级”老师



**冷峰**  
中国TOEFL听力第一人  
中国托福听力、SAT语法  
教学权威，认证托福听力  
美国本科留学指导专家



**杨建辉**  
中国TOEFL阅读第一人  
托福、SAT一机“杨姐儿”  
中国托福阅读、SAT阅读  
教学权威



**高翔**  
中国TOEFL写作教学权威  
美国ETS访问学者  
拥有多年托福及英语语言学  
文学双硕士学位



**孙嘉迪**  
中国TOEFL口语教学权威  
托福口语专家，美国亚利桑  
那大学高级访问学者，对托福  
考试的前世今生有透彻的研究

IELTS名师



**云唯真**  
新南威尔士大学  
特许雅思培训师



**梅皓**  
雅思阅读和听力课程帮助  
超过10万人成功烤鸭



**黄雅生**  
学生赞誉的“小美人”  
自创美式happy教学法  
曾获得美国总统学术卓越奖



**乐峰**  
雅思阅读九段超高手  
教学经验丰富，培训学员  
超过15万人



**彭新松**  
数十万学子公认的  
雅思听力教学大师

GRE名师



**韦晓亮**  
中国GRE/GMAT写作第一人  
“小室老师”  
中国出国留学留学教学体系搭建者  
教育行业的拼命三郎



**陈虎平**  
中国 GRE/GMAT阅读第一人  
中国 GRE、GMAT阅读之王  
出国考试培训领域的哲学博士



**黄敏**  
中国GRE填空教学权威  
GRE红宝书系列助考作者  
号称“黄药师”，培训学员超过2



**陈琦**  
中国GRE填空第一人  
“要你命三千”的GRE教主  
水木清华理工男，人称“琦叔”



**陈楠**  
中国GRE/GMAT数学教学权威  
清华大学数学  
GRE、GMAT数学名师

GMAT名师



**曹卫东**  
中国GMAT培训第一人  
神一般的传奇老师  
GWD创始人



**刘杰**  
中国人民大学博士  
翻译管理学著作数本



**康瑞**  
中国SAT语法教学权威  
英国利兹大学社会学硕士  
GMAT、SAT语法顶级大咖



**严晨**  
美国宾夕法尼亚州立大学硕士  
拥有扎实的工科背景  
及严密的逻辑推理能力

SAT名师



**杜宇**  
中国SAT写作第一人  
SAT教学6年  
帮助超过5万学生  
获得写作高分



**郭文君**  
中国SAT语法第一人  
SAT语法大神  
北大才女  
中国SAT语法名师



**魏晨**  
中国SAT阅读第一人  
中国最美SAT女老师  
她一手好琴，在《非常了得》  
轻松拿得语言类冠军



**曲振**  
GRE/托福/SAT阅读教学权威  
签约作家  
“考神团队”创始人之一