



Out[5]: The raw code for this IPython notebook is by default hidden for easier reading. To toggle on/off the raw code, click [here](#).



2D Fourier Transforms

In the previous section we examined a number of theoretical and practical issues about the 1D Fourier Transforms. Of course, the world is not 1D and we need to be able to apply similar Fourier analysis techniques in 2D (and even higher dimensions!). Importantly, moving to higher dimensions also allows us apply these techniques not just to different types of geophysical data sets (e.g., mag/gravity maps, 2D Seismic/GPR transects) but also to look at interesting objects such as images which can give us some insight into what is happening in the Fourier domain.

Let's begin this section by asking the following question: What would the 2D Fourier Transforms of the following images look like?



Bricks and New York at Night - Redux

Let's now look at these 2D signal's 2D Fourier magnitude spectra. For now, we are going to calculate them in a way that is a bit more straightforward than the 1D transforms. This is because the images we are dealing with are a function of pixels, which is not really a physical unit and thus it is harder to assign a meaning to what the Fourier components represent (other than 1/wavenumber). To calculate the 2D Fourier transform we can do the following:

```
fft_img = np.fft.fft2(img)/(nx*ny)
```





where nx and ny are the dimensions of the image and are included (along with the 4) as a normalization factor.

Just like in 1D Fourier Transforms, the layout of the 2D Fourier transform will start at $[k_x, k_y] = [0, 0]1/\text{pixels}$ and then move along the diagonal until reaching the maximum $[k_x, k_y]$ values half way. It will then switch immediately to the minimum $[k_x, k_y]$ and progress back toward $[k_x, k_y] = [0, 0]1/\text{pixels}$. Thus, we again need to use the `np.fft.fftshift()` in order to put the 2D Fourier

Transform of the image in an order that make sense. In the images below we are going to look at the Fourier magnitude spectra. Thus, we will plot:


```
magnitude_spectrum = 20*np.log(np.abs(np.fft.fftshift(fft_img)))
```

where I have included the $20 \log()$ terms because the wavenumbers away from $[k_x, k_y] = [0, 0] 1/\text{pixels}$ tend to be very small! Plotting it this way will emphasize the small values since and represents a "dB down" scenario. **Note that if you are plotting a 2D Fourier domain spectrum with the $20 \log()$ scaling on it, you will have to undo this scaling if you want to take the inverse 2D Fourier transform.**



A more straightforward example

While the above 2D FFTs are interesting and show a lot of structure, it's easier to start looking at something a little bit more straightforward. Below I have plotted on the left two dipping lines, one with brighter amplitude at 45° and the other with weaker amplitudes at 30° . The right hand image shows the 2D Fourier transform of the figure on left.



Q1: What do you notice about the relationship between dips between the 2D physical and 2D Fourier domains?

Q2: How does this relate to the Bricks and New York City at Night examples?

2D Fourier Transforms - The Basics

We define the forward **2D Fourier Transform** operator \mathcal{F}_{2D} that acts on a 2D signal $h(x, y)$ according to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{2D}[h(x, y)] &= \widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x, y) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} dx dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x, y) e^{-i(k_x x + k_y y)} dx dy\end{aligned}\quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = [x, y]$ are the two spatial variables (not the greatest notation) and $\mathbf{k} = [k_x, k_y]$ are the two Fourier conjugate domain variables and are termed the **spatial wavenumbers** that are equivalent to angular frequency. Note: you may often see the pair $[u, v]$ used in place of $[k_x, k_y]$ as these are commonly used in the field of complex analysis to represent the 2D complex plane.

The **2D Inverse Fourier Transform** operator, denoted \mathcal{F}_{2D}^{-1} , is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{2D}^{-1}[\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y)] &= h(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} dk_x dk_y \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} dk_x dk_y\end{aligned}\quad (2.2)$$

You'll note that the scaling factor is given by $\frac{1}{2^N \pi^N}$ where N is the dimensionality of the Fourier Transform. Thus, a 5D Fourier Transform (yes, I've done one) would be scaled by $\frac{1}{32\pi^5}$.

2D Fourier Basis Functions

Probably not surprisingly, the basis functions for the 2D Fourier Transforms are *cosine* and *sine* functions. This is because

$$e^{\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = e^{\pm i(k_x x + k_y y)} = \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \pm i \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}), \quad (2.3)$$


where the *sin* and *cos* are 2D functions that are related to directional cosines (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Directional_cosine) on the (x,y) plane. The maxima and minima of $\cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ occur when

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} = n\pi, \quad (2.4)$$

which are equally spaced lines with normal \mathbf{k} and wavelength λ


$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{|\mathbf{k}|} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}} \quad (2.5)$$

Let's take a look at some of these basis functions!



You'll notice that 2D Fourier Transform of the basis function is like that of the 1D Fourier Transform - two δ -functions but in opposing quadrants instead of positive/negative! You'll also notice that the higher frequency you go, the greater the separation between the delta function pair.

Let's look at a few more examples with increasing complexity:



Thus, in order to generate any of the images above, one has to know the 2D Fourier function $\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y)$, which provides the weights (i.e., the amount) of each 2D Fourier basis function to include to make $h(x, y)$ (e.g., in equation 2.2).

Alternative 2D Fourier Transform Conventions

Note that like in 1D there are different conventions for the 2D Fourier Transforms. For example if $[k_x, k_y] = 2\pi[u, v]$ then you might see the following:

$$\widehat{H}(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x, y) e^{-i2\pi(ux+vy)} dx dy \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$h(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{H}(u, v) e^{i2\pi(ux+vy)} du dv \quad (2.7)$$

Similarly, you might see the following symmetric definitions:

$$\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x, y) e^{-i(k_x x + k_y y)} dx dy \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$h(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} dk_x dk_y \quad (2.9)$$

Finally, because geophysicists are an interesting lot, you'll often see the following largely geophysics-specific definition for a mixed space-time Fourier Transform:

$$\widehat{H}(\omega, k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t, x) e^{-i(\omega t - kx)} dt dx \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$h(t, x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{H}(\omega, k) e^{i(\omega t - kx)} d\omega dk \quad (2.11)$$

This is because the argument $\omega t - kx = \text{const}$ is often used to define a wavefront in wave propagation.

Similarities with 1D Fourier Transforms

There are some significant similarities to the 1D scenario:

- FT pairs are denoted:

$$h(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) \quad (2.12)$$

- $\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y)$ is in general complex:

$$\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) = \widehat{H}_R(k_x, k_y) + i \widehat{H}_I(k_x, k_y) \quad (2.13)$$

- The 2D power spectrum is given by:

$$|\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y)|^2 = \overline{\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y)} \widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) \quad (2.14)$$

- The 2D phase spectrum is given by:

$$\angle(\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y)) = \arctan\left(\frac{\widehat{H}_I(k_x, k_y)}{\widehat{H}_R(k_x, k_y)}\right) \quad (2.15)$$

- Conjugacy:

$$\overline{h(x, y)} \Leftrightarrow \widehat{H}(-k_x, -k_y) \quad (2.16)$$

- Symmetry: $h(x, y)$ is even if

$$h(x, y) = h(-x, -y) \quad (2.17)$$

Properties of the 2D Fourier Transform

As in the 1D case, 2D FTs have a number of important properties. Given two 2D functions, $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$, that are acceptable for Fourier Transform and have FTs given by $\widehat{F}(k_x, k_y)$ and $\widehat{G}(k_x, k_y)$, the following properties will hold:

(1) Linearity: If $h(x, y) = af(x, y) + bg(x, y)$ where a and b are real numbers, show that the following is true:

$$\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) = a\widehat{F}(k_x, k_y) + b\widehat{G}(k_x, k_y) \quad (2.18)$$

PROOF:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) &= \mathcal{F}_{2D} [af(x, y) + bg(x, y)] \\ &= a\mathcal{F}_{2D} [f(x, y)] + b\mathcal{F}_{2D} [g(x, y)] \\ &= a\widehat{F}(k_x, k_y) + b\widehat{G}(k_x, k_y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Note that the intermediate step is due to the **linearity** of the Fourier Transform.

(2) Translation / Shifting: If $h(x, y) = f(x - a, y - b)$ where a and b are real numbers, show that the following is true:

$$\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) = e^{-i(ak_x + bk_y)} \widehat{F}(k_x, k_y) \quad (2.20)$$

PROOF: This follows essentially the same procedure as the 1D solution, but does it for two variables.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x - a, y - b) e^{-i(k_x x + k_y y)} dx dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x - a, y - b) e^{-i(k_x x + k_y y)} e^{-i(ak_x + bk_y)} e^{i(ak_x + bk_y)} dx dy \\ &= e^{-i(ak_x + bk_y)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x - a, y - b) e^{-i(k_x(x-a) + k_y(y-b))} d(x-a) d(y-b) \\ &= e^{-i(ak_x + bk_y)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x', y') e^{-i(k_x x' + k_y y')} dx' dy' \\ &= e^{-i(ak_x + bk_y)} \widehat{F}(k_x, k_y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

(3) Scaling Theorem : If $h(x, y) = f(ax, by)$ where a and b are real numbers and $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, show that the following is true:

$$\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) = \frac{1}{ab} \widehat{F}\left(\frac{k_x}{a}, \frac{k_y}{b}\right) \quad (2.22)$$

PROOF: Again, similar to the solution for 1D, but with two variables. Let $x' = ax$ and $y' = by$. Then we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{H}(k_x, k_y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(ax, by) e^{-i(k_x x + k_y y)} dx dy \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x', y') e^{-i(k_x x' / a + k_y y' / b)} dx' dy' / (ab) \\
&= \frac{1}{ab} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x', y') e^{-i(k'_x x' + k'_y y')} dx' dy' \\
&= \frac{1}{ab} \widehat{F}(k'_x, k'_y) = \frac{1}{ab} \widehat{F}\left(\frac{k_x}{a}, \frac{k_y}{b}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$


Some Important 2D Fourier Transform Pairs


Example 1 - Rectangle centered at origin with sides lengths X and Y

You have a signal that is a rectangle centered at the origin with sides of length X and Y in the x and y dimensions respectively. We can write the 2D Fourier transform as the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{F}(u, v) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) e^{-i(k_x x + k_y y)} dx dy \\
&= \int_{-X/2}^{X/2} e^{-ik_x x} dx \int_{-Y/2}^{Y/2} e^{-ik_y y} dy \\
&= \left[\frac{e^{-ik_x x}}{-ik_x} \right]_{-X/2}^{X/2} \left[\frac{e^{-ik_y y}}{-ik_y} \right]_{-Y/2}^{Y/2} \\
&= XY \left[\frac{\sin(k_x X/2)}{k_x X/2} \right] \left[\frac{\sin(k_y Y/2)}{k_y Y/2} \right] \\
&= XY \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{k_x X}{2}\right) \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{k_y Y}{2}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

This should make sense to you intuitively, because the the integrals are independent of each other. Let's look at the Fourier Transform pair below:





Example 2 - 2D Gaussian Centered at Origin


A 2D Gaussian centered at the origin can be written as the following:

$$f(x, y) = e^{-r^2/(2\sigma^2)} = e^{-(x^2+y^2)/(2\sigma^2)} \quad (2.25)$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$. The 2D Fourier Transform of this function is given by:

$$\widehat{F}(k_x, k_y) = \sigma^2 e^{-\sigma^2 k^2} = \sigma^2 e^{-\sigma^2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2)}, \quad (2.26)$$

where $k = \pm \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$. Again, you'll notice that the σ in the time-domain expression is in the denominator of the exponential function, whereas it is in the numerator of the Fourier domain expression. Thus, again, "squeezing" in one domain leads to "expansion" in the conjugate Fourier domain!



Example 3 - The Airy Disk


The idea of an Airy disk (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Airy_disk#Mathematical_details) arises in Fourier Optics (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fourier_optics) involving problems associated with handling cylindrical lenses. The Airy disk is a disk of radius a centered at the origin can be written as:

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & |r| < a, \\ 0, & |r| \geq a. \end{cases} \quad (2.27)$$

Again with $k = \pm \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$, we may write the 2D Fourier Transform of this function is

$$\widehat{F}(k_x, k_y) = \frac{a}{k} J_1 \left(\frac{ak}{2} \right) \quad (2.28)$$

where J_1 is a Bessel Function (<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/BesselFunctionoftheFirstKind.html>) of the first kind (where the 1 is the order of the Bessel function). If you are interested in seeing the mathematical proof of this transformation, you can find details located here (<https://adriftjustoffthecoast.wordpress.com/2013/06/06/2d-fourier-transform-of-the-unit-disk/>). **Note that this function is the 2D cylindrical coordinate equivalent of the 1D sinc function!**



Q: Why is this not a perfect image of the 2D equivalent of the 1D sinc function?

Example 4 - 2D Impulse Train

The 2D extension of the 1D impulse train (or Shah Function) is an important function for the digital sampling of continuous 2D fields.




Figure 2.1. Illustration of a 2D impulse train commonly used in digital sampling of 2D continuous signals. Note that the δ -function spacing in the x direction is X , while that in the y direction is Y .

The 2D Impulse Train in the time domain is written as:

$$III_{m,n}(x, y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - mX) \delta(y - nY) \quad (2.29)$$


The FT of the 2D Impulse Train is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{2D} [III_{m,n}(x, y)] = \frac{1}{XY} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(k_x - m/X) \delta(k_y - n/Y) \quad (2.30)$$

Looking ahead - Image Filtering

To get a better idea of why we might be looking at the 2D Fourier spectra, let's look at the example in Figure 2.3.1. The upper panels are in the time domain, while the lower panels are the corresponding 2D frequency spectra. The left panels show the original image. The middle panels show what happens if we reject everything in the spectra falling outside of the 2D Airy disk -


effectively performing a low-pass filter. The right panels are the complement to central panels - effectively performing a high-pass filter.



2D Filtering example where a hole is punched out of the 2D Fourier spectrum and then brought back into the time domain. Left panels: Original image and spectrum. Middle panels: Low-pass filtered image and spectrum. Right panels: High-pass filtered image and spectrum.

Looking ahead - Geophysical Filtering

Figure 2.3.2 is a single shot-gather extracted from a 2D Madagascar data set. You'll notice a number of different arrivals including direct wave, reflections and ground roll. How would you go about removing the unwanted groundroll?



Raw data

A 2D seismic shot gather illustrating strong reflections and groundroll arrivals. What strategy could you use to remove the unwanted groundroll?

Parting thoughts

Understanding the structures of 2D Fourier Transforms - particularly, how different features like lines, disks and points map between the time and frequency domains is very important in geophysical data processing. In particular, you may be looking to filter out certain types of coherent noise based on some Fourier attribute such as dip, spectral location, etc. This is very hard to do without knowing where these types of noise fall in Fourier-land!

Next step: Linear time-invariant (LTI) systems.

Additional References

1. Bracewell, R.N., 1965, The Fourier Transform and Applications, McGraw-Hill, New York.
2. James, J.F., 2011, A Student's Guide to Fourier Transforms, 3rd ed, Cambridge University Press.