

Boolean Algebra

Juri Kolčák

Friday 31st October, 2025

Boolean Algebra

Boolean algebra consists of the value set $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$ and three operators, \wedge , \vee , \neg .

OPERATORS:

$$a \wedge b = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$a \vee b = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = b = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\neg a = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } a = 0 \end{cases}$$

Boolean Algebra

Boolean algebra consists of the value set $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$ and three operators, \wedge , \vee , \neg .

OPERATORS:

$$a \wedge b = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$a \vee b = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = b = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\neg a = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } a = 0 \end{cases}$$

TRUTH TABLES:

a	b	$a \wedge b$	$a \vee b$
0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1
0	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

a	$\neg a$
0	1
1	0

Boolean Functions

Boolean functions on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ variables v_1, \dots, v_n , are defined recursively as follows:

$$\varphi ::= c \in \mathbb{B} \mid v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \mid \neg(\varphi) \mid (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \mid (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)$$

Boolean Functions

Boolean functions on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ variables v_1, \dots, v_n , are defined recursively as follows:

$$\varphi ::= c \in \mathbb{B} \mid v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \mid \neg(\varphi) \mid (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \mid (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)$$

FUNCTIONS ON 1 VARIABLE:

$$f_{neg}(a) = \neg(a) = \neg a$$

$$f_{id}(a) = a$$

$$f_{const}(a) = 0$$

Boolean Functions

Boolean functions on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ variables v_1, \dots, v_n , are defined recursively as follows:

$$\varphi ::= c \in \mathbb{B} \mid v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \mid \neg(\varphi) \mid (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \mid (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)$$

FUNCTIONS ON 1 VARIABLE:

$$f_{neg}(a) = \neg(a) = \neg a$$

$$f_{id}(a) = a$$

$$f_{const}(a) = 0$$

FUNCTIONS ON 2 VARIABLES:

$$f_{and}(a, b) = a \wedge b$$

$$f_{or}(a, b) = a \vee b$$

$$f_{imp}(a, b) = \neg a \vee b = a \Rightarrow b$$

$$f_{equiv}(a, b) = (a \wedge b) \vee (\neg a \wedge \neg b) = a \Leftrightarrow b$$

$$f_{xor}(a, b) = (a \wedge \neg b) \vee (\neg a \wedge b) = a \oplus b$$

Boolean Algebra Laws

PRECEDENCE:

$$\neg a \wedge b \triangleq \neg(a) \wedge b$$

$$\neg a \vee b \triangleq \neg(a) \vee b$$

ASSOCIATIVITY:

$$a \wedge (b \wedge c) = (a \wedge b) \wedge c$$

$$a \vee (b \vee c) = (a \vee b) \vee c$$

COMMUTATIVITY:

$$a \wedge b = b \wedge a$$

$$a \vee b = b \vee a$$

DISTRIBUTIVITY:

$$a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$$

$$a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$$

IDEMPOTENCE:

$$a \wedge a = a$$

$$a \vee a = a$$

ABSORPTION:

$$a \wedge (a \vee b) = a$$

$$a \vee (a \wedge b) = a$$

IDENTITIES:

$$a \wedge 1 = a$$

$$a \vee 0 = a$$

ANNIHILATORS:

$$a \wedge 0 = 0$$

$$a \vee 1 = 1$$

COMPLEMENTATION:

$$a \wedge \neg a = 0$$

$$a \vee \neg a = 1$$

DOUBLE NEGATION:

$$\neg(\neg a) = a$$

DE MORGAN'S LAWS:

$$\neg(a \wedge b) = \neg a \vee \neg b$$

$$\neg(a \vee b) = \neg a \wedge \neg b$$

Example: XOR is the complement of equivalence

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(a \Leftrightarrow b) &= && \text{/primary operators} \\ \neg((a \wedge b) \vee (\neg a \wedge \neg b)) &= && \text{/De Morgan} \\ \neg(a \wedge b) \wedge \neg(\neg a \wedge \neg b) &= && \text{/De Morgan x2} \\ (\neg a \vee \neg b) \wedge (\neg(\neg a) \vee \neg(\neg b)) &= && \text{/Double negation x2} \\ (\neg a \vee \neg b) \wedge (a \vee b) &= && \text{/Distributivity} \\ ((\neg a \vee \neg b) \wedge a) \vee ((\neg a \vee \neg b) \wedge b) &= && \text{/Commutativity x2} \\ (a \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg b)) \vee (b \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg b)) &= && \text{/Distributivity x 2} \\ (a \wedge \neg a) \vee (a \wedge \neg b) \vee (b \wedge \neg a) \vee (b \wedge \neg b) &= && \text{/Complementation x2} \\ 0 \vee (a \wedge \neg b) \vee (b \wedge \neg a) \vee 0 &= && \text{/Commutativity} \\ (a \wedge \neg b) \vee (b \wedge \neg a) \vee 0 \vee 0 &= && \text{/Identity x2} \\ (a \wedge \neg b) \vee (b \wedge \neg a) &= && \text{/Commutativity} \\ (a \wedge \neg b) \vee (\neg a \wedge b) &= && \end{aligned}$$

Normal Forms

CONJUNCTIVE NORMAL FORM (CNS) – Conjunction of disjunctive clauses.

$$C ::= (D) \mid (D) \wedge C$$

$$D ::= L \mid L \vee D$$

$$L ::= v \mid \neg v \qquad v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$$

DISJUNCTIVE NORMAL FORM (DNS) – Disjunction of conjunctive clauses.

$$D ::= (C) \mid (C) \vee D$$

$$C ::= L \mid L \wedge C$$

$$L ::= v \mid \neg v \qquad v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$$

Constructing CNF – Semantic Approach

For each line in the truth table which evaluates to 0, add a disjunctive clause containing negations of the line to the CNF.

Example for: $(a \vee b) \Rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$

Constructing CNF – Semantic Approach

For each line in the truth table which evaluates to 0, add a disjunctive clause containing negations of the line to the CNF.

Example for: $(a \vee b) \Rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$

a	b	c	$\neg c$	$a \vee b$	$b \wedge \neg c$	$(a \vee b) \Rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$
0	0	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	1	0	0
1	1	1	0	1	0	0

Constructing CNF – Semantic Approach

For each line in the truth table which evaluates to 0, add a disjunctive clause containing negations of the line to the CNF.

Example for: $(a \vee b) \Rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$

a	b	c	$\neg c$	$a \vee b$	$b \wedge \neg c$	$(a \vee b) \Rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$
0	0	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	1	0	0
1	1	1	0	1	0	0

$$\begin{aligned} &(\neg a \vee b \vee c) \wedge (\neg a \vee b \vee \neg c) \wedge (a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg b \vee c) = \\ &\neg(a \vee b) \wedge (\neg b \vee \neg c) \end{aligned}$$

Constructing DNF – Semantic Approach

For each line in the truth table which evaluates to 1, add a conjunctive clause containing the line to the DNF.

Example for: $(a \vee b) \Rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$

a	b	c	$\neg c$	$a \vee b$	$b \wedge \neg c$	$(a \vee b) \Rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$
0	0	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	1	0	0
1	1	1	0	1	0	0

Constructing DNF – Semantic Approach

For each line in the truth table which evaluates to 1, add a conjunctive clause containing the line to the DNF.

Example for: $(a \vee b) \Rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$

a	b	c	$\neg c$	$a \vee b$	$b \wedge \neg c$	$(a \vee b) \Rightarrow (b \wedge \neg c)$
0	0	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	1	0	0
1	1	1	0	1	0	0

$$\begin{aligned} & (\neg a \wedge \neg b \wedge \neg c) \vee (\neg a \wedge b \wedge \neg c) \vee (a \wedge b \wedge \neg c) \vee (\neg a \wedge \neg b \wedge c) \models \\ & \models (\neg a \wedge \neg b) \vee (b \wedge \neg c) \end{aligned}$$

Constructing DNF – Syntactic Approach

Formula using only primary operators can be converted to a DNF using the following term rewriting system:

$$\neg(\neg a) \rightsquigarrow a \quad \text{(Double negation)}$$

$$\neg(a \wedge b) \rightsquigarrow \neg a \vee \neg b \quad \text{(De Morgan)}$$

$$\neg(a \vee b) \rightsquigarrow \neg a \wedge \neg b \quad \text{(De Morgan)}$$

$$a \wedge (b \vee c) \rightsquigarrow (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \quad \text{(Distributivity)}$$

$$a \vee (b \wedge c) \rightsquigarrow (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c) \quad \text{(Distributivity)}$$

Constructing DNF – Syntactic Approach

Formula using only primary operators can be converted to a DNF using the following term rewriting system:

$\neg(\neg a) \rightsquigarrow a$	(Double negation)
$\neg(a \wedge b) \rightsquigarrow \neg a \vee \neg b$	(De Morgan)
$\neg(a \vee b) \rightsquigarrow \neg a \wedge \neg b$	(De Morgan)
$a \wedge (b \vee c) \rightsquigarrow (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$	(Distributivity)
$a \vee (b \wedge c) \rightsquigarrow (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$	(Distributivity)

CNF of a formula φ can be constructed syntactically by first constructing a DNF of $\neg\varphi$ and subsequently applying De Morgan laws to the negation of this DNF.