Chapter 2: Relational Model

Database System Concepts, 5th Ed.



Chapter 2: Relational Model

- Structure of Relational Databases
- Fundamental Relational-Algebra-Operations
- Additional Relational-Algebra-Operations
- Extended Relational-Algebra-Operations
- Null Values
- Modification of the Database



Example of a Relation

account_number	*branch_name*	*balance*
A-101	Downtown	500
A-102	Perryridge	400
A-201	Brighton	900
A-215	Mianus	700
A-217	Brighton	750
A-222	Redwood	700
A-305	Round Hill	350



Attribute Types

- Each attribute of a relation has a name
- The set of allowed values for each attribute is called the **domain** of the attribute
- Attribute values are (normally) required to be **atomic**; that is, indivisible
  - E.g. the value of an attribute can be an account number, but cannot be a set of account numbers
- Domain is said to be atomic if all its members are atomic
- The special value *null* is a member of every domain
- The null value causes complications in the definition of many operations
  - We shall ignore the effect of null values in our main presentation and consider their effect later



Relation Schema

- Formally, given domains D_1, D_2, …. D_n a **relation** r is a subset of

  D_1 x D_2 x … x D_n

  Thus, a relation is a set of n-tuples $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ where each $a_i \in D_i$
- Schema of a relation consists of
  - attribute definitions
    - name
    - type/domain
  - integrity constraints



Relation Instance

- The current values (*relation instance*) of a relation are specified by a table
- An element *t* of *r* is a *tuple*, represented by a *row* in a table
- Order of tuples is irrelevant (tuples may be stored in an arbitrary order)



Database

- A database consists of multiple relations
- Information about an enterprise is broken up into parts, with each relation storing one part of the information
- E.g.

  account : information about accounts

  depositor : which customer owns which account

  customer : information about customers



The *customer* Relation

customer_name	*customer_street*	*customer_city*
Adams	Spring	Pittsfield
Brooks	Senator	Brooklyn
Curry	North	Rye
Glenn	Sand Hill	Woodside
Green	Walnut	Stamford
Hayes	Main	Harrison
Johnson	Alma	Palo Alto
Jones	Main	Harrison
Lindsay	Park	Pittsfield
Smith	North	Rye
Turner	Putnam	Stamford
Williams	Nassau	Princeton



The *depositor* Relation

customer_name	*account_number*
Hayes	A-102
Johnson	A-101
Johnson	A-201
Jones	A-217
Lindsay	A-222
Smith	A-215
Turner	A-305



Why Split Information Across Relations?

- Storing all information as a single relation such as
  bank(*account_number, balance, customer_name*, ..)
  results in
  - repetition of information
    - e.g.,if two customers own an account (What gets repeated?)
  - the need for null values
    - e.g., to represent a customer without an account
- Normalization theory (Chapter 7) deals with how to design relational schemas



Keys

- Let K $\subseteq$ R
- *K* is a **superkey** of *R* if values for *K* are sufficient to identify a unique tuple of each possible relation *r(R)*
  - by "possible *r* " we mean a relation *r* that could exist in the enterprise we are modeling.
  - Example: {*customer_name, customer_street*} and
    {*customer_name*}

    are both superkeys of *Customer*, if no two customers can possibly have the same name
    - In real life, an attribute such as *customer_id* would be used instead of *customer_name* to uniquely identify customers, but we omit it to keep our examples small, and instead assume customer names are unique.



Keys (Cont.)

- *K* is a **candidate key** if *K* is minimal
  Example: {*customer_name*} is a candidate key for *Customer*, since it is a superkey and no subset of it is a superkey.
- **Primary key:** a candidate key chosen as the principal means of identifying tuples within a relation
  - Should choose an attribute whose value never, or very rarely, changes.
  - E.g. email address is unique, but may change



Foreign Keys

- A relation schema may have an attribute that corresponds to the primary key of another relation. The attribute is called a **foreign key**.
  - E.g. *customer_name* and *account_number* attributes of *depositor* are foreign keys to *customer* and *account* respectively.
  - Only values occurring in the primary key attribute of the **referenced relation** may occur in the foreign key attribute of the **referencing relation.**



Schema Diagram



Query Languages

- Language in which user requests information from the database.
- Categories of languages
  - Procedural
  - Non-procedural, or declarative
- "Pure" languages:
  - Relational algebra
  - Tuple relational calculus
  - Domain relational calculus
- Pure languages form underlying basis of query languages that people use.



Relational Algebra

- Procedural language
- Six basic operators
  - select: σ
  - project: Π
  - union: $\cup$
  - set difference: –
  - Cartesian product: x
  - rename: ρ
- The operators take one or two relations as inputs and produce a new relation as a result.



Select Operation – Example

- Relation r

A	*B*	*C*	*D*
α	α	1	7
α	β	5	7
β	β	12	3
β	β	23	10

- $\sigma_{A=B \wedge D > 5}(r)$

A	*B*	*C*	*D*
α	α	1	7
β	β	23	10

Project Operation – Example

- Relation r:

A	B	C
α	10	1
α	20	1
β	30	1
β	40	2

$\Pi_{A,C}(r)$

A	C
α	1
α	1
β	1
β	2

$=$

A	C
α	1
β	1
β	2

Union Operation – Example

- Relations *r, s:*

A	*B*
α	1
α	2
β	1

r

A	*B*
α	2
β	3

s

- r ∪ s:

A	*B*
α	1
α	2
β	1
β	3

Set Difference Operation – Example

- Relations r, s:

A	B
α	1
α	2
β	1

r

A	B
α	2
β	3

s

- $r - s$:

A	B
α	1
β	1

Cartesian-Product Operation – Example

- Relations *r, s*:

A	*B*
α	1
β	2

r

C	*D*	*E*
α	10	*a*
β	10	*a*
β	20	*b*
γ	10	*b*

s

- *r* x *s*:

A	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
α	1	α	10	*a*
α	1	β	10	*a*
α	1	β	20	*b*
α	1	γ	10	*b*
β	2	α	10	*a*
β	2	β	10	*a*
β	2	β	20	*b*
β	2	γ	10	*b*

Rename Operation

- Allows us to name, and therefore to refer to, the results of relational-algebra expressions.
- Allows us to refer to a relation by more than one name.
- Example:

$$\rho_x(E)$$

returns the expression E under the name X

- If a relational-algebra expression E has arity n, then

$$\rho_{x(A_1,A_2,\ldots,A_n)}(E)$$

returns the result of expression E under the name X, and with the attributes renamed to $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$.



Composition of Operations

- Can build expressions using multiple operations
- Example: $\sigma_{A=C}(r \times s)$
- $r \times s$

A	B	C	D	E
α	1	α	10	a
α	1	β	10	a
α	1	β	20	b
α	1	γ	10	b
β	2	α	10	a
β	2	β	10	a
β	2	β	20	b
β	2	γ	10	b

- $\sigma_{A=C}(r \times s)$

A	B	C	D	E
α	1	α	10	a
β	2	β	10	a
β	2	β	20	b

Banking Example

branch (branch_name, branch_city, assets)

customer (customer_name, customer_street, customer_city)

account (account_number, branch_name, balance)

loan (loan_number, branch_name, amount)

depositor (customer_name, account_number)

borrower (customer_name, loan_number)



Example Queries



- Find all loans of over $1200

$$\sigma_{amount > 1200}(loan)$$

- Find the loan number for each loan of an amount greater than $1200

$$\Pi_{loan\_number}(\sigma_{amount > 1200}(loan))$$

- Find the names of all customers who have a loan, an account, or both, from the bank

$$\Pi_{customer\_name}(borrower) \cup \Pi_{customer\_name}(depositor)$$

Example Queries

- Find the names of all customers who have a loan at the Perryridge branch.

$$\Pi_{customer\_name}(\sigma_{branch\_name=\text{“Perryridge”}}(\sigma_{borrower.loan\_number = loan.loan\_number}(borrower \times loan)))$$

- Find the names of all customers who have a loan at the Perryridge branch but do not have an account at any branch of the bank.

$$\Pi_{customer\_name}(\sigma_{branch\_name = \text{“Perryridge”}}(\sigma_{borrower.lo}$$

$$\Pi_{customer\_}$$



Example Queries

- Find the names of all customers who have a loan at the Perryridge branch.
  - $\Pi_{customer\_name}(\sigma_{branch\_name = \text{“Perryridge”}}($
    $\sigma_{borrower.loan\_number = loan.loan\_number}(borrower \times loan)))$
  - $\Pi_{customer\_name}(\sigma_{loan.loan\_number = borrower.loan\_number}($
    $(\sigma_{branch\_name = \text{“Perryridge”}}(loan)) \times borrower))$



Additional Operations

- Additional Operations
  - Set intersection
  - Natural join
  - Aggregation
  - Outer Join
  - Division
- All above, other than aggregation, can be expressed using basic operations we have seen earlier



Set-Intersection Operation – Example

- Relation *r, s*:

A	B
α	1
α	2
β	1

r

A	B
α	2
β	3

s

- $r \cap s$

A	B
α	2

Natural Join Operation – Example

- Relations r, s:

A	B	C	D
α	1	α	a
β	2	γ	a
γ	4	β	b
α	1	γ	a
δ	2	β	b

r

B	D	E
1	a	α
3	a	β
1	a	γ
2	b	δ
3	b	$\in$

s

- r ⋈s

A	B	C	D	E
α	1	α	a	α
α	1	α	a	γ
α	1	γ	a	α
α	1	γ	a	γ
δ	2	β	b	δ

Natural-Join Operation

- Notation: r ⋈ s
- Let r and s be relations on schemas R and S respectively.
  Then, r ⋈ s is a relation on schema $R \cup S$ obtained as follows:
  - Consider each pair of tuples t_r from r and t_s from s.
  - If t_r and t_s have the same value on each of the attributes in $R \cap S$, add a tuple t to the result, where
    - t has the same value as t_r on r
    - t has the same value as t_s on s
- Example:

  $R = (A, B, C, D)$

  $S = (E, B, D)$

  - Result schema = (A, B, C, D, E)
  - r ⋈ s is defined as:

$$\Pi_{r.A, r.B, r.C, r.D, s.E} (\sigma_{r.B = s.B \wedge r.D = s.D} (r \times s))$$



EXAMPLES OF ALGEBRA QUERIES

Consider instances S3 of sailors, R2 of Reserves and B1 of boats.

sid	*sname*	*rating*	*age*
22	Dustin	7	45.0
29	Brutus	1	33.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
32	Andy	8	25.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
64	Horatio	7	35.0
71	Zorba	10	16.0
74	Horatio	9	35.0
85	Art	3	25.5
95	Bob	3	63.5

Figure 4.15 An Instance $S3$ of Sailors

sid	*bid*	*day*
22	101	10/10/98
22	102	10/10/98
22	103	10/8/98
22	104	10/7/98
31	102	11/10/98
31	103	11/6/98
31	104	11/12/98
64	101	9/5/98
64	102	9/8/98
74	103	9/8/98

Figure 4.16 An Instance $R2$ of Reserves

bid	*bname*	*color*
101	Interlake	blue
102	Interlake	red
103	Clipper	green
104	Marine	red

Figure 4.17 An Instance $B1$ of Boats

QUERY Q1

Given the relational instances:

sid	*sname*	*rating*	*age*
22	Dustin	7	45.0
29	Brutus	1	33.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
32	Andy	8	25.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
64	Horatio	7	35.0
71	Zorba	10	16.0
74	Horatio	9	35.0
85	Art	3	25.5
95	Bob	3	63.5

Figure 4.15 An Instance $S3$ of Sailors

sid	*bid*	*day*
22	101	10/10/98
22	102	10/10/98
22	103	10/8/98
22	104	10/7/98
31	102	11/10/98
31	103	11/6/98
31	104	11/12/98
64	101	9/5/98
64	102	9/8/98
74	103	9/8/98

Figure 4.16 An Instance $R2$ of Reserves

(Q1) Find the names of sailors who have reserved boat 103

$$\pi_{sname}((\sigma_{bid=103}\ Reserves) \bowtie Sailors)$$

The answer is thus the following relational instance

{<Dustin>, <Lubber>, <Horatio>}

QUERY Q1 (cont'd)

There are of course several ways to express Q1 in relational algebra.

Here is another:

$$\pi_{sname}(\sigma_{bid=103}(\text{Reserves} \bowtie \text{Sailors}))$$

Which of these expressions should we use?

That is a question of optimization. Indeed, when we describe how to state queries in SQL, we can leave it to the optimizer in the DBMS to select the nest approach.



QUERY Q2

(Q2) Find the names of sailors who have reserved a red boat.

sid	*sname*	*rating*	*age*
22	Dustin	7	45.0
29	Brutus	1	33.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
32	Andy	8	25.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
64	Horatio	7	35.0
71	Zorba	10	16.0
74	Horatio	9	35.0
85	Art	3	25.5
95	Bob	3	63.5

Figure 4.15 An Instance $S3$ of Sailors

sid	*bid*	*day*
22	101	10/10/98
22	102	10/10/98
22	103	10/8/98
22	104	10/7/98
31	102	11/10/98
31	103	11/6/98
31	104	11/12/98
64	101	9/5/98
64	102	9/8/98
74	103	9/8/98

Figure 4.16 An Instance $R2$ of Reserves

bid	*bname*	*color*
101	Interlake	blue
102	Interlake	red
103	Clipper	green
104	Marine	red

Figure 4.17 An Instance $B1$ of Boats

$$\pi_{sname}((\sigma_{color='red'}\text{Boats}) \bowtie \text{Reserves} \bowtie \text{Sailors})$$

QUERY Q3

(Q3) Find the colors of boats reserved by Lubber.

sid	*sname*	*rating*	*age*
22	Dustin	7	45.0
29	Brutus	1	33.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
32	Andy	8	25.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
64	Horatio	7	35.0
71	Zorba	10	16.0
74	Horatio	9	35.0
85	Art	3	25.5
95	Bob	3	63.5

Figure 4.15 An Instance $S3$ of Sailors

sid	*bid*	*day*
22	101	10/10/98
22	102	10/10/98
22	103	10/8/98
22	104	10/7/98
31	102	11/10/98
31	103	11/6/98
31	104	11/12/98
64	101	9/5/98
64	102	9/8/98
74	103	9/8/98

Figure 4.16 An Instance $R2$ of Reserves

bid	*bname*	*color*
101	Interlake	blue
102	Interlake	red
103	Clipper	green
104	Marine	red

Figure 4.17 An Instance $B1$ of Boats

$$\pi_{color}((\sigma_{sname='Lubber'}Sailors) \bowtie Reserves \bowtie Boats)$$

QUERY Q4

(Q4) Find the names of Sailors who have reserved at least one boat

sid	*sname*	*rating*	*age*
22	Dustin	7	45.0
29	Brutus	1	33.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
32	Andy	8	25.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
64	Horatio	7	35.0
71	Zorba	10	16.0
74	Horatio	9	35.0
85	Art	3	25.5
95	Bob	3	63.5

Figure 4.15 An Instance $S3$ of Sailors

sid	*bid*	*day*
22	101	10/10/98
22	102	10/10/98
22	103	10/8/98
22	104	10/7/98
31	102	11/10/98
31	103	11/6/98
31	104	11/12/98
64	101	9/5/98
64	102	9/8/98
74	103	9/8/98

Figure 4.16 An Instance $R2$ of Reserves

bid	*bname*	*color*
101	Interlake	blue
102	Interlake	red
103	Clipper	green
104	Marine	red

Figure 4.17 An Instance $B1$ of Boats

π_{sname}(Sailors ⋈ Reserves)

QUERY Q5

(Q5) Find the names of sailors who have reserved a red or a green boat.

sid	*sname*	*rating*	*age*
22	Dustin	7	45.0
29	Brutus	1	33.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
32	Andy	8	25.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
64	Horatio	7	35.0
71	Zorba	10	16.0
74	Horatio	9	35.0
85	Art	3	25.5
95	Bob	3	63.5

Figure 4.15 An Instance $S3$ of Sailors

sid	*bid*	*day*
22	101	10/10/98
22	102	10/10/98
22	103	10/8/98
22	104	10/7/98
31	102	11/10/98
31	103	11/6/98
31	104	11/12/98
64	101	9/5/98
64	102	9/8/98
74	103	9/8/98

Figure 4.16 An Instance $R2$ of Reserves

bid	*bname*	*color*
101	Interlake	blue
102	Interlake	red
103	Clipper	green
104	Marine	red

Figure 4.17 An Instance $B1$ of Boats

$$\rho(Tempboats, (\sigma_{color='red'}Boats) \cup (\sigma_{color='green'}Boats))$$

$$\pi_{sname}(Tempboats \bowtie Reserves \bowtie Sailors)$$

QUERY Q6

(Q6) Find the names of Sailors who have reserved a red and a green boat.

It seems tempting to use the expression used in Q5, replacing simply ∪ by ∩. However, this won't work, for such an expression is requesting the names of sailors who have requested a boat that is both red and green! The correct expression is as follows:

$$\rho(Tempred, \pi_{sid}((\sigma_{color='red'}, Boats) \bowtie Reserves))$$

$$\rho(Tempgreen, \pi_{sid}((\sigma_{color='green'}, Boats) \bowtie Reserves))$$

$$\pi_{sname}((Tempred \cap Tempgreen) \bowtie Sailors)$$

QUERY Q7

(Q7) Find the names of sailors who have reserved at least two boats.

sid	*sname*	*rating*	*age*
22	Dustin	7	45.0
29	Brutus	1	33.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
32	Andy	8	25.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
64	Horatio	7	35.0
71	Zorba	10	16.0
74	Horatio	9	35.0
85	Art	3	25.5
95	Bob	3	63.5

Figure 4.15 An Instance $S3$ of Sailors

sid	*bid*	*day*
22	101	10/10/98
22	102	10/10/98
22	103	10/8/98
22	104	10/7/98
31	102	11/10/98
31	103	11/6/98
31	104	11/12/98
64	101	9/5/98
64	102	9/8/98
74	103	9/8/98

Figure 4.16 An Instance $R2$ of Reserves

bid	*bname*	*color*
101	Interlake	blue
102	Interlake	red
103	Clipper	green
104	Marine	red

Figure 4.17 An Instance $B1$ of Boats

$\rho(Reservations, \pi_{sid,sname,bid}(Sailors \bowtie Reserves))$

$\rho(Reservationpairs(1\square sid1, 2\square sname, 3\square bid1, 4\square sid2,$

$5\square sname, 6\square bid2), Reservations\square Reservations)$

$\pi_{sname1}\sigma_{(sid1=sid2)\wedge(bid1\neq bid2)}Reservationpairs)$

QUERY 8

(Q8) Find the sids of sailors with age over 20 who have not reserved a red boat.

sid	*sname*	*rating*	*age*
22	Dustin	7	45.0
29	Brutus	1	33.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
32	Andy	8	25.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
64	Horatio	7	35.0
71	Zorba	10	16.0
74	Horatio	9	35.0
85	Art	3	25.5
95	Bob	3	63.5

Figure 4.15 An Instance *S3* of Sailors

sid	*bid*	*day*
22	101	10/10/98
22	102	10/10/98
22	103	10/8/98
22	104	10/7/98
31	102	11/10/98
31	103	11/6/98
31	104	11/12/98
64	101	9/5/98
64	102	9/8/98
74	103	9/8/98

Figure 4.16 An Instance *R2* of Reserves

bid	*bname*	*color*
101	Interlake	blue
102	Interlake	red
103	Clipper	green
104	Marine	red

Figure 4.17 An Instance *B1* of Boats

$$\pi_{sid}(\sigma_{age>20}Sailors) - \pi_{sid}((\sigma_{color='red'}Boats) \bowtie Reserves \bowtie Sailors)$$

QUERY 9

(Q) Find the names of sailors who have reserved all boats.

sid	*sname*	*rating*	*age*
22	Dustin	7	45.0
29	Brutus	1	33.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
32	Andy	8	25.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
64	Horatio	7	35.0
71	Zorba	10	16.0
74	Horatio	9	35.0
85	Art	3	25.5
95	Bob	3	63.5

Figure 4.15 An Instance $S3$ of Sailors

sid	*bid*	*day*
22	101	10/10/98
22	102	10/10/98
22	103	10/8/98
22	104	10/7/98
31	102	11/10/98
31	103	11/6/98
31	104	11/12/98
64	101	9/5/98
64	102	9/8/98
74	103	9/8/98

Figure 4.16 An Instance $R2$ of Reserves

bid	*bname*	*color*
101	Interlake	blue
102	Interlake	red
103	Clipper	green
104	Marine	red

Figure 4.17 An Instance $B1$ of Boats

$$\rho(Tempsids, (\pi_{sid,bid} Reserves) / (\pi_{bid} Boats))$$

$$\pi_{sname}(Tempsids \bowtie Sailors$$

QUERY Q10

(Q10) Find the names of sailors who have reserved all boats called Interlake.

sid	*sname*	*rating*	*age*
22	Dustin	7	45.0
29	Brutus	1	33.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
32	Andy	8	25.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
64	Horatio	7	35.0
71	Zorba	10	16.0
74	Horatio	9	35.0
85	Art	3	25.5
95	Bob	3	63.5

Figure 4.15 An Instance $S3$ of Sailors

sid	*bid*	*day*
22	101	10/10/98
22	102	10/10/98
22	103	10/8/98
22	104	10/7/98
31	102	11/10/98
31	103	11/6/98
31	104	11/12/98
64	101	9/5/98
64	102	9/8/98
74	103	9/8/98

Figure 4.16 An Instance $R2$ of Reserves

bid	*bname*	*color*
101	Interlake	blue
102	Interlake	red
103	Clipper	green
104	Marine	red

Figure 4.17 An Instance $B1$ of Boats

$\rho(Tempsids, (\pi_{sid,bid}Reserves)/(\pi_{bid}(\sigma_{bname='Interlake'},Boats)))$

$\pi_{sname}(Tempsids \bowtie Sailors)$

Bank Example Queries

- Find the largest account balance
  - Strategy:
    - Find those balances that are *not* the largest
      - Rename *account* relation as *d* so that we can compare each account balance with all others
    - Use set difference to find those account balances that were *not* found in the earlier step.
  - The query is:

$$\Pi_{balance}(account) - \Pi_{account.balance}$$
$$(\sigma_{account.balance < d.balance} (account \times \rho_{d} (account)))$$



Aggregate Functions and Operations

- **Aggregation function** takes a collection of values and returns a single value as a result.

  avg: average value
  min: minimum value
  max: maximum value
  sum: sum of values
  count: number of values

- **Aggregate operation** in relational algebra

$$_{G_1,G_2,\ldots,G_n}\vartheta_{F_1(A_1),F_2(A_2,\ldots,F_n(A_n)}(E)$$

  E is any relational-algebra expression

  - $G_1, G_2 \ldots, G_n$ is a list of attributes on which to group (can be empty)
  - Each F_i is an aggregate function
  - Each A_i is an attribute name

Aggregate Operation – Example

- Relation r:

A	B	C
α	α	7
α	β	7
β	β	3
β	β	10

- $g_{\textbf{sum(c)}}(r)$

sum(c)
27

- Question: Which aggregate operations cannot be expressed using basic relational operations?

Aggregate Operation – Example

- Relation *account* grouped by *branch-name*:

branch_name	*account_number*	*balance*
Perryridge	A-102	400
Perryridge	A-201	900
Brighton	A-217	750
Brighton	A-215	750
Redwood	A-222	700

$$branch\_name\ g\ \mathbf{sum}(balance)\ (account)$$

branch_name	**sum**(*balance*)
Perryridge	1300
Brighton	1500
Redwood	700

Aggregate Functions (Cont.)

- Result of aggregation does not have a name
  - Can use rename operation to give it a name
  - For convenience, we permit renaming as part of aggregate operation

$$branch\_name\ \mathcal{G}\ \textbf{sum}(balance)\ \textbf{as}\ sum\_balance\ (account)$$



Outer Join

- An extension of the join operation that avoids loss of information.
- Computes the join and then adds tuples form one relation that does not match tuples in the other relation to the result of the join.
- Uses *null* values:
  - *null* signifies that the value is unknown or does not exist
  - All comparisons involving *null* are (roughly speaking) **false** by definition.
    - We shall study precise meaning of comparisons with nulls later



Outer Join – Example

- Relation *loan*

loan_number	*branch_name*	*amount*
L-170	Downtown	3000
L-230	Redwood	4000
L-260	Perryridge	1700

- Relation *borrower*

customer_name	*loan_number*
Jones	L-170
Smith	L-230
Hayes	L-155

Outer Join – Example

- Join

  $loan \bowtie borrower$

loan_number	*branch_name*	*amount*	*customer_name*
L-170	Downtown	3000	Jones
L-230	Redwood	4000	Smith

- Left Outer Join

  $loan \mathbin{⟕} borrower$

loan_number	*branch_name*	*amount*	*customer_name*
L-170	Downtown	3000	Jones
L-230	Redwood	4000	Smith
L-260	Perryridge	1700	*null*

Outer Join – Example

- Right Outer Join

  loan ⟖ *borrower*

loan_number	*branch_name*	*amount*	*customer_name*
L-170	Downtown	3000	Jones
L-230	Redwood	4000	Smith
L-155	*null*	*null*	Hayes

- Full Outer Join

  loan ⟗ *borrower*

loan_number	*branch_name*	*amount*	*customer_name*
L-170	Downtown	3000	Jones
L-230	Redwood	4000	Smith
L-260	Perryridge	1700	*null*
L-155	*null*	*null*	Hayes

- **Question**: can outerjoins be expressed using basic relational algebra operations



Null Values

- It is possible for tuples to have a null value, denoted by *null*, for some of their attributes
- *null* signifies an unknown value or that a value does not exist.
- The result of any arithmetic expression involving *null* is *null*.
- Aggregate functions simply ignore null values (as in SQL)
- For duplicate elimination and grouping, null is treated like any other value, and two nulls are assumed to be the same (as in SQL)



Null Values

- Comparisons with null values return the special truth value: *unknown*
  - If *false* was used instead of *unknown*, then *not (A < 5)* would not be equivalent to *A >= 5*
- Three-valued logic using the truth value *unknown*:
  - OR: (*unknown* **or** *true*) = *true*,
    (*unknown* **or** *false*) = *unknown*
    (*unknown* **or** *unknown*) = *unknown*
  - AND: (*true* **and** *unknown*) = *unknown*,
    (*false* **and** *unknown*) = *false*,
    (*unknown* **and** *unknown*) = *unknown*
  - NOT: (**not** *unknown*) = *unknown*
  - In SQL "*P* **is unknown**" evaluates to true if predicate *P* evaluates to *unknown*
- Result of select predicate is treated as *false* if it evaluates to *unknown*



Division Operation

- Notation: $r \div s$
- Suited to queries that include the phrase "for all".
- Let r and s be relations on schemas R and S respectively where
  - $R = (A_1, \ldots, A_m, B_1, \ldots, B_n)$
  - $S = (B_1, \ldots, B_n)$

  The result of r ÷ s is a relation on schema

  $R - S = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$

  $$r \div s = \{ t \mid t \in \prod_{R-S}(r) \wedge \forall u \in s (tu \in r) \}$$

  Where tu means the concatenation of tuples t and u to produce a single tuple

Division Operation – Example

- Relations r, s:

A	B
α	1
α	2
α	3
β	1
γ	1
δ	1
δ	3
δ	4
$\in$	6
$\in$	1
β	2

r

B
1
2

s

- $r \div s$:

A
α
β

Another Division Example

- Relations *r, s*:

A	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
α	a	α	a	*1*
α	a	γ	a	*1*
α	a	γ	b	*1*
β	a	γ	a	*1*
β	a	γ	b	*3*
γ	a	γ	a	*1*
γ	a	γ	b	*1*
γ	a	β	b	*1*

r

D	*E*
a	*1*
b	*1*

s

- *r* ÷ *s*:

A	*B*	*C*
α	a	γ
γ	a	γ

Division Operation (Cont.)

- Property
  - Let $q = r \div s$
  - Then q is the largest relation satisfying $q \times s \subseteq r$
- Definition in terms of the basic algebra operation

  Let $r(R)$ and $s(S)$ be relations, and let $S \subseteq R$

$$r \div s = \prod_{R-S}(r) - \prod_{R-S}((\prod_{R-S}(r) \times s) - \prod_{R-S,S}(r))$$

To see why

- $\prod_{R-S,S}(r)$ simply reorders attributes of r
- $\prod_{R-S}(\prod_{R-S}(r) \times s) - \prod_{R-S,S}(r))$ gives those tuples t in $\prod_{R-S}(r)$ such that for some tuple $u \in s$, $tu \notin r$.

Bank Example Queries

- Find the names of all customers who have a loan and an account at bank.

$$\Pi_{customer\_name}(borrower) \cap \Pi_{customer\_name}(depositor)$$

- Find the name of all customers who have a loan at the bank and the loan amount

$$\Pi_{customer\_name,\ loan\_number,\ amount}(borrower \bowtie loan)$$



Bank Example Queries

- Find all customers who have an account from at least the "Downtown" and the Uptown" branches.
  - Query 1

$$\Pi_{customer\_name}(\sigma_{branch\_name = \text{“Downtown”}}(depositor \bowtie account)) \cap \Pi_{customer\_name}(\sigma_{branch\_name = \text{“Uptown”}}(depositor \bowtie account))$$

  - Query 2

$$\Pi_{customer\_name,\ branch\_name}(depositor \bowtie account) \div \rho_{temp(branch\_name)}(\{(\text{“Downtown”}), (\text{“Uptown”})\})$$

Note that Query 2 uses a constant relation.

Bank Example Queries

- Find all customers who have an account at all branches located in Brooklyn city.

$$\prod_{customer\_name,\ branch\_name} (depositor \bowtie account)$$
$$\div \prod_{branch\_name} (\sigma_{branch\_city = \text{“Brooklyn”}} (branch))$$

End of Chapter 2



Formal Definition

- A basic expression in the relational algebra consists of either one of the following:
  - A relation in the database
  - A constant relation
- Let E_1 and E_2 be relational-algebra expressions; the following are all relational-algebra expressions:
  - $E_1 \cup E_2$
  - $E_1 - E_2$
  - E_1 x E_2
  - $\sigma_p (E_1)$, P is a predicate on attributes in E_1
  - $\prod_s (E_1)$, S is a list consisting of some of the attributes in E_1
  - $\rho_x (E_1)$, x is the new name for the result of E_1



Select Operation

- Notation: $\sigma_p(r)$
- p is called the **selection predicate**
- Defined as:

$$\sigma_p(r) = \{t \mid t \in r \text{ and } p(t)\}$$

Where p is a formula in propositional calculus consisting of **terms** connected by : $\wedge$ (**and**), $\vee$ (**or**), $\neg$ (**not**)
Each **term** is one of:

<attribute>*op* <attribute> or <constant>

where *op* is one of: $=, \neq, >, \geq, <, \leq$

- Example of selection:

$$\sigma_{branch\_name=\text{“Perryridge”}}(account)$$



Project Operation

- Notation:

$$\Pi_{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k}(r)$$

  where A_1, A_2 are attribute names and r is a relation name.

- The result is defined as the relation of k columns obtained by erasing the columns that are not listed
- Duplicate rows removed from result, since relations are sets
- Example: To eliminate the *branch_name* attribute of *account*

$$\Pi_{account\_number,\ balance}\ (account)$$



Union Operation

- Notation: $r \cup s$
- Defined as:

  $r \cup s = \{t \mid t \in r \text{ or } t \in s\}$
- For $r \cup s$ to be valid.

  1. *r, s* must have the *same* **arity** (same number of attributes)
  2. The attribute domains must be **compatible** (example: 2nd column of *r* deals with the same type of values as does the 2nd column of *s*)
- Example: to find all customers with either an account or a loan

$$\prod_{customer\_name}(depositor) \cup \prod_{customer\_name}(borrower)$$



Set Difference Operation

- Notation $r - s$
- Defined as:

  $$r - s = \{t \mid t \in r \textbf{ and } t \notin s\}$$

- Set differences must be taken between **compatible** relations.
  - r and s must have the same arity
  - attribute domains of r and s must be compatible



Cartesian-Product Operation

- Notation $r \times s$
- Defined as:

  $r \times s = \{t\, q \mid t \in r \textbf{ and } q \in s\}$

- Assume that attributes of r(R) and s(S) are disjoint. (That is, $R \cap S = \varnothing$).
- If attributes of r and s are not disjoint, then renaming must be used.



Set-Intersection Operation

- Notation: $r \cap s$
- Defined as:
- $r \cap s = \{ t \mid t \in r \textbf{ and } t \in s \}$
- Assume:
  - r, s have the *same arity*
  - attributes of r and s are compatible
- Note: $r \cap s = r - (r - s)$



Assignment Operation

- The assignment operation (←) provides a convenient way to express complex queries.
  - Write query as a sequential program consisting of
    - a series of assignments
    - followed by an expression whose value is displayed as a result of the query.
  - Assignment must always be made to a temporary relation variable.
- Example: Write $r \div s$ as

  $temp1 \leftarrow \prod_{R-S} (r)$

  $temp2 \leftarrow \prod_{R-S} ((temp1 \times s) - \prod_{R-S,S} (r))$

  $result = temp1 - temp2$

  - The result to the right of the ← is assigned to the relation variable on the left of the ←.
  - May use variable in subsequent expressions.



Extended Relational-Algebra-Operations

- Generalized Projection
- Aggregate Functions
- Outer Join



Generalized Projection

- Extends the projection operation by allowing arithmetic functions to be used in the projection list.

$$\Pi_{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n}(E)$$

- E is any relational-algebra expression
- Each of $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ are are arithmetic expressions involving constants and attributes in the schema of E.
- Given relation *credit_info(customer_name, limit, credit_balance),* find how much more each person can spend:

$$\Pi_{customer\_name,\ limit - credit\_balance}\ (credit\_info)$$



Modification of the Database

- The content of the database may be modified using the following operations:
  - Deletion
  - Insertion
  - Updating
- All these operations are expressed using the assignment operator.



Deletion

- A delete request is expressed similarly to a query, except instead of displaying tuples to the user, the selected tuples are removed from the database.
- Can delete only whole tuples; cannot delete values on only particular attributes
- A deletion is expressed in relational algebra by:

  $r \leftarrow r - E$

  where r is a relation and E is a relational algebra query.



Deletion Examples

- Delete all account records in the Perryridge branch.

$$account \leftarrow account - \sigma_{branch\_name = \text{“Perryridge”}}(account)$$

- Delete all loan records with amount in the range of 0 to 50

$$loan \leftarrow loan - \sigma_{amount \geq 0 \text{ and } amount \leq 50}(loan)$$

- Delete all accounts at branches located in Needham.

$$r_1 \leftarrow \sigma_{branch\_city = \text{“Needham”}}(account \bowtie branch)$$

$$r_2 \leftarrow \prod_{account\_number,\ branch\_name,\ balance}(r_1)$$

$$r_3 \leftarrow \prod_{customer\_name,\ account\_number}(r_2 \bowtie depositor)$$

$$account \leftarrow account - r_2$$

$$depositor \leftarrow depositor - r_3$$

Insertion

- To insert data into a relation, we either:
  - specify a tuple to be inserted
  - write a query whose result is a set of tuples to be inserted
- in relational algebra, an insertion is expressed by:

  $r \leftarrow r \cup E$

  where r is a relation and E is a relational algebra expression.
- The insertion of a single tuple is expressed by letting E be a constant relation containing one tuple.



Insertion Examples

- Insert information in the database specifying that Smith has $1200 in account A-973 at the Perryridge branch.

$$account \leftarrow account \cup \{(\text{“A-973”}, \text{“Perryridge”}, 1200)\}$$
$$\text{depositor} \leftarrow depositor \cup \{(\text{“Smith”}, \text{“A-973”})\}$$

- Provide as a gift for all loan customers in the Perryridge branch, a $200 savings account. Let the loan number serve as the account number for the new savings account.

$$r_1 \leftarrow (\sigma_{branch\_name = \text{“Perryridge”}}(borrower \bowtie \text{loan}))$$
$$account \leftarrow account \cup \prod_{loan\_number,\ branch\_name,\ 200}(r_1)$$
$$\text{depositor} \leftarrow depositor \cup \prod_{customer\_name,\ loan\_number}(r_1)$$



Updating

- A mechanism to change a value in a tuple without charging *all* values in the tuple
- Use the generalized projection operator to do this task

$$r \leftarrow \prod_{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_l}(r)$$

- Each F_i is either
  - the I^{th} attribute of r, if the I^{th} attribute is not updated, or,
  - if the attribute is to be updated F_i is an expression, involving only constants and the attributes of r, which gives the new value for the attribute

Update Examples

- Make interest payments by increasing all balances by 5 percent.

$$account \leftarrow \prod_{account\_number,\ branch\_name,\ balance\ *\ 1.05} (account)$$

- Pay all accounts with balances over \$10,000 6 percent interest and pay all others 5 percent

$$account \leftarrow \prod_{account\_number,\ branch\_name,\ balance\ *\ 1.06} (\sigma_{BAL > 10000} (account)) \cup \prod_{account\_number,\ branch\_name,\ balance\ *\ 1.05} (\sigma_{BAL \leq 10000} (account))$$



Figure 2.3. The *branch* relation

branch_name	*branch_city*	*assets*
Brighton	Brooklyn	7100000
Downtown	Brooklyn	9000000
Mianus	Horseneck	400000
North Town	Rye	3700000
Perryridge	Horseneck	1700000
Pownal	Bennington	300000
Redwood	Palo Alto	2100000
Round Hill	Horseneck	8000000



Figure 2.6: The *loan* relation

loan_number	*branch_name*	*amount*
L-11	Round Hill	900
L-14	Downtown	1500
L-15	Perryridge	1500
L-16	Perryridge	1300
L-17	Downtown	1000
L-23	Redwood	2000
L-93	Mianus	500



Figure 2.7: The *borrower* relation

customer_name	*loan_number*
Adams	L-16
Curry	L-93
Hayes	L-15
Jackson	L-14
Jones	L-17
Smith	L-11
Smith	L-23
Williams	L-17



Figure 2.9

Result of $\sigma_{branch\_name = \text{“Perryridge”}} (loan)$

loan_number	*branch_name*	*amount*
L-15	Perryridge	1500
L-16	Perryridge	1300



Figure 2.10:
Loan number and the amount of the loan

loan_number	*amount*
L-11	900
L-14	1500
L-15	1500
L-16	1300
L-17	1000
L-23	2000
L-93	500



Figure 2.11: Names of all customers who have either an account or an loan

customer_name
Adams
Curry
Hayes
Jackson
Jones
Smith
Williams
Lindsay
Johnson
Turner



Figure 2.12:
Customers with an account but no loan

customer_name
Johnson
Lindsay
Turner



Figure 2.13: Result of *borrower* |X| *loan*

customer_name	*borrower.* *loan_number*	*loan.* *loan_number*	*branch_name*	*amount*
Adams	L-16	L-11	Round Hill	900
Adams	L-16	L-14	Downtown	1500
Adams	L-16	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Adams	L-16	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Adams	L-16	L-17	Downtown	1000
Adams	L-16	L-23	Redwood	2000
Adams	L-16	L-93	Mianus	500
Curry	L-93	L-11	Round Hill	900
Curry	L-93	L-14	Downtown	1500
Curry	L-93	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Curry	L-93	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Curry	L-93	L-17	Downtown	1000
Curry	L-93	L-23	Redwood	2000
Curry	L-93	L-93	Mianus	500
Hayes	L-15	L-11		900
Hayes	L-15	L-14		1500
Hayes	L-15	L-15		1500
Hayes	L-15	L-16		1300
Hayes	L-15	L-17		1000
Hayes	L-15	L-23		2000
Hayes	L-15	L-93		500
...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...
Smith	L-23	L-11	Round Hill	900
Smith	L-23	L-14	Downtown	1500
Smith	L-23	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Smith	L-23	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Smith	L-23	L-17	Downtown	1000
Smith	L-23	L-23	Redwood	2000
Smith	L-23	L-93	Mianus	500
Williams	L-17	L-11	Round Hill	900
Williams	L-17	L-14	Downtown	1500
Williams	L-17	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Williams	L-17	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Williams	L-17	L-17	Downtown	1000
Williams	L-17	L-23	Redwood	2000
Williams	L-17	L-93	Mianus	500



Figure 2.14

customer_name	*borrower. loan_number*	*loan. loan_number*	*branch_name*	*amount*
Adams	L-16	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Adams	L-16	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Curry	L-93	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Curry	L-93	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Hayes	L-15	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Hayes	L-15	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Jackson	L-14	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Jackson	L-14	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Jones	L-17	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Jones	L-17	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Smith	L-11	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Smith	L-11	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Smith	L-23	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Smith	L-23	L-16	Perryridge	1300
Williams	L-17	L-15	Perryridge	1500
Williams	L-17	L-16	Perryridge	1300

Figure 2.15

customer_name
Adams
Hayes

Figure 2.16

balance
500
400
700
750
350

Figure 2.17
Largest account balance in the bank

balance
900

Figure 2.18: Customers who live on the same street and in the same city as Smith

customer_name
Curry
Smith

Figure 2.19: Customers with both an account and a loan at the bank

customer_name
Hayes
Jones
Smith

Figure 2.20

customer_name	*loan_number*	*amount*
Adams	L-16	1300
Curry	L-93	500
Hayes	L-15	1500
Jackson	L-14	1500
Jones	L-17	1000
Smith	L-23	2000
Smith	L-11	900
Williams	L-17	1000

Figure 2.21

branch_name
Brighton
Perryridge

Figure 2.22

branch_name
Brighton
Downtown



Figure 2.23

customer_name	*branch_name*
Hayes	Perryridge
Johnson	Downtown
Johnson	Brighton
Jones	Brighton
Lindsay	Redwood
Smith	Mianus
Turner	Round Hill

Figure 2.24: The *credit_info* relation

customer_name	*limit*	*credit_balance*
Curry	2000	1750
Hayes	1500	1500
Jones	6000	700
Smith	2000	400



Figure 2.25

customer_name	*credit_available*
Curry	250
Jones	5300
Smith	1600
Hayes	0



Figure 2.26: The *pt_works* relation

employee_name	*branch_name*	*salary*
Adams	Perryridge	1500
Brown	Perryridge	1300
Gopal	Perryridge	5300
Johnson	Downtown	1500
Loreena	Downtown	1300
Peterson	Downtown	2500
Rao	Austin	1500
Sato	Austin	1600



Figure 2.27
The *pt_works* relation after regrouping

employee_name	*branch_name*	*salary*
Rao	Austin	1500
Sato	Austin	1600
Johnson	Downtown	1500
Loreena	Downtown	1300
Peterson	Downtown	2500
Adams	Perryridge	1500
Brown	Perryridge	1300
Gopal	Perryridge	5300



Figure 2.28

branch_name	*sum of salary*
Austin	3100
Downtown	5300
Perryridge	8100



Figure 2.29

branch_name	*sum_salary*	*max_salary*
Austin	3100	1600
Downtown	5300	2500
Perryridge	8100	5300



Figure 2.30
The *employee* and *ft_works relations*

employee_name	*street*	*city*
Coyote	Toon	Hollywood
Rabbit	Tunnel	Carrotville
Smith	Revolver	Death Valley
Williams	Seaview	Seattle

employee_name	*branch_name*	*salary*
Coyote	Mesa	1500
Rabbit	Mesa	1300
Gates	Redmond	5300
Williams	Redmond	1500

Figure 2.31

employee_name	*street*	*city*	*branch_name*	*salary*
Coyote	Toon	Hollywood	Mesa	1500
Rabbit	Tunnel	Carrotville	Mesa	1300
Williams	Seaview	Seattle	Redmond	1500



Figure 2.32

employee_name	*street*	*city*	*branch_name*	*salary*
Coyote	Toon	Hollywood	Mesa	1500
Rabbit	Tunnel	Carrotville	Mesa	1300
Williams	Seaview	Seattle	Redmond	1500
Smith	Revolver	Death Valley	*null*	*null*

Figure 2.33

employee_name	*street*	*city*	*branch_name*	*salary*
Coyote	Toon	Hollywood	Mesa	1500
Rabbit	Tunnel	Carrotville	Mesa	1300
Williams	Seaview	Seattle	Redmond	1500
Gates	*null*	*null*	Redmond	5300



Figure 2.34

employee_name	*street*	*city*	*branch_name*	*salary*
Coyote	Toon	Hollywood	Mesa	1500
Rabbit	Tunnel	Carrotville	Mesa	1300
Williams	Seaview	Seattle	Redmond	1500
Smith	Revolver	Death Valley	*null*	*null*
Gates	*null*	*null*	Redmond	5300