

### 10.3 POWER METHOD FOR APPROXIMATING EIGENVALUES

In Chapter 7 we saw that the eigenvalues of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  are obtained by solving its characteristic equation

$$\lambda^n + c_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + c_{n-2}\lambda^{n-2} + \cdots + c_0 = 0.$$

For large values of  $n$ , polynomial equations like this one are difficult and time-consuming to solve. Moreover, numerical techniques for approximating roots of polynomial equations of high degree are sensitive to rounding errors. In this section we look at an alternative method for approximating eigenvalues. As presented here, the method can be used only to find the eigenvalue of  $A$  that is largest in absolute value—we call this eigenvalue the **dominant eigenvalue** of  $A$ . Although this restriction may seem severe, dominant eigenvalues are of primary interest in many physical applications.

#### Definition of Dominant Eigenvalue and Dominant Eigenvector

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ , and  $\lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ .  $\lambda_1$  is called the **dominant eigenvalue** of  $A$  if

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_i|, \quad i = 2, \dots, n.$$

The eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  are called **dominant eigenvectors** of  $A$ .

Not every matrix has a dominant eigenvalue. For instance, the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(with eigenvalues of  $\lambda_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = -1$ ) has no dominant eigenvalue. Similarly, the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(with eigenvalues of  $\lambda_1 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 1$ ) has no dominant eigenvalue.

#### EXAMPLE 1 Finding a Dominant Eigenvalue

Find the dominant eigenvalue and corresponding eigenvectors of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Solution** From Example 4 of Section 7.1 we know that the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 2 = (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)$ . Therefore the eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $\lambda_1 = -1$  and  $\lambda_2 = -2$ , of which the dominant one is  $\lambda_2 = -2$ . From the same example we know that the dominant eigenvectors of  $A$  (those corresponding to  $\lambda_2 = -2$ ) are of the form

$$\mathbf{x} = t \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \neq 0.$$

## The Power Method

Like the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods, the power method for approximating eigenvalues is iterative. First we assume that the matrix  $A$  has a dominant eigenvalue with corresponding dominant eigenvectors. Then we choose an initial approximation  $\mathbf{x}_0$  of one of the dominant eigenvectors of  $A$ . This initial approximation must be a *nonzero* vector in  $R^n$ . Finally we form the sequence given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_1 &= A\mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 &= A\mathbf{x}_1 = A(A\mathbf{x}_0) = A^2\mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_3 &= A\mathbf{x}_2 = A(A^2\mathbf{x}_0) = A^3\mathbf{x}_0 \\ &\vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_k &= A\mathbf{x}_{k-1} = A(A^{k-1}\mathbf{x}_0) = A^k\mathbf{x}_0.\end{aligned}$$

For large powers of  $k$ , and by properly scaling this sequence, we will see that we obtain a good approximation of the dominant eigenvector of  $A$ . This procedure is illustrated in Example 2.

---

### EXAMPLE 2 *Approximating a Dominant Eigenvector by the Power Method*

Complete six iterations of the power method to approximate a dominant eigenvector of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Solution** We begin with an initial nonzero approximation of

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We then obtain the following approximations.

Iteration		Approximation
$\mathbf{x}_1 = A\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -10 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$	→	$-4 \begin{bmatrix} 2.50 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$
$\mathbf{x}_2 = A\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -10 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 28 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$	→	$10 \begin{bmatrix} 2.80 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$
$\mathbf{x}_3 = A\mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 28 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -64 \\ -22 \end{bmatrix}$	→	$-22 \begin{bmatrix} 2.91 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$
$\mathbf{x}_4 = A\mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -64 \\ -22 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 136 \\ 46 \end{bmatrix}$	→	$46 \begin{bmatrix} 2.96 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$
$\mathbf{x}_5 = A\mathbf{x}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 136 \\ 46 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -280 \\ -94 \end{bmatrix}$	→	$-94 \begin{bmatrix} 2.98 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$
$\mathbf{x}_6 = A\mathbf{x}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -280 \\ -94 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 568 \\ 190 \end{bmatrix}$	→	$190 \begin{bmatrix} 2.99 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$

---

Note that the approximations in Example 2 appear to be approaching scalar multiples of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which we know from Example 1 is a dominant eigenvector of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In Example 2 the power method was used to approximate a dominant eigenvector of the matrix  $A$ . In that example we already knew that the dominant eigenvalue of  $A$  was  $\lambda = -2$ . For the sake of demonstration, however, let us assume that we do not know the dominant eigenvalue of  $A$ . The following theorem provides a formula for determining the eigenvalue corresponding to a given eigenvector. This theorem is credited to the English physicist John William Rayleigh (1842–1919).

### Theorem 10.2

Determining an Eigenvalue from an Eigenvector

If  $\mathbf{x}$  is an eigenvector of a matrix  $A$ , then its corresponding eigenvalue is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{A\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}.$$

This quotient is called the **Rayleigh quotient**.

**Proof** Since  $\mathbf{x}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ , we know that  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ , and we can write

$$\frac{A\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\lambda\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \lambda.$$

In cases for which the power method generates a good approximation of a dominant eigenvector, the Rayleigh quotient provides a correspondingly good approximation of the dominant eigenvalue. The use of the Rayleigh quotient is demonstrated in Example 3.

### EXAMPLE 3 Approximating a Dominant Eigenvalue

Use the result of Example 2 to approximate the dominant eigenvalue of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Solution** After the sixth iteration of the power method in Example 2, we had obtained.

$$\mathbf{x}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 568 \\ 190 \end{bmatrix} \approx 190 \begin{bmatrix} 2.99 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}.$$

With  $\mathbf{x} = (2.99, 1)$  as our approximation of a dominant eigenvector of  $A$ , we use the Rayleigh quotient to obtain an approximation of the dominant eigenvalue of  $A$ . First we compute the product  $A\mathbf{x}$ .

$$A\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2.99 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6.02 \\ -2.01 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, since

$$A\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = (-6.02)(2.99) + (-2.01)(1) \approx -20.0$$

and

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = (2.99)(2.99) + (1)(1) \approx 9.94,$$

we compute the Rayleigh quotient to be

$$\lambda = \frac{A\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \approx \frac{-20.0}{9.94} \approx -2.01,$$

which is a good approximation of the dominant eigenvalue  $\lambda = -2$ .

From Example 2 we can see that the power method tends to produce approximations with large entries. In practice it is best to “scale down” each approximation before proceeding to the next iteration. One way to accomplish this **scaling** is to determine the component of  $A\mathbf{x}_i$  that has the largest absolute value and multiply the vector  $A\mathbf{x}_i$  by the reciprocal of this component. The resulting vector will then have components whose absolute values are less than or equal to 1. (Other scaling techniques are possible. For examples, see Exercises 27 and 28.)

#### EXAMPLE 4 The Power Method with Scaling

Calculate seven iterations of the power method with *scaling* to approximate a dominant eigenvector of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Use  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (1, 1, 1)$  as the initial approximation.

**Solution** One iteration of the power method produces

$$A\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

and by scaling we obtain the approximation

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.60 \\ 0.20 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A second iteration yields

$$A\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.60 \\ 0.20 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 2.20 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}_2 = \frac{1}{2.20} \begin{bmatrix} 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 2.20 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.45 \\ 0.45 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Continuing this process, we obtain the sequence of approximations shown in Table 10.6.

TABLE 10.6

$\mathbf{x}_0$	$\mathbf{x}_1$	$\mathbf{x}_2$	$\mathbf{x}_3$	$\mathbf{x}_4$	$\mathbf{x}_5$	$\mathbf{x}_6$	$\mathbf{x}_7$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.60 \\ 0.20 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.45 \\ 0.45 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.48 \\ 0.55 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.51 \\ 0.51 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.50 \\ 0.49 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$

From Table 10.6 we approximate a dominant eigenvector of  $A$  to be

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the Rayleigh quotient, we approximate the dominant eigenvalue of  $A$  to be  $\lambda = 3$ . (For this example you can check that the approximations of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\lambda$  are exact.)

REMARK: Note that the *scaling factors* used to obtain the vectors in Table 10.6,

$\mathbf{x}_1$	$\mathbf{x}_2$	$\mathbf{x}_3$	$\mathbf{x}_4$	$\mathbf{x}_5$	$\mathbf{x}_6$	$\mathbf{x}_7$
↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
5.00	2.20	2.82	3.13	3.02	2.99	3.00,

are approaching the dominant eigenvalue  $\lambda = 3$ .

In Example 4 the power method with scaling converges to a dominant eigenvector. The following theorem tells us that a sufficient condition for convergence of the power method is that the matrix  $A$  be diagonalizable (and have a dominant eigenvalue).

### Theorem 10.3

#### Convergence of the Power Method

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  diagonalizable matrix with a dominant eigenvalue, then there exists a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{x}_0$  such that the sequence of vectors given by

$$A\mathbf{x}_0, A^2\mathbf{x}_0, A^3\mathbf{x}_0, A^4\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, A^k\mathbf{x}_0, \dots$$

approaches a multiple of the dominant eigenvector of  $A$ .

**Proof** Since  $A$  is diagonalizable, we know from Theorem 7.5 that it has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  with corresponding eigenvalues of  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ . We assume that these eigenvalues are ordered so that  $\lambda_1$  is the dominant eigenvalue (with a corresponding eigenvector of  $\mathbf{x}_1$ ). Because the  $n$  eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are linearly independent, they must form a basis for  $R^n$ . For the initial approximation  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , we choose a nonzero vector such that the linear combination

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{x}_n$$

has nonzero leading coefficients. (If  $c_1 = 0$ , the power method may not converge, and a different  $\mathbf{x}_0$  must be used as the initial approximation. See Exercises 21 and 22.) Now, multiplying both sides of this equation by  $A$  produces

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathbf{x}_0 &= A(c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{x}_n) \\ &= c_1(A\mathbf{x}_1) + c_2(A\mathbf{x}_2) + \cdots + c_n(A\mathbf{x}_n) \\ &= c_1(\lambda_1\mathbf{x}_1) + c_2(\lambda_2\mathbf{x}_2) + \cdots + c_n(\lambda_n\mathbf{x}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Repeated multiplication of both sides of this equation by  $A$  produces

$$A^k\mathbf{x}_0 = c_1(\lambda_1^k\mathbf{x}_1) + c_2(\lambda_2^k\mathbf{x}_2) + \cdots + c_n(\lambda_n^k\mathbf{x}_n),$$

which implies that

$$A^k\mathbf{x}_0 = \lambda_1^k \left[ c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + c_n\left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}\right)^k\mathbf{x}_n \right].$$

Now, from our original assumption that  $\lambda_1$  is larger in absolute value than the other eigenvalues it follows that each of the fractions

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}, \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}$$

is less than 1 in absolute value. Therefore each of the factors

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k, \left(\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1}\right)^k, \dots, \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}\right)^k$$

must approach 0 as  $k$  approaches infinity. This implies that the approximation

$$A^k\mathbf{x}_0 \approx \lambda_1^k c_1\mathbf{x}_1, \quad c_1 \neq 0$$

improves as  $k$  increases. Since  $\mathbf{x}_1$  is a dominant eigenvector, it follows that any scalar multiple of  $\mathbf{x}_1$  is also a dominant eigenvector. Thus we have shown that  $A^k\mathbf{x}_0$  approaches a multiple of the dominant eigenvector of  $A$ .

---

The proof of Theorem 10.3 provides some insight into the rate of convergence of the power method. That is, if the eigenvalues of  $A$  are ordered so that

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|,$$

then the power method will converge quickly if  $|\lambda_2| / |\lambda_1|$  is small, and slowly if  $|\lambda_2| / |\lambda_1|$  is close to 1. This principle is illustrated in Example 5.

**EXAMPLE 5**    *The Rate of Convergence of the Power Method*

(a) The matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues of  $\lambda_1 = 10$  and  $\lambda_2 = -1$ . Thus the ratio  $|\lambda_2| / |\lambda_1|$  is 0.1. For this matrix, only four iterations are required to obtain successive approximations that agree when rounded to three significant digits. (See Table 10.7.)

TABLE 10.7

$\mathbf{x}_0$	$\mathbf{x}_1$	$\mathbf{x}_2$	$\mathbf{x}_3$	$\mathbf{x}_4$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1.000 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.818 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.835 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.833 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.833 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) The matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 10 \\ 7 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues of  $\lambda_1 = 10$  and  $\lambda_2 = -9$ . For this matrix, the ratio  $|\lambda_2| / |\lambda_1|$  is 0.9, and the power method does not produce successive approximations that agree to three significant digits until sixty-eight iterations have been performed, as shown in Table 10.8.

TABLE 10.8

$\mathbf{x}_0$	$\mathbf{x}_1$	$\mathbf{x}_2$		$\mathbf{x}_{66}$	$\mathbf{x}_{67}$	$\mathbf{x}_{68}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1.000 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.500 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.941 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$	$\cdots$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.715 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.714 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.714 \\ 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$

In this section we have discussed the use of the power method to approximate the *dominant* eigenvalue of a matrix. This method can be modified to approximate other eigenvalues through use of a procedure called **deflation**. Moreover, the power method is only one of several techniques that can be used to approximate the eigenvalues of a matrix. Another popular method is called the **QR algorithm**.

This is the method used in most computer programs and calculators for finding eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The algorithm uses the *QR*-factorization of the matrix, as presented in Chapter 5. Discussions of the deflation method and the *QR* algorithm can be found in most texts on numerical methods.

## SECTION 10.3 EXERCISES

In Exercises 1–6, use the techniques presented in Chapter 7 to find the eigenvalues of the given matrix  $A$ . If  $A$  has a dominant eigenvalue, find a corresponding dominant eigenvector.

1.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$

2.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

3.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ -3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

4.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -5 \\ 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$

5.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

6.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 7 & 0 \\ 4 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

In Exercises 7–10, use the Rayleigh quotient to compute the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$  corresponding to the given eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}$ .

7.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -5 \\ 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

8.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

9.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 & -2 \\ -6 & 6 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$

10.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & -3 \\ -3 & -4 & 9 \\ -1 & -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

**C** In Exercises 11–14, use the power method with scaling to approximate a dominant eigenvector of the matrix  $A$ . Start with  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (1, 1)$  and calculate five iterations. Then use  $\mathbf{x}_5$  to approximate the dominant eigenvalue of  $A$ .

11.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -7 \end{bmatrix}$

12.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$

13.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ -2 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$

14.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -3 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

**C** In Exercises 15–18, use the power method with scaling to approximate a dominant eigenvector of the matrix  $A$ . Start with  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (1, 1, 1)$  and calculate four iterations. Then use  $\mathbf{x}_4$  to approximate the dominant eigenvalue of  $A$ .

15.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$

16.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -7 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

17.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -6 & 0 \\ 2 & 7 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

18.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

**C** In Exercises 19 and 20, the given matrix  $A$  does not have a dominant eigenvalue. Apply the power method with scaling, starting with  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (1, 1, 1)$ , and observe the results of the first four iterations.

19.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$

20.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 & -2 \\ -6 & 6 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$

**21.** (a) Find the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) Calculate two iterations of the power method with scaling, starting with  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (1, 1)$ .

(c) Explain why the method does not seem to converge to a dominant eigenvector.

**22.** Repeat Exercise 21 using  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (1, 1, 1)$ , for the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**C 23.** The matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

has a dominant eigenvalue of  $\lambda = -2$ . Observe that  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$  implies that

$$A^{-1}\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbf{x}.$$

Apply five iterations of the power method (with scaling) on  $A^{-1}$  to compute the eigenvalue of  $A$  with the smallest magnitude.

**C 24.** Repeat Exercise 23 for the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$



**C** 25. (a) Compute the eigenvalues of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) Apply four iterations of the power method with scaling to each matrix in part (a), starting with  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (-1, 2)$ .

(c) Compute the ratios  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1$  for  $A$  and  $B$ . For which do you expect faster convergence?

26. Use the proof of Theorem 10.3 to show that

$$A(A^k \mathbf{x}_0) \approx \lambda_1(A^k \mathbf{x}_0)$$

for large values of  $k$ . That is, show that the scale factors obtained in the power method approach the dominant eigenvalue.

**C** In Exercises 27 and 28, apply four iterations of the power method (with scaling) to approximate the dominant eigenvalue of the given matrix. After each iteration, scale the approximation by dividing by its length so that the resulting approximation will be a unit vector.

$$27. A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$28. A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -4 & 2 \\ 16 & -9 & 6 \\ 8 & -4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

## 10.4 APPLICATIONS OF NUMERICAL METHODS

### Applications of Gaussian Elimination with Pivoting

In Section 2.5 we used least squares regression analysis to find *linear* mathematical models that best fit a set of  $n$  points in the plane. This procedure can be extended to cover polynomial models of any degree as follows.

#### Regression Analysis for Polynomials

The least squares regression polynomial of degree  $m$  for the points  $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$  is given by

$$y = a_m x^m + a_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0,$$

where the coefficients are determined by the following system of  $m + 1$  linear equations.

$$\begin{aligned} na_0 + (\sum x_i) a_1 + (\sum x_i^2) a_2 + \dots + (\sum x_i^m) a_m &= \sum y_i \\ (\sum x_i) a_0 + (\sum x_i^2) a_1 + (\sum x_i^3) a_2 + \dots + (\sum x_i^{m+1}) a_m &= \sum x_i y_i \\ (\sum x_i^2) a_0 + (\sum x_i^3) a_1 + (\sum x_i^4) a_2 + \dots + (\sum x_i^{m+2}) a_m &= \sum x_i^2 y_i \\ &\vdots \\ (\sum x_i^m) a_0 + (\sum x_i^{m+1}) a_1 + (\sum x_i^{m+2}) a_2 + \dots + (\sum x_i^{2m}) a_m &= \sum x_i^m y_i \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $m = 1$  this system of equations reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} na_0 + (\sum x_i) a_1 &= \sum y_i \\ (\sum x_i) a_0 + (\sum x_i^2) a_1 &= \sum x_i y_i, \end{aligned}$$