Elements of Fourier Analysis

Jyunyi Liao

Contents

1	\mathbf{Pre}	eliminaries	2
	1.1	Convolution	2
	1.2	The Schwartz Space	8
2	Fourier Transform		10
	2.1	Motivation: Fourier Series	10
	2.2	Fourier Transform on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$	11
	2.3	Fourier Transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$	15
	2.4	Fourier Transform of Radial Functions and Hankel Transform	18
	2.5	Application in Partial Differential Equations	23
3	Distribution Theory		27
	3.1	Topology on $C_c^{\infty}(U)$	27
	3.2	Distributions	31
	3.3	Compactly Supported Distributions	37
	3.4	Tempered Distributions and Fourier Transform	38
	3.5	Sobolev Spaces	45
	3.6	Application: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations	51
4	Positive Definite Functions and Native Spaces		53
	4.1	Positive Definite Functions	54
	4.2	Bochner's Theorem	56
	4.3	Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces	63
	4.4	Native Spaces for Positive Definite Functions	67

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Convolution

In this section we study the convolution operation on \mathbb{R}^n . If a function f is defined on $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we can replace it by its natural zero extension $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ which assigns f(x) = 0 for $x \notin U$.

Definition 1.1 (Convolution). Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable functions. Define the bad set as

$$E(f,g) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x-y)g(y)| \, dy = \infty \right\}.$$

The *convolution* of f and g is the function $f * g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(f*g)(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)g(y) \, dy, & x \notin E(f,g), \\ 0, & x \in E(f,g). \end{cases}$$

Remark. Define $F: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}, (x,y) \mapsto f(x)$ and $G: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}, (x,y) \mapsto g(y)$. Then both F and G are measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^{2n} , as well as their product $F \cdot G: (x,y) \mapsto f(x)g(y)$. Given linear transformation T(x,y) = (x-y,y), the composition $H = (F \cdot G) \circ T: (x,y) \mapsto f(x-y)g(y)$ is measurable. By Tonelli's theorem, the function $x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |H(x,y)| \, dy$ is measurable, and E(f,g) is a Lebesgue measurable set.

Clearly, the convolution operation is both commutative and associative, i.e. f * g = g * f, and (f * g) * h = f * (g * h). Furthermore, the distributivity of convolution with respect to functional addition immediately follows, i.e. f * (g + h) = f * g + f * h.

Proposition 1.2 (Properties of convolution). Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable functions.

(i) If $f,g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the bad set E(f,g) is of measure zero. Moreover, $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} (f * g) dm = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f dm \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g dm.$$
 (1.1)

- (ii) If $f \in C_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f * g \in C_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (iii) If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f * g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$||f * g||_{L^p} \le ||f||_{L^p} ||g||_{L^1}.$$

Proof. (i) Define the measurable function $H(x,y)\mapsto f(x-y)g(y)$ on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . By Tonelli's theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |H| \, dm = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x-y)| \, |g(y)| \, dx \right) dy = \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^1}.$$

Hence $H: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is integrable. By Fubini's theorem, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \mapsto H(x,y)$ is integrable, hence m(E(f,g)) = 0. Furthermore, the function $f * g : x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(x,y) \, dy$ is also integrable, that is, $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The equation (1.1) follows from Fubini's theorem.

(ii) Given $\epsilon > 0$. By uniform continuity of f, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(x')| < \epsilon/||g||_{L^1}$ for all $|x - x'| < \eta$, . As a result, for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|x - x'| < \eta$, we have

$$|(f * g)(x) - (f * g)(x')| \le \int_{\mathbb{D}_n} |f(x - y) - f(x' - y)| |g(y)| dy < \epsilon.$$

(iii) is a special case of the following proposition.

Proposition 1.3 (Young's convolution inequality). Given $r \in [1, \infty]$ and Hölder r-conjugates $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the bad set E(f, g) is of measure zero, and we have

$$||f * g||_{L^r} \le ||f||_{L^p} ||g||_{L^q}.$$

Remark. Note that

$$r = \frac{pq}{p+q-pq} \ge 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{pq}{p+q} \ge \frac{1}{2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p \ge \frac{q}{2q-1} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad q \ge \frac{p}{2p-1},$$

and

$$r < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p + q > pq \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p < \frac{q}{q-1} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad q < \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

Proof. We first bound f * g. By applying generalized Hölder's inequality on $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{r-p}{pr} + \frac{r-q}{qr} = 1$, we have

$$|(f * g)(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x - y)| |g(y)| dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|f(x - y)|^p |g(y)|^q)^{1/r} |f(x - y)|^{\frac{r-p}{r}} |g(y)|^{\frac{r-q}{r}} dy$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x - y)|^p |g(y)|^q dy \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x - y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{r-p}{pr}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(y)|^q dy \right)^{\frac{r-q}{qr}}$$

$$= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x - y)|^p |g(y)|^q dy \right)^{1/r} ||f||_{L^p}^{\frac{r-p}{r}} ||g||_{L^q}^{\frac{r-q}{r}}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f(x-y)| |g(y)| dy \right)^{r} dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f(x-y)|^{p} |g(y)|^{q} dy dx \right) ||f||_{L^{p}}^{r-p} ||g||_{L^{q}}^{r-q} \\
\leq ||f||_{L^{p}}^{r-p} ||g||_{L^{q}}^{r-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f(x-y)|^{p} dx \right) |g(y)|^{q} dy = ||f||_{L^{p}}^{r} ||g||_{L^{q}}^{r},$$

where we use Fubini's theorem in the second inequality. From the last display, we have m(E(f,g)) = 0, and $||f * g||_{L^r} \le ||f||_{L^p} ||g||_{L^q}$.

Remark. If $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is compactly supported, then $f * g \in L^r_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 1.4 (Convolution of compactly supported functions). Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$.

- (i) If $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\operatorname{supp}(f * g) \subset \overline{\operatorname{supp} f + \operatorname{supp} g} := \overline{\{x + y : x \in \operatorname{supp} f, y \in \operatorname{supp} g\}}$. Furthermore, if both f and g are compactly supported on \mathbb{R} , then f * g is also compactly supported. In this case, $\operatorname{supp}(f * g) \subset \operatorname{supp} f + \operatorname{supp} g$.
- (ii) Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $f \in C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f * g \in C_u^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, differentiation commutes with convolution, i.e., $\partial^{\alpha}(f * g) = \partial^{\alpha}f * g$, for all $|\alpha| \leq k$.
- (iii) Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f * g \in C_u^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Similarly, differentiation commutes with convolution, i.e., $\partial^{\alpha}(f * g) = \partial^{\alpha}f * g$ for multi-indices α .

Remark. Here is a slight modification of assertions (ii) and (iii):

(ii') Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $f \in C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f * g \in C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, differentiation commutes with convolution, i.e.,

$$\partial^{\alpha}(f * g) = \partial^{\alpha}f * g, \qquad \forall |\alpha| \le k,$$

(iii') Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f * g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Similarly, differentiation commutes with convolution, i.e., $\partial^{\alpha}(f * g) = \partial^{\alpha}f * g$ for multi-indices α .

Proof. (i) Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and take any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - y)g(y) \, dy = \int_{(x - \text{supp } f) \cap \text{supp } g} f(x - y)g(y) \, dy.$$

For $x \notin \operatorname{supp} f + \operatorname{supp} g$, we have $(x - \operatorname{supp} f) \cap \operatorname{supp} g = \emptyset$, which implies (f * g)(x) = 0. Hence

$$(f * g)(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow x \in \operatorname{supp} f + \operatorname{supp} g \Rightarrow \operatorname{supp} (f * g) \subset \overline{\operatorname{supp} f + \operatorname{supp} g}$$

If $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then supp f and supp g are compact in \mathbb{R}^n . Define $\phi(x, y) = x + y$, which is a continuous map on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Then supp $f + \text{supp } g = \phi(\text{supp } f \times \text{supp } g)$ is also compact. Consequently, supp f + supp g is closed, and its closed subset supp(f * g) is also compact. which implies $f * g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) Step I: We first show the case k = 0. Let q = p/(p-1). Note that f is continuous and compact supported, then $m(\operatorname{supp} f) < \infty$, f is uniformly continuous, and $||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in \operatorname{supp} f} |f(x)| < \infty$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x-y)| |g(y)| dy \le ||f||_{L^q} ||g||_{L^p} \le m \left(\operatorname{supp} f \right)^{1/q} ||f||_{\infty} ||g||_{L^p} < \infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then f * g is well-defined on \mathbb{R}^n . To show uniform continuity of f * g, we fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let η be such that $|x - x'| < \eta$ implies $|f(x) - f(x')| < \epsilon$. Then

$$|(f * g)(x) - (f * g)(x')| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[f(x - y) - f(x' - y) \right] g(y) \, dy \right| \le 2m \left(\operatorname{supp} f \right)^{1/q} \|g\|_{L^p} \, \epsilon.$$

Step II: We prove the case k = 1. It suffices to show the interchangeability of derivative and integral. Given any quantity h > 0, we have

$$\frac{(f*g)(x+he_i) - (f*g)(x)}{h} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x+he_i - y) - f(x-y)}{h} g(y) \, dy. \tag{1.2}$$

Since $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Lagrange's mean value theorem, there exists $\xi \in [0,1]$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(x + he_i - y) - f(x - y)}{h} \right| = \left| \partial_{x_i} f(x + \xi he_i - y) \right|, \tag{1.3}$$

Note that $\partial_{x_i} f$ is also continuous and compactly supported on \mathbb{R}^n , the RHS of (1.3) is bounded by $\|\partial_{x_i} f\|_{\infty}$, and the integrand in (1.2) is dominated by an integrable function $\|\partial_{x_i} f\|_{\infty} g$. Using Lebesgue's dominate convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x + he_i - y) - f(x - y)}{h} g(y) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} (x - y) g(y) \, dy.$$

Therefore $\partial_{x_i}(f*g) = \partial_{x_i}f*g$. Since $\partial_{x_i}f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\partial_{x_i}(f*g) \in C_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $f*g \in C_u^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Step III: Use induction. Suppose our conclusion holds for $C_c^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For each $f \in C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_c^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\partial^{k-1} f \subset C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Step II, for any $|\alpha| = k - 1$,

$$\partial^{\alpha+e_i}(f*g) = \partial_{x_i}(\partial^{\alpha}(f*g)) = \partial_{x_i}(\partial^{\alpha}f*g) = (\partial^{\alpha+e_i}f)*g,$$

which is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^n . Hence $f * g \in C_u^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(iii) Note that $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\partial^{\alpha}(f * g) = \partial^{\alpha}f * g$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Following Step II, $\partial^{\alpha}f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies $\partial^{\alpha}(f * g) \in C_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Hence $f * g \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} C_u^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = C_u^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Translation operators. Let X be a vector space, let Y^X be the set of functions $f: X \to Y$, and let s be a vector in X. The translation operator $\tau_s: Y^X \to Y^X$ is defined as

$$(\tau_s f)(x) = f(x - s), \text{ for } f \in Y^X.$$

The following proposition gives a description of the continuity of $(\tau_s)_{s\in X}$ in C_c and L^p spaces.

Proposition 1.5. Let $1 \le p < \infty$.

- (i) For any $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tau_s f \to f$ uniformly and in L^p -norm as $s \to 0$.
- (ii) For any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tau_s f \to f$ in L^p -norm as $s \to 0$.

Proof. Let $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and let $B_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \le 1\}$ be the compact unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . The collection of functions $\{\tau_s f : |s| \le 1\}$ has a common support

$$K = \bigcup_{|s| \le 1} \text{supp}(\tau_s f) = \text{supp } f + B_1 = \{x + y : x \in \text{supp } f, y \in B_1\}.$$

Since the addition operation is continuous, K is also a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

By uniform continuity of f, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ for all $|x - y| < \delta$. Hence $\tau_s f \to f$ uniformly as $s \to 0$. Moreover, for any s with $|s| < |\min(\delta, 1)|$, we have

$$\|\tau_s f - f\|_{L^p}^p = \int_K |f(x - s) - f(x)|^p dx \le \mu(K) \epsilon^p.$$

Since $\mu(K) < \infty$, and ϵ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\|\tau_s f - f\|_{L^p} \to 0$ as $s \to 0$.

Now we assume $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $||f - g||_{\infty} < \epsilon/3$. Choose δ such that $||\tau_s g - g||_{L^p} < \epsilon/3$ for all $|s| < \delta$. Then for all $|s| < \delta$,

$$\|\tau_s f - f\|_{L^p} \le \|\tau_s f - \tau_s g\|_{L^p} + \|\tau_s g - g\|_{L^p} + \|g - f\|_{L^p} = 2\|f - g\| + \|\tau_s g - g\|_{L^p} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{s\to 0} \|\tau_s f - f\|_{L^p} = 0$ for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 1.6 (Mollification). Let $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \, dx = a$. Given t > 0, define

$$\phi_t(x) = t^{-n}\phi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right). \tag{1.4}$$

- (i) If f is bounded and uniformly continuous, $f * \phi_t \to af$ uniformly as $t \to 0$.
- (ii) If $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f * \phi_t \to af$ uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n as $t \downarrow 0$.
- (iii) If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f * \phi_t \to af$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \downarrow 0$.

Proof. Using the decomposition $\phi = \phi^+ - \phi^-$, we may assume $\phi \ge 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n . We further assume a = 1 by replacing ϕ by ϕ/a if necessary. Then

$$(f * \phi_t)(x) - f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(x - y) - f(x))\phi_t(y) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\tau_y f - f)(x)\phi_t(y) \, dy.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, we fix M > 0 such that $\int_{|x| > M} \phi(x) dx < \epsilon$. Then $\int_{|x| > Mt} \phi_t(x) dx < \epsilon$.

(i) By uniform continuity of f, we have $\tau_y f \to f$ uniformly as $y \to 0$.

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(f * \phi_t)(x) - f(x)| \le \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|y| \le Mt} (\tau_y f - f)(x) \phi_t(y) \, dy + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|y| > Mt} (\tau_y f - f)(x) \phi_t(y) \, dy$$

$$\le \sup_{|y| \le Mt} \|\tau_y f - f\|_{\infty} + 2\|f\|_{\infty} \epsilon \to 2\|f\|_{\infty} \epsilon, \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

- (ii) It suffices to take the supremum over compact sets $\{|x| \leq N\}$, $N = 1, 2, \cdots$ instead of \mathbb{R}^n in (i), and use the uniform continuity and boundedness of $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on compact sets.
- (iii) By Jensen's inequality, Fubini's theorem and Proposition 1.5 (ii),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |(f * \phi_{t})(x) - f(x)|^{p} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \int_{|y| \leq Mt} (\tau_{y} f - f)(x) \phi_{t}(y) dy + \int_{|y| > Mt} (\tau_{y} f - f)(x) \phi_{t}(y) dy \right|^{p} dx
\leq C_{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{|y| \leq Mt} |\tau_{y} f(x) - f(x)|^{p} \phi_{t}(y) dy dx + C_{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{|y| > Mt} |\tau_{y} f(x) - f(x)|^{p} \phi_{t}(y) dy dx
\leq C_{p} \int_{|y| \leq Mt} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\tau_{y} f(x) - f(x)|^{p} dx \right) \phi_{t}(y) dy + C_{p} ||f||_{L^{p}}^{p} \int_{|y| > Mt} \phi_{t}(y) dy
\leq C_{p} \sup_{|y| \leq Mt} ||\tau_{y} f - f||_{L^{p}}^{p} + C_{p} ||f||_{L^{p}}^{p} \epsilon \to C_{p} ||f||_{L^{p}}^{p} \epsilon, \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0,$$

where C_p is the notation for aconstant depending only on p and may vary across lines. Then let $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.

When we establish the density arguments of C_c^{∞} functions, the above result is very useful.

Proposition 1.7. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. By the first assertion in Proposition 1.6, $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ in $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm. Since $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the result follows.

Proposition 1.8. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. By the second assertion in Proposition 1.6, $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ in $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ norm. Since $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the closure of $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ norm, the result follows.

Aside from the convergence in L^p -norm discussed in Proposition 1.6, we are also interested in the pointwise convergence property of mollification $f * \phi_{\epsilon}$.

Proposition 1.9 (Mollification). Assume $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $|\phi(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-n-\gamma}$ for some $C, \gamma > 0$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \, dx = a$. Define ϕ_{ϵ} as in (1.4). Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $(f * \phi_{\epsilon})(x) \to af(x)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ for every Lebesgue point x of f.

Proof. If x is a Lebesgue point of f, we have

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)| \, dy = 0.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, we choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)| \, dy < r^n \epsilon$ for all $r \leq \delta$, and set

$$I_1 = \int_{|y| < \delta} |f(x - y) - f(x)| |\phi_t(y)| dy, \quad I_2 = \int_{|y| > \delta} |f(x - y) - f(x)| |\phi_t(y)| dy.$$

We claim that I_1 is bounded by $A\epsilon$, where A is independent of t, and $I_2 \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. Since

$$|(f * \phi_t)(x) - af(x)| < I_1 + I_2,$$

we will have

$$\lim \sup_{t \to 0^+} |(f * \phi_t)(x) - af(x)| \le A\epsilon,$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the proof will be completed.

To estimate I_1 , let N be the integer such that $2^N \leq \delta/t < 2^{N+1}$, if $\delta/t \geq 1$, and N = 0 if $\delta/t < 1$. We view the ball $|y| < \delta$ as the union of the annuli $2^{-k}\delta \leq |y| < 2^{1-k}\delta$, $1 \leq k \leq N$ and the ball $|y| < 2^{-N}\delta$. On the k^{th} annulus we use the estimate

$$|\phi_t(y)| = \frac{1}{t^n} \left| \phi\left(\frac{y}{t}\right) \right| \le Ct^{-n} \left| \frac{y}{t} \right|^{-n-\gamma} \le Ct^{-n} \left(\frac{2^{-k}\delta}{t}\right)^{-n-\gamma}$$

and in the ball $|y| < 2^{-N}\delta$, we use the estimate $|\phi_t(y)| \leq Ct^{-n}$. Thus

$$I_{1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N} C t^{-n} \left(\frac{2^{-k}\delta}{t}\right)^{-n-\gamma} \int_{2^{-k}\delta \leq |y| < 2^{1-k}\delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, dy + C t^{-n} \int_{|y| < 2^{-N}\delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, dy$$

$$\leq C \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{N} (2^{1-k}\delta)^{n} t^{-n} \left(\frac{2^{-k}\delta}{t}\right)^{-n-\gamma} + C \epsilon (2^{-N}\delta)^{n} t^{-n} = 2^{n} C \epsilon \left(\frac{\delta}{t}\right)^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{N} 2^{k\gamma} + C \epsilon \left(\frac{2^{-N}\delta}{t}\right)^{n}$$

$$= 2^{n} C \epsilon \left(\frac{\delta}{t}\right)^{-\gamma} \frac{2^{(N+1)\gamma} - 2^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma} - 1} + C \epsilon \left(\frac{2^{-N}\delta}{t}\right)^{n} \leq 2^{n} C \left(\frac{2^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma} - 1} + 1\right) \epsilon.$$

$$= 2^{n} C \epsilon \left(\frac{\delta}{t}\right)^{-\gamma} \frac{2^{(N+1)\gamma} - 2^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma} - 1} + C \epsilon \left(\frac{2^{-N}\delta}{t}\right)^{n} \leq 2^{n} C \left(\frac{2^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma} - 1} + 1\right) \epsilon.$$

As for I_2 , if q is the conjugate exponent to p and χ is the characteristic function of the set $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| \ge \delta\}$,

$$I_2 \le \int_{|y| > \delta} \left(|f(y - x)| - |f(x)| \right) |\phi_t(y)| \ dy \le \|f\|_{L^p} \|\chi \phi_t\|_{L^q} + |f(x)| \|\chi \phi_t\|_{L^1}.$$

If $q = \infty$,

$$\|\chi\phi_t\|_{L^{\infty}} \le Ct^{-n} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{t}\right)^{-n-\gamma} = \frac{Ct^{\delta}}{(t+\delta)^{n+\gamma}} \le \frac{Ct^{\delta}}{\delta^{n+\gamma}},$$

which converges to 0 as $t \to 0$. If $1 \le q < \infty$, we switch to the sphere coordinates:

$$\|\chi\phi_{t}\|_{L^{q}} = \int_{|y| \geq \delta} t^{-nq} \left| \phi\left(\frac{y}{t}\right) \right|^{q} dy = \int_{|z| \geq \delta/t} t^{n(1-q)} \left| \phi\left(z\right) \right|^{q} dz$$

$$\leq C_{n} t^{n(1-q)} \int_{\delta/t}^{\infty} r^{n-1} C(1+r)^{-(n+\gamma)q} dr$$

$$\leq C_{n} C t^{n(1-q)} \int_{\delta/t}^{\infty} r^{n-1-(n+\gamma)q} dr$$

$$= C_{n} C t^{n(1-q)} \frac{(\delta/t)^{n-(n+\gamma)q}}{(n+\gamma)q-n} = \frac{C_{n} C \delta^{n-(n+\gamma)q} t^{\gamma q}}{(n+\gamma)q-n},$$

which also converges to 0 as $t \to 0$. Therefore $I_2 \to 0$ as $t \to 0$, and we are done.

Finally we see an application of the mollification.

Proposition 1.10 (C^{∞} -Urysohn lemma). Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, and let $K \subset U$ be a compact set. There exists $f \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ such that $0 \le f \le 1$, and f = 1 on K.

Proof. Since K is compact and U is open, we take $0 < \epsilon < d(K, U^c)$. Define

$$V = \left\{ x \in U : d(x,K) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \right\}, \quad \text{and} \quad W = \left\{ x \in U : d(x,K) < \frac{2\epsilon}{3} \right\}.$$

Then V is a compact set, W is an open set, and $K \subset V^{\circ} \subset V \subset W \subset \overline{W} \subset U$. By Urysohn's lemma, there exists $g \in C_c(W)$ such that $0 \le g \le 1$ and g = 1 on V. Now we choose $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that ϕ is supported on the closed ball $\overline{B(0,\frac{\epsilon}{3})}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$. Then $f = g * \phi$ is the desired function.

1.2 The Schwartz Space

Definition 1.11 (Schwartz space). The *Schwartz space* consists of all C^{∞} -functions, which, together with their derivatives, vanishes at infinity faster than any power of |x|. More precisely, for any $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, any nonnegative integer N and any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, define the norm

$$||f||_{(N,\alpha)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^N |\partial^{\alpha} f(x)|.$$

The Schwartz space is

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) : ||f||_{(N,\alpha)} < \infty \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \right\}.$$

Remark. For any $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, all its derivatives are also C_c^{∞} , and

$$\|\phi\|_{(N,\alpha)} \le \sup_{x \in \text{supp }\phi} (1+|x|)^N \|\partial^{\alpha}\phi\|_{\infty} < \infty.$$

Therefore, we have $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 1.12. The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Fréchet space under the topology induced by norms $\|\cdot\|_{(N,\alpha)}$.

Proof. It suffices to show the completeness of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let (f_k) be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which implies that $||f_k - f_m||_{(N,\alpha)} \to 0$ as $k, m \to \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. In particular, for each α , the sequence $(\partial^{\alpha} f_k)$ converges uniformly to a function g_{α} . We denote by $e_j = (0, \dots, \frac{1}{j-t}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then

$$f_k(x + he_j) - f_k(x) = \int_0^h \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j} (x + te_j) dt.$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ and apply dominated convergence theorem, we obtain $g_0(x + he_j) - g_0(x) = \int_0^h g_{e_j}(x + te_j) dt$, which implies that $\partial_{x_j} g_0 = g_{e_j}$ by the fundamental theorem of calculus. An inductive argument on $|\alpha|$ implies $D^{\alpha} g_0 = g_{\alpha}$. Then $||f_k - g_0||_{(N,\alpha)} \to 0$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

Proposition 1.13 (Characterization of Schwartz space). Let $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) For all multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the function $x^{\beta} \partial^{\alpha} f$ is bounded;
- (iii) For all multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the function $\partial^{\alpha}(x^{\beta}f)$ is bounded.

Proof. To show (i) \Rightarrow (ii), note that $|x|^{\beta} \leq (1+|x|)^N$ for $|\beta| \leq N$. On the other hand, if (ii) holds, we fix an order $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, and take

$$\delta_N = \min \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^N : |x|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 = 1 \right\} > 0.$$

By homogeneity, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_j|^N \ge \delta_N |x|^N$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and

$$(1+|x|)^N \le 2^N \left(1+|x|^N\right) \le 2^N \left(1+\frac{1}{\delta_N} \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^N\right) \le \frac{2^N}{\delta_N} \sum_{|\beta| \le N} |x^\beta|.$$

Hence (ii) \Rightarrow (i). The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from the fact that each $\partial^{\alpha}(x^{\beta}f)$ is a linear combination of terms of the form $x^{\delta}\partial^{\gamma}f$ and vice versa, by the product rule.

Proposition 1.14. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $f * g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, if two sequences $f_j \to f$ and $g_j \to g$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f_j * g_j \to f * g$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 1.4 (iii'), we have $f * g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, since

$$1 + |x| \le 1 + |x - y| + |y| \le (1 + |x - y|) (1 + |y|), \tag{1.5}$$

we have for all order $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ that

$$(1+|x|)^{N} |\partial^{\alpha}(f*g)(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (1+|x-y|)^{N} |\partial^{\alpha}f(x-y)| (1+|y|)^{N} |g(y)| dy$$

$$\leq ||f||_{(N,\alpha)} ||g||_{(N+n+1,0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (1+|y|)^{-n-1} dy$$

$$\leq ||f||_{(N,\alpha)} ||g||_{(N+n+1,0)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{n}}{1+r^{2}} dr < \infty,$$

where C_n is some constant depends only on the dimension n. Hence $f * g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) Using the above estimate, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we also have

$$||f_j * g_j - f * g||_{(N,\alpha)} \le ||f * (g_j - g)||_{(N,\alpha)} + ||(f_j - f) * g||_{(N,\alpha)} + ||(f_j - f) * (g_j - g)||_{(N,\alpha)}$$

$$\le \frac{C_n \pi}{2} \left[||f||_{(N,\alpha)} ||g_j - g||_{(N+n+1,0)} + ||f_j - f||_{(N,\alpha)} ||g||_{(N+n+1,0)} + ||f_j - f||_{(N,\alpha)} ||g_j - g||_{(N+n+1,0)} \right],$$

which converges to 0 as $j \to \infty$. Hence $f_j * g_j \to f * g$.

Proposition 1.15. $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(1 \le p < \infty)$ and in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \supset C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the result follows from Propositions 1.7 and 1.8.

2 Fourier Transform

2.1 Motivation: Fourier Series

In this part, we study the periodic functions on \mathbb{R}^n . A function $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{C}$ is said to be 2π -periodic, if

$$f(x + 2\pi\kappa) = f(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. According to periodicity, every 2π -periodic function f is completely determined by its values on the cube $[0, 2\pi)^n$. Hence we may regard f as a function on the quotient space

$$\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n = \{ x + 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \}.$$

We call \mathbb{T}^n the *n*-dimensional torus. For measure-theoretic purposes, we identify \mathbb{T}^n with the cube $Q = [0, 2\pi)^n$, and the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T}^n is induced by Lebesgue measure on Q. In particular, $m(\mathbb{T}^n) = m(Q) = (2\pi)^n$. Functions on \mathbb{T}^n maybe considered as periodic functions on \mathbb{R}^n or as functions Q, depending on the context.

Theorem 2.1. The functions $(e^{i\kappa \cdot x})_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ form an orthogonal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all finite linear combinations of $e^{i\kappa \cdot x}$. Then \mathcal{A} is a self-adjoint algebra that separates points and vanishes at no points of \mathbb{T}^n . Since \mathbb{T}^n is compact, by Stone-Weierstrass theorem, \mathcal{A} is dense in $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ in the supremum norm, and hence in L^2 -norm. Since $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, the result follows. \square

The Fourier series of a periodic function is then defined by its expansion under the orthogonal basis.

Definition 2.2. If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we define its Fourier transform $\widehat{f} : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\widehat{f}(\kappa) = \frac{\langle f, e^{i\kappa \cdot x} \rangle_{L^2}}{\langle e^{i\kappa \cdot x}, e^{i\kappa \cdot x} \rangle_{L^2}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{O} f(x) e^{-i\kappa \cdot x} \, dx, \tag{2.1}$$

and we call the series $\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{f}(\kappa) e^{i\kappa \cdot x}$ the Fourier series of f.

Remark. (I) According to Theorem 2.1, the Fourier series of a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ converges to f in L^2 . Consequently, we have the Parseval's equality:

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{\ell^2}^2 := \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\widehat{f}(\kappa)|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Hence the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} maps $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ onto $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

(II) In fact, the definition (2.1) of Fourier transform makes sense if $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and $|\widehat{f}(\kappa)| \leq (2\pi)^{-n} ||f||_{L^1}$. Hence the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} is a bounded linear map from $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ to $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Theorem 2.3 (Convolution Theorem). Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Then

$$\widehat{f * g} = (2\pi)^n \widehat{f} \, \widehat{g}.$$

Proof. By Young's convolution inequality [Proposition 1.3], $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$. By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{(f*g)}(\kappa) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_Q \int_Q f(x-y) g(y) e^{-i\kappa \cdot x} \, dy \, dx = \int_Q \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_Q f(x-y) e^{-i\kappa \cdot (x-y)} \, dx \right) g(y) e^{-i\kappa \cdot y} \, dy \\ &= \widehat{f}(\kappa) \int_Q g(y) e^{-i\kappa \cdot y} \, dy = (2\pi)^n \widehat{f}(\kappa) \, \widehat{g}(\kappa). \end{split}$$

Thus we finish the proof.

2.2 Fourier Transform on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Definition 2.4 (Fourier transform and inversion). For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define its Fourier transform by

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\omega) = \widehat{f}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-i\omega \cdot x} dx, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and its inverse Fourier transform by

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(x) = f^{\vee}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\omega)e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Remark. By definition, both \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are linear operators. That is, for all $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \mathcal{F} f + \beta \mathcal{F} g, \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1} f + \beta \mathcal{F}^{-1} g.$$

Also, we have $f^{\vee}(x) = \widehat{f}(-x)$. In the sequel, we first consider the Fourier transform.

Theorem 2.5 (Riemann-Lebesgue lemma). The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} maps $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Fix $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By definition, for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|\widehat{f}(\omega)| \le (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \, dx.$$

Hence \hat{f} is bounded, and

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \le (2\pi)^{-n/2} \|f\|_{L^1}. \tag{2.2}$$

To show continuity of \hat{f} , use dominated convergence theorem:

$$\lim_{h \to 0} f(\omega + h) - f(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \lim_{h \to 0} \int \underbrace{f(x)e^{-ix \cdot \omega} \left(e^{-ix \cdot h} - 1\right)}_{dominated \ by \ 2|f| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} dx$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int f(x)e^{-ix \cdot \omega} \lim_{h \to 0} \left(e^{-ix \cdot h} - 1\right) dx = 0.$$

Hence \hat{f} is a bounded continuous function. It remains to show that $\hat{f}(\omega) \to 0$ as $|\omega| \to \infty$. Note that

$$\widehat{f}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \omega} dx = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\left(x + \frac{\omega \pi}{|\omega|^2}\right) e^{-i\left(x + \frac{\omega \pi}{|\omega|^2}\right) \cdot \omega} dx$$
$$= -(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\left(x + \frac{\omega \pi}{|\omega|^2}\right) e^{-ix \cdot \omega} dx.$$

By averaging,

$$|\widehat{f}(\omega)| = \frac{(2\pi)^{-n/2}}{2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(f(x) - f\left(x + \frac{\omega\pi}{|\omega|^2}\right) \right) e^{-ix\cdot\omega} dx \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{(2\pi)^{-n/2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| f(x) - f\left(x + \frac{\omega\pi}{|\omega|^2}\right) \right| dx$$

$$= \frac{(2\pi)^{-n/2}}{2} ||f - \tau_h f||_{L^1}, \quad \text{where } h = -\frac{\omega\pi}{|\omega|^2}.$$

By translation continuity, the last display converges to 0 as $|\omega| \to \infty$.

Remark. By (2.2), the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bounded linear operator.

Proposition 2.6 (Properties of Fourier transform). Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- (i) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(x)g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\widehat{g}(x) dx$.
- (ii) $(\overline{f})^{\wedge} = \overline{f^{\vee}}$, and $(\overline{f})^{\vee} = \overline{f^{\wedge}}$.
- (iii) (Translation/Modulation) Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\widehat{(\tau_{\xi}f)}(\omega) = e^{-i\omega \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\omega), \quad and \quad \widehat{e^{i\xi \cdot x}f} = \tau_{\xi}\widehat{f}.$$

(iv) (Linear transformation) If $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an invertible linear transformation, and $S = (T^*)^{-1}$ is its inverse transpose, then

$$\widehat{f \circ T} = \left| \det T \right|^{-1} \widehat{f} \circ S.$$

In particular, if T is a rotation matrix, i.e. $T^*T = TT^* = \operatorname{Id}$, then $\widehat{f \circ T} = \widehat{f} \circ T$; if $Tx = t^{-1}x$ is a dilation, then $\widehat{(f \circ T)}(\omega) = t^n \widehat{f}(t\omega)$.

Proof. (i) By Fubini's theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(x)g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\omega)e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\omega \right) g(x) dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\omega)g(x)e^{-i\omega \cdot x} dx d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\omega)\widehat{g}(\omega) d\omega.$$

(ii) We only prove the first identity (the second is similar):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{f(x)} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx = \overline{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{i\omega \cdot x} \, dx} = \overline{f^{\vee}(x)}.$$

(iii) By definition,

$$\widehat{(\tau_{\xi}f)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-\xi) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} e^{-i\omega \cdot \xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-\xi) e^{-i\omega \cdot (x-\xi)} dx = e^{i\omega \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\omega),$$

and

$$(\widehat{e^{i\xi\cdot x}f})(\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\xi\cdot x} f(x) e^{-i\omega\cdot x} \, dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-i(\omega-\xi)\cdot x} \, dx = \widehat{f}(\omega-\xi).$$

(iv) By definition,

$$\widehat{(f \circ T)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(Tx) e^{i\omega \cdot x} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{|\det T|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) e^{i\omega \cdot T^{-1}y} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{|\det T|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) e^{iS\omega \cdot y} dy = \frac{\widehat{f}(S\omega)}{|\det T|}.$$

Thus we finish the proof.

Remark. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Recall our notation that $\phi_{\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \phi(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$, we have

$$\widehat{\phi}_{\epsilon}(\omega) = \widehat{\phi}(\epsilon\omega).$$

Moreover, if we let g(x) = f(-x),

$$\widehat{g}(x) = \widehat{f}(-x) = f^{\vee}(x).$$

Next we discuss the relation between Fourier transform and differentiation.

Proposition 2.7 (Differentiation). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(i) If $x^{\alpha} f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all multi-indices $|\alpha| \leq k$, then $\widehat{f} \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\partial^{\alpha} \widehat{f} = [(-ix)^{\alpha} f] \widehat{}$$

(ii) If $f \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\partial^{\alpha} f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all multi-indices $|\alpha| \leq k$, and $\partial^{\alpha} f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k-1$, then

$$\widehat{\partial^{\alpha} f}(\omega) = (i\omega)^{\alpha} \widehat{f}(\omega).$$

Proof. (i) Let $F(x,\omega) = f(x)e^{-i\omega \cdot x}$. Then

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \omega_j}(x,\omega) = -ix_j f(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Fix $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Note that when h is near 0, we have

$$\left| \frac{F(x, \omega + he_j) - F(x, \omega)}{h} \right| = \left| \frac{e^{-ihx_j} - 1}{h} \right| |f(x)| \le 2|x_j f(x)|.$$

Since $x_i f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\widehat{f}(\omega + he_j) - \widehat{f}(\omega)}{h} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \lim_{h \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{F(x, \omega + he_j) - F(x, \omega)}{h} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{F(x, \omega + he_j) - F(x, \omega)}{h} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -ix_j f(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} dx = \widehat{-ix_j f}.$$

(ii) Consider $|\alpha|=1$. Since $\partial^{\alpha} f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f\in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, use Fubini's theorem and integrate by parts:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) e^{-i\omega_j x_j} \, dx_j \right) e^{-i\omega_{-j} \cdot x_{-j}} \, dx_{-j} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(f(x) e^{-i\omega_j x_j} \big|_{x_j = -\infty}^{x_j = \infty} + i\omega_j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-i\omega_j x_j} \, dx_j \right) e^{-i\omega_{-j} \cdot x_{-j}} \, dx_{-j} \\ &= \frac{i\omega_j}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx = i\omega_j \widehat{f}(\omega). \end{split}$$

Hence we prove the case $k = |\alpha| = 1$ for (i) and (ii). The general case follows from induction on $|\alpha|$.

Theorem 2.8 (Convolution Theorem). Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\widehat{f * g} = (2\pi)^{n/2} \widehat{f} \, \widehat{g}.$$

Proof. By Young's convolution inequality [Proposition 1.3], $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{(f*g)}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) g(y) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dy \, dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) e^{-i\omega \cdot (x-y)} g(y) e^{-i\omega \cdot y} \, dy \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) e^{-i\omega \cdot (x-y)} \, dx \right) g(y) e^{-i\omega \cdot y} \, dy \\ &= \widehat{f}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) e^{-i\omega \cdot y} \, dy = (2\pi)^{n/2} \widehat{f}(\omega) \, \widehat{g}(\omega). \end{split}$$

Thus we finish the proof.

We compute the Fourier transform of a function.

Lemma 2.9. Define the function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\varphi(x) = e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$. Then $\varphi = \widehat{\varphi} = \varphi^{\vee}$.

Proof. For all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} e^{-ix \cdot \omega} \, dx = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x_j^2/2} e^{-ix_j \omega_j} \, dx_j \right)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{e^{-\omega_j^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x_j + i\omega_j)^2/2} \, dx_j \right) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{e^{-\omega_j^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x_j^2/2} \, dx_j \right)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-\omega_j^2/2} = e^{-\frac{|\omega|^2}{2}}.$$

Hence $\widehat{\varphi} = \varphi$. The case $\varphi^{\vee} = \varphi$ is similar.

Now we discuss how to recover a function f from its Fourier transform \widehat{f} .

Theorem 2.10 (Fourier inversion theorem). Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $(\widehat{f})^{\vee} = f$ a.e..

Proof. We take the function φ in Lemma 2.9. Consider the function

$$f^{t}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(t\omega) \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(t\omega) f(y) e^{i\omega \cdot (x-y)} dy d\omega.$$

Since $0 \le \varphi \le 1$ is bounded, $|\varphi(t\omega)\widehat{f}(\omega)| \le \widehat{f}(\omega)$. Since $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f^t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{t \to 0} \varphi(t\omega) \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega = (\widehat{f})^{\vee}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

On the other hand, if we show that $f^t \to f$ in L^1 as $t \to 0$, the result follows. By Fubini's theorem,

$$f^{t}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(t\omega) f(y) e^{i\omega \cdot (x-y)} dy d\omega$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(t\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot (x-y)} d\omega \right) f(y) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\frac{t^{-d}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(\xi) f(y) e^{i\frac{\xi}{t} \cdot (x-y)} d\xi \right) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} t^{-d} \varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{t} \right) f(y) dy = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi_{t} (x-y) f(y) dy.$$

By Proposition 1.6, $\varphi_t * f \to (2\pi)^{n/2} f$ in L^1 . Thus we complete the proof.

Remark. We also have $\mathcal{F}f^{\vee}=f$ a.e. under the same assumption. To show this, let g(x)=f(-x). Then

$$(\widehat{g})^{\vee}(x) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}f^{\vee})(x) = (\mathcal{F}f^{\vee})(-x).$$

Since $(\widehat{g})^{\vee} = g$ a.e. and g(x) = f(-x), the result follows.

Corollary 2.11. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\widehat{f} = 0$ a.e., then f = 0 a.e..

Proof. Clearly $\widehat{f} = 0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $f = (\widehat{f})^{\vee} = 0$. Here all equalities hold in a.e. sense.

Remark. Also, if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f^{\vee} = 0$ a.e., then f = 0 a.e..

2.3 Fourier Transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section, we extend the Fourier transform to the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.12. The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} is an isomorphism of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself.

Proof. Take $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Proposition 1.13 (i), $x^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha}f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. By Proposition 2.7 (i), $\widehat{f} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\widehat{x^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha}f}=i^{|\beta|}\partial^{\beta}\widehat{(\partial^{\alpha}f)}=i^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\partial^{\beta}(\omega^{\alpha}\widehat{f}).$$

Since $x^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha}f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$, we have $\partial^{\beta}(\omega^{\alpha}\widehat{f}) \in C_{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$, which is bounded. By Proposition 1.13 (ii), $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$. Furthermore, since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(1+|x|)^{-n-1}dx < \infty$, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\left\|\partial^{\beta}(\omega^{\alpha}\widehat{f})\right\|_{\infty} = \left\|\widehat{x^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha}f}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \left\|x^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha}f\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq C\left\|(1+|x|)^{n+1}x^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha}f\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{(|\beta|+n+1,\alpha)}.$$

Following the proof of Proposition 1.13, we have $\|\widehat{f}\|_{(N,\alpha)} \leq C_{N,\alpha} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq |\alpha|} \|f\|_{(N+n+1,\gamma)}$. Hence the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} maps $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ continuously into itself. On the other hand, since $f^{\vee}(x) = \widehat{f}(-x)$, the inverse Fourier transform \mathcal{F}^{-1} also maps the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself. By Fourier inversion theorem [Theorem 2.10], these maps are inverse to each other on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence we complete the proof.

Theorem 2.13 (Plancherel). \mathcal{F} extends from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to a unitary isomorphism on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and let $h = \overline{\widehat{g}}$. Then

$$\widehat{h}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\widehat{g}(x)} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \overline{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{g}(x) e^{i\omega \cdot x} \, dx} = \overline{(\widehat{g})^{\vee}(\omega)}$$

By Fourier inversion theorem, we have $\hat{h} = \overline{g}$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \langle f,g\rangle_{L^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\overline{g(x)}\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\widehat{h}(x)\,dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)h(\omega)e^{-i\omega\cdot x}\,d\omega\,dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-i\omega\cdot x}\,dx \right) h(\omega)\,d\omega \qquad \qquad \text{(By Fubini's theorem)} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega)h(\omega)\,d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega)\overline{\widehat{g}(\omega)}\,d\omega = \langle \widehat{f},\widehat{g}\rangle_{L^2}. \end{split}$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ preserves the L^2 inner product. Now for each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can take a sequence $f_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f_k \to f$ in L^2 . Then (\widehat{f}_k) is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\lim_{k,j\to\infty} \|\widehat{f}_k - \widehat{f}_j\|_{L^2} = \lim_{k,j\to\infty} \|\widehat{f}_k - \widehat{f}_j\|_{L^2} = \lim_{k,j\to\infty} \|f_k - f_j\|_{L^2} = 0.$$

This sequence converges to a limit $\widehat{f} = \mathcal{F}f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $g_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $g_k \to f$ in L^2 , we have

$$\|\widehat{g} - \widehat{f}\|_{L^2} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \|\widehat{g}_k - \widehat{f}_k\|_{L^2} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \|g_k - f_k\|_{L^2} \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \|g_k - f\|_{L^2} + \lim_{k \to \infty} \|f - f_k\|_{L^2} = 0.$$

Hence the limit does not depend on the choice of the sequence (f_k) , and the transform $\hat{f} = \mathcal{F}f$ is well-defined. Furthermore, for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Hence \mathcal{F} is a unitary isomorphism on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark. Likewise, \mathcal{F}^{-1} also extends from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to a unitary isomorphism on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Corollary 2.14. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $(\widehat{f})^{\vee} = (f^{\vee})^{\wedge} = f$ in L^2 .

Proof. Take a sequence $f_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f_k \to f$ in L^2 . Then $\widehat{f_k} \to \widehat{f}$ in L^2 , and $f_k = (\widehat{f_k})^{\vee} \to (\widehat{f})^{\vee}$ in L^2 . The proof for the identity $(f^{\vee})^{\wedge}$ is similar.

Similarly we have the convolution theorem for L^2 -functions.

Proposition 2.15. If $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\widehat{f}\widehat{g} = (2\pi)^{-n/2}\widehat{f * g}, \quad and \quad \widehat{fg} = (2\pi)^{-n/2}\widehat{f} * \widehat{g}.$$

Proof. By Plancherel's theorem and Hölder's inequality, we have $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\widehat{f} \widehat{g} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and set $h_x(y) = \overline{g(x-y)}$. Then

$$\widehat{h_x}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{g(x-y)} e^{-i\omega \cdot y} \, dy = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \overline{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x-y) e^{-i\omega \cdot (x-y)} \, dy \, e^{i\omega \cdot x}} = \overline{\widehat{g}(\omega)} e^{-i\omega \cdot x}.$$

Since \mathcal{F} is unitary in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \overline{h_x(y)} \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) \overline{\widehat{h_x(\omega)}} \, d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) \widehat{g}(\omega) e^{i\omega x} \, d\omega = (2\pi)^{n/2} (\widehat{f} \, \widehat{g})^{\vee}(x).$$

For the second statement,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{fg}(\omega) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} (f^{\vee} * g^{\vee}) \widehat{\widehat{}}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (f^{\vee} * g^{\vee}) (-\omega) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{\vee} (-\omega - \xi) g^{\vee}(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega + \xi) \widehat{g}(-\xi) \, d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (\widehat{f} * \widehat{g})(\omega). \end{split}$$

Thus we complete the proof.

Thus we extend the domain of the Fourier transform from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For more general case $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1 \leq p \leq 2$, we have the following estimate for its Fourier transform.

Theorem 2.16 (Hausdorff-Young). Let $p \in [1,2]$, and let $q \in [2,\infty]$ be the conjugate exponent to p. For every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\widehat{f} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le (2\pi)^{-n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. The Fourier transform $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ is a linear mapping from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le (2\pi)^{-n/2} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \text{ for } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

On the other hand, by the Plancherel theorem,

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \text{ for } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

We fix $t = 2(1 - \frac{1}{p})$, so $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-t}{1} + \frac{t}{2}$. By Riesz-Thorin Theorem, for the conjugate $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-t}{\infty} + \frac{t}{2} = \frac{p-1}{p}$,

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \le (2\pi)^{-n(1-t)/2} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = (2\pi)^{-n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \quad f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$

Thus we complete the proof.

Also, we have an approximation formula for Fourier transform in L^p .

Corollary 2.17 (Carleson). Let $p \in (1,2]$, and let $q \in [2,\infty)$ be the conjugate exponent to p. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \widehat{f}(\omega) - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{|x| \le N} f(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx \right|^q \, d\omega,$$

i.e. the limit holds in L^q sense.

Proof. We choose $f_N = f\chi_{\{|x| \leq N\}}$, which is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Hölder's inequality, and converges in L^p to f as $N \to \infty$, by monotone convergence theorem. By Hausdorff-Young theorem, $\widehat{f}_N \to \widehat{f}$ in L^q .

Finally, we discuss the problem of recovering a function from its Fourier transform under minimal conditions. The key idea is to replace the possibly divergent integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega$ by $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) \Phi(t\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega$, where $\Phi(t\cdot)$ is a continuous function vanishing fast enough at infinity to make the integral converge.

Theorem 2.18. Let $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\Phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi = \Phi^{\vee} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Given $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for every t > 0, define

$$f^t(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) \Phi(t\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- (i) If $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then every $f^t \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $||f^t f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \to 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$.
- (ii) If f is bounded and uniformly continuous, so is every f^t , and $f^t \to f$ uniformly as $t \downarrow 0$.
- (iii) If $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so is every f^t , and $f^t \to f$ uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n as $t \downarrow 0$.
- (iv) In addition, assume that $|\phi(\omega)| \leq C(1+|\omega|)^{-n-\epsilon}$ for some $C, \epsilon > 0$. Then $f^t(x) \to f(x)$ as $t \downarrow 0$ for every Lebesgue point x of f.

Proof. We let $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\widehat{f}_1 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\widehat{f}_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the functions f^t are well-defined. If we define $\phi_t(\omega) = t^{-n}\phi(t^{-1}\omega)$, we have $\Phi(t\omega) = \widehat{\phi}_t(\omega)$ by the Fourier inversion theorem and Proposition 2.6 (iv).

Since $\Phi, \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\widehat{f}_1\Phi(t\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_1 * \phi_t \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 2.8,

$$(f_1 * \phi_t)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f_1 * \phi_t}(\omega) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f_1}(\omega) \Phi(t\omega) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\omega$$

By Plancherel's theorem, $\hat{f}_2, \phi_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\hat{f}_2\Phi(t\cdot), f_2 * \phi_t \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. By Proposition 2.15, we have

$$(f_2 * \phi_t)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f_2 * \phi_t}(\omega) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f_2}(\omega) \Phi(t\omega) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\omega.$$

Therefore $f^t = (2\pi)^{-n/2} f * \phi_t$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(\omega) d\omega = (2\pi)^{n/2} \Phi(0) = (2\pi)^{n/2}$, the conclusion then follows from Propositions 1.5 and 1.9.

Remark. An example of functions Φ that can be applied in the above theorem is the Gaussian radial basis function $\varphi(\omega) = e^{-|\omega|^2/2}$ defined in Lemma 2.9. Another example is the function $\Phi(\omega) = e^{-\beta|\omega|}$, whose inverse Fourier transform is the *Poisson kernel*

$$\Phi^{\vee}(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)\beta}{\left(\pi(\beta^2 + |x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}.$$

A detailed deduction is given in Example 2.28.

2.4 Fourier Transform of Radial Functions and Hankel Transform

Bessel functions. Consider the Bessel's differential equation about function y(z):

$$z^{2}y'' + zy' + (z^{2} - \nu^{2})y = 0.$$
(2.3)

The Bessel function of the first kind of order $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ solves this equation:

$$J_{\nu}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(\nu+m+1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2m+\nu}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \{0\},$$

where the power in this definition is given by $z^{\nu} = e^{\nu \log z}$, where $\log z$ is chosen to be the principal branch of the logarithm, i.e. $-\pi < \arg(z) \le \pi$. The Bessel function $J_{\nu}(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus(-\infty,0]$ for every $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$.

• When $\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the Bessel functions $J_{\nu}(z)$ and $J_{-\nu}(z)$ are linearly independent, and the general solution of the Bessel's equation is

$$y(z) = \gamma_1 J_{\nu}(z) + \gamma_2 J_{-\nu}(z), \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

• When $\nu = n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Bessel function J_n has an analytic extension to \mathbb{C} . Furthermore, using the property that $1/\Gamma(-n) = 0$ for nonnegative integers $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$J_{-n}(z) = (-1)^n J_n(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

• To get a solution of (2.3) when $\nu = n \in \mathbb{Z}$ that is linearly independent of from $J_{\pm \nu}$, we introduce the Bessel function of the second kind of order $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, which is defined as

$$Y_{\nu}(z) = \frac{J_{\nu}(z)\cos(\nu\pi) - J_{-\nu}(x)}{\sin(\nu\pi)}, \quad \nu \notin \mathbb{Z}, \quad and \quad Y_{n}(z) = \lim_{\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}, \nu \to n} Y_{\nu}(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The Bessel function $Y_n(z)$ solves (2.3) when $\nu = n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.19. Let $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $J_{\nu}(z)$ be the Bessel function of the first kind.

(i) The following recursive formulae hold:

$$J_{\nu-1}(z) = \frac{dJ_{\nu}}{dz} + \frac{\nu}{z}J_{\nu}(z), \text{ and } J_{\nu+1}(z) = -\frac{dJ_{\nu}}{dz} + \frac{\nu}{z}J_{\nu}(z).$$

(ii) In particular,

$$J_{1/2}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \sin(z)$$
, and $J_{-1/2}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos(z)$.

Remark. Combining the two assertions, one can recurrently derive Bessel functions of half integer orders.

Proof. (i) The first formula follows from the following identity:

$$\frac{d}{dz}\left[z^{\nu}J_{\nu}(z)\right] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}(2m+2\nu)}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(\nu+m+1)} \frac{z^{2m+2\nu-1}}{2^{2m+\nu}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(\nu+m)} \frac{z^{2m+2\nu-1}}{2^{2m+\nu-1}} = z^{\nu}J_{\nu-1}(z).$$

Similarly, the second formula follows from the following identity:

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dz} \left[z^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(z) \right] &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (2m)}{\Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(\nu+m+1)} \frac{z^{2m-1}}{2^{2m+\nu}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m) \Gamma(\nu+m+1)} \frac{z^{2m-1}}{2^{2m+\nu-1}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(\nu+m+2)} \frac{z^{2m+1}}{2^{2m+\nu+1}} = -z^{-\nu} J_{\nu+1}(z). \end{split}$$

(ii) Note that $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$. Then

$$\begin{split} J_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(m+\frac{3}{2})} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2m+\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{z}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdots \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2m+1} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{z}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m+1)! \sqrt{\pi}} z^{2m+1} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \sin(z), \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2m-\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{z}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(m-\frac{3}{2}\right) \cdots \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2m} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{z}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m)! \sqrt{\pi}} z^{2m} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos(z). \end{split}$$

Therefore we complete the proof.

The Bessel functions are related to the integral of plane wave functions on the sphere.

Proposition 2.20 (Sphere integral form of the Bessel functions of the first kind). Let $n \geq 2$, and denote by $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} e^{i\omega \cdot x} dS(\omega) = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |x|^{1-\frac{n}{2}} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(|x|). \tag{2.4}$$

The proof of this result requires some technical lemmata. We first introduce a type of special integrals.

Lemma 2.21. For each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\int_0^\pi \sin^n \theta \cos^{2m} \theta \, d\theta = \frac{\Gamma\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(m + \frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}.$$

In particular,

$$\int_0^{\pi} \sin^n \theta \, d\theta = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}.$$

Proof. (i) We begin from the second integral. Let $I_n = \int_0^{\pi} \sin^n \theta \, d\theta$. To begin with, we have $I_0 = \pi$ and $I_1 = 2$. For $n \ge 2$, compute I_n recurrently:

$$I_n = -\int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1}\theta \, d\cos\theta = \int_0^{\pi} (n-1)\sin^{n-2}\theta \cos^2\theta \, d\theta = (n-1)(I_{n-2} - I_n).$$

Hence $I_n = \frac{n-1}{n}I_{n-2}$. By induction, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$I_{2k+1} = \frac{2k}{2k+1} \cdot \frac{2k-2}{2k-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot I_1 = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})},$$

and

$$I_{2k} = \frac{2k-1}{2k} \cdot \frac{2k-3}{2k-2} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot I_0 = \frac{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(k+1\right)}.$$

The first result is obtained by summarizing the last two identities.

(ii) Let $I_{n,m} = \int_0^{\pi} \sin^n \theta \cos^{2m} \theta \, d\theta$. Then

$$I_{n,m} = \int_0^{\pi} \sin^n \theta \cos^{2m-1} \theta \, d \sin \theta = -\int_0^{\pi} \sin \theta \, d \left(\sin^n \theta \cos^{2m-1} \theta \right)$$

$$= -n \int_0^{\pi} \sin^n \theta \cos^{2m} \theta \, d\theta + (2m-1) \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n+2} \theta \cos^{2m-2} \theta \, d\theta$$

$$= -n I_{n,m} + (2m-1)(I_{n,m-1} - I_{n,m}) = (1 - 2m - n)I_{n,m} + (2m-1)I_{n,m-1}.$$

Hence $I_{n,m} = \frac{2m-1}{2m+n}I_{n,m-1}$. By induction,

$$\begin{split} I_{n,m} &= \frac{2m-1}{2m+n} \cdot \frac{2m-3}{2m+n-2} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{1}{n+2} \cdot I_{n,0} \\ &= \frac{2^m \Gamma\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)/\sqrt{\pi}}{2^m \Gamma\left(m+\frac{n}{2}+1\right)/\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} = \frac{\Gamma\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(m+\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}. \end{split}$$

Therefore the first result holds.

Lemma 2.22. Let $n \ge 2$. The surface area of unit sphere $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ is $\sigma_{n-1} = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}$.

Proof. Using the spherical coordinates, and by Lemma 2.21, we have

$$\sigma_{n-1} = \int_{S^{n-1}} dS(x) = \int_0^\pi \sigma_{n-2} \sin^{n-2} \theta \, d\theta = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(n/2\right)} \sigma_{n-2}.$$

Since $\sigma_1 = 2\pi$ and $\Gamma(1) = 1$, the result follows by induction.

Proof of Proposition 2.20. Let r = |x|. Since $\int_{S^{n-1}} e^{i\omega \cdot x} dS(\omega)$ is radial about x, we take $x = (r, 0, \dots, 0)$:

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} e^{i\omega \cdot x} dS(\omega) = \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{ir\omega_1} dS(\omega). \tag{2.5}$$

For $\omega \in S^{n-1}$, let $\theta = \arccos(\langle \omega, e_1 \rangle)$, where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\cos \theta = \omega_1$, and $\sin \theta = \sqrt{\omega_2^2 + \dots + \omega_n^2}$. Switching to the spherical coordinates, we have

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} e^{i\omega \cdot x} dS(\omega) = \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{ir\omega_1} dS(\omega) = \int_0^{\pi} e^{ir\cos\theta} \sigma_{n-2} \sin^{n-2}\theta d\theta$$

$$= \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})} \int_0^{\pi} e^{ir\cos\theta} \sin^{n-2}\theta d\theta. \tag{2.6}$$

We compute the last integral by expanding the exponent and integrating term by term:

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{ir\cos\theta} \sin^{n-2}\theta \, d\theta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ir)^{k}}{k!} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos^{k}\theta \sin^{n-2}\theta \, d\theta = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(m + \frac{n}{2}\right)} \frac{(ir)^{2m}}{(2m)!} \\
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2m-1)!!\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}{2^{m}\Gamma\left(m + \frac{n}{2}\right)} \frac{(ir)^{2m}}{(2m)!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}{m!\Gamma\left(m + \frac{n}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2m}, \tag{2.7}$$

where the odd terms vanish on $[0, \pi]$, and the even terms follow from Lemma 2.21. Combining (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} e^{i\omega \cdot x} \, dS(\omega) = 2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \, \Gamma\left(m + \frac{n}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2m} = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} r^{1 - \frac{n}{2}} J_{\frac{n}{2} - 1}(r).$$

Thus we complete the proof.

We now turn to the Laplace transforms of some specific functions involving Bessel functions.

Proposition 2.23. (i) For every $\nu > -1$ and r > 0,

$$\int_0^\infty J_{\nu}(x)x^{\nu+1}e^{-rx} dx = \frac{2^{\nu+1}\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{3}{2}\right)r}{\sqrt{\pi}(1+r^2)^{\nu+\frac{3}{2}}}.$$
 (2.8)

(ii) For every r > 0,

$$\int_0^\infty J_0(x)e^{-rx} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+r^2}}.$$
 (2.9)

Proof. (i) For 0 < r < 1 and $\mu > 0$, the Taylor series of $(1 + r)^{-\mu}$ is

$$(1+r)^{-\mu} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \Gamma(\mu+m)}{m! \Gamma(\mu)} r^m.$$

Replacing r by $1/r^2$, we have

$$\frac{r^{2\mu}}{(1+r^2)^{\mu}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \Gamma(\mu+m)}{m! \Gamma(\mu)} r^{-2m}, \quad r > 1.$$
 (2.10)

Hence the right hand side of (2.8) is

$$\frac{2^{\nu+1}\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)r}{\sqrt{\pi}(1+r^2)^{\nu+\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{2^{\nu+1}\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)r^{-2\nu-2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m\Gamma(\nu+\frac{3}{2}+m)}{m!\,\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)}r^{-2m}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m 2^{\nu+1}\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}+m\right)}{\Gamma(m+1)\sqrt{\pi}}r^{-2m-2\nu-2}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m\Gamma\left(2\nu+2m+2\right)}{2^{2m+\nu}\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma\left(\nu+m+1\right)}r^{-2m-2\nu-2},$$
(2.11)

where the last equality follows from Legendre's duplication formula. Now we turn to the integral. By Sterling's formula, there exists a constant c_{ν} depending only on $\nu > -1$ such that $\Gamma\left(\nu + m + 1\right) \geq \frac{m!}{c_{\nu}}$. Then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2m+2\nu+1}e^{-rx}}{2^{2m+\nu}\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma\left(\nu+m+1\right)} &\leq \frac{c_{\nu}}{2^{\nu}}x^{2\nu+1}e^{-rx} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2m}}{(2^m m!)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{c_{\nu}}{2^{\nu}}x^{2\nu+1}e^{-rx} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2m}}{(2m)!} \leq \frac{c_{\nu}}{2^{\nu}}x^{2\nu+1}e^{-(r-1)x}, \end{split}$$

which is absolutely integrable. Using dominated convergence theorem, we can interchange infinite summation and integral in the left hand side of (2.8):

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\infty J_\nu(x) x^{\nu+1} e^{-rx} \, dx &= \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{2m+\nu} \Gamma(m+1) \Gamma\left(\nu+m+1\right)} \int_0^\infty x^{2m+2\nu+1} e^{-rx} \, dx \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m r^{-2m-2\nu-2}}{2^{2m+\nu} \Gamma(m+1) \Gamma\left(\nu+m+1\right)} \int_0^\infty y^{-2m-2\nu-1} e^{-y} \, dy \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m \Gamma\left(2\nu+2m+2\right)}{2^{2m+\nu} \Gamma(m+1) \Gamma\left(\nu+m+1\right)} r^{-2m-2\nu-2}, \end{split}$$

which is consistent with (2.11). Hence the identity (2.8) holds for r > 1. Finally, since both sides of (2.11) is analytic in the region Re(r) > 0 and |Im(r)| < 1, the case $0 < r \le 1$ follows from analytic continuation.

(ii) Interchanging summation and integration gives

$$\int_0^\infty J_0(x)e^{-rx} dx = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{2m}(m!)^2} \int_0^\infty x^{2m} e^{-rx} dx = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m (2m)!}{2^{2m}(m!)^2} r^{-2m-1} dx$$

On the other hand, we set $\nu = 1/2$ in (2.10) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+r^2}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})}{m! \, \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} r^{-2m-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (2m)!}{2^{2m} (m!)^2} r^{-2m-1}.$$

Combining the last two displays, we obtain the desired result.

Now we study the Fourier transform of radial functions on \mathbb{R}^n . A function $F:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{C}$ is said to be radial, if there exists a function f such that F(x)=f(|x|) for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.24 (Hankel transform). Let $\nu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. We define the *Hankel transform of order* ν of a function $f \in L^2((0,\infty), r\,dr)$ by

$$(H_{\nu}f)(\lambda) = \int_{0}^{\infty} rf(r)J_{\nu}(\lambda r) dr, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

The Hankel transform of order $\frac{n}{2}-1$ is related to the Fourier transform of radial functions in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2.25. Let $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a radial function, i.e. F(x) = f(|x|) for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the Fourier transform \widehat{F} is also radial, i.e. $\widehat{F}(\omega) = \phi(|\omega|)$, with

$$\phi(\lambda) = \lambda^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{n}{2}} f(r) J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\lambda r) dr$$

In other words, $|\omega|^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\widehat{F}(\omega)$ coincides the Hankel transform of order $\frac{n}{2}-1$ of $r^{\frac{n}{2}-1}f(r)$

Proof. For the case n=1, we have $J_{-1/2}(z)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}}\cos(z)$ by Proposition 2.19. Since $F:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ is even,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{F}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) e^{-i\omega x} \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) \left(\cos(\omega x) - i \sin(\omega x) \right) dx \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(r) \cos(|\omega| r) \, dr = |\omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{r} f(r) J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\omega| r) \, dr. \end{split}$$

For the case $n \geq 2$, we switch to sphere coordinates and use Proposition 2.20:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{F}(\omega) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(r|x|) e^{-ir\omega \cdot x} \, dS(x) \, dr \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} f(r) \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} e^{-ir\omega \cdot x} \, dS(x) \right) \, dr \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} f(r) \cdot (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} (r|\omega|)^{1-\frac{n}{2}} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(r|\omega|) \, dr \\ &= |\omega|^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{n}{2}} f(r) J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(r|\omega|) \, dr. \end{split}$$

Then we conclude the proof.

Remark. In particular, taking n=2, we know that the Hankel transform of order 0 coincides the Fourier transformation of radial function in \mathbb{R}^2 .

2.5 Application in Partial Differential Equations

Fourier transform and differential operators. Consider the Laplacian operator:

$$\Delta: C^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C(\mathbb{R}^n), \qquad \Delta f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}.$$

For the plane wave function $f(x) = e^{i\omega \cdot x}$, we have

$$\Delta e^{i\omega \cdot x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i\omega_j)^2 e^{i\omega \cdot x} = -|\omega|^2 e^{i\omega \cdot x}.$$

In other words, the function $e^{i\omega \cdot x}$ is an eigenfunction of Δ , with eigenvalue $-|\omega|^2$. Furthermore, under regularity conditions [See Proposition 2.7], we have

$$\widehat{\Delta f}(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (i\omega_j)^2 \widehat{f}(\omega) = -|\omega|^2 \widehat{f}(\omega).$$

This identity shows that the Fourier transform diagonalizes the Laplacian Δ . In other words, the Laplacian is nothing more than an explicit multiplier when viewed using the Fourier transform.

Example 2.26 (Heat equation with Dirichlet boundary condition). Consider the heat equation about the time-varying function u(x,t), which is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta_x u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t = 0\}, \\ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$(2.12)$$

where the initial function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Solution. We let $\widehat{u}(\omega,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x,t)e^{-i\omega \cdot x} dx$ be the Fourier transform of u with respect to x. Applying Fourier transform on both the heat equation and the initial condition, we get the initial value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{u}_t = -|\omega|^2 \widehat{u}, \\ \widehat{u}(\omega, 0) = \widehat{f}(\omega). \end{cases}$$

The solution of this problem is given by $\widehat{u}(\omega,t) = \widehat{f}(\omega)e^{-|\omega|^2t}$. To recover u, we employ the inverse Fourier transform and convolution theorem [Theorem 2.8]:

$$u(x,t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\widehat{f}(\omega)e^{-|\omega|^2t}\right) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}f * \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-|\omega|^2t}).$$

It remains to compute the inverse Fourier transform of $e^{-|\omega|^2 x}$:

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-|\omega|^2 t})(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|\omega|^2 t} e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega = \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\omega_j^2 t + i\omega_j x_j} d\omega_j$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-\frac{x_j^2}{4t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\left(\omega_j \sqrt{t} - \frac{ix_j}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)^2} d\omega_j$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{-\frac{x_j^2}{4t}} = \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}.$$

Hence the solution of problem (2.12) is given by

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|y-x|^2}{4t}} f(y) \, dy.$$

Remark. We write the heat kernel by

$$\Phi_t(x) = \begin{cases} \delta(x), & t = 0, \\ (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the solution of problem (2.12) can be represented as $u = \Phi_t * f$.

Example 2.27 (Heat equation with a source). Consider the heat equation about the time-varying function u(x,t), which is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta_x u + S(x, t) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t = 0\}, \\ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$(2.13)$$

where the source $S(x,t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every t, and the initial function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Solution. Similar to the case without the source S(x,t), we apply Fourier transform on both the equation and the initial condition to get an initial value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{u}_t = -|\omega|^2 \widehat{u} + \widehat{S}(\omega, t), \\ \widehat{u}(\omega, 0) = \widehat{f}(\omega). \end{cases}$$

We solve this problem by multiplying by a factor $e^{|\omega|^2t}$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(e^{|\omega|^2 t} \widehat{u} \right) = e^{|\omega|^2 t} \left(\widehat{u}_t + |\omega|^2 \widehat{u} \right) = e^{|\omega|^2 t} \widehat{S}(\omega, t).$$

Hence

$$e^{|\omega|^2 t} \widehat{u}(\omega, t) = \widehat{f}(\omega) + \int_0^t e^{|\omega|^2 \tau} \widehat{S}(\omega, \tau) d\tau,$$

and

$$\widehat{u}(\omega,t) = e^{-|\omega|^2 t} \widehat{f}(\omega) + \int_0^t e^{-|\omega|^2 (t-\tau)} \widehat{S}(\omega,\tau) d\tau.$$

Applying inverse Fourier transform, we obtain the solution of (2.13):

$$u(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_t(x-y) f(y) dt + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_{t-\tau}(x-y) S(y,t) dy d\tau.$$

Example 2.28 (Laplace equation in the upper half space). Consider the Laplace equation about the function u(x,y) in the upper half space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t = 0\}, \\ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x, y) = 0 & \text{and } \lim_{y \to \infty} u(x, y) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.14)$$

where the function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Solution. We write the Laplace equation as $u_{yy} = -\Delta_x u$, and apply Fourier transform on variable x. Then we get the initial value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{u}_{yy} = |\omega|^2 \widehat{u}, \\ \widehat{u}(\omega, 0) = \widehat{f}(\omega), \\ \lim_{y \to \infty} \widehat{u}(\omega, y) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Since u is vanishing as $y \to \infty$, the solution to this problem is $\widehat{u}(\omega, y) = e^{-|\omega|y} \widehat{f}(\omega)$. Hence the solution to (2.14) is

$$u(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-|\omega|y}) * f.$$

We compute inverse Fourier transform of $e^{-|\omega|y}$:

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-|\omega|y}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|\omega|y} e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} e^{-|\omega|y} e^{i\omega \cdot x} dS(\omega) dr$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{-ry} e^{ir\xi \cdot x} r^{n-1} dS(\xi) dr = \int_0^{\infty} r^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-ry} |x|^{1-\frac{n}{2}} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(r|x|) dr \quad \text{(By Proposition 2.20)}$$

$$= |x|^{-n} \int_0^{\infty} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\rho \frac{y}{|x|}} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\rho) d\rho = \frac{2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) y}{\sqrt{\pi} \left(|x|^2 + y^2\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}. \quad \text{(By Proposition 2.23)}$$

Then

$$u(x,y) = \pi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{y}{(|x-z|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} f(z) \, dz.$$

Remark. We define the Poisson kernel by

$$P(x,y) = c_n \frac{y}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}, \text{ where } c_n = \pi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right).$$

Then the solution of problem (2.12) can be represented as $u(\cdot, y) = P(\cdot, y) * f$.

Example 2.29 (Wave equation with Dirichlet boundary condition). Consider the wave equation about the time-varying function u(x,t), which is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} = \Delta_x u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = f(x), \ u_t(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{y = 0\}, \\ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, \infty). \end{cases}$$
 (2.15)

where the functions $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Solution. Applying Fourier transform with respect to the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we get the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{u}_{tt} = -|\omega|^2 \widehat{u}, \\ \widehat{u}(\omega, 0) = \widehat{f}(\omega), \quad \widehat{u}_t(\omega, 0) = \widehat{g}(\omega). \end{cases}$$
 (2.16)

The solution to (2.16) is

$$\widehat{u}(\omega, t) = \widehat{f}(\omega)\cos(|\omega|t) + \widehat{g}(\omega)\frac{\sin(|\omega|t)}{|\omega|}.$$

We write $W_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\sin(|\omega|t)}{|\omega|}\right)$. By convolution theorem, the solution to problem 2.15 is

$$u(\cdot,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_t * f + W_t * g.$$

Example 2.30 (Transport equation). Consider the following transport equation with constant coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - b \cdot \nabla_x u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t = 0\}, \\ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$(2.17)$$

where the velocity $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a constant vector, and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Solution. We apply Fourier transform with respect to the variable x:

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{u}_t = ib \cdot \omega \widehat{u}, \\ \widehat{u}(\omega, 0) = \widehat{f}(\omega). \end{cases}$$

Then $\widehat{u}(\omega,t) = e^{itb\cdot\omega}\widehat{f}(\omega)$, and the solution to problem (2.17) is

$$u(x,t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[e^{itb\cdot\omega}\widehat{f}(\omega)\right] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega)e^{i(x+tb)\cdot\omega} d\omega = f(x+tb).$$

Example 2.31 (Linearized Korteweg–De Vries equation). Consider the equation about $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$.

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxx} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \{t = 0\}, \\ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

$$(2.18)$$

Solution. We apply Fourier transform with respect to the variable x:

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{u}_t - i\omega^3 \widehat{u} = 0, \\ \widehat{u}(\omega, 0) = \widehat{f}(\omega). \end{cases}$$

Then $\widehat{u}(\omega,t) = e^{i\omega^3 t} \widehat{f}(\omega)$, and u is recovered by taking the inverse Fourier transform of \widehat{u} . By convolution theorem, $u = G(\cdot,t) * f$, where $G(\cdot,t)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $e^{i\omega^3 t}$ up to a factor $1/\sqrt{2\pi}$:

$$G(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega^3 t} e^{i\omega x} d\omega.$$

We compute the function G by constructing an ordinary differential equation for it. Fix $t = \frac{1}{3}$, and consider the function $g(x) = G(x, \frac{1}{3})$. Then

$$\widehat{g}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i\omega^3/3}.$$

By Proposition 2.7,

$$g'' = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(-\omega^2\widehat{g}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\omega^2e^{i\omega^3/3}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad xg = -i\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{g}') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\omega^2e^{i\omega^3/3}\right).$$

Hence the function g satisfies the Airy equation g'' - xg = 0. Since our solution should vanish at infinity, we take the solution g(x) = Ai(x). For general t > 0, applying change of variable gives

$$G(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{1}{3}i\left(\sqrt[3]{3t}\omega\right)^3} e^{i\omega x} d\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{3t}} \operatorname{Ai}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{3t}}\right).$$

The solution to the problem (2.18) is $u(\cdot,t) = G(\cdot,t) * f$.

3 Distribution Theory

3.1 Topology on $C_c^{\infty}(U)$

The Fréchet space $\mathcal{D}(K)$. Let K be a compact set of \mathbb{R}^n . The space $C_c^{\infty}(K)$ is defined to be all C^{∞} functions on \mathbb{R}^n whose support is compact and contained in K. This is a Fréchet space with topology \mathscr{T}_K defined by norms

$$\|\phi\|_{K,N} = \sup_{x \in K, |\alpha| < N} |\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)|, \ N \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

That is, a local base for this topology at $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(K)$ is the family of sets

$$U_{K,N}^{\epsilon}(\phi) = \left\{ \psi \in C_c^{\infty}(K) : \|\psi - \phi\|_{K,N} < \epsilon \right\},\,$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Indeed, we only need to define the base sets

$$U_{K,N}^{\epsilon} = \left\{ \psi \in C_c^{\infty}(K) : \|\psi\|_{K,N} < \epsilon \right\}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ \epsilon > 0$$

at 0, and take $\phi + U_{K,N}^{\epsilon}$ to be the base sets at ϕ . The Fréchet space $C_c^{\infty}(K)$ is metrizable by setting

$$d_K(\phi, \psi) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^N} \frac{\|\phi - \psi\|_{K,N}}{1 + \|\phi - \psi\|_{K,N}}, \quad \phi, \psi \in C_c^{\infty}(K).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{D}(K)$ the space $C_c^{\infty}(K)$ endowed with the topology \mathscr{T}_K . In $\mathcal{D}(K)$, every sequence (ϕ_k) converges to ϕ if and only if $\partial^{\alpha}\phi_k \to \partial^{\alpha}\phi$ uniformly for all multi-indices α .

Construct a base for a topology on $C_c^{\infty}(U)$. For an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the space $C_c^{\infty}(U)$ is defined to be the set of C^{∞} functions whose support is compact and contained in U. Indeed, $C_c^{\infty}(U)$ can be viewed as the union of spaces $C_c^{\infty}(K)$ as K ranges over all compact subsets of U.

To construct a topology on $C_c^{\infty}(U)$, let \mathscr{B}_0 be the family of all balanced¹, convex sets $V \subset C_c^{\infty}(U)$ such that $V \cap C_c^{\infty}(K) \in \mathscr{T}_K$ for all compact $K \subset U$. We can show that \mathscr{B}_0 is nonempty. For example, let

$$V_N^{\epsilon} = \left\{ \psi \in C_c^{\infty}(U) : \sup_{x \in U, |\alpha| \le N} |\partial^{\alpha} \psi(x)| < \epsilon \right\}.$$
 (3.1)

Then V_N^{ϵ} is balanced, convex, and $V_N^{\epsilon} \cap C_c^{\infty}(K) = U_{K,N}^{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{T}_K$. We then define

$$\mathscr{B} = \{ \phi + V : \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U), V \in \mathscr{B}_0 \}. \tag{3.2}$$

The sets in \mathscr{B} gives an appropriate topology on $C_c^{\infty}(U)$.

Theorem 3.1. The family \mathscr{B} defined in (3.2) is a base for a locally convex Hausdorff topology \mathscr{T} on $C_c^{\infty}(U)$ that turns $C_c^{\infty}(U)$ into a topological vector space.

Remark. We write for $\mathcal{D}(U)$ the topological space $(C_c^{\infty}(U), \mathcal{T})$, whose elements are called testing functions.

Proof. Step I. We first verify that \mathscr{B} is a base for a topology on $C_c^{\infty}(U)$. It suffices to verify the following two conditions:

- (i) For each $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ there exists $U \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $\phi \in U$;
- (ii) For each $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ and each $\phi \in U_1 \cap U_2$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $V \ni \phi$ and $V \subset U_1 \cap U_2$. In other words, \mathcal{B} is closed under finite intersection operation.

¹A subset E of a vector space X is balanced if $tx \in E$ for all $x \in E$ and $|t| \le 1$.

- For (i), we let $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. The set V_N^{ϵ} defined in (3.1) is in \mathscr{B}_0 , and $\phi + V_N^{\epsilon} \in \mathscr{B}$.
- For (ii), we let $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ and $V_1, V_2 \in \mathscr{B}_0$ be such that $(\phi_1 + V_1) \cap (\phi_2 + V_2) \neq \emptyset$. We fix any

$$\phi \in (\phi_1 + V_1) \cap (\phi_2 + V_2),$$

and take a compact set $K \subset U$ such that K contains the supports of ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 and ϕ . Then for j = 1, 2, we have

$$\phi - \phi_i \in V_i \cap C_c^{\infty}(K) \in \mathscr{T}_K.$$

Using the continuity of scalar multiplication in $C_c^{\infty}(K)$, we may find $0 < \alpha < 1$, such that

$$\phi - \phi_i \in (1 - \alpha)(V_i \cap C_c^{\infty}(K)) \subset (1 - \alpha)V_i, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

By convexity of the sets V_j , we have

$$\phi - \phi_i + \alpha V_i = (1 - \alpha)V_i + \alpha V_i = V_i, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

so that $\phi + \alpha V_j \in \phi_j + V_j$ for j = 1, 2, and

$$\phi + \alpha(V_1 \cap V_2) \subset (\phi_1 + V_1) \cap (\phi_2 + V_2).$$

Hence \mathscr{B} is a base for a topology \mathscr{T} given by all unions of members of \mathscr{B} .

Step II. Next we verify that $C_c^{\infty}(U)$ is a topological vector space under \mathscr{T} .

• To prove the continuity of scalar multiplication at a point $(t_0, \phi_0) \in \mathbb{C} \times C_c^{\infty}(U)$, we notice that each neighborhood of $t_0\phi_0$ contains some $t_0\phi_0 + V$, where $V \in \mathcal{B}_0$. Let $K = \text{supp}(\phi_0)$. Then $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(K)$. By continuity of scalar multiplication in $\mathcal{D}(K)$, we may find $\gamma > 0$ so small that

$$\gamma \phi_0 \in \frac{1}{2} \left(V \cap C_c^{\infty}(K) \right) \subset \frac{1}{2} V.$$

Fix $s = \frac{1}{2(|t_0| + \gamma)}$. Then for every $|t - t_0| < \gamma$ and $\phi \in \phi_0 + sV$,

$$t\phi - t_0\phi_0 = t(\phi - \phi_0) + (t - t_0)\phi \in tsV + \frac{1}{2}V \subset \frac{1}{2}V + \frac{1}{2}V = V,$$

where we use the fact that V is convex and balanced. Therefore $t\phi \in t_0\phi_0 + V$ for every $|t - t_0| < \gamma$ and $\phi \in \phi_0 + sV$, which proves the continuity of scalar multiplication.

• To prove the continuity of addition at a point $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in C_c^{\infty}(U) \times C_c^{\infty}(U)$, we consider a neighborhood $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + V$ of $\phi_1 + \phi_2$, where $V \in \mathcal{B}_0$. The convexity of V implies that

$$\left(\phi_1 + \frac{1}{2}V\right) + \left(\phi_2 + \frac{1}{2}V\right) = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + V.$$

Since $V \cap \mathcal{D}(K) \in \mathscr{T}_K$ for all compact $K \subset U$, and since the scalar multiplication is continuous in $\mathcal{D}(K)$, we have $\frac{1}{2}V \cap \mathcal{D}(K) \in \mathscr{T}_K$ for all compact $K \subset U$, and $\frac{1}{2}V \in \mathscr{B}_0$. Hence

$$\phi_1 + \frac{1}{2}V, \ \phi_2 + \frac{1}{2}V \in \mathcal{B},$$

and the addition operation is continuous.

Step III. Finally, to prove that $(C_c^{\infty}(U), \mathcal{T})$ is a Hausdorff space, we take $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2$ from $C_c^{\infty}(U)$ and define

$$V = \left\{ \psi \in C_c^{\infty}(U) : \sup_{x \in U} |\psi(x)| < \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in U} |\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x)| \right\}.$$

In view of (3.1), we have $V \in \mathcal{B}_0$. If $\phi \in (\phi_1 + V) \cap (\phi_2 + V)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{x \in U} |\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x)| &\leq \sup_{x \in U} |\phi(x) - \phi_1(x)| + \sup_{x \in U} |\phi(x) - \phi_2(x)| \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in U} |\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x)| + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in U} |\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x)| = \sup_{x \in U} |\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x)|, \end{split}$$

a contradiction! Hence $(\phi_1 + V) \cap (\phi_2 + V) = \emptyset$, and we finish the proof.

We now show that the topology \mathscr{T} , when restricted to $\mathscr{D}(K)$, for some compact set $K \subset U$, does not produce more open sets than the ones in \mathscr{T}_K .

Proposition 3.2. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. For every compact set $K \subset U$, the topology on $\mathcal{D}(K)$ coincide with the relative topology of $\mathcal{D}(K)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{D}(U)$.

Proof. Fix a compact set $K \subset U$ and let $W \in \mathcal{T}$. We claim $W \cap \mathcal{D}(K) \in \mathcal{T}_K$. We may assume $W \cap \mathcal{D}(K)$ is nonempty, otherwise the claim is clear. Let $\phi \in W \cap \mathcal{D}(K)$. Since \mathscr{B} is a base for \mathscr{T} , we take $V \in \mathscr{B}_0$ such that $\phi + V \subset W$. Then $\phi + (V \cap \mathcal{D}(K)) \subset W \cap \mathcal{D}_K$, and $\phi + (V \cap \mathcal{D}(K)) \in \mathscr{T}_K$ since $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ and $V \cap \mathcal{D}(K) \in \mathscr{T}_K$. Hence every point of $W \cap \mathcal{D}(K)$ is in the interior with respect to \mathscr{T}_K , and $W \cap \mathcal{D}(K) \in \mathscr{T}_K$.

Conversely, let $W \subset \mathscr{T}_K$. We claim that $W = V \cap \mathcal{D}(K)$ for some open $V \in \mathscr{T}$. Since the family of sets $U_{K,N}^{\epsilon}$ is a local base for the topology \mathscr{T}_K , for each $\phi \in W$, we may find $N_{\phi} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon_{\phi} > 0$ such that $\phi + U_{N_{\phi}}^{\epsilon_{\phi}} \subset W$. Let $V_{N_{\phi}}^{\epsilon_{\phi}}$ be defined as in (3.1). Then

$$(\phi + V_{N_{\phi}}^{\epsilon_{\phi}}) \cap \mathcal{D}(K) = \phi + U_{K,N_{\phi}}^{\epsilon_{\phi}} \subset W,$$

and $\phi + V_{N_{\phi}}^{\epsilon_{\phi}} \in \mathscr{B}$. Therefore $V = \bigcup_{\phi \in W} (\phi + V_{N_{\phi}}^{\epsilon_{\phi}})$ is a set in \mathscr{T} with the desired property.

Proposition 3.3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. If $W \subset \mathcal{D}(U)$ is topologically bounded, there exists a compact set $K \subset U$ such that $W \subset \mathcal{D}(K)$.

Proof. Assume that W is not contained in $\mathcal{D}(K)$ for any compact $K \subset U$. We take an increasing sequence (K_j) of compact sets such that $K_j \subset \mathring{K}_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j$. Then we may find for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ a function $\phi_j \in W$ and a point $x_j \in K_{j+1} \setminus K_j$ such that $\phi_j(x_j) \neq 0$. Define

$$V = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}(U) : |\phi(x_j)| < \frac{1}{j} |\phi_j(x_j)| \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Since each compact set $K \subset U$ contains only finitely many x_j , we have $V \cap \mathcal{D}(K) \in \mathscr{T}_K$, and so $V \subset \mathscr{T}$. Since W is topologically bounded, there exists t > 0 such that $W \subset tV$. If an integer $N \geq t$, we have $\phi_N(x_N) \neq 0$, and $t^{-1}|\phi_N(x_N)| \geq N^{-1}|\phi_N(x_N)|$. Hence $t^{-1}\phi_N \notin V$, and $\phi_N \notin tV$. However $\phi_N \in W \subset tV$, which yields a contradiction. Hence there exists a compact $K \subset U$ with $\mathcal{D}(K) \supset W$.

The topology on $\mathcal{D}(U)$ is complete, and convergent sequence in $\mathcal{D}(U)$ can be explicitly characterized.

Proposition 3.4. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The space $\mathcal{D}(U)$ is complete. Furthermore, a sequence (ϕ_j) in $\mathcal{D}(U)$ converges to $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ if and only if

- (i) there exists a compact set $K \subset U$ such that $(\phi_j) \subset \mathcal{D}(K)$, and
- (ii) $\lim_{j\to\infty} \partial^{\alpha}\phi_j = \partial^{\alpha}\phi$ uniformly on K for each multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

Proof. Let (ϕ_j) be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{D}(U)$. Then (ϕ_j) is topologically bounded, and by Proposition 3.3, there exists a compact set $K \subset U$ such that $(\phi_j) \subset \mathcal{D}(K)$. By Proposition 3.2, we obtain a Cauchy sequence (ϕ_j) in $\mathcal{D}(K)$. Therefore, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists M such that

$$\sup_{x \in K, |\alpha| \le N} |\phi_j(x) - \phi_k(x)| < \epsilon$$

for all $j, k \geq M$. Consequently, for every multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq N$, the Cauchy sequence $\{\partial^{\alpha}\phi_j\}$ converges uniformly in K to a continuous function $\psi_{\alpha} \in C_c(K)$. An inductive argument using the fundamental theorem of calculus shows that $\partial^{\alpha}\psi_0 = \psi_{\alpha}$ for every multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq N$. Given the arbitrariness of $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we conclude that $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ and that the sequence (ϕ_j) converges to ψ_0 with respect to \mathscr{T} . Hence the space $\mathcal{D}(U)$ is complete.

Conversely, if a sequence (ϕ_j) in $\mathcal{D}(U)$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii), it converges to ϕ in $\mathcal{D}(K)$. By Proposition 3.3, it also converges to ϕ in $\mathcal{D}(U)$.

Now we discuss the continuous mappings on $\mathcal{D}(U)$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, X a locally convex topological vector space, and $T : \mathcal{D}(U) \to X$ a linear operator. The following properties are equivalent:

- (i) T is continuous.
- (ii) T is bounded, i.e. it sends topologically bounded sets of $\mathcal{D}(U)$ into topologically bounded sets of X.
- (iii) If (ϕ_j) converges to ϕ in $\mathcal{D}(U)$, then $\lim_{j\to\infty} T\phi_j = T\phi$.
- (iv) The restriction of T to $\mathcal{D}(K)$ is continuous for every compact set $K \subset U$.
- If $X = \mathbb{C}$, the following statement is also equivalent to above all:
 - (v) For every compact set $K \subset U$, there exists an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a constant $c_K > 0$ such that $|T\phi| \leq c_K ||\phi||_{K,N}$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$.

Proof. We prove that (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i).

- (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $T: \mathcal{D} \to X$ is continuous, and $W \subset \mathcal{D}(U)$ is a topologically bounded set. If V is a neighborhood of 0 in X, then $T^{-1}(V)$ is a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathcal{D}(X)$, and there exists t > 0 such that $W \subset tT^{-1}(V)$. Consequently $T(W) \subset tV$. Hence T(W) is also topologically bounded.
- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). We may assume $(\phi_j) \to 0$ by replacing (ϕ_j) with $(\phi_j \phi)$. By Proposition 3.4, there exists a compact set K such that $(\phi_j) \subset \mathcal{D}(K)$, and $d_K(\phi_j, 0) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$.
- Let $B = \{\phi \in \mathcal{D}(K) : d_K(\phi, 0) < 1\}$ be the unit ball in $\mathcal{D}(K)$ centered at 0. If T is bounded, the set T(B) is topologically bounded. Then for any neighborhood V of 0 in X, there exists t > 0 such that $T(B) \subset tV$, so $T(t^{-1}B) \subset V$. Since $d_K(\phi_j, 0) \to 0$ as $j \to 0$, there exists N such that $\phi_j \in t^{-1}(B)$ for all $j \geq N$. Hence $(T\phi_j)$ is eventually in V, and $T\phi_j$ converges to 0.
- (iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Fix a compact set $K \subset U$. If (ϕ_j) is a sequence in $\mathcal{D}(K)$ such that $d_K(\phi_j, 0) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, by Proposition 3.4, we have $\phi_j \to 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(U)$, and $T\phi = \lim_{j \to \infty} T\phi_j$ by property (iii). Hence the restriction of T to $\mathcal{D}(K)$ is continuous at 0. By linearity, the restriction is continuous.
- (iv) \Rightarrow (i). For every neighborhood V of 0 in X and every compact set $K \subset U$, the restriction of T to $\mathcal{D}(K)$ is continuous at zero, and $T^{-1}(V) \cap \mathcal{D}(K) \in \mathscr{T}_K$. Since K is arbitrary, $T^{-1}(V) \in \mathscr{T}$. Therefore, T is continuous at 0 and, by linearity, everywhere in $\mathcal{D}(U)$.
- (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v). Let $X = \mathbb{C}$. Assume that (iv) holds and fix a compact $K \subset U$. By continuity of $T|_{\mathcal{D}(K)}$ at the origin, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $U_{K,N}^{\epsilon} \subset T^{-1}(\{|z| < 1\})$, that is, $|T\phi| < 1$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ with $\|\phi\|_{K,N} < \epsilon$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ and $\phi \neq 0$, then $\|\phi\|_{K,N} \neq 0$, and by linearity of T, we have $|T\phi| \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} \|\phi\|_{K,N}$. Conversely, if (v) holds, for any $\delta > 0$, by taking $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we have $|T\phi| < \delta$ for all $\phi \in U_{K,N}^{\epsilon}$. Hence the restriction $T|_{\mathcal{D}(K)}$ is continuous.

Proposition 3.6. Let U and U' be open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , and $T: \mathcal{D}(U) \to \mathcal{D}(U')$ a linear operator. The following properties are equivalent:

- (i) T is continuous;
- (ii) for each compact set $K \subset U$, there exists a compact set $K' \subset U'$ such that $T(\mathcal{D}(K)) \subset \mathcal{D}(K')$, and the restriction $T : \mathcal{D}(K) \to \mathcal{D}(K')$ is continuous.

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is a special case of the implication (iv) \Rightarrow (i) in Proposition 3.5. To prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii), we let $T: \mathcal{D}(U) \to \mathcal{D}(U')$ be a continuous linear operator and fix a compact set $K \subset U$. According to the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv) in Proposition 3.5, the restriction of T to $\mathcal{D}(K)$ is continuous. If we can show that $T(\mathcal{D}(K)) \subset \mathcal{D}(K')$ for some compact $K' \subset U'$, the proof will be completed by Proposition 3.2.

Assume that $T(\mathcal{D}(K))$ is not contained in $\mathcal{D}(K')$ for any compact $K' \subset U'$. Take an increasing sequence (K'_j) of compact sets such that $K'_j \subset \mathring{K}'_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K'_j$. Then we may find for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ a function $\phi_j \in \mathcal{D}(U')$ and a point $x_j \in K'_{j+1} \setminus K'_j$ such that $d_K(\phi_j, 0) = 1$ and $(T\phi_j)(x_j) \neq 0$. Since (ϕ_j) is topologically bounded in $\mathcal{D}(U)$, by Proposition 3.5 (ii), $(T\phi_j)$ is topologically bounded in $\mathcal{D}(U')$, and by Proposition 3.3, there exists $K' \subset U'$ such that $(\phi_j) \subset \mathcal{D}(K')$, which is contradiction!

3.2 Distributions

Motivation. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1 . For <math>q = \frac{p}{p-1}$, we define $T_f : L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$T_f g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx, \quad g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The Riesz representation theorem states that the map $f \mapsto T_f$ is an isometric isomorphism of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto the dual space $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ of $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In other words, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is completely determined by its action as a bounded linear functional on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. On the other hand, by Lebesgue differentiation theorem,

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+}\frac{1}{m(B(x,r))}\int_{B(x,r)}f(y)\,dy=f(x),\quad \text{for $a.e.$ }x\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

where B(x,r) is the (open) ball of radius r about x, and m is the Lebesgue measure. Hence if we take $g = m(B(x,r))^{-1}\chi_{B(x,r)}$, we can recover the pointwise value of f for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $r \to 0$. Thus, we lose nothing by thinking of f as a linear mapping from $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to \mathbb{C} rather than a map from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{C} .

The idea of distribution follows by allowing $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and requiring $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The map T_f defines a linear functional on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the pointwise values of f can be recovered a.e. by a similar approach of Theorem 1.9. Nevertheless, there are also linear functionals on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that are not of the form T_f .

Definition 3.7 (Distribution). Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . A distribution on U is a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{D}(U)$. The space of all distributions on U is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(U)$. We equip $\mathcal{D}'(U)$ with the weak* topology, i.e. the neighborhoods of $T_0 \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is generated by the sets

$$U_{f_1,\dots,f_m}^{\epsilon}(T_0) = \{T \in \mathcal{D}'(U) : |Tf_j - T_0f_j| < \epsilon, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, m\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$. Furthermore, a sequence $T_j \to T$ in the weak* topology if and only if $T_j f \to T f$ for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$.

Notations. If $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ and $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$, we use the pairing notation $\langle F, \phi \rangle$ for the value of F evaluated at the point ϕ . Sometimes it is helpful to pretend that a distribution $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is a function on U even when it really is not, and to write $\int_U F(x)\phi(x) dx$ instead of $\langle F, \phi \rangle$.

We shall use a tilde to denote the reflection of a function in the origin: $\widetilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(-x)$.

Example 3.8. Following are some examples of distribution on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$:

- Every function $f \in L^1_{loc}(U)$ defines a distribution on U, namely, the functional $\phi \mapsto \int f \phi \, dx$. Clearly, two functions that are equal a.e. define the same distribution, since they are identified in $L^1_{loc}(U)$.
- Every Radon measure μ on U defines a distribution $\phi \mapsto \int \phi d\mu$.
- For a point $x_0 \in U$ and a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the map $\phi \mapsto \partial^{\alpha} \phi(x_0)$ defines a distribution that does not arise from a function.
- In particular, when $U = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha = 0$ and x = 0, this distribution arise from a measure μ which is the point mass at the origin 0. We call this distribution the *Dirac* δ -function, denoted by δ :

$$\langle \delta, \phi \rangle = \phi(0), \quad \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It can be heuristically written as

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} \infty, & x = 0, \\ 0, & x \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and we write $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta(x) \phi(x) dx = \phi(0)$.

We have the following approximation for Dirac δ -function.

Proposition 3.9. Assume $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = 1$. Define

$$f_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^n} f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right), \quad t > 0.$$

Then $f_t \to \delta$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \to 0$.

Proof. If $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle f_t, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_t(x)\phi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_t(x)\widetilde{\phi}(-x) dx = (f_t * \widetilde{\phi})(0),$$

which converges to $\widetilde{\phi}(0) = \phi(0) = \langle \delta, \phi \rangle$ as $t \to 0$ by Proposition 1.6.

Let $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ be a distribution on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For an open set $V \subset U$, we say F = 0 on V if $\langle F, \phi \rangle = 0$ for all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(V)$ (for example, if $F \in L^1_{loc}(U)$, it means that F = 0 a.e. on V). Since a function in $C_c^{\infty}(V_1 \cup V_2)$ need not to be supported in either V_1 or V_2 , it is not so clear that F = 0 on both V_1 and V_2 implies F = 0 on $V_1 \cup V_2$. Nevertheless, it is true:

Proposition 3.10. Let $(V_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ be a collection of open subsets of U, and $V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha}$. If $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ and F = 0 on each V_{α} , then F = 0 on V.

Proof. If $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(V)$, by compactness, there exist finitely many $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in A$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m V_{\alpha_j}$. Take a smooth partition of unity $(\psi_j)_{j=1}^m$, i.e. $\operatorname{supp}(\psi_j) \subset V_{\alpha_j}$ for each j and $\sum_{j=1}^m \psi_j = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}(\phi)$. Then

$$\langle F, \phi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle F, \phi \psi_j \rangle = 0.$$

Hence F = 0 on V.

Remark. (I) According to this proposition, we can take a maximal open set W on which F = 0, namely the union of all open sets on which F = 0. Its complement $U \setminus W$ is called the support of F.

(II) More generally, we say two distributions $F, G \in \mathcal{D}'(V)$ agree on an open set $V \subset U$ if F - G = 0 on V. According to this proposition, if two distributions agree on each member of a collection of open sets, they also agree on the union of those open sets.

Operations on distributions. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, and $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$.

(i) (Product). If $\psi \in C^{\infty}(U)$, we define the product ψF to be

$$\langle \psi F, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \psi \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(U).$$

For any compact $K \subset U$ and any sequence $\phi_j \in C_c^{\infty}(K)$ that converges to ϕ in $\mathcal{D}(K)$, since $\psi \phi_j \to \psi \phi$ and $F|_{\mathcal{D}(K)}$ is continuous, we have $\langle F, \psi \phi_j \rangle \to \langle F, \psi \phi \rangle$. Hence $\psi F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$.

(ii) (Translation). If $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $F \in L^1_{loc}(U)$,

$$\int_{U+y} F(x-y)\phi(x) dx = \int_{U} F(x)\phi(x+y) dx, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(U+y).$$

Similarly, for $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$, we define the translated distribution $\tau_y F$ to be

$$\langle \tau_u F, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \tau_{-u} \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(U+y).$$

Then $\tau_y F \in \mathcal{D}'(U+y)$. In particular, the point mass at y is $\tau_y \delta$.

(iii) (Composition with linear map). If $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an invertible linear transformation and $F \in L^1_{loc}(U)$,

$$\int_{U} F(Tx)\phi(x) dx = \left| \det(T) \right|^{-1} \int_{T^{-1}(U)} F(y)\phi(T^{-1}y) dy, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(T^{-1}(U)).$$

Similarly, for $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$, we define the *composition* $F \circ T$ to be

$$\langle F \circ T, \phi \rangle = \left| \det(T) \right|^{-1} \langle F, \phi \circ T^{-1} \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(T^{-1}(U)).$$

Then $F \circ T \in \mathcal{D}'(T^{-1}(U))$. In particular, if Tx = -x, we define the reflection of F in the origin by

$$\langle \widetilde{F}, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \widetilde{\phi} \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}^{\infty}(-U).$$

(iv) (Convolution). Given $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $V = \{x : x - y \in U \text{ for all } y \in \text{supp}(\psi)\}$. If $F \in L^1_{loc}(U)$,

$$(F * \psi)(x) = \int_{U} F(y)\psi(x - y) \, dy = \int_{U} F(y)(\tau_x \widetilde{\psi})(y) \, dy, \quad x \in V, \tag{3.3}$$

and by Fubini's theorem, if $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(V)$,

$$\int_{V} (F * \psi)(x)\phi(x) dx = \int_{U} \int_{V} F(y)\widetilde{\psi}(y - x)\phi(x) dx dy = \int_{U} F(y)(\phi * \widetilde{\psi})(y) dy.$$
 (3.4)

For $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$, we have two approaches to define the *convolution* $F * \psi$:

• As in (3.3), define function

$$(F * \psi)(x) = \langle F, \tau_x \widetilde{\psi} \rangle, \quad x \in V.$$

• Analogous to (3.4), define $F * \psi$ be the mapping

$$\langle F * \psi, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \phi * \widetilde{\psi} \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(V).$$

If $K \subset V$ is compact and $(\phi_i) \subset C_c^{\infty}(K)$ is a sequence converging to ϕ in $\mathcal{D}(K)$, we have

$$\partial^{\alpha}(\phi_{j} * \widetilde{\psi}) = (\partial^{\alpha}\phi_{j}) * \widetilde{\psi} \to (\partial^{\alpha}\phi) * \widetilde{\psi} = \partial^{\alpha}(\phi * \widetilde{\psi})$$

uniformly for all multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Hence $(F * \psi)|_{\mathcal{D}(K)}$ is continuous, and $F * \psi \in \mathcal{D}'(V)$.

The following proposition shows that the two definitions of the convolution $F * \psi$ coincide. Furthermore, the distribution as a function on U is infinitely differentiable.

Proposition 3.11. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Given $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $V = \{x : x - y \in U \text{ for all } y \in \text{supp}(\psi)\}$. For $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$, define $(F * \psi)(x) = \langle F, \tau_x \widetilde{\psi} \rangle$ for all $x \in V$. Then

(i) $F * \psi \in C^{\infty}(V)$, and

$$\partial^{\alpha}(F * \psi) = F * (\partial^{\alpha} \psi)$$

for all multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$;

(ii) For all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(V)$,

$$\int_{V} (F * \psi)(x)\phi(x) dx = \langle F, \phi * \widetilde{\psi} \rangle.$$

Proof. If $x \in V$, by Proposition 1.5, we have $\tau_{x+s}\widetilde{\psi} \to \tau_x\widetilde{\psi}$ uniformly as $s \to 0$, and the same holds for all partial derivatives. Then $\tau_{x+s}\widetilde{\psi} \to \tau_x\widetilde{\psi}$ in $\mathcal{D}(U)$ as $s \to 0$. By continuity of F on $\mathcal{D}(U)$ we have that $\langle F, \tau_x\widetilde{\psi} \rangle$ is continuous in x. Furthermore, for any $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\psi(x + he_j - y) - \psi(x - y)}{h} - \partial_j \psi(x - y) \right| \le \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}: |t| < |h|} \left| \partial_j \psi(x + te_j - y) - \partial_j \psi(x - y) \right|.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, by uniform continuity of $\partial_j \psi$, there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ independent of x and y such that the last bound is less than ϵ whenever $|h| < \eta$. Hence the difference quotient

$$\frac{\tau_{x+he_j}\widetilde{\psi} - \tau_x\widetilde{\psi}}{h} \to \tau_x\widetilde{\partial_j\psi}$$
(3.5)

uniformly as $h \to 0$. Since the same conclusion of difference quotient holds for all partial derivatives, the convergence (3.5) also holds in $\mathcal{D}(U)$. Therefore

$$\partial_j(F * \psi)(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\langle F, \tau_{x+he_j} \widetilde{\psi} \rangle - \langle F, \tau_x \widetilde{\psi} \rangle}{h} = \langle F, \tau_x \widetilde{\partial_j \psi} \rangle = (F * \partial_j \psi)(x).$$

By induction on $|\alpha|$, we have $F * \psi \in C^{\infty}(V)$, and $\partial^{\alpha}(F * \psi) = F * \partial^{\alpha}\psi$. To prove the second result, we note that $\psi, \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we approximate the convolution $\phi * \widetilde{\psi}$ by Riemann sums:

$$(\phi * \widetilde{\psi})(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widetilde{\psi}(x - y)\phi(y) \, dy = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} S_{\epsilon}(x) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \epsilon^n \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widetilde{\psi}(x - \epsilon\kappa)\phi(\epsilon\kappa),$$

where there are finitely many nonzero terms when κ runs over \mathbb{Z}^n . The Riemann sums S_{ϵ} are supported in a common compact subset of U, and converges to $\phi * \widetilde{\psi}$ uniformly as $\epsilon \to 0$. Also, for all multi-indices α ,

$$\partial^{\alpha} S_{\epsilon} = \epsilon^{n} \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \partial^{\alpha} \widetilde{\psi}(x - \epsilon \kappa) \phi(\epsilon \kappa)$$

converges uniformly to $\partial^{\alpha}(\phi * \widetilde{\psi})$. Hence $S_{\epsilon} \to \phi * \widetilde{\psi}$ in $\mathcal{D}(U)$, and

$$\langle F, \phi * \widetilde{\psi} \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \langle F, S_{\epsilon} \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \epsilon^n \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \phi(\epsilon \kappa) \langle F, \tau_{\epsilon \kappa} \widetilde{\psi} \rangle = \int_V \phi(x) \langle F, \tau_x \widetilde{\psi} \rangle \, dx = \int_V (F * \psi)(x) \phi(x) \, dx.$$

Hence the two definitions of $F * \psi$ are equivalent.

Next we show that although distributions can be highly singular objects, they can always be approximated by compactly supported smooth functions in the weak* topology.

Theorem 3.12. For any open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the space $C_c^{\infty}(U)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}'(U)$ in the weak* topology.

To prove this theorem we need some technical lemma.

Lemma 3.13. Assume that $\phi, \psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 1$. Let $\psi_t(x) = t^{-n} \psi(t^{-1}x)$ for t > 0.

- (i) Given any neighborhood U of supp (ϕ) , we have supp $(\phi * \psi_t) \subset U$ for t > 0 sufficiently small.
- (ii) $\phi * \psi_t \to 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \to 0$.

Proof. If supp $(\psi) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}$, then supp $(\phi * \psi_t)$ is contained in the set

$$V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d(x, \operatorname{supp}(\phi)) < tR\}.$$

When $t < R^{-1}d(\operatorname{supp}(\phi), U^c)$, the support of $\phi * \psi_t$ is contained in U. Moreover, by Propositions 1.3 and 1.6, $\partial^{\alpha}(\phi * \psi_t) = (\partial^{\alpha}\phi) * \psi_t \to \partial^{\alpha}t$ uniformly as $t \to 0$, and the second result follows.

Proof of Theorem 3.12. Assume $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$. We first approximate F by distributions supported on compact subsets of U, then approximate the latter by functions in $C_c^{\infty}(U)$.

• Let (V_j) be a sequence of precompact open subsets of U increasing to U. For each j, by C^{∞} -Urysohn lemma [Proposition 1.10], we take $\zeta_j \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ such that $\zeta_j = 1$ on \overline{V}_j . Given $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$, for j sufficiently large we have $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset V_j$, and

$$\langle F, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \zeta_i \phi \rangle = \langle \zeta_i F, \phi \rangle.$$

Hence $\zeta_j F \to F$ in the weak* topology as $j \to \infty$.

• Let ψ and (ψ_t) be defined as in Lemma 3.13. Then $\phi * \widetilde{\psi}_t \to \phi$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \to 0$. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.11, we have $(\zeta_i F) * \psi_t \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\langle (\zeta_j F) * \psi_t, \phi \rangle = \langle \zeta_j F, \phi * \widetilde{\psi}_t \rangle \to \langle \zeta_j F, \phi \rangle \text{ as } t \to 0.$$

Hence $(\zeta_j F) * \psi_t \to \zeta_j F$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Observing that $\operatorname{supp}(\zeta_j) \subset V_k$ for some k, if $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \cap \overline{V}_k = \emptyset$, we have $\operatorname{supp}(\phi * \widetilde{\psi}_t) \cap \overline{V}_k = \emptyset$ for t > 0 sufficiently small, by Lemma 3.13, and $\langle (\zeta_j F) * \psi_t, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \zeta_j (\phi * \widetilde{\psi}_t) \rangle = 0$. Hence $\operatorname{supp}((\zeta_j F) * \psi_t) \subset \overline{V}_k \subset U$, and $(\zeta_j F) * \psi_t \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ for j large enough and t small enough.

Derivatives of distributions. Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If $f \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$, for any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$,

$$\int_{U} (\partial^{\alpha} f)(x) \phi(x) dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{U} f(x) (\partial^{\alpha} \phi)(x) dx, \quad \phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(U).$$

This is the integration by parts formula, where the boundary term vanishes since f is compactly supported. Generally, for $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$, we can define a linear functional $\partial^{\alpha} F$ on $C_c^{\infty}(U)$ by

$$\langle \partial^{\alpha} F, \phi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle F, \partial^{\alpha} \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U).$$

For any compact $K \subset U$ and any sequence $(\phi_j) \subset C_c^{\infty}(K)$ that converges to ϕ in $\mathcal{D}(K)$, by continuity of F, we have $\langle F, \partial^{\alpha} \phi_j \rangle \to \langle F, \partial^{\alpha} \phi \rangle$ as $j \to \infty$. Hence $\partial^{\alpha} F|_{\mathcal{D}(K)}$ is continuous, and $\partial^{\alpha} F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$.

The distribution $\partial^{\alpha} F$ is called the α^{th} derivative of F. Moreover, if $F_j \to F$ in $\mathcal{D}'(U)$, we have $\langle \partial^{\alpha} F_j, \phi \rangle = \langle F_j, \partial^{\alpha} \phi \rangle \to \langle F, \partial^{\alpha} \phi \rangle = \langle \partial^{\alpha} F, \phi \rangle$ for each $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$, and $\partial^{\alpha} F_j \to \partial^{\alpha} F$ in $\mathcal{D}'(U)$. Therefore, the differentiation operator $\partial^{\alpha} : \mathcal{D}'(U) \to \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is a continuous linear map with respect to the weak* topology.

In particular, for any locally integrable function $\psi \in L^1_{loc}(U)$, we can define its derivatives of arbitrary order even if it is not differentiable in the classical sense. To be specific, we define $\langle T_{\psi}, \phi \rangle = \int_U \psi(x)\phi(x) dx$. The derivative $\partial^{\alpha}T_{\psi}$ of the distribution T_{ψ} is called the α^{th} distributional derivative of ψ , denoted by $\partial^{\alpha}\psi$. Following are some examples of distributional derivatives.

Jump discontinuity. For simplicity, we first consider the functions on \mathbb{R} . Differentiating functions with jump discontinuities leads to δ-singularities. The simplest example is the *Heaviside step function* $H = \chi_{[0,\infty)}$, for which we have

$$\langle H', \phi \rangle = -\langle H, \phi' \rangle = -\int_0^\infty \phi'(x) \, dx = \phi(0) = \langle \delta, \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Hence the first distributional derivative of H is the Dirac function δ . More generally, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the distributional derivative of the step function $\tau_x H = \chi_{[x,\infty)}$ is $\tau_x \delta$, which is the point mass at x.

If f is piecewise continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R} , f only has jump discontinuities at $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_m$, and its pointwise derivative f' is in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\langle f', \phi \rangle = -\langle f, \phi' \rangle = -\sum_{j=0}^{m} \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} f(x)\phi'(x) dx$$

$$= -\sum_{j=0}^{m} \left[f(x_{j+1}^{-})\phi(x_{j+1}) - f(x_{j}^{+})\phi(x_{j}) - \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j+1}} \frac{df}{dx}(y)\phi(y) dy \right]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(y)\phi(y) dy + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \phi(x_{j}) \left[f(x_{j}^{+}) - f(x_{j}^{-}) \right]$$

Therefore, the distributional derivative of f is given by

$$Df = f' + \sum_{j=1}^{m} [f(x_j^+) - f(x_j^-)] \tau_{x_j} \delta.$$

Generalized Heaviside step function.

3.3 Compactly Supported Distributions

The C^{∞} topology. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. The C^{∞} topology on the space $C^{\infty}(U)$ of all smooth functions on U is the topology of uniform convergence of functions, together with all their derivatives, on compact subsets of U. This topology can be defined by a countable family of seminorms as follows. Let (V_m) be an increasing sequence of precompact open subsets of U whose union is U. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and each multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, define the seminorm

$$||f||_{[m,\alpha]} = \sup_{x \in \overline{V}_m} |\partial^{\alpha} f(x)|. \tag{3.6}$$

With the topology induced by the family of these seminorms, $C^{\infty}(U)$ is a Fréchet space. Furthermore, a sequence (f_j) converges to f in $C^{\infty}(U)$ if and only if $||f_j - f||_{[m,\alpha]} \to 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, if and only if $\partial^{\alpha} f_j \to \partial^{\alpha} f$ uniformly on compact sets for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

Proposition 3.14. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. The space $C_c^{\infty}(U)$ is dense in $C^{\infty}(U)$.

Proof. We take the sequence (V_m) as in (3.6). By C^{∞} -Urysohn lemma [Theorem 1.10], for each m, we take $\psi_m \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ with $\psi_m = 1$ on \overline{V}_m . If $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$, for all multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we have $\|\psi_m \phi - \phi\|_{[m_0, \alpha]} = 0$ for all indices $m \geq m_0$. Hence $\psi_m \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ converges to ϕ in the C^{∞} topology.

If U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , we denote by $\mathcal{E}'(U)$ the space of all distributions on U whose support is a compact subset of U.

Theorem 3.15. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set.

- (i) If $F \in \mathcal{E}'(U)$, then F extends uniquely to a continuous linear functional on $C^{\infty}(U)$.
- (ii) If G is a continuous linear functional on $C^{\infty}(U)$, then $G|_{C_c^{\infty}(U)} \in \mathcal{E}'(U)$.

To summarize, $\mathcal{E}'(U)$ equals the dual space of $C^{\infty}(U)$.

Proof. (i) If $F \in \mathcal{E}'(U)$, take $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ such that $\psi = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}(F)$, and define the linear functional G on $C^{\infty}(U)$ by $\langle G, \phi \rangle := \langle F, \psi \phi \rangle$. Since F is continuous on $\mathcal{D}(\operatorname{supp}(\psi))$, and the topology of the latter is defined by the norms $\phi \mapsto \|\partial^{\alpha}\phi\|_{\infty}$, there exists C > 0 and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\langle G, \phi \rangle| = |\langle F, \psi \phi \rangle| \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \|\partial^{\alpha}(\psi \phi)\|_{\infty}$$

for all $\phi \in C^{\infty}(U)$. By the product rule, if we choose m large enough so that $\overline{V}_m \supset \text{supp}(\psi)$,

$$|\langle G, \phi \rangle| \le C' \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \sup_{x \in \text{supp}(\psi)} |\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)| \le C' \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \|\phi\|_{[m,\alpha]}.$$

Hence G is continuous on $C^{\infty}(U)$. By Proposition 3.14, the continuous extension G of F is unique.

(ii) On the other hand, if G is a continuous linear functional on $C^{\infty}(U)$, there exist constants $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and C > 0 such that

$$|\langle G, \phi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\phi\|_{[m,\alpha]}$$

for all $\phi \in C^{\infty}(U)$. Since $\|\phi\|_{[m,\alpha]} \leq \|\partial^{\alpha}\phi\|_{\infty}$, the functional G is continuous on $\mathcal{D}(K)$ for each compact $K \subset U$, and $G|_{C^{\infty}_{c}(U)} \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \cap \overline{V}_{m} = \emptyset$, we have $\langle G, \phi \rangle = 0$, and $\operatorname{supp}(G) \subset \overline{V}_{m}$. Therefore $G|_{C^{\infty}_{c}(U)} \in \mathcal{E}'(U)$, concluding the proof.

Remark. In fact, one can easily check that the operations of multiplication by C^{∞} functions, translation, composition by invertible linear maps and differentiation, as is discussed in the last section, all preserves the class of $\mathcal{E}'(U)$. The case of convolution is a bit more complicated.

3.4 Tempered Distributions and Fourier Transform

Definition 3.16 (Tempered distributions). A tempered distribution (on \mathbb{R}^n) is a continuous linear functional on the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The space of tempered distribution is denoted by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As usual, we equip $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the weak* topology.

The following proposition helps to understand the relation of distributions and tempered distributions.

Proposition 3.17. The space $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. We fix $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is to be approximated. We take $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, [0, 1])$ such that $\psi(0) = 1$, and let $\psi^t(x) = \psi(tx)$ for t > 0. Given any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose a compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $(1 + |x|)^N |\phi(x)| < \epsilon$ for all $x \notin K$. Then $\psi^t(x) \to 1$ uniformly on K as $t \to 0$, and

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|\psi^t \phi - \phi\|_{(N,0)} \le \sup_{x \notin K} (1 + |x|)^N |\psi^t(x)\phi(x) - \phi(x)| < \epsilon.$$

Since N and ϵ are arbitrary, $\|\psi^t \phi - \phi\|_{(N,0)} \to 0$ as $t \to 0$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For the terms involving derivatives, by the product rule,

$$(1+|x|)^N \partial^{\alpha} (\psi^t \phi - \phi) = (1+|x|)^N (\psi^t \partial^{\alpha} \phi - \partial^{\alpha} \phi) + R_t(x),$$

where the remainder R_t is a sum of terms involving derivatives of ψ^t . Since

$$\left|\partial^{\beta} \psi^{t}(x)\right| = t^{|\beta|} \left|\partial^{\beta} \psi(tx)\right| \le C_{\beta} t^{|\beta|},$$

we have $||R_t||_{\infty} \leq Ct \to 0$ as $t \to 0^+$. An analogue of the preceding argument shows that $||\psi^t \phi - \phi||_{(N,\alpha)} \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. Hence $\psi^t \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ converges to ϕ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which completes the proof.

Remark. Since the convergence in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies the convergence in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a tempered distribution, the restriction of F to $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is also continuous. Hence $F|_{C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is a distribution. Furthermore, by Proposition 3.17, the restriction $F|_{C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ determines $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ uniquely. Thus we may identify $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the sets of all distributions on \mathbb{R}^n that extends continuously from $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Example 3.18. Following are some examples of tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^n .

- Every compactly supported distribution is tempered.
- If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^{-N} |f(x)| dx < \infty$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then f is tempered, since

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\phi(x) \, dx \right| \le \left\| (1+|x|)^{-N} f \right\|_{L^1} \left\| (1+|x|)^N \phi \right\|_{\infty} \le C \|\phi\|_{(N,0)}, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

• Given $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the plane wave function

$$B_{\omega}(x) = e^{i\omega \cdot x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a tempered distribution on \mathbb{R}^n . This distribution is related to the Fourier transform:

$$\langle B_{\omega}, \phi \rangle = \widehat{\phi}(-\omega) \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

• In fact, the exponential function $f(x) = e^{\beta \cdot x}$ on \mathbb{R}^n is tempered if and only if β is purely imaginary. We assume $\beta = \gamma + i\omega$ with $\delta, \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $\gamma \neq 0$, we take $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) \, dx = 1$ and let

$$\phi_m(x) = e^{-\beta \cdot x} \psi(x - m\gamma).$$

Then $\phi_m \to 0$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $m \to \infty$, but $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \phi_m \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi \, dx = 1$.

In the following examples, we let $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a tempered distribution.

• The derivative $\partial^{\alpha} F$ is also a tempered distribution. Indeed, $\phi_j \to \phi$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies

$$\langle \partial^{\alpha} F, \phi_i \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle F, \partial^{\alpha} \phi_i \rangle \to (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle F, \partial^{\alpha} \phi \rangle = \langle \partial^{\alpha} F, \phi \rangle.$$

• If $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the translated distribution $\tau_y F$, defined by

$$\langle \tau_y F, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \tau_{-y} \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is also a tempered distribution.

• If T is an invertible linear mapping on \mathbb{R}^n , the composition $F \circ T$, defined by

$$\langle F \circ T, \phi \rangle = |\det T|^{-1} \langle F, \phi \circ T^{-1} \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is also a tempered distribution.

• Let $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a *slowly increasing* function. That is, ψ and all its derivatives have at most polynomial growth at infinity, i.e. for every multi-index α there exist $C_{\alpha} > 0$ and $N_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$|\partial^{\alpha} \psi(x)| \le C_{\alpha} (1 + |x|)^{N_{\alpha}}.$$

For any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since ψ is slowly increasing, we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^N \left| \partial^{\alpha} \psi(x) \right| \left| \partial^{\beta} \phi(x) \right| \le \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} C_{\alpha} (1 + |x|)^{N + N_{\alpha}} \left| \partial^{\beta} \phi(x) \right| < \infty,$$

and $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the product ψF , defined by

$$\langle \psi F, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \psi \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is a tempered distribution. In particular, every slowly increasing function is a tempered distribution.

Next, we study the convolution of tempered distributions with Schwartz functions.

Proposition 3.19. If $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $(F * \psi)(x) = \langle F, \tau_x \widetilde{\psi} \rangle$ is a slowly increasing C^{∞} function, and we have

$$\langle F, \phi * \widetilde{\psi} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (F * \psi)(x)\phi(x) dx, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$
 (3.7)

Proof. The conclusion $F * \psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is established as in Proposition 3.11. By continuity of F on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and C > 0 such that

$$|\langle F, \phi \rangle| \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \|\phi\|_{(m,\alpha)}$$
 for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by (1.5),

$$|(F * \psi)(x)| \le |\langle F, \tau_x \widetilde{\psi} \rangle| \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |y|)^m |\partial^{\alpha} \psi(x - y)|$$

$$\le C (1 + |x|)^m \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x - y|)^m |\partial^{\alpha} \psi(x - y)| \le C (1 + |x|)^m \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} ||\psi||_{(m,\alpha)}.$$

The same coclusion holds if ψ is replaced by $\partial^{\beta}\psi$. Hence $F * \psi$ is a slowly increasing C^{∞} function.

Using Proposition 3.17, we take sequences $(\phi_j), (\psi_j) \subset C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi_j \to \phi$ and $\psi_j \to \psi$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Proposition 3.11,

$$\langle F, \phi_j * \widetilde{\psi}_j \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (F * \psi_j)(x) \phi_j(x) dx.$$

For the left side, by Proposition 1.14, $\phi_j * \widetilde{\psi}_j \to \phi * \widetilde{\psi}$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\langle F, \phi_j * \widetilde{\psi}_j \rangle \to \langle F, \phi * \widetilde{\psi} \rangle$ by continuity of F in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For the right side, the preceding estimate shows that $|F * \psi_j(x)| \leq C_1(1+|x|)^m$ with C_1 and m independent of j, and similarly $|\phi_j(x)| \leq C_2(1+|x|)^{-m-n-1}$. Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (F * \psi_j)(x) \phi_j(x) dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (F * \psi)(x) \phi(x) dx$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. Then we conclude the proof of (3.7).

One of the main reasons for studying tempered distributions is to extend the Fourier transform. Recalling that the Fourier transform is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself [Theorem 2.12]. For $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(x)g(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x)e^{-ix\cdot y} \, dx \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)\widehat{g}(y) \, dy$$

Therefore, we can extend the Fourier transform to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by defining

$$\langle \widehat{F}, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \widehat{\phi} \rangle, \quad F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \ \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proposition 3.20. The Fourier transform of a tempered distribution F on \mathbb{R}^n is also a tempered distribution. Furthermore, the mapping $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $F \mapsto \widehat{F}$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $(\phi_j) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a sequence such that $\phi_j \to \phi$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By continuity of the Fourier transform and F on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widehat{\phi}_j \to \phi$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\langle \widehat{F}, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle F, \widehat{\phi}_j \rangle = \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle \widehat{F}, \phi_j \rangle.$$

Hence $\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. On the other hand, for sequence $(F_j) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $F_j \to F$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle \widehat{F}, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle F_j, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle \widehat{F}_j, \phi \rangle, \text{ for all } \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Therefore $\widehat{F}_j \to \widehat{F}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the mapping $F \mapsto \widehat{F}$ is continuous in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 3.21 (Properties of Fourier transform). Let $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(i) (Translation/Modulation) Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\widehat{\tau_{\xi}F} = B_{-\xi}\widehat{F}, \quad and \quad \widehat{B_{\xi}F} = \tau_{\xi}\widehat{F},$$

where $B_{\xi}(x) = e^{i\xi \cdot x}$ is the plane wave function.

(ii) (Linear transofrmation) If $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an invertible linear mapping, and $S = (T^*)^{-1}$ is its inverse transpose, then

$$\widehat{F \circ T} = |\det T|^{-1} \widehat{F} \circ S.$$

- (iii) (Derivative) For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $\widehat{\partial^{\alpha} F} = (i\omega)^{\alpha} \widehat{F}$ and $\partial^{\alpha} \widehat{F} = [(-ix)^{\alpha} F]^{\wedge}$.
- (iv) (Convolution) For $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\widehat{F*\psi} = (2\pi)^{n/2}\widehat{\psi}\widehat{F}, \quad and \quad \widehat{\psi}F = (2\pi)^{-n/2}\widehat{\psi}*\widehat{F}.$$

Proof. (i) For the first identity, note that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\left\langle \widehat{\tau_{\xi}F}, \phi \right\rangle = \left\langle \tau_{\xi}F, \widehat{\phi} \right\rangle = \left\langle F, \tau_{-\xi}\widehat{\phi} \right\rangle = \left\langle F, (e^{-i\xi \cdot x}\phi)^{\wedge} \right\rangle = \left\langle \widehat{F}, e^{-i\xi \cdot x}\phi \right\rangle = \left\langle B_{-\xi}\widehat{F}, \phi \right\rangle.$$

The second identity follows the same approach.

(ii) For every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the definition of $F \circ T$ gives

$$\langle \widehat{F \circ T}, \phi \rangle = \langle F \circ T, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = \left| \det T \right|^{-1} \langle F, \widehat{\phi} \circ T^{-1} \rangle$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.6 (iv),

$$|\det T|^{-1} \langle \widehat{F} \circ S, \phi \rangle = \langle \widehat{F}, \phi \circ T^* \rangle = \langle F, \widehat{\phi \circ T^*} \rangle = |\det T^*|^{-1} \langle F, \widehat{\phi} \circ T^{-1} \rangle.$$

These two results coincide.

(iii) For the first identity, note that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle \widehat{\partial^{\alpha} F}, \phi \rangle = \langle \partial^{\alpha} F, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle F, \partial^{\alpha} \widehat{\phi} \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle F, [(-ix)^{\alpha} \phi]^{\wedge} \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle \widehat{F}, (-ix)^{\alpha} \phi \rangle = \langle (i\omega)^{\alpha} \widehat{F}, \phi \rangle.$$

The second identity follows the same approach.

(iv) For every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Proposition 3.19,

$$\langle \widehat{F * \psi}, \phi \rangle = \langle F * \psi, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = \langle F, \widehat{\phi} * \widetilde{\psi} \rangle.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.15,

$$\begin{split} \langle \widehat{\psi} \widehat{F}, \phi \rangle &= \langle \widehat{F}, \phi \widehat{\psi} \rangle = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \langle F, (\phi^{\vee} * \psi)^{\wedge} \rangle = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \langle \widehat{F}, \phi^{\vee} * \psi \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \langle \widehat{F}, \widetilde{\phi^{\vee}} * \widetilde{\psi} \rangle = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \langle F, \widehat{\phi} * \widetilde{\psi} \rangle. \end{split}$$

Comparing the above two results, we have $\widehat{F*\psi} = (2\pi)^{n/2} \widehat{\psi} \widehat{F}$. The second result is similar.

Similarly, we extend the inverse Fourier transform to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by defining

$$\langle F^{\vee}, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \phi^{\vee} \rangle, \quad F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \ \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then $F \mapsto F^{\vee}$ is also a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ itself. Furthermore, we can extend the Fourier inversion theorem formula to tempered distributions:

$$\langle (\widehat{F})^{\vee}, \phi \rangle = \langle \widehat{F}, \phi^{\vee} \rangle = \langle F, (\phi^{\vee})^{\wedge} \rangle = \langle F, \phi \rangle,$$

so that $(\widehat{F})^{\vee} = F$, and similarly $(F^{\vee})^{\wedge} = F$. Hence the Fourier transform is an isomorphism on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In addition, for a tempered distribution $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can relate its inverse Fourier transform to the Fourier transform of its reflection $\widetilde{F} = F \circ (-\operatorname{Id})$.

Proposition 3.22. Let $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\widehat{F} = (\widetilde{F})^{\vee}$ and $F^{\vee} = (\widetilde{F})^{\wedge}$.

Proof. For every $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle \widehat{F}, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = \langle F, (\phi^{\vee})^{\sim} \rangle = \langle \widetilde{F}, \phi^{\vee} \rangle = \langle (\widetilde{F})^{\vee}, \phi \rangle.$$

Hence $\widehat{F} = (\widetilde{F})^{\vee}$. The other formula follows by applying this conclusion on \widetilde{F} .

Next, we study the Fourier transform of compactly supported distributions $F \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which admits an explicit formula.

Proposition 3.23. If $F \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then \widehat{F} is a slowly increasing C^{∞} function, which is explicitly given by

$$\widehat{F}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \langle F, B_{-\omega} \rangle, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $B_{\omega}(x) = e^{i\omega \cdot x}$ is the plane wave function.

Proof. We define the function

$$g(\omega) = \langle F, B_{-\omega} \rangle, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We fix $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\alpha}$ and N > 0,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{|x| \leq N} \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha} B_{-\omega - h e_{j}}(x) - \partial^{\alpha} B_{-\omega}(x)}{h} + i x_{j} \partial^{\alpha} B_{-\omega}(x) \right| &\leq \sup_{|x| \leq N} \left| (-i \omega)^{\alpha} \left[\frac{e^{-i(\omega + h e_{j}) \cdot x} - e^{-i\omega \cdot x}}{h} + i x_{j} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \right] \right| \\ &\leq |\omega|^{|\alpha|} \sup_{|x| \leq N} \left| \frac{e^{-ihx_{j}} - 1}{h} + i x_{j} \right| \to 0, \quad \text{as } h \to 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore $(B_{-\omega-he_j}-B_{-\omega})/h$ converges to the function $x\mapsto -ix_je^{-i\omega\cdot x}$ in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(\omega + he_j) - g(\omega)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \left\langle F, \frac{B_{-\omega - he_j} - B_{-\omega}}{h} \right\rangle = \langle F, -ix_j B_{-\omega} \rangle.$$

By induction on α , we have

$$\partial^{\alpha} g(\omega) = \langle F, \partial^{\alpha} B_{-\omega} \rangle = (-i)^{|\alpha|} \langle F, x^{\alpha} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \rangle.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 3.15 and continuity of F on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and C > 0 such that

$$|\partial^{\alpha} g(\omega)| \leq C \sum_{|\beta| < N} \sup_{|x| \leq m} \left| \partial_{x}^{\beta} (x^{\alpha} e^{-i\omega \cdot x}) \right| \leq C' (1+m)^{|\alpha|} (1+|\omega|)^{N}.$$

Therefore $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is slowly incresing.

Now it remains to show that $g = (2\pi)^{n/2} \widehat{F}$. By Proposition 3.17, it suffices to show that for $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)\phi(x) \, dx = \langle F, \widehat{\phi} \rangle.$$

Since $g\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as in the proof of Proposition 3.11, the left side can be approximated by the Riemann sum $\epsilon^n \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g(\epsilon \kappa) \phi(\epsilon \kappa)$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. For the right side, note that by uniform continuity of function $x \mapsto \phi(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x}$ and its derivatives, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and N > 0,

$$\sup_{|x| \le N} \left| \partial_{\omega}^{\alpha} \left[\epsilon^{n} \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{\phi(\epsilon \kappa)}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} e^{-i\omega \cdot \epsilon \kappa} \right] - \partial_{\omega}^{\alpha} \widehat{\phi}(\omega) \right| = \sup_{|x| \le N} \left| \epsilon^{n} \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{(-i\epsilon \kappa)^{\alpha} \phi(\epsilon \kappa)}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} e^{-i\omega \cdot \epsilon \kappa} - [(-ix)^{\alpha} \phi]^{\wedge}(\omega) \right| \to 0.$$

Hence the Riemann sum $S_{\epsilon} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \epsilon^n \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \phi(\epsilon \kappa) B_{-\epsilon \kappa}$ converges to $\widehat{\phi}$ in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)\phi(x) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon^n \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g(\epsilon\kappa)\phi(\epsilon\kappa) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon^n \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle F, B_{-\epsilon\kappa} \rangle \phi(\epsilon\kappa) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \langle F, S_{\epsilon} \rangle = \langle F, \widehat{\phi} \rangle.$$

Therefore $g = \widehat{F}$, and we complete the proof.

Now we focus on some concrete examples.

Example 3.24. (i) The Fourier transforms of linear combinations of δ and its derivatives are polynomials:

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} c_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \delta\right)^{\wedge} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} c_{\alpha} i^{|\alpha|} \omega^{\alpha}\right).$$

More generally,

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} c_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \tau_{\xi_{\alpha}} \delta\right)^{\wedge} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} c_{\alpha} i^{|\alpha|} \omega^{\alpha} e^{-i\xi_{\alpha} \cdot \omega}\right).$$

(ii) The Fourier transforms of polynomials are linear combinations of δ and its derivatives:

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}\right)^{\wedge} = (2\pi)^{n/2} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} c_{\alpha} i^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} \delta\right).$$

More generally,

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} e^{i\xi_{\alpha} \cdot x}\right)^{\wedge} = (2\pi)^{n/2} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} c_{\alpha} i^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} \tau_{\xi_{\alpha}} \delta\right).$$

Proof. (i) By Proposition 3.23, for the Dirac distribution $\delta: \phi \mapsto \phi(0)$, we have

$$(2\pi)^{n/2}\widehat{\tau_{\xi}\delta}(\omega) = \langle \tau_{\xi}\delta, B_{-\omega} \rangle = B_{-\omega}(\xi) = e^{-i\omega \cdot \xi}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

More generally, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(2\pi)^{n/2} \widehat{\partial^{\alpha} \tau_{\xi} \delta}(\omega) = \langle \partial^{\alpha} \tau_{\xi} \delta, B_{-\omega} \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle \tau_{\xi} \delta, \partial^{\alpha} B_{-\omega} \rangle$$
$$= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle \tau_{\xi} \delta, (-i\omega)^{\alpha} B_{-\omega} \rangle = (i\omega)^{\alpha} B_{-\omega}(\xi) = (i\omega)^{\alpha} e^{-i\xi \cdot \omega}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\widehat{\partial^{\alpha}\delta}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} i^{|\alpha|} \omega^{\alpha}.$$

(ii) For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by Proposition 3.22 and the conclusion in (i),

$$(x^{\alpha}e^{i\xi\cdot x})^{\wedge} = \left[(-x)^{\alpha}e^{-i\xi\cdot x}\right]^{\vee} = i^{|\alpha|}\left[(ix)^{\alpha}e^{-i\xi\cdot x}\right]^{\vee} = (2\pi)^{n/2}i^{|\alpha|}\partial^{\alpha}\tau_{\xi}\delta.$$

In particular, we have

$$\widehat{x^{\alpha}} = (2\pi)^{n/2} i^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} \delta.$$

Remark. Heuristically, we may write

$$\tau_{\xi}\delta(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \,\widehat{B}_{\xi}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\xi - x) \cdot y} \, dy = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x - \xi) \cdot y} \, dy.$$

Although this is not an essential pointwise equality, it express the fact that the Fourier transform of the plane wave function B_{ξ} is $\tau_{\xi}\delta$. In the sense of integral, for all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we integrate both sides with $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and reverse the order of integration to obtain

$$(2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) e^{i(\xi - x) \cdot y} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta(x - \xi) \phi(x) dx.$$

The integral on the left is $(\widehat{\phi})^{\vee}(\xi)$, and the integral on the right equals $\phi(\xi)$.

Convolution and differential operators. The convolution also commutes with distributional differential operators: for each $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{split} \langle \partial^{\alpha}(F * \psi), \phi \rangle &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle F * \psi, \partial^{\alpha} \phi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle F, \partial^{\alpha} \phi * \widetilde{\psi} \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle F, \partial^{\alpha}(\phi * \widetilde{\psi}) \rangle = \langle \partial^{\alpha} F, \phi * \widetilde{\psi} \rangle = \langle \partial^{\alpha} F * \psi, \phi \rangle, \\ (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle F, \phi * \partial^{\alpha} \widetilde{\psi} \rangle = \langle F, \phi * \widetilde{\partial^{\alpha} \psi} \rangle = \langle F * \partial^{\alpha} \psi, \phi \rangle. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Therefore $\partial^{\alpha}(F * \psi) = \partial^{\alpha}F * \psi = F * \partial^{\alpha}\psi$.

Example 3.25 (Heat equation). Consider the following function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$:

$$G(x,t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \chi_{(0,\infty)}(t), \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

By Lemma 2.9, the Fourier transform of G is

$$\widehat{G}(\omega,\rho) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x,t) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} e^{-i\rho t} \, dx \, dt = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t|\omega|^2} e^{-i\rho t} \, dt = \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}}{i\rho + |\omega|^2}.$$

The Proposition 3.21 implies

$$(2\pi)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} = (i\rho + |\omega|^2) \widehat{G} = [(\partial_t - \Delta_x)G]^{\wedge}.$$

Using Example 3.24, we know that G is the Green function of the heat equation:

$$(\partial_t - \Delta_x)G = \delta.$$

Therefore the function $u = G * \psi$ satisfies

$$(\partial_t - \Delta_x)u = [(\partial_t - \Delta_x)G] * \psi = \delta * \psi = \psi.$$

Every distribution is indeed, at least locally, a linear combination of derivatives of continuous functions. We can prove this conclusion using the Fourier transform.

Proposition 3.26. (i) For every $F \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$, constants c_{α} for $|\alpha| \leq N$, and $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$F = \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} c_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} f.$$

(ii) For every $F \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ and precompact set V with $\overline{V} \subset U$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$, constants c_{α} for $|\alpha| \leq N$, and $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ above such that

$$F = \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} c_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} f.$$

Proof. (i) By Proposition 3.23, if $F \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then \widehat{F} is slowly increasing, and for large enough $M \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $g(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-M} \widehat{F}(\xi)$ is in L^1 . By Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, the function $f := g^{\vee} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\widehat{F} = (1 + |\xi|^2)^M \widehat{f} = \left[\left(\operatorname{Id} - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j^2 \right)^M f \right]^{\wedge}.$$

Therefore $F = (\mathrm{Id} - \Delta)^M f$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and also in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) We choose $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ such that $\psi = 1$ on \overline{V} , and then apply (i) to ψF .

3.5 Sobolev Spaces

In this subsection we discuss the smoothness of functions and distributions. For a nonnegative integer k, we equip the Sobolev space $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of all functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whose distributional derivatives $\partial^{\alpha} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all multi-indices $|\alpha| \leq k$ with inner product and norm

$$||f||_{(k)}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} ||\partial^{\alpha} f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2, \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{(k)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^{\alpha} f(x) \, \overline{\partial^{\alpha} g(x)} \, dx, \quad f, g \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In fact, it is more convenient to use an equivalent inner product defined in terms of Fourier transform.

Proposition 3.27. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $(1 + |\omega|^2)^{k/2} \hat{f}$ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In addition, there exists C > 1 such that

$$C^{-1}\|f\|_{(k)} \le \|(1+|\omega|^2)^{k/2}\widehat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \le C\|f\|_{(k)}, \quad \text{for all } f \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. Step I. If $f \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\partial^{\alpha} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\widehat{\partial^{\alpha} f} = (i\omega)^{\alpha} \widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$. If we choose $\alpha = \ell e_j$ for each $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega_j|^{2\ell} |\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2 d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{x_j}^{\ell} f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Hence there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\omega|^{2\ell} |\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2 d\omega = C_1 \sum_{|\alpha| \le \ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^{\alpha} f(x)|^2 dx, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \cdots, k,$$

and that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\omega|^2)^k |\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2 d\omega = C_2 \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^{\alpha} f(x)|^2 dx = C_2 ||f||_{(k)}^2.$$

Hence $(1+|\omega|^2)^{k/2}\widehat{f}\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Step II. Conversely, if $(1+|\omega|^2)^{k/2} \widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\alpha| \leq k$, we have

$$\left\| (i\omega)^{\alpha} \widehat{f} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\omega|^{2|\alpha|} |\widehat{f}(\omega)|^{2} d\omega \leq \left\| (1+|\omega|^{2})^{k/2} \widehat{f} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}.$$

We then define $f_{\alpha} = \left[(i\omega)^{\alpha} \widehat{f} \right]^{\vee} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$. Then for each $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \overline{f_{\alpha}(x)} \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\phi}(\omega) \overline{(i\omega)^{\alpha} \widehat{f}(\omega)} \, d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\partial^{\alpha} \phi}(\omega) \overline{\widehat{f}(\omega)} \, d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^{\alpha} \phi(x) \overline{f(x)} \, dx.$$

Hence $f_{\alpha} = \partial^{\alpha} f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$, and $f \in H^{k}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$. We note that

$$\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \left\|(i\omega)^\alpha \widehat{f}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \left\|(1+|\omega|^2)^{k/2}\widehat{f}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,$$

and $||f||_{(k)} \leq C_3 ||(1+|\omega|^2)^{k/2} \widehat{f}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$ for some constant $C_3 > 0$. Thus we complete the proof.

More generally, we fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the function $\omega \mapsto (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2}$ is slowly increasing, since for each multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\alpha}$, the derivative $\partial^{\alpha} (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2}$ is of the form

$$\partial^{\alpha}(1+|\omega|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} = \sum_{k=1}^{|\alpha|} P_k(\omega)(1+|\omega|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}-k} \le C_{\alpha}(1+|\omega|)^{N_{\alpha}}, \quad (P_k) \text{ are polynomials with } \deg(P_k) \le |\alpha|.$$

Lemma 3.28. Let $\Lambda_s: \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be

$$\Lambda_s f = \left[(1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f} \right]^{\vee}, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then Λ_s defines an isomorphism on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\Lambda_s^{-1} = \Lambda_{-s}$.

Proof. Let $f_k \to f$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\langle \Lambda_s f_k, \phi \rangle = \left\langle \widehat{f}_k, (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} \phi^{\vee} \right\rangle \to \left\langle \widehat{f}, (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} \phi^{\vee} \right\rangle = \langle \Lambda_s f, \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and $\Lambda_s f_k \to \Lambda_s f$. Hence Λ_s is continuous on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore,

$$\langle \Lambda_s \Lambda_{-s} f, \phi \rangle = \left\langle \widehat{\Lambda_{-s} f}, (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} \phi^{\vee} \right\rangle = \left\langle (1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s/2} \widehat{f}, (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} \phi^{\vee} \right\rangle = \langle \widehat{f}, \phi^{\vee} \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which implies $\Lambda_s \Lambda_{-s} = \text{Id}$. Similarly $\Lambda_s \Lambda_{-s} = \text{Id}$. Hence $\Lambda_s^{-1} = \Lambda_{-s}$ is also continuous.

Definition 3.29 (Sobolev spaces). For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, define the Sobolev space H^s by

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \Lambda_s f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) < \infty \right\},$$

and we define an inner product and norm by

$$||f||_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = ||\Lambda_{s}f||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\widehat{f}(\omega)|^{2} (1 + |\omega|^{2})^{s} d\omega\right]^{1/2},$$
$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \langle \Lambda_{s}f, \Lambda_{s}g \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \widehat{f}(\omega) \overline{\widehat{g}(\omega)} (1 + |\omega|^{2})^{s} d\omega.$$

Remark. For the case s=0, we have $H^0(\mathbb{R}^n)=L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}=\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ by Plancherel's theorem.

Proposition 3.30. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The following statements about Sobolev spaces hold:

- (i) $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a dense subspace of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (ii) For t < s, $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a dense subspace of $H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the topology of $H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\|\cdot\|_{H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|\cdot\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.
- (iii) For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, Λ_t is a unitary isomorphism from $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{s-t}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (iv) For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the differential operator $\partial^{\alpha} : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \to H^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bounded linear map.

Proof. (i) By the definition of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, the Fourier transform is a unitary isomorphism from $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|\omega|^2)^{s/2} d\omega)$, which is a Hilbert space. If (f_j) is a Cauchy sequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(\widehat{f_j})$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|\omega|^2)^{s/2} d\omega)$, which converges to an element $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|\omega|^2)^{s/2} d\omega)$. Then $(1+|\omega|^2)^{s/2}g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $g^{\vee} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\|g^{\vee} - f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|g - \widehat{f_j}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \to 0$. This implies $f_j \to g^{\vee}$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is complete.

For each $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $(1 + |\omega|)^{s/2} \widehat{f}$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Proposition 1.15, we take a sequence $(g_j) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $g_j \to (1 + |\omega|)^{s/2} \widehat{f}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $f_j = \left[(1 + |\omega|)^{-s/2} g_j \right]^{\vee} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies

$$||f_j - f||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{g_j(\omega)}{(1 + |\omega|)^{s/2}} - \widehat{f}(\omega) \right|^2 (1 + |\omega|^2)^s d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| g_j(\omega) - (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f}(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \to 0.$$

- (ii) Since $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \supset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by (i), $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a dense subspace of $H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The estimate $\|\cdot\|_{H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\cdot\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is clear from the definition of H^s -norms.
- (iii) By definition, for all $f, g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle \Lambda_t f, \Lambda_t g \rangle_{H^{s-t}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) \overline{\widehat{g}(\omega)} (1 + |\omega|^2)^s d\omega = \langle f, g \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(iv) Note that $|\omega^{\alpha}| \leq (1+|\omega|^2)^{|\alpha|/2}$. Then for each $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\partial^{\alpha} f\|_{H^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left|\widehat{\partial^{\alpha} f}(\omega)\right|^{2} (1+|\omega|)^{s-|\alpha|} d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left|(i\omega)^{\alpha} \widehat{f}(\omega)\right|^{2} (1+|\omega|)^{s-|\alpha|} d\omega$$
$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left|\widehat{f}(\omega)\right|^{2} (1+|\omega|)^{s} d\omega = \|f\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}.$$

Thus we complete the proof.

Remark. According to (iii), $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s \geq 0$. However, for s < 0, the elements of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are generally not functions. For example, the point mass $\delta \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if s < -n/2, because the Fourier transform $\widehat{\delta}$ is a constant function, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\omega|)^s d\omega < \infty$ if and only if s < -n/2.

Theorem 3.31 (Riesz representation theorem). Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The duality between $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ induces a unitary isomorphism from $H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$, written $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^* \simeq H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To be precise,

- (i) for every $f \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the functional $\phi \mapsto \langle f, \phi \rangle$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ extends to a continuous linear functional on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with operator norm equal to $||f||_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, and
- (ii) every element of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ is identified in this manner.

Proof. (i) For every $f \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the element $(1 + |\omega|)^{-s/2} \hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that $\hat{f}(\omega) = f^{\vee}(-\omega)$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{split} |\langle f, \phi \rangle| &= |\langle f^{\vee}, \widehat{\phi} \rangle| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{\vee}(\omega) \widehat{\phi}(\omega) \, d\omega \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f^{\vee}(\omega)|^2 (1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s} \, d\omega \right|^{1/2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{\phi}(\omega)|^2 (1 + |\omega|^2)^s \, d\omega \right|^{1/2} = \|f\|_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{split}$$

Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a dense subspace of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the functional $\phi \mapsto \langle f, \phi \rangle$ extends continuously to $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with the operator norm at most $||f||_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Indeed, for every $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we take a sequence $g_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $g_j \to (1+|\omega|^2)^{s/2}\widehat{\phi}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $(1+|\omega|^2)^{-s/2}g_j \to \widehat{\phi}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|\omega|^2)^s d\omega)$, and

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \langle f^{\vee}, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = \left\langle (1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s/2} f^{\vee}, (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{\phi} \right\rangle$$
$$= \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\langle (1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s/2} f^{\vee}, g_j \right\rangle = \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\langle f^{\vee}, (1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s/2} g_j \right\rangle = \langle f^{\vee}, \widehat{\phi} \rangle.$$

We take $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\widehat{\phi}(\omega) = (1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s} \overline{f^{\vee}(\omega)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \langle f^{\vee}, (1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s} \overline{f^{\vee}} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(-\omega)|^2 (1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s} d\omega = ||f||_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = ||f||_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} ||\phi||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Hence the operator norm of $\langle f, \cdot \rangle$ equals $||f||_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

(ii) If $G \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$, then $G \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|\omega|^2)^s d\omega)^*$, and we identify it with $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|\omega|^2)^s d\omega)$. Then for every $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Fourier transform $\widehat{\phi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, (1+|\omega|^2)^s d\omega)$, and

$$G(\phi) = G \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\phi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(\omega) \widehat{\phi}(\omega) (1 + |\omega|^2)^s d\omega.$$

Then $G(\phi) = \langle f, \phi \rangle$ where $f = \left[(1 + |\omega|^2)^s g(\omega) \right]^{\wedge}$. Note that

$$||f||_{H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\omega)| (1+|\omega|^2)^{-s} d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(\omega)| (1+|\omega|^2)^{s} d\omega.$$

Hence $f \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we complete the proof.

Now we study the smoothness of Sobolev functions. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denote

$$C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n) : \partial^{\alpha} f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \le k \right\}.$$

In the following, if possible, we always identify a function and its derivatives by their continuous version.

Theorem 3.32 (Sobolev Embedding Theorem). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and s > 0.

(i) If $s > k + \frac{n}{2}$, then $\widehat{\partial^{\alpha} f} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ for every $f \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ and every multi-index $|\alpha| \leq k$. Furthermore, there exists a constant C depending only on n and k - s such that

$$\|\widehat{\partial^{\alpha} f}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \le C\|f\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \quad f \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$

- (ii) If $s > k + \frac{n}{2}$, $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the inclusion map is continuous.
- (iii) Conversely, if $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $s > k + \frac{n}{2}$.

Proof. (i) By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for every $|\alpha| \leq k$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \widehat{\partial^{\alpha} f}(\omega) \right| d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \omega^{\alpha} \widehat{f}(\omega) \right| d\omega \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| (1 + |\omega|^2)^{k/2} \widehat{f}(\omega) \right| d\omega
\le \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\omega|^2)^{k-s} d\omega \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\omega|^2)^s |\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \right]^{1/2} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\omega|^2)^{k-s} d\omega \right]^{1/2} ||f||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Since k - s < -n/2, the first factor is finite.

(ii) By the Fourier inversion theorem and the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, $\partial^{\alpha} f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We identify f with its C_0 version. We consider the mollification $f^t = f * \phi_t \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for every $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\partial_{x_j} f^t(x) = (\partial_{x_j} \phi_t * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_j} \phi_t(x - y) f(y) \, dy = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{y_j} \phi_t(x - y) f(y) \, dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_t(x - y) \partial_{y_j} f(y) \, dy = (\phi_t * \partial_{x_j} f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By Proposition 1.5, $f^t \to f$ and $\partial_{x_j} f^t \to \partial_{x_j} f$ on every compact ball $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \le N\}, N > 0$. Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every |h| > 0,

$$f(x+he_j) - f(x) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \left[f^t(x+he_j) - f^t(x) \right] = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \left[\int_0^h \partial_{x_j} f^t(x+re_j) dr \right] = \int_0^h \partial_{x_j} f(x+re_j) dr.$$

By induction on $|\alpha|$, the true derivatives of f exist and equal the distributional derivatives. Furthermore,

$$||f||_{C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} ||\partial^{\alpha} f||_{\infty} \le (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} ||\widehat{\partial^{\alpha} f}||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C||f||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Hence the inclusion map is continuous.

(iii) Assume $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We first show that the inclusion map $\mathrm{Id}: H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous. By the closed graph theorem, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Id}: H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has closed graph. We consider a sequence $(f_j) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f_j \to f$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_j \to g$ in $C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $f \neq g$, there would exist $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\inf_{x \in B(x_0, \delta)} |f(x) - g(x)| > \epsilon$. Since $f_j \to g$ in $C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one could find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\inf_{x \in B(x_0, \delta)} |f_j(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon/2$ for all $j \geq N$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \widehat{f}_j(\omega) - \widehat{f}(\omega) \right|^2 (1 + |\omega|^2)^s d\omega \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \widehat{f}_j(\omega) - \widehat{f}(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega = \|f_j - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \ge C_n \delta^n \epsilon^2$$

for all j > N. This is a contradiction to the fact $f_j \to f$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Consequently, there exists C > 0 depending on n, s and k such that $||f||_{C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C||f||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Then for every $|\alpha| \leq k$ and every $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$|\langle \partial^{\alpha} \delta, f \rangle| = |\langle \delta, \partial^{\alpha} f \rangle| = |\partial^{\alpha} f(0)| \le ||f||_{C_{\alpha}^{k}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \le C||f||_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

Hence $\partial^{\alpha}\delta \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{*} \simeq H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$. Since the Fourier transform of $\partial_{\omega_{1}}^{k}\delta$ is $\omega \mapsto (i\omega_{1})^{k}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\omega_1|^{2k}}{(1+|\omega|^2)^s} d\omega = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|\omega_j|^{2k}}{(1+|\omega|^2)^s} d\omega \ge C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\omega|^{2k}}{(1+|\omega|^2)^s} d\omega$$
$$= C_2 \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{2k+n-1}}{(1+r^2)^s} dr \ge \frac{C_2}{2^s} \int_1^\infty r^{n+2k-2s-1} dr.$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are constants depending only on n and k. If $s \leq k + \frac{n}{2}$, the above integral is infinite, and $\partial_{\omega_1}^k \delta \notin H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $s > k + \frac{n}{2}$.

Following is an immediate corollary of the above theorem.

Corollary 3.33. If $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Next, we show that multiplication by suitably smooth functions preserves the H^s spaces.

Theorem 3.34. Suppose that $\psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that the Fourier transformation $\widehat{\psi}$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\omega|^2)^{a/2} |\widehat{\psi}(\omega)| \, d\omega < \infty \quad \text{for some } a > 0.$$

Then the map $M_{\psi}(f) = \psi f$ is a bounded linear operator on $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ for $|s| \leq a$.

Proof. Since Λ_s is a unitary isomorphism from $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^0(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it is equivalent to show that $\Lambda_s M_\psi \Lambda_{-s}$ is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that

$$(\Lambda_s M_{\psi} \Lambda_{-s} f)^{\wedge}(\omega) = (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} (M_{\psi} \Lambda_{-s} f)^{\wedge}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} \left(\widehat{\psi} * \widehat{\Lambda_{-s} f}\right) (\omega)$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-s/2} \widehat{\psi}(\omega - \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Note that for all $\omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$1 + |\omega|^2 \le 1 + (|\xi| + |\omega - \xi|)^2 \le 1 + 2|\xi|^2 + 2|\omega - \xi|^2 \le 2(1 + |\xi|^2)(1 + |\omega - \xi|^2).$$

Similarly,

$$1 + |\xi|^2 \le 2(1 + |\omega|^2)(1 + |\xi - \omega|^2).$$

The last two estimates imply

$$(1+|\omega|^2)^s(1+|\xi|^2)^{-s} \le 2^{|s|} \left(1+|\omega-\xi|^2\right)^{|s|} \quad \text{for all } \xi, \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (3.8)

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\Lambda_{s} M_{\psi} \Lambda_{-s} f)^{\wedge}(\omega)| \leq C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(1 + |\omega - \xi|^{2}\right)^{|s|/2} |\widehat{\psi}(\omega - \xi)| |\widehat{f}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq C_{n,s} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(1 + |\omega - \xi|^{2}\right)^{|s|/2} |\widehat{\psi}(\omega - \xi)| d\xi \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(1 + |\omega - \xi|^{2}\right)^{|s|/2} |\widehat{\psi}(\omega - \xi)| |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_{n,s} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(1 + |\xi|^{2}\right)^{|s|/2} |\widehat{\psi}(\xi)| d\xi \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(1 + |\omega - \xi|^{2}\right)^{|s|/2} |\widehat{\psi}(\omega - \xi)| |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Plancherel theorem and Tonelli's theorem,

$$\begin{split} \|\Lambda_{s} M_{\psi} \Lambda_{-s} f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} &= \|(\Lambda_{s} M_{\psi} \Lambda_{-s} f)^{\wedge}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left|(\Lambda_{s} M_{\psi} \Lambda_{-s} f)^{\wedge}(\omega)\right|^{2} d\omega \\ &\leq C_{n,s}^{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(1 + |\xi|^{2}\right)^{|s|/2} \left|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)\right| d\xi\right] \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(1 + |\omega - \xi|^{2}\right)^{|s|/2} \left|\widehat{\psi}(\omega - \xi)\right| \left|\widehat{f}(\xi)\right|^{2} d\xi d\omega\right] \\ &\leq C_{n,s}^{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(1 + |\xi|^{2}\right)^{|s|/2} \left|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)\right| d\xi\right]^{2} \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \leq C_{n,s}^{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(1 + |\xi|^{2}\right)^{a/2} \left|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)\right| d\xi\right]^{2} \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\Lambda_s M_{\psi} \Lambda_{-s}$ is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the result follows.

Corollary 3.35. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the map M_{ψ} is a continuous linear operator on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally we introduce a compact embedding theorem for Sobolev spaces. For a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$H_K^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{supp}(f) \subset K \}.$$

Recall that an operator is compact if it maps bounded sets in its domain to precompact sets in its range.

Theorem 3.36 (Rellich's lemma). Let t < s. Then $H_K^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is compactly embedded in $H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. the inclusion map $\mathrm{Id}: H_K^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \to H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is compact.

Proof. We need to prove that every bounded sequence of distributions $(f_m) \subset H_K^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a subsequence (f_{m_j}) that converges in $H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Proposition 3.23, every \widehat{f}_m is a slowly increasing C^{∞} function. We take $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi = 1$ on a neighborhood of K. Then $f_m = \psi f_m$, and $\widehat{f}_m = \widehat{\psi} * \widehat{f}_m \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where the convolution is pointwise defined. By the estimate (3.8),

$$(1+|\omega|^{2})^{s/2}|\widehat{f}_{m}(\omega)| = (1+|\omega|^{2})^{s/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\widehat{\psi}(\omega-\xi)| |\widehat{f}_{m}(\xi)| d\xi$$

$$\leq 2^{|s|/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (1+|\omega-\xi|^{2})^{|s|/2} |\widehat{\psi}(\omega-\xi)| (1+|\xi|^{2})^{s/2} |\widehat{f}_{m}(\xi)| d\xi$$

$$\leq 2^{|s|/2} ||\psi||_{H^{|s|}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} ||f_{m}||_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq 2^{|s|/2} ||\psi||_{H^{|s|}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ||f_{k}||_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

Similarly, since $\partial_{\omega_j}(\widehat{\phi}*f_m) = (\partial_{\omega_j}\widehat{\phi})*f_m \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $(1+|\omega|)^{s/2}|\partial_{\omega_j}\widehat{f}_m|$ is bounded by a constant depending on s, ψ and $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\|f_k\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.32,. In particular, the \widehat{f}_m 's and their first derivatives are uniformly bounded on compact sets. By the mean value theorem and the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, a subsequence (\widehat{f}_{m_j}) converges uniformly on compact sets.

Now we show that (f_{m_j}) is Cauchy in $H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all t < s. In fact, for any R > 0,

$$\begin{split} & \left\| f_{m_{j}} - f_{m_{k}} \right\|_{H^{t}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \widehat{f}_{m_{j}}(\omega) - \widehat{f}_{m_{k}}(\omega) \right|^{2} (1 + |\omega|^{2})^{t} d\omega \\ & = \int_{|\omega| \leq R} \left| \widehat{f}_{m_{j}}(\omega) - \widehat{f}_{m_{k}}(\omega) \right|^{2} (1 + |\omega|^{2})^{t} d\omega + \int_{|\omega| > R} \left| \widehat{f}_{m_{j}}(\omega) - \widehat{f}_{m_{k}}(\omega) \right|^{2} (1 + |\omega|^{2})^{t} d\omega \\ & \leq C_{n} R^{n} (1 + R^{2})^{|t|} \sup_{|\omega| \leq R} \left| \widehat{f}_{m_{j}}(\omega) - \widehat{f}_{m_{k}}(\omega) \right|^{2} + (1 + R^{2})^{t-s} \int_{|\omega| > R} \left| \widehat{f}_{m_{j}}(\omega) - \widehat{f}_{m_{k}}(\omega) \right|^{2} (1 + |\omega|^{2})^{s} d\omega \\ & \leq C_{n} R^{n} (1 + R^{2})^{|t|} \sup_{|\omega| \leq R} \left| \widehat{f}_{m_{j}}(\omega) - \widehat{f}_{m_{k}}(\omega) \right|^{2} + 2 (1 + R^{2})^{t-s} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_{m}\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}. \end{split}$$

Given any $\epsilon > 0$, since t - s < 0, we can choose R > 0 so large that the second term is less than $\epsilon/2$. With R > 0 fixed, the first term is less than $\epsilon/2$ for sufficiently large j and k. Then (f_{m_j}) is a Cauchy sequence that converges in $H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the proof is complete.

3.6 Application: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations

Before we proceed, we discuss the local smoothness of distributions. If $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set, the localized Sobolev space $H^s_{loc}(U)$ is the set of all distributions $f \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ such that for every precompact open set $V \subseteq U$, there exists $g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that g = f on V, i.e. $\langle f - g, \phi \rangle =$ for all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(V)$.

Proposition 3.37. A distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is in $H^s_{loc}(U)$ if and only if $\phi f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $\phi \in C^\infty_c(U)$.

Proof. If $f \in H^s_{loc}(U)$ and $\phi \in C^\infty_c(U)$, we have f = g on a precompact open subset $V \supset \operatorname{supp}(\phi)$, and $\phi f = \phi g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Corollary 3.35. Conversely, given any precompact open subset $V \subseteq U$, we take $\phi \in C^\infty_c(U)$ with $\phi = 1$ on \overline{V} on a neighborhood of V by C^∞ -Urysohn lemma. Then $\phi f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f = \phi f$ on V, and we complete the proof.

Remark. We can apply Proposition 3.27 in addition to derive another characterization of Sobolev spaces with nonnegative integer exponent. In particular, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, a function $f \in L^1_{loc}(U)$ is in $H^k_{loc}(U)$ if and only if $\partial^{\alpha} f \in L^2_{loc}(U)$ for every $|\alpha| \leq k$.

Next, we consider a constant-coefficient differential operator $L = P(\partial) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} c_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha}$, where P can be viewed as a polynomial of degree no more than m. We assume that m is the true order of L, i.e. that $c_{\alpha} \ne 0$ for some $|\alpha| = m$. The principle symbol P_m of L is the sum of the top-order terms:

$$P_m(\omega) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_{\alpha} \omega^{\alpha}.$$

The operator $P(\partial)$ is said to be *elliptic* if $P_m(\omega) \neq 0$ for all nonzero $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Intuitively, ellipticity means that $P(\partial)$ is genuinely m-th order in all directions. For example, the Laplacian Δ is elliptic on \mathbb{R}^n , but the heat operator $\partial_t - \Delta_x$ and the wave operator $\partial_t^2 - \Delta_x$ are not elliptic on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Lemma 3.38. Let $P(\partial)$ be a constant-coefficient differential operator of order m. Then $P(\partial)$ is eliptic if and only if there exists C, R > 0 such that $|P(i\omega)| \ge C|\omega|^m$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\omega| \ge R$.

Proof. If $P(\partial)$ is elliptic, the minimum value of the principal symbol on the unit sphere satisfies

$$M_1 = \inf_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\omega| = 1} |P_m(\omega)| > 0.$$

By homogeneity of P_m of degree m, $|P_m(i\omega)| = |i^m P_m(\omega)| \ge M_1 |\omega|^m$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Meanwhile, since $P - P_m$ is of order less than m, there exists M_2 such that $|P(i\omega) - P_m(i\omega)| \le M_2 |\omega|^{m-1}$. Hence

$$|P(i\omega)| \ge |P_m(i\omega)| + |P(i\omega) - P_m(i\omega)| \ge M_1 |\omega|^m - M_2 |\omega|^{m-1} \ge \frac{M_1}{2} |\omega|^m$$
, for all $|\omega| \ge \frac{2M_2}{M_1}$.

Conversely, if P is not elliptic, there exists $\omega_0 \neq 0$ such that $P_m(i\omega_0) = i^m P_m(\omega_0) = 0$, and $|P(i\omega)| \leq C|\omega|^{m-1}$ for every scalar multiple ω of ω_0 .

Lemma 3.39. Let $L = P(\partial)$ be elliptic of order m. If $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Lu \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $u \in H^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Proof. By our hypothesis, $(1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(1 + |\omega|^2)^{s/2} P(i\omega) \widehat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Lemma 3.38, for some $R \geq 1$, we have

$$(1+|\omega|^2)^{m/2} \le 2^m |\omega|^m \le C_1 2^m |P(i\omega)|$$
 for all $|\omega| \ge R$.

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\omega|^2)^{s+m} |\widehat{u}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \le (1 + R^2)^m \int_{|\omega| \le R} (1 + |\omega|^2)^s |\widehat{u}(\omega)|^2 d\omega + C_2 \int_{|\omega| > R} (1 + |\omega|^2)^s |P(i\omega)\widehat{u}(\omega)|^2 d\omega,$$

which is finite. Therefore $u \in H^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Regularity of distributional solutions. Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Given a distribution solution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ to partial differential equation

$$Lu = f$$
 in U ,

we are often interested in the smoothness of u. In fact, if u is smooth enough, the equation Lu = f holds in the classical sense. The regularity theory studies how the smoothness of f affects the property of u.

Theorem 3.40 (Elliptic Regularity). Suppose that L is a constant-coefficient elliptic differential operator of order m, and U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

- (i) If $Lu \in H^s_{loc}(U)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then $u \in H^{s+m}_{loc}(U)$.
- (ii) If $Lu \in C^{\infty}(U)$, then $u \in C^{\infty}(U)$.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 3.37, we need to show that if $Lu \in H^s_{loc}(U)$ and $\phi \in C^\infty_c(U)$, then $\phi f \in H^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We fix a precompact open set V with $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$, and choose $\psi \in C^\infty_c(U)$ with $\psi = 1$ on \overline{V} by C^∞ -Urysohn lemma. Then $\psi u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and by Proposition 3.23, $\psi u \in H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By decreasing t we may assume that s + m - t equals a positive integer k. We fix $\psi_0 = \psi$, $\psi_k = \phi$, and take recursively $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k-1}$ such that $\psi_j = 1$ on a neighborhood of $\operatorname{supp}(\phi)$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\psi_j) \subset \{\psi_{j-1} = 1\}^\circ$. Hence $\psi_j \psi_{j-1} = \psi_j$ for all j.

We shall prove by induction that $\psi_j u \in H_{t+j}$. It is clear that $\psi_0 u = \psi u \in H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we assume that $\psi_{j-1}u \in H^{t+j-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1 \leq j \leq k$. By the product rule, $L(\psi_j u) - \psi_j Lu$ can be written as

$$L(\psi_{j}u) - \psi_{j}Lu = L(\psi_{j}\psi_{j-1}u) - \psi_{j}L(\psi_{j-1}u)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq m-1} c_{\alpha,\beta} \partial^{\alpha}\psi_{j} \partial^{\beta}(\psi_{j-1}u), \quad \text{where } (c_{\alpha,\beta}) \text{ are constants.}$$

These terms involve only derivatives of ψ_j , which vanishes on the open set where ψ_j is constant. Meanwhile, since $\psi_{j-1}u \in H^{t+j-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Proposition 3.30 implies that $\partial^{\beta}(\psi_{j-1}u) \in H^{t+j-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $|\beta| \leq m-1$. By Corollary 3.35, $L(\psi_j u) - \psi_j Lu \in H^{t+j-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\psi_j Lu \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then their summation

$$L(\psi_j u) \in H^{t+j-m}(\mathbb{R}^n) + H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{t+j-m}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Again by Corollary 3.35 $\psi_j u = \psi_j \psi_{j-1} u \in H^{t+j-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Lemma 3.39, $\psi_j u \in H^{t+j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Taking j = k, we have $\phi u = \psi_k u \in H^{t+k}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ is arbitrary, $u \in H^{s+m}_{loc}(U)$.

(ii) If $Lu \in C^{\infty}(U)$, we have $\phi Lu \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By Corollary 3.33 $Lu \in H^s_{loc}(U)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By (i), $u \in H^s_{loc}(U)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\phi u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$. For every precompact open $V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$, we may take $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(U)$ with $\phi = 1$ on \overline{V} by C^{∞} -Urysohn lemma to conclude that $u|_{V} \in C^{\infty}(V)$. Since V is arbitrary, we have $u \in C^{\infty}(U)$.

Following are some applications of the elliptic regularity theorem.

Corollary 3.41 (Weyl's lemma). Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Every distribution solution u of the Laplacian equation

$$\Delta u = 0$$
 in U

is in $C^{\infty}(U)$ and satisfies $\Delta u = 0$ pointwise in U.

4 Positive Definite Functions and Native Spaces

Motivation: Scattered Data Approximation. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set containing at least N distinct points. Given data points $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, our goal is to reconstruct a function $f \in C(\Omega)$ such that $y_j = f(x_j)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. The idea is to fix a finite dimensional subset $\mathcal{V} \subset C(\Omega)$ that allows a sufficiently good approximation of the full space.

Definition 4.1 (Haar Space). Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a set containing at least N points. Let $\mathcal{V} \subset C(\Omega)$ be an N-dimensional vector space of functions. Then \mathcal{V} is called a *Haar space*, if for any $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \Omega$ and any $y_1, \dots, y_N \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists *exactly* one function $u \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $y_j = u(x_j)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $V \subset C(\Omega)$ be an N-dimensional subspace. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) V is an N-dimensional Haar space;
- (ii) Every $u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$ has at most N-1 zeros;
- (iii) For any distinct points $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \Omega$ and any basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_N\}$ of \mathcal{V} ,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} b_1(x_1) & b_1(x_2) & \cdots & b_1(x_N) \\ b_2(x_1) & b_2(x_2) & \cdots & b_2(x_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_N(x_1) & b_N(x_2) & \cdots & b_N(x_N) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If \mathcal{V} is an N-dimensional Haar space and $u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$ has N zeros, we fix the N zeros $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \Omega$. Since both u and the zero function both interpolate zero on these N points, by the uniqueness argument in the definition of Haar space, $u \equiv 0$, which is a contradiction to $u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). If there exist distinct points $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \Omega$ and basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_N\}$ of \mathcal{V} such that $\det(B) = 0$ with $B = [b_j(x_k)]_{j,k=1}^N$, there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ with $B\xi = 0$, i.e. $\sum_{k=1}^N \xi_k b_k(x_j) = 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, N$. Therefore, the function

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_k b_k \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$$

has N zeros. If (ii) holds, u is identically zeros, which is a contradiction to the fact $\xi \neq 0$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Since $u = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_k b_k \in \mathcal{V}$, the interpolation condition on data points $\{(x_j, y_j)\}_{j=1}^{N}$ becomes

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = u(x_1) = \xi_1 b_1(x_1) + \xi_2 b_2(x_1) + \dots + \xi_N b_N(x_1), \\ y_2 = u(x_2) = \xi_1 b_1(x_2) + \xi_2 b_2(x_2) + \dots + \xi_N b_N(x_2), \\ \vdots \\ y_N = u(x_N) = \xi_1 b_1(x_N) + \xi_2 b_2(x_N) + \dots + \xi_N b_N(x_N). \end{cases}$$

If the third property holds, a unique solution $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ exists since $[b_j(x_k)]_{j,k=1}^N$ is nonsingular.

Example 4.3. We consider the space $\pi_{N-1}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{span}\{1, x, \dots, x^{N-1}\} \subset C(\mathbb{R})$ of polynomials of degree at most N-1. For any distinct points $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N$, the Vandermonde determinant

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{N-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_N & x_N^2 & \cdots & x_N^{N-1} \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{1 \le j \le k \le N} (x_k - x_j) \ne 0.$$

Then $\pi_{N-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is an N-dimensional Haar space.

We consider the interpolation for multivariate cases. The next result shows that Haar spaces do not exist in higher space dimensions.

Theorem 4.4 (Haar-Mairhuber-Curtis). Assume that $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set, where $n \geq 2$. Then there exists no Haar space on U of dimension $N \geq 2$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{span}\{b_1, \dots, b_N\} \subset C(U)$ is a Haar space on U. Since U is an open set, there exists a ball $B(x_0, \delta) \subset U$. Next, we choose two continuous curves $x_j : [0, 1] \to B(x_0, \delta), j = 1, 2$ such that $x_1(0) = x_2(1), x_2(0) = x_1(1)$. We then fix $x_3, \dots, x_N \in B(x_0, \delta) \setminus \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} \{x_1(t), x_2(t)\}$, which is possible since the dimension $n \geq 2$. Then on the one hand, since \mathcal{V} is a Haar space on U, the function

$$D(t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} b_1(x_1(t)) & b_1(x_2(t)) & b_1(x_3) & \cdots & b_1(x_N) \\ b_2(x_1(t)) & b_2(x_2(t)) & b_2(x_3) & \cdots & b_2(x_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_N(x_1(t)) & b_N(x_2(t)) & b_N(x_3) & \cdots & b_N(x_N) \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

is a continuous function that does not change sign. On the other hand, we have D(1) = -D(0) because only the first two rows of the involved matrices are exchanged. Then D must change signs, which is a contradiction. \square

4.1 Positive Definite Functions

According to the Haar-Mairhuber-Curtis theorem, in high-dimensional spaces, one cannot interpolate multiple data points using functions from a finite-dimensional subspace. Given a fixed function $\Phi: U \to \mathbb{R}$, one simple way to form an interpolant is

$$u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j \Phi(x - x_j), \tag{4.1}$$

where the coefficients $(\xi_j)_{j=1}^N$ are determined by the interpolation conditions $u(x_1) = y_1, \dots, u(x_N) = y_N$, which implies

$$\begin{cases} \xi_1 \Phi(x_1 - x_1) + \xi_2 \Phi(x_1 - x_2) + \dots + \xi_N \Phi(x_1 - x_N) = y_1, \\ \xi_1 \Phi(x_2 - x_1) + \xi_2 \Phi(x_2 - x_2) + \dots + \xi_N \Phi(x_2 - x_N) = y_2, \\ \vdots \\ \xi_1 \Phi(x_N - x_1) + \xi_2 \Phi(x_N - x_2) + \dots + \xi_N \Phi(x_N - x_N) = y_N. \end{cases}$$

To interpolate arbitrary data points, we may require an invertible interpolation matrix

$$A_{\Phi}(x_1, \cdots, x_N) = [\Phi(x_j - x_k)]_{1 \le i, k \le N}$$

For numerical stability, we would be happy to impose more conditions on the interpolation matrix, such as positive definiteness.

Definition 4.5. A continuous function $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be *positive semidefinite*, if for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, all pairwise distinct data points $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N \in \mathbb{C}$, the quadratic form

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k \Phi(x_j - x_k)$$

is nonnegative. The function Φ is called *positive definite*, if the quadratic form is positive for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{0\}$.

In fact, we call a function positive semidefinite if the associated interpolation matrices are positive definite, and positive semidefinite if the associated interpolation matrices are positive semidefinite.

Proposition 4.6. Suppose that $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is a positive semidefinite function. Then all properties hold:

- (i) $\Phi(0) \geq 0$.
- (ii) $\Phi(-x) = \overline{\Phi(x)}$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (iii) Φ is bounded, and $|\Phi(x)| \leq \Phi(0)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consequently, $\Phi \equiv 0$ if and only if $\Phi(0) = 0$.

Proof. (i) We take N=1 and $\xi_1=1$ in the definition.

(ii) We take $N=2, \xi=(1,\lambda), x_1=0$ and $x_2=x$ to get

$$(1+|\lambda|^2)\Phi(0) + \lambda\Phi(x) + \overline{\lambda}\Phi(-x) \ge 0.$$

We set $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = i$, respectively, to obtain $\Phi(x) + \Phi(-x) + 2\Phi(0) \ge 0$ and $2\Phi(0) + i(\Phi(x) - \Phi(-x)) \ge 0$, which implies $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi(x) + \Phi(-x)) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\Phi(x) - \Phi(-x)) = 0$. Hence $\Phi(-x) = \overline{\Phi(x)}$.

(iii) As in (ii), the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi(0) & \Phi(x) \\ \Phi(-x) & \Phi(0) \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0,$$

and the determinant $\Phi(0)^2 - \Phi(x) \cdot \Phi(-x) = \Phi(0)^2 - |\Phi(x)|^2 \ge 0$. Hence $|\Phi(x)| \le \Phi(0)$.

Remark. A real-valued function $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is positive semidefinite if and only if Φ is even, and for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, all pairwise distinct data points $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N \in \mathbb{R}$. the quadratic form

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \xi_j \xi_k \Phi(x_j - x_k) \ge 0.$$

Proposition 4.7. Supose that $\Phi, \Psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ are two positive semidefinite functions.

- (i) For all $\lambda_1, \lambda \geq 0$, the function $\lambda_1 \Phi + \lambda_2 \Psi$ is positive semidefinite. In addition, if one of Φ, Ψ is positive definite and the corresponding coefficient is positive, then $\lambda_1 \Phi + \lambda_2 \Psi$ is positive definite.
- (ii) (Schur product theorem) The pointwise product $\Phi \cdot \Psi$ is positive semidefinite. In addition, if Φ and Ψ are positive definite, so is $\Phi \cdot \Psi$.

Proof. (i) It suffices to verify the definition.

(ii) We fix $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $A = (A_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N} = (\Phi(x_j - x_k))_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}$, and $B = (B_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N} = (\Psi(x_j - x_k))_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}$, which are both positive semidefinite. It suffices to show that the Hadamard product $A \circ B$ is also positive semidefinite.

Since A and B are both positive semidefinite, we consider the eigendecompositions $A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j v_j v_j^*$ and $B = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mu_k \zeta_k \zeta_k^*$, where $\lambda_j, \mu_k \geq 0$ are eigenvalues. Then the Hadamard product

$$A \circ B = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j v_j v_j^*\right) \circ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \mu_k \zeta_k \zeta_k^*\right) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \lambda_j \mu_k (v_j \circ \zeta_k) (v_j \circ \zeta_k)^*.$$

For each $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N$, we have

$$\xi^* (A \circ B) \xi = \sum_{j,k=1}^N \lambda_j \mu_k \xi^* (v_j \circ \zeta_k) (v_j \circ \zeta_k)^* \xi = \sum_{j,k=1}^N \lambda_j \mu_k \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \xi_m v_{j,m} \zeta_{k,m} \right)^2 \ge 0.$$
 (4.2)

Hence $A \circ B$ is positive semidefinite. Moreover, if A and B are positive definite, then $\lambda_j, \mu_k > 0$ for all j, k. If $\xi \neq 0$, there exists j such that $\xi^* v_j \neq 0$, and $\xi \circ v_j \neq 0$. Likewise there exists k such that $(\xi \circ v_j)^* \zeta_k \neq 0$. Therefore the quadratic form (4.2) is positive, and $A \circ B$ is positive definite.

4.2 Bochner's Theorem

Motivation. If a function $\Phi \in C(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has an integrable Fourier transform $\widehat{\Phi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the Fourier inversion theorem, one can recover Φ from the Fourier transform:

$$\Phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then the quadratic form involving Φ is

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \xi_{j} \overline{\xi}_{k} \Phi(x_{j} - x_{k}) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \xi_{j} \overline{\xi}_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot (x_{j} - x_{k})} d\omega \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega) \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{j} e^{i\omega \cdot x_{j}} \right|^{2} d\omega. \end{split}$$

Consequently, if the Fourier transform $\widehat{\Phi}$ is nonnegative and nonnegative, then Φ is positive semidefinite. For a complete characterization, we need to replace the measure with Lebesgue density $\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)$ by a more general Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 4.8. A continuous function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is positive semidefinite if and only if Φ is bounded and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y) \gamma(x) \overline{\gamma(y)} \, dx \, dy \ge 0 \tag{4.3}$$

for all test functions $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Suppose that Φ is a positive semidefinite function. Then Φ is bounded, and for every $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$, the integral (4.3) is well-defined. Moreover, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x-y) \gamma(x) \overline{\gamma(y)} \, dx \, dy - \int_Q \int_Q \Phi(x-y) \gamma(x) \overline{\gamma(y)} \, dx \, dy \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Since $(x,y) \mapsto \Phi(x-y)\gamma(x)\overline{\gamma(y)}$ is continuous, the double integral over $Q \times Q$ is the limit of Riemann sums, and there exist points $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and weights $w_1, \dots, w_N > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{Q} \int_{Q} \Phi(x-y)\gamma(x)\overline{\gamma(y)} \, dx \, dy - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Phi(x_{j}-x_{k})\gamma(x_{j})w_{j}\overline{\gamma(x_{k})}w_{k} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

The last two estimates imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x-y) \gamma(x) \overline{\gamma(y)} \, dx \, dy > \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi(x_j - x_k) w_j \gamma(x_j) \overline{w_k} \overline{\gamma(x_k)} - \epsilon.$$

Since Φ is positive semidefinite, we let $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ to conclude that the integral (4.3) is nonnegative.

Lemma 4.9. For each m > 0, define $\varphi_m(x) = (2m)^{n/2} e^{-m|x|^2}$. The following statements hold:

- (i) $\widehat{\varphi}_m(\omega) = e^{-\frac{|\omega|^2}{4m}};$
- (ii) $\widehat{\widehat{\varphi}}_m = \varphi_m$;
- (iii) If $\Phi \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is slowly increasing, we have

$$\Phi(x) = \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y) \varphi_m(y - x) \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.9, the Fourier transform of $\varphi = \varphi_{1/2}$ is itself. By Proposition 2.6 (iv),

$$\widehat{\varphi}_m(\omega) = (2m)^{n/2} (\varphi \circ \sqrt{2m} \operatorname{Id})^{\wedge}(\omega) = \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2m}}\right) = e^{-\frac{|\omega|^2}{4m}}.$$

(ii) Using (i) twice:

$$\widehat{\widehat{\varphi}}_m = \left(e^{-\frac{|\omega|^2}{4m}}\right)^{\wedge} = (2m)^{n/2} \widehat{\varphi}_{\frac{1}{4m}} = (2m)^{n/2} e^{-m|x|^2} = \varphi_m.$$

(iii) We first consider the case x = 0. Note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_m(y) dy = (2\pi)^{n/2}$. By (i),

$$(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y) \varphi_m(y) \, dy - \Phi(0) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\Phi(y) - \Phi(0) \right] \varphi_m(y) \, dy.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\Phi(y) - \Phi(0)| < \epsilon/2$ for all $|y| \le \delta$. Since Φ is slowly increasing, there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $C_p > 0$ such that $|\Phi(y)| \le C_p(1+|y|)^p$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left[\Phi(y) - \Phi(0) \right] \varphi_{m}(y) \, dy \right| \leq \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \left| \Phi(y) - \Phi(0) \right| \varphi_{m}(y) \, dy + C_{p} \int_{|y| > \delta} \varphi_{m}(y) \left(1 + |y| \right)^{p} \, dy$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \varphi_{m}(y) \, dy + C_{p,\delta} \int_{|y| > \delta} |y|^{p} \varphi_{m}(y) \, dy$$

$$\leq \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2} \epsilon}{2} + C_{p,\delta} \int_{|y| > \sqrt{2m} \delta} \left| \frac{y}{\sqrt{2m}} \right|^{p} e^{-|y|^{2}/2} \, dy$$

$$\leq \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2} \epsilon}{2} + (2m)^{-p/2} C_{p,\delta} \int_{|y| > \sqrt{2m} \delta} |y|^{p} e^{-|y|^{2}/2} \, dy < (2\pi)^{n/2} \epsilon$$

for large enough m. The case $x \neq 0$ follows by replacing Φ with $\Phi(\cdot + x)$ in the previous case.

Now we continue the proof of Proposition 4.8. Conversely, if Φ is bounded and (4.3) holds for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can rewrite the double integral as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y) \gamma(x) \overline{\gamma(y)} \, dx \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) \gamma(x + y) \overline{\gamma(y)} \, dx \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma(x + y) \overline{\gamma(y)} \, dy \right] dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma(x - y) \overline{\gamma(-y)} \, dy \right] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) (\gamma * \widetilde{\gamma})(x) \, dx,$$

where we use the notation $\widetilde{\gamma}(x) = \overline{\gamma(-x)}$. Next, given $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N \in \mathbb{C}$, we take

$$\gamma(x) = \gamma_m(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j \varphi_{2m}(x - x_j), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and

$$\widehat{\gamma}_m(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j e^{-i\omega \cdot x_j} e^{-\frac{|\omega|^2}{8m}}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By the convolution formula [Theorem 2.8],

$$(\gamma_m * \widetilde{\gamma}_m)^{\wedge}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{n/2} |\widehat{\gamma}_m|^2(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j e^{-i\omega \cdot x_j} \right|^2 e^{-\frac{|\omega|^2}{4m}}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k e^{-i\omega \cdot (x_j - x_k)} \widehat{\varphi}_m(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^N \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k \tau_{x_j - x_k} \varphi_m \right)^{\wedge} (\omega).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k \Phi(x_j - x_k) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y) \varphi_m(y - (x_j - x_k)) \, dy \\ &= \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y) (\gamma_m * \widetilde{\gamma}_m)(y) \, dy = \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y) \gamma_m(x) \overline{\gamma_m(y)} \, dx \, dy \geq 0. \end{split}$$

Thus we complete the proof of Proposition 4.8.

We have the following characterization of positive semidefinite functions.

Theorem 4.10 (Bochner's Theorem). A continuous function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is positive semidefinite if and only if it is the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of a finite nonnegative Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n , i.e.

$$\Phi(x) = \widehat{\mu}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\mu(\omega), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(4.4)

Proof. Step I. Given a finite nonnegative Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n , let Φ be defined as in (4.4). Since Φ is the Fourier transform of a finite measure, it is continuous. For all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \xi_{j} \overline{\xi}_{k} \Phi(x_{j} - x_{k}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \xi_{j} \overline{\xi}_{k} e^{i\omega \cdot (x_{k} - x_{j})} d\mu(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{j} e^{-i\omega \cdot x_{j}} \right|^{2} d\mu(\omega) \geq 0.$$

Step II. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f = \psi^{\vee} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By the convolution formula [Theorem 2.8],

$$0 \le (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y) f(x) \overline{f(y)} \, dx \, dy = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) (f * \widetilde{f})(x) \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) (|\widehat{f}|^2)^{\vee}(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) (|\psi|^2)^{\vee}(x) \, dx.$$

Next, for any nonnegative $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define $\psi_{\epsilon} = \sqrt{g + \epsilon^2 \varphi}$, where $\varphi(x) = e^{-|x|^2/2}$. Then $\psi_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since it coincides $\epsilon \varphi(x/2)$ when evaluated at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with sufficiently large |x|. By the above conclusion,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) g^{\vee}(x) \, dx + \epsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) \varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) \left(|\psi_{\epsilon}|^2 \right)^{\vee} (x) \, dx \ge 0.$$

Since Φ is bounded and $\varphi = \widehat{\varphi}$ is integrable, we let $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ and conclude that

$$Tg := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) g^{\vee}(x) \, dx \ge 0,$$

which forms a positive linear functional $T: C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{C}$, i.e. it takes nonnegative functions to nonnegative (real) values. This extends uniquely to a positive linear functional $T: C_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{C}$ by mollification. By Riesz representation theorem, there exists a nonnegative Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$Tg = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d\mu(x), \quad g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Step III. We fix a nonnegative function $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dx = 1$ and $\widehat{g} \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is nonnegative. To achieve this, we can take a nonnegative bump $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and define $g = (\phi * \widetilde{\phi})^{\vee}$ with appropriate normalization. Let $g_m(x) = m^n g(mx)$, where m > 0. Then $\widehat{g}_m(x) = \widehat{g}(x/m)$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) g_m(x) \, dx = T \, \widehat{g}_m = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{g}_m(x) \, d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{g}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \, d\mu(x).$$

Note that $\widehat{g}(x/m) \to (2\pi)^{-n/2}$ as $m \uparrow \infty$, by Fatou's lemma,

$$(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mu(x) \le \liminf_{m \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{g}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) d\mu(x) = \liminf_{m \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) g_m(x) dx = \Phi(0).$$

Hence the total mass of μ is bounded by $(2\pi)^{n/2}\Phi(0)$. Finally, by Proposition 1.6,

$$\Phi(x) = \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y) g_m(x - y) \, dy = \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{g}_m(-\omega) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, d\mu(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, d\mu(\omega),$$

where the last inequality follows from the dominated convergence theorem and the finiteness of μ .

Recalling the interpolation problem, we are more interested in positive definite than in positive semi-definite functions. Now we discuss some sufficient conditions for a function to be positive definite.

Theorem 4.11. A positive semidefinite function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is positive definite if the support of the Borel measure μ in the representation (4.4) contains an open subset.

Proof. We denote by U the open set contained in the support of μ . Then $\mu(U) > 0$. According to Step I in the proof of Theorem 4.10, for any $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N$ satisfies $\sum_{j,k=1}^N \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k \Phi(x_j - x_k) = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j e^{-i\omega \cdot x_j} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in U.$$

Applying the analytic continuation in each coordinate of ω , we derive that $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j e^{-i\omega \cdot x_j} = 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for any test function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j e^{-i\omega \cdot x_j} \widehat{\psi}(\omega) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j \tau_{x_j} \psi\right)^{\wedge} (\omega) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j \psi(x-x_j) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We take $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with support contained in $B(0, \epsilon/2)$, where $\epsilon = \min_{j \neq k} |x_j - x_k|$, and f(0) = 1. This implies

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j \psi(x_k - x_j) = \xi_k \psi(0) = \xi_k \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, N.$$

Hence $\xi = 0$, and Φ is positive definite.

We can use Bochner's characterization to construct positive definite functions by choosing the Borel measure μ . This is even simpler if μ has a Lebesgue density $\rho(x) dx$.

Corollary 4.12. Let $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If Φ is nonnegative and not identically zero, then

$$\Phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(\omega) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\omega, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

defines a positive definite function.

Proof. We define a Borel measure μ by

$$\mu(A) = \int_A \rho(x) dx, \quad A \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then the support of μ equals the support of ρ . Since ρ is continuous and not identically zero, its support contains an open set, and hence the Fourier transform of ρ is positive definite by the Theorem 4.11.

Example 4.13 (Gaussian radial basis function). For each m > 0, the function

$$\varphi_m(x) = (2m)^{n/2} e^{-m|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is positive definite on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. By Lemma 4.9,

$$\varphi_m(x) = \widehat{\varphi}_m(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}_m(\omega) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\omega = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|\omega|^2}{4m}} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\omega, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then φ_m is a positive definite function by Corollary 4.12.

The Gaussian example gives rise to a criterion for verifying positive definiteness.

Theorem 4.14. Let $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then Φ is positive definite if and only if Φ is bounded and the Fourier transform $\widehat{\Phi}$ is nonnegative and not identically zero.

Proof. Step I. We assume that Φ is bounded and has nonnegative and nonvanishing Fourier transform. Then by the monotone convergence theorem and Lemma 4.9,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega) d\omega = \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega) \widehat{\varphi}_m(\omega) d\omega$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) \widehat{\varphi}_m(\omega) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} dx d\omega$$

$$= \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\omega) \widehat{\widehat{\varphi}}_m(\omega) d\omega$$

$$= \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\omega) \varphi_m(\omega) d\omega = (2\pi)^{n/2} \Phi(0).$$

Then $\widehat{\Phi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and by the Fourier inversion formula,

$$\Phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\Phi}(-\omega) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\omega.$$

By Corollary 4.12, Φ is positive definite.

Step II. Conversely, if $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a positive definite function, Φ is bounded, and by Theorem 4.10, Φ is the Fourier transform of a nonnegative Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega) &= \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\Phi}(\xi) \varphi_m(\xi - \omega) \, d\xi \\ &= \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y) \widehat{\varphi}_m(y) e^{-i\omega \cdot y} \, dy \\ &= \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot y} d\mu(\xi) \right] \widehat{\varphi}_m(y) e^{-i\omega \cdot y} \, dy \\ &= \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}_m(y) e^{-i(\xi + \omega) \cdot y} \, dy \, d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_m(\xi + \omega) \, d\mu(\xi) \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Then the Fourier transform $\widehat{\Phi}$ is nonnegative. We proceed as in Step I to conclude $\|\widehat{\Phi}\|_{L^1} = (2\pi)^{n/2}\Phi(0) > 0$. Therefore $\widehat{\Phi}$ does not vanish identically.

Remark. As is shown in our proof, if $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a positive definite function, the nonnegative Fourier transform $\widehat{\Phi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Following are two applications of this criterion.

Corollary 4.15. Let $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be positive definite functions on \mathbb{R} . Then the product

$$\Phi(x) = \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\cdots\phi_n(x_n), \quad x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

also defines a positive definite function on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Since the univariate functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$, the function $\Phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, its *n*-variate Fourier transform is

$$\widehat{\Phi}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx = \prod_{j=1}^n (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_j(x) e^{-i\omega_j x_j} \, dx_j = \prod_{j=1}^n \widehat{\phi}_j(\omega_j).$$

By Theorem 4.14, the univariate Fourier transforms $\widehat{\phi}_1, \dots, \widehat{\phi}_n$ are nonnegative and not identically zero, and the *n*-variate Fourier transform $\widehat{\Phi} = \widehat{\phi}_1 \widehat{\phi}_2 \cdots \widehat{\phi}_n$ also possesses these properties. Hence Φ is positive definite. \square

Proposition 4.16. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and s > n/2. Then the function

$$\Phi(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-s}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is positive definite, and its Fourier transform is

$$\widehat{\Phi}(\omega) = \frac{2^{1-s}}{\Gamma(s)} |\omega|^{s-\frac{n}{2}} K_{\frac{n}{2}-s}(|\omega|), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where K_{ν} is the modified Bessel function of the second kind:

$$K_{\nu}(r) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r \cosh t} \cosh(\nu t) dt, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{C}, \ r > 0.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\Gamma(s)$,

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{(1+|x|^2)^{-s}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} e^{-(1+|x|^2)u} du,$$

where we substitute $t = (1 + |x|^2)u$ in the last expression. Since s > n/2, the function $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{-n/2}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} e^{-(1+|x|^2)u} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, du \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} e^{-u} \left[(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-u|x|^2} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx \right] du \\ &= \frac{2^{-n/2}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty u^{s-\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-u} e^{-\frac{|\omega|^2}{4u}} du, \end{split}$$

where we use Lemma 4.9 in the last expression. On the other hand, for every a > 0,

$$K_{\nu}(r) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r \cosh t} \cosh(\nu t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-r \cosh t} e^{\nu t} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{r}{2}(e^{t} + e^{-t})} e^{\nu t} dt = \frac{1}{2a^{\nu}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{r}{2}\left(\frac{u}{a} + \frac{a}{u}\right)} u^{\nu - 1} du. \qquad \text{(change the variable } u = ae^{t}\text{)}$$

Setting $\nu = s - \frac{n}{2}$, $r = |\omega|$ and $a = |\omega|/2$, we have

$$K_{\frac{n}{2}-s}(|\omega|) = \frac{2^{s-\frac{n}{2}-1}}{|\omega|^{s-\frac{n}{2}}} \int_0^\infty u^{s-\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-u} e^{-\frac{|\omega|^2}{4u}} du = \frac{2^{s-1}\Gamma(s)}{|\omega|^{s-\frac{n}{2}}} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega), \quad |\omega| > 0.$$

The continuity shows that $\widehat{\Phi}(0) = 2^{-n/2}\Gamma(s-\frac{n}{2})/\Gamma(s)$. Thus we complete the proof.

Finally, we consider the differentiability of positive definite functions.

Theorem 4.17. If a positive definite function $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is C^{2k} in some neighborhood of the origin, then $\Phi \in C^{2k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\partial^{\alpha}\Phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} (-i\omega)^{\alpha} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\mu(\omega), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where μ is a nonnegative Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying (4.4).

Proof. For every $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by (4.4),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) \gamma(x) \, dx = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx \, d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\gamma}(\omega) \, d\mu(\omega).$$

Next, we take a nonnegative function $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$ and support in $B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $\phi_m(x) = m^n \phi(mx)$ for each m > 0. We take m so large that Φ is C^{2k} in the neighborhood $B(0, m^{-1})$, which is contained in the support of ϕ_m . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1 + |\omega|^2\right)^k \widehat{\phi}_m(\omega) \, d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) (1 - \Delta)^k \phi_m(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 - \Delta)^k \Phi(x) \phi_m(x) \, dx,$$

where the integral is indeed over $B(0, m^{-1})$. Since

$$\widehat{\phi}_m(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_m(x) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} \, dx = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{|x| < 1} \phi(x) \left[\cos \left(\frac{\omega \cdot x}{m} \right) - i \sin \left(\frac{\omega \cdot x}{m} \right) \right] dx \to (2\pi)^{-n/2},$$

by Fatou's Lemma, we let $m \uparrow 0$ to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\omega|^2)^k \, d\mu(\omega) \le (2\pi)^{n/2} (1 - \Delta)^k \Phi(0).$$

Hence for each $|\alpha| \leq 2k$, the function $\omega \mapsto |\omega^{\alpha}|$ is integrable with respect to μ . Note that

$$\left|e^{i\theta} - 1\right| = \left|2ie^{i\theta}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right| \le 2\left|\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right| \le |\theta| \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{-ih\omega_j} - 1}{h} \cdot e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\mu(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-i\omega_j) e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\mu(\omega).$$

By induction on α , for all $|\alpha| \leq 2k$, we obtain that

$$\partial^{\alpha}\Phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-i\omega)^{\alpha} e^{-i\omega \cdot x} d\mu(\omega).$$

Thus we complete the proof.

4.3 Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces

Motivation. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, for s > n/2, the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is

$$H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) = \left\{ f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \cap C_{0}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) : (1 + |\cdot|^{2})^{s/2} \widehat{f} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \right\}.$$

In other words, every equivalent class in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a continuous representitive. Recalling that the inner product in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) \overline{\widehat{g}(\omega)} (1 + |\omega|^2)^s d\omega.$$

Since the order s > n/2, the weight function is related to a positive definite function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\widehat{\Phi}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}(1+|\omega|^2)^{-s}$. By Proposition 4.16, Φ is given by

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{2^{1-s-\frac{n}{2}}\pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(s)}|x|^{s-\frac{n}{2}}K_{\frac{n}{2}-s}(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since $(1+|\omega|^2)^{s/2}\widehat{\Phi}\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\Phi\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\langle f, \Phi(\cdot - x) \rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) \overline{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega) e^{-i\omega \cdot x}} (1 + |\omega|^2)^s d\omega = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega = f(x).$$

By continuity of elements in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the above identity holds pointwise in \mathbb{R}^n . This is called the *reproducing* property, which reveals the role of the function Φ in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Kernel functions. Let Ω be a nonempty set, and let $\Phi : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ be a bivariate function. Similar to (4.1), an alternative way to construct a function interpolating points $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{C}$ is

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j \Phi(\cdot, x_j).$$

To avoid confusion, we call such a bivariate function Φ a kernel. We say that $\Phi: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is a positive semidefinite kernel, if for all pairwise distinct $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \Omega$ and all $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \xi_j \overline{\xi}_k \Phi(x_j, x_k) \ge 0.$$

The kernel Φ is called *positive definite*, if the quadratic form is positive for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{0\}$. Similar to Proposition 4.6, one can show that a positive semidefinite function has the following properties:

- (i) $\Phi(x,x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in \Omega$.
- (ii) $\Phi(x,y) = \overline{\Phi(y,x)}$ for each $x,y \in \Omega$.
- (iii) $|\Phi(x,y)| \leq \sqrt{\Phi(x,x)\Phi(y,y)}$ for all $x,y \in \Omega$.

Now we introduce the reproducing kernel for Hilbert spaces of functions.

Definition 4.18. Let \mathcal{F} be a real Hilbert space of functions $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. A bivariate function $\Phi: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *reproducing kernel* for \mathcal{F} , if

- (i) $\Phi(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $x \in \Omega$, and
- (ii) $\langle f, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = f(x)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $x \in \Omega$.

Remark. The reproducing kernel of a Hilbert space must be unique. In fact, if both Φ_1 and Φ_2 are reproducing kernels of \mathcal{F} , the second property implies $\langle f, \Phi_1(\cdot, x) - \Phi_2(\cdot, x) \rangle$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $x \in \Omega$. Setting $f = \Phi_1(\cdot, x) - \Phi_2(\cdot, x)$ for a fixed $x \in \Omega$ demonstrates the uniqueness.

Theorem 4.19 (Characterization of reproducing kernel Hilbert space). Let \mathcal{F} be a real Hilbert space of functions $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. Then \mathcal{F} has a reproducing kernel if and only if the point evaluation functionals are continuous on \mathcal{F} , i.e. $\delta_x \in \mathcal{F}^*$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Proof. If every point evaluation functional $\delta_x \in \mathcal{F}^*$, by the Riesz representation theorem, there exists $\Phi_x \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f(x) = \delta_x(f) = \langle f, \Phi_x \rangle$. Hence the function $\Phi(\cdot, x) := \Phi_x(\cdot)$ is a reproducing kernel of \mathcal{F} .

Conversely, if Φ is a reproducing kernel of \mathcal{F} , we have $\delta_x(f) = f(x) = \langle f, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|\Phi(\cdot, x)\|_{\mathcal{F}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}}$. Hence the point evaluation functional δ_x is bounded by $\|\delta_x\|_{\mathcal{F}^*} \leq \|\Phi(\cdot, x)\|_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proposition 4.20. Let \mathcal{F} be a real Hilbert space of functions $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ with reproducing kernel Φ . Then

- (i) $\Phi(x,y) = \langle \Phi(\cdot,x), \Phi(\cdot,y) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \langle \delta_x, \delta_y \rangle_{\mathcal{F}^*}$ for every $x,y \in \Omega$.
- (ii) Φ is symmetric and positive semidefinite.
- (iii) Φ is positive definite if and only if the point evaluation functionals are linearly independent in \mathcal{F}^* .
- (iv) If a function sequence $(f_n) \subset \mathcal{F}$ converges to $f \in \mathcal{F}$ in norm, then (f_n) also converges pointwise to f.

Proof. (i) For every $x, y \in \Omega$, it follows by definition that $\langle \Phi(\cdot, y), \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle = \Phi(x, y)$. By the Riesz representation theorem, $\Phi(\cdot, x) \mapsto \delta_x$ is a unitary isomorphism from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{F}^* , and $\langle \delta_x, \delta_y \rangle_{\mathcal{F}^*} = \langle \Phi(\cdot, x), \Phi(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$.

(ii) & (iii) For pairwise distinct $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \Omega$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \xi_j \xi_k \Phi(x_j, x_k) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \xi_j \xi_k \left\langle \delta_{x_j}, \delta_{x_k} \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j \delta_{x_j}, \sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_k \delta_{x_k} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}^*} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_j \delta_{x_j} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}^*} \ge 0.$$

The last expression can and will only be zero if the point evaluation functionals are linearly dependent.

(iv) Note that

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |\langle f_n - f, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}| \le ||\Phi(\cdot, x)||_{\mathcal{F}} ||f_n - f||_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Hence convergence in norm implies pointwise convergence.

Next, we study how to construct a reproducing kernel Hilbert space from a positive semidefinite kernel.

Theorem 4.21 (Moore-Aronszajn). Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces and positive semidefinite kernel are one-to-one correspondent, i.e., for each symmetric positive semidefinite kernel $\Phi: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique real Hilbert space with reproducing kernel Φ .

Proof. Step I. Let Ω be a non-empty set and $\Phi: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive semidefinite kernel. Define

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{0} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \Phi(\cdot, x) : x \in \Omega \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{j} \Phi(\cdot, x_{j}) : N \in \mathbb{N}, \ \xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{N} \in \mathbb{R}, \ x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N} \in \Omega \right\}.$$

We claim that \mathcal{F}_{Φ}^{0} is a pre-Hilbert space with inner product

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{M} \xi_j \Phi(\cdot, x_j), \sum_{k=1}^{N} \upsilon_k \Phi(\cdot, y_k) \right\rangle_{\Phi} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_j \upsilon_k \Phi(x_j, y_k).$$

We need to show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Phi}$ is indeed an inner product. It is easy to verify that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Phi}$ is a semi-inner product, so it remains to show that $\langle f, f \rangle_{\Phi} = 0$ only if f = 0. Assume $||f||_{\Phi} = 0$, and fix $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{0}$. Then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$0 \le ||f - tg||_{\Phi}^2 \le -2t\langle f, g \rangle_{\Phi} + t^2\langle g, g \rangle_{\Phi}.$$

If there exists $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$ such that $|\langle f, g \rangle_{\Phi}| > 0$, setting $t = \langle f, g \rangle_{\Phi}/\langle g, g \rangle_{\Phi}$ gives a contradiction of the above inequality. Hence $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0} = 0$ for all $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$. Then $f(x) = \langle f, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi} = 0$ for every $x \in \Omega$, and f = 0.

Step II. If (f_j) is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{F}_{Φ}^0 , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$|f_j(x) - f_k(x)| = |\langle f_j - f_k, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi}| \le \Phi(x, x) ||f_j - f_k||_{\Phi} \to 0 \text{ as } j, k \to \infty.$$

Hence $(f_j(x))$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \Omega$, and we identify (f_j) with the function $f(x) = \lim_{j \to \infty} f_j(x)$. We define function space $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \supset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} = \{ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \mid \text{there exist a Cauchy sequence } (f_j) \text{ in } \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0 \text{ such that } f_j \to f \text{ pointwise} \}$$

Then \mathcal{F}_{Φ} is a vector space. For each $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$, we define $||f||_{\Phi} = \lim_{j \to \infty} ||f_j||_{\Phi}$, where $(f_j) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$ is a Cauchy sequence approximating f. We can verify that function $||\cdot||_{\Phi} : \mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \to [0, \infty)$ is well-defined:

- Claim I. The limit of $(\|f_j\|_{\Phi})$ exists for every Cauchy sequence $(f_j) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$.
- Every Cauchy sequence $(f_j) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$ is bounded in norm, so we assume $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_j\|_{\Phi} \leq K < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\|f_j\|_{\Phi}^2 - \|f_k\|_{\Phi}^2| &= |\langle f_j, f_j - f_k \rangle_{\Phi} + \langle f_j - f_k, f_k \rangle_{\Phi}| \\ &\leq (\|f_j\|_{\Phi} + \|f_k\|_{\Phi}) \|f_j - f_k\|_{\Phi} \leq 2K \|f_j - f_k\|_{\Phi} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $j, k \to \infty$, and $\lim_{j \to \infty} ||f_j||_{\Phi}$ exists.

- \circ Claim II. The value of $||f||_{\Phi}$ is independent of the choice of approximating Cauchy sequence $(f_j) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$.
- For two Cauchy sequences $(f_j), (g_j) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$ approximating f, we have $f_j g_j \to 0$ pointwise. Therefore, it suffices to show that for every Cauchy sequence $(f_j) \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $f_j \to 0$ pointwise, $||f_j||_{\Phi} \to 0$. As in Claim I, we assume $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} ||f_j||_{\Phi} \leq K < \infty$. Given any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we take $M_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||f_j f_k||_{\Phi} < \epsilon/(2K)$ for all $j, k \geq M_0$. We may increase M_0 to make $f_{M_0} \neq 0$ (otherwise, $f_j = 0$ for all $j \geq M_0$, and the result is clear) and write $f_{M_0} = \sum_{k=1}^N \xi_k \Phi(\cdot, x_k)$ with $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N \neq 0$. Next, we take $M_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f_j(x_k)| < \epsilon/(2N|\xi_k|)$ for $k = 1, \dots, N$. Then for all $j \geq \max\{M_0, M_1\}$,

$$||f_{j}||_{\Phi}^{2} \leq |\langle f_{j}, f_{j} - f_{M_{0}} \rangle_{\Phi}| + |\langle f_{j}, f_{M_{0}} \rangle_{\Phi}| \leq ||f_{j}||_{\Phi} ||f_{M_{0}} - f_{j}||_{\Phi} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\xi_{k}| |\langle f_{j}, \Phi(\cdot, x_{k}) \rangle_{\Phi}|$$

$$\leq A||f_{M_{0}} - f_{j}||_{\Phi} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\xi_{k}| |f_{j}(x_{k})| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

- Claim III. For a Cauchy sequence $(f_j) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$ approximating $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$ pointwise, we have $||f_j f||_{\Phi} \to 0$. That means, \mathcal{F}_{Φ}^0 is a dense subspace of \mathcal{F}_{Φ} .
- Given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $M_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||f_j f_k||_{\Phi} < \epsilon/2$ for all $j, k \geq M_2$. Then $(f_j f_{M_2}) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$ converges pointwise to $f f_{M_2}$, and $||f_j f_{M_2}||_{\Phi} \to ||f f_{M_2}||_{\Phi}$. Hence for all $j \geq M_2$,

$$||f_j - f|| \le ||f_j - f_{M_2}||_{\Phi} + ||f - f_{M_2}||_{\Phi} \le ||f_j - f_{M_2}||_{\Phi} + \sup_{k \ge M_2} ||f_k - f_{M_2}||_{\Phi} < \epsilon.$$

Following the definition, $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$ is a norm on \mathcal{F}_{Φ} and is consistent with its definition on \mathcal{F}_{Φ}^0 . To show that \mathcal{F}_{Φ} is complete under $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$, we let (f_j) be a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}, \|\cdot\|_{\Phi})$. Then for each f_j we can pick an approximating sequence $(f_{j,k}) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$, and we take N_j such that $\|f_{j,N_j} - f_j\|_{\Phi} < 1/j$. Given any $\epsilon > 0$, we pick $M_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f_j - f_k\|_{\Phi} < \epsilon/3$ for all $j, k \geq M_2$. Then for all $j, k \geq \max\{M_2, 3/\epsilon\}$,

$$||f_{j,N_i} - f_{k,N_k}||_{\Phi} \le ||f_{j,N_i} - f_j||_{\Phi} + ||f_j - f_k||_{\Phi} + ||f_k - f_{k,N_k}||_{\Phi} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore $(f_{j,N_j}) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$ is a Cauchy sequence, which converges to some $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$ pointwise and in $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$ norm. Since $\|f_j - f\|_{\Phi} \leq \|f_j - f_{j,N_j}\|_{\Phi} + \|f_{j,N_j} - f\|_{\Phi} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, the space \mathcal{F}_{Φ} is complete. Step III. Note that $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$ satisfies the parallelogram law: for every $f,g\in\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$,

$$||f - g||_{\Phi}^{2} + ||f + g||_{\Phi}^{2} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \left(||f_{j} - g_{j}||_{\Phi}^{2} + ||f_{j} + g_{j}||_{\Phi}^{2} \right) = 2 \lim_{j \to \infty} \left(||f_{j}||_{\Phi}^{2} + ||g_{j}||_{\Phi}^{2} \right) = 2 ||f||_{\Phi}^{2} + 2 ||g||_{\Phi}^{2}.$$

Then an inner product on \mathcal{F}_{Φ} is induced by the polarization identity $\langle f, g \rangle_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|f\|_{\Phi}^2 + \|g\|_{\Phi}^2 - \|f - g\|_{\Phi}^2 \right)$. For every $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$, every approximating sequence $(f_j) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$ and every $x \in \Omega$,

$$\langle f, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{j \to \infty} \left(\|f_j\|_{\Phi}^2 + \|\Phi(\cdot, x)\|_{\Phi}^2 - \|f_j - \Phi(\cdot, x)\|_{\Phi}^2 \right) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle f_j, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle = \lim_{j \to \infty} f_j(x) = f(x).$$

Therefore Φ is the reproducing kernel for \mathcal{F}_{Φ} .

Step IV. Now we focus on the uniqueness assertion. If \mathcal{F} is a Hilbert space with reproducing kernel Φ , it contains \mathcal{F}^0_{Φ} , and the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ on \mathcal{F}^0_{Φ} agrees with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Phi}$. Since \mathcal{F} is complete, it contains the limits of all $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$ -Cauchy sequences in \mathcal{F}^0_{Φ} , and $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$. Still, the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ on \mathcal{F}_{Φ} agrees with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Phi}$. By completeness of \mathcal{F}_{Φ} , it is a closed subspace of \mathcal{F} . Now for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we write the orthogonal decomposition $f = f_0 + f_1$, where $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$ and $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp}_{\Phi}$. Then $f_1 \perp \mathcal{F}^0_{\Phi}$, and

$$f(x) = \langle f, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle f_0, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} + \langle f_1, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle f_0, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = f_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Hence $f = f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$, and $\mathcal{F} \supset \Phi = \mathcal{F}$. To summarize, we have $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$, the completion of \mathcal{F}_{Φ} under $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$. \square

Finally, we discuss the invariance property of spaces and kernels.

Definition 4.22. Let \mathcal{F} be a real Hilbert space of functions $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$. For an invertible transformation $T:\Omega\to\Omega$, we say \mathcal{F} is invariant under T, if

- (i) $f \circ T \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, and
- (ii) $\langle f \circ T, g \circ T \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 4.23. Let \mathcal{F} be a real Hilbert space of functions $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ with reproducing kernel Φ . If \mathcal{F} is invariant under invertible transformation $T:\Omega\to\Omega$, we have

$$\Phi(Tx, Ty) = \Phi(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in \Omega.$$

Proof. If Φ is a reproducing kernel of \mathcal{F} , for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $y \in \Omega$,

$$f(y) = f \circ T^{-1}(Ty) = \langle f \circ T^{-1}, \Phi(\cdot, Ty) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \langle f, \Phi(\cdot, Ty) \circ T \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

By uniqueness of the reproducing kernel, $\Phi(T, T)$ coincides the reproducing kernel of \mathcal{F} .

Remark. We let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, and consider the translations $\{\tau_y : y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. If \mathcal{F} is a Hilbert space of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ invariant under the group of translations on \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$\Phi(x, y) = \Phi(\tau_u x, \tau_u y) = \Phi(x - y, 0) =: \Phi_0(x - y).$$

Then the kernel can be written as $\Phi_0(\cdot - \cdot)$ for some positive semidefinite kernel 0. In addition, if \mathcal{F} is invariant under both the translations and the orthogonal transformations, for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we find an orthogonal transformation Q with $Q(x - y) = |x - y|e_1$ to obtain

$$\Phi(x,y) = \Phi(Qx,Qy) = \Phi_0(Q(x-y)) = \Phi_0(|x-y|e_1) = \phi(|x-y|).$$

In this case, the kernel $\Phi(x,y) = \phi(|x-y|)$ is radial.

4.4 Native Spaces for Positive Definite Functions

In this subsection, we assume Ω is a metric space and $\Phi: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous positive semidefinite kernel. A candidate for a Hilbert space with reproducing kernel Φ is the completion \mathcal{F}_{Φ} of the pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0 = \operatorname{span}\{\Phi(\cdot, x): x \in \Omega\}$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$ norm, which contains the equivalent classes of all $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$ -Cauchy sequences $\mathbf{f} = (f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{F}_{Φ}^0 , where the equivalence relation is

$$\mathbf{f} = (f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sim \mathbf{g} = (g_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{if } \lim_{j \to \infty} ||f_j - g_j||_{\Phi} = 0.$$

The pre-Hilbert space \mathcal{F}_{Φ}^{0} can be understood as a subspace of its completion \mathcal{F}_{Φ} by identifying every $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{0}$ with the equivalent class $[(f, f, \cdots)]$. The inner product on \mathcal{F}_{Φ} is given by

$$\langle [\mathbf{f}], [\mathbf{g}] \rangle_{\Phi} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle f_j, g_j \rangle_{\Phi}, \quad \mathbf{f} = (f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \mathbf{g} = (g_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}.$$

In the last subsection, every abstract element $[\mathbf{f}] \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$ is identified with the pointwise limit of corresponding Cauchy sequences. In comparison, in this subsection, we obtain a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with the help of the continuous extension of point evaluation functionals on \mathcal{F}_{Φ}^0 to the completion \mathcal{F}_{Φ} .

Lemma 4.24. For every Cauchy sequence $\mathbf{f} = (f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{F}^0_{Φ} and every $x \in \Omega$, define

$$R[\mathbf{f}](x) = \langle [\mathbf{f}], \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle f_j, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi}.$$

- (i) R is a linear map from \mathcal{F}_{Φ} into $C(\Omega)$, and Rf = f for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$.
- (iii) The map $R: \mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \to C(\Omega)$ is injective.

Proof. (i) Clearly R is a function on \mathcal{F}_{Φ} . For every $x, y \in \Omega$ and every Cauchy sequence $\mathbf{f} = (f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{F}_{Φ}^0 ,

$$|R[\mathbf{f}](x) - R[\mathbf{f}](y)| = |\langle [\mathbf{f}], \Phi(\cdot, x) - \Phi(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\Phi}| = \lim_{j \to \infty} ||f_j||_{\Phi} ||\Phi(\cdot, x) - \Phi(\cdot, y)||_{\Phi}$$
$$= \lim_{j \to \infty} ||f_j||_{\Phi} \sqrt{\Phi(x, x) + \Phi(y, y) - 2\Phi(x, y)},$$

which, by continuity of Φ , converges to 0 as $|x-y| \downarrow 0$. Hence $R[\mathbf{f}]$ is continuous. Furthermore, if $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^0$, we have $Rf(x) = \langle f, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi} = f(x)$ by the reproducing property.

(iii) If $R[\mathbf{f}] = 0$ for some $[\mathbf{f}] = [(f_1, f_2, \cdots)] \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$, we have $\langle [\mathbf{f}], \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi}$ for every $x \in \Omega$, and

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} f_j(x) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle f_j, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi} = 0.$$

In the proof of Theorem 4.21 we already show that a Cauchy sequence (f_j) in \mathcal{F}_{Φ}^0 converges to 0 pointwise if and only if $||f_j||_{\Phi} \to 0$. Hence $||[\mathbf{f}]||_{\Phi} = 0$, and R is injective.

This technical lemma enables us to define the native Hilbert space of a positive semidefinite kernel Φ .

Theorem 4.25 (Native spaces). Define the native Hilbert function space associated to the symmetric positive semidefinite kernel Φ by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega) = R(\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}).$$

Then $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space of continuous functions $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)} - \langle R^{-1}f, R^{-1}g \rangle_{\Phi}$$

and reproducing kernel Φ . Furthermore, every Hilbert space of functions $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ with reproducing kernel Φ coincides the native space $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$, and the inner products are the same.

Proof. Step I. By the definition of $(\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)})$, R is a unitary isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ and \mathcal{F}_{Φ} , and $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space of continuous functions on Ω . Furthermore, since $\Phi(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{0}$ for every $x \in \Omega$, we have $R^{-1}\Phi(\cdot, x) = \Phi(\cdot, x)$. For every $f \in \mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$,

$$f(x) = R(R^{-1}f)(x) = \langle R^{-1}f, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi} = \langle R^{-1}f, R^{-1}\Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi} = \langle f, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)}.$$

Hence Φ is the reproducing kernel for $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$.

Step II. Let \mathcal{F} be a Hilbert space of real functions on Ω with reproducing kernel Φ . If $f \in \mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$, we assume $[(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}] = R^{-1}f \in \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$, where (f_j) is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{F}_{Φ}^0 . Then f is pointwise given by

$$f(x) = R(R^{-1}f)(x) = \langle R^{-1}f, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\Phi} = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Note that (f_j) is also a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{F} , which converges to some $g \in \mathcal{F}$. By Proposition 4.20 (iv), g is pointwise defined by $g(x) = \lim_{j \to \infty} f_j(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, and $f = g \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{F}$, and

$$||f||_{\mathcal{F}} = ||g||_{\mathcal{F}} = \lim_{j \to \infty} ||f_j||_{\mathcal{F}} = \lim_{j \to \infty} ||f_j||_{\Phi} = ||R^{-1}f||_{\Phi} = ||f||_{\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)}.$$

Finally, if $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ does not equal \mathcal{F} , one can find an element $g \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$ orthogonal to the closed subspace $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ of \mathcal{F} , which implies $g(x) = \langle g, \Phi(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ for every $x \in \Omega$ and contradicts $g \neq 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\Omega) = \mathcal{F}$, and their norms agree with each other. The equivalence of inner products then follows from the polarization identity.

Remark. We can also skip Step II in the above proof by Moore-Aronszajn theorem [Theorem 4.21].

The uniquness result allows us to give another characterization of the native space in the case where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ and Φ is translation invariant.

Theorem 4.26. Let $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a real-valued positive definite function. Define

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n) : \widehat{\Phi}^{-1/2} \widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\},\,$$

and equip this space with the bilinear form

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left\langle \widehat{\Phi}^{-1/2} \widehat{f}, \widehat{\Phi}^{-1/2} \widehat{g} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\widehat{f}(\omega) \overline{\widehat{g}(\omega)}}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} d\omega.$$

Then \mathcal{G} is a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}}$ and reproducing kernel $\Phi(\cdot - \cdot)$.

Proof. Step I. We first prove that \mathcal{G} is a pre-Hilbert space. By Theorem 4.14, the Fourier transform $\widehat{\Phi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is nonnegative and not identically zero. Then every $f \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfies $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\omega)| \, d\omega \le \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} \, d\omega \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)| \, d\omega \right]^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Since $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Plancherel's theorem and the continuity of f and $(\widehat{f})^{\vee}$, we may recover f pointwise from its Fourier transform:

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (4.5)

Now we prove that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}}$ is an inner product on \mathcal{G} . Clearly $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is \mathbb{R} -linear and conjugate symmetric. Then it remains to show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is real-valued and positive definite. For a real-valued L^2 function f, we have

 $\widehat{f}(\omega) = \overline{\widehat{f}(-\omega)}$ a.e.. Then for every $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\widehat{f}(\omega)\widehat{\widehat{g}(\omega)}}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} d\omega = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\widehat{f}(\omega)\widehat{\widehat{g}(\omega)}}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} d\omega + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\widehat{f}(-\omega)\widehat{\widehat{g}(-\omega)}}{\widehat{\Phi}(-\omega)} d\omega
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\widehat{f}(\omega)\overline{\widehat{g}(\omega)} + \widehat{f}(-\omega)\overline{\widehat{g}(-\omega)}}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} d\omega = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\widehat{f}(\omega)\overline{\widehat{g}(\omega)} + \overline{\widehat{f}(\omega)}\widehat{g}(\omega)}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left[\widehat{f}(\omega)\overline{\widehat{g}(\omega)}\right]}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} d\omega,$$

which is real-valued. As a weighted L^2 -inner product, $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{G}}$ is nonnegative. Furthermore, $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{G}}$ equals zero if and only if $\widehat{f} = 0$ a.e., and by 4.5 this is equivalent to $f \equiv 0$. Hence $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}}$ is positive definite.

Step II. To prove that \mathcal{G} is a Hilbert space, we only need to show the completeness of \mathcal{G} . We fix a Cauchy sequence (f_j) in \mathcal{G} . This means that $\widehat{\Phi}^{-1/2}\widehat{f}_j$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which converges to some $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sqrt{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} g(\omega) \right| d\omega \le \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |g(\omega)|^2 d\omega \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)| d\omega \right]^{1/2} < \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sqrt{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} g(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \le \|\widehat{\Phi}\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |g(\omega)|^2 d\omega < \infty.$$

Hence $\widehat{\Phi}^{1/2}g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the function

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sqrt{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} g(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot x} d\omega, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is well defined and in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Fourier inversion theorem, $\widehat{\Phi}^{-1/2}\widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$|f(x) - f_j(x)| \le (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \widehat{\Phi}(\omega)^{1/2} g(\omega) - \widehat{f}_j(\omega) \right| d\omega \le (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left\| g - \widehat{\Phi}^{-1/2} f_j \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sqrt{\|\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}},$$

which converges to 0 as $j \to \infty$. Hence f is real-valued, and $f \in \mathcal{G}$. Furthermore,

$$||f_j - f||_{\mathcal{G}}^2 = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\widehat{f_j}(\omega) - \widehat{f}(\omega)|^2}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} d\omega = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \widehat{\Phi}(\omega)^{-1/2} \widehat{f_j}(\omega) - g(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \to 0.$$

Hence $f_i \to f$ in \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{G} is a Hilbert space.

Step III. Finally we show that $\Phi(\cdot - \cdot)$ is the reproducing kernel of \mathcal{G} . Since $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded by $\Phi(0)$, it is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left|\widehat{\tau_y \Phi}(\omega)\right|^2}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left|\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)e^{-i\omega \cdot y}\right|^2}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\Phi}(\omega) \, d\omega < \infty.$$

Hence $\widehat{\Phi}^{-1/2}(\Phi(\cdot -y))^{\wedge} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\Phi(\cdot -y) \in \mathcal{G}$ for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For the reproducing property, note that

$$\langle f, \Phi(\cdot - y) \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\widehat{f}(\omega) \overline{(\tau_y \Phi)^{\wedge}(\omega)}}{\widehat{\Phi}(\omega)} d\omega = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega \cdot y} d\omega = f(y).$$

Therefore \mathcal{G} is a Hilbert space with reproducing kernel Φ .

Remark. By the uniqueness argument in Theorem 4.25, \mathcal{G} is the native space of $\Phi(\cdot - \cdot)$ in \mathbb{R}^n , and we use the sloppy notation $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \mathcal{N}_{\Phi(\cdot - \cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{G}$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}}$. In particular, every $f \in \mathcal{N}_{\Phi}$ can be recovered from its Fourier transform $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by (4.5).

The above result demonstrates that native spaces is a kind of generalization of Sobolev spaces. Recalling that for s > n/2, the Sobolev $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n) : (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}.$$

If Φ has an algebraically decaying Fourier transform, then its native space is a Sobolev space.

Corollary 4.27. Let s > n/2, and $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a positive definite function such that

$$c_1(1+|\omega|^2)^{-s} \le \widehat{\Phi}(\omega) \le c_2(1+|\omega|^2)^{-s}$$
 for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

where $c_1 \leq c_2$ are two positive constants. Then the native space $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(bbR^n)$ corresponding to Φ coincides with the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the native space norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and the Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent.

Remark. By Proposition 4.16, a good candidate of the desired function Φ for constructing Sobolev spaces is the Matérn function

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{2^{1-s}}{\Gamma(s)} |x|^{s-\frac{n}{2}} K_{\frac{n}{2}-s}(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

whose Fourier transform is $\widehat{\Phi}(\omega) = (1 + |\omega|^2)^{-s}$.

References

- [1] Folland, G.B. (1999) Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications. 2nd Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- $[2] \ \ Wendland, \ H. \ (2004) \ \textit{Scattered Data Approximation}. \ \ Cambridge \ \ University \ Press, \ Cambridge.$