AI Agents Assignment

Section 1: Short Answer Questions

1. Compare and contrast LangChain and AutoGen frameworks.

LangChain is a modular framework focused on building LLM-powered pipelines using components such as prompts, memory, tools, and chains. It is ideal for retrieval-augmented generation, structured workflows, and applications requiring tool usage. AutoGen, in contrast, specializes in multi-agent collaboration. It allows multiple agents—such as coder and reviewer agents—to communicate and solve tasks iteratively. LangChain's main limitation is complexity as workflows grow, while AutoGen's limitation is its dependence on high-quality LLM responses. LangChain emphasizes structured automation, whereas AutoGen emphasizes agent cooperation.

2. How AI Agents transform supply chain management.

AI agents improve supply chains through predictive forecasting, real-time shipment tracking, automated procurement, warehouse optimization, and machine diagnostics. These agents reduce stockouts, prevent delays, optimize picking routes, detect equipment failures early, and improve supplier coordination. The result is lower operational cost, improved delivery times, higher product quality, and greater supply chain resilience.

3. Human-Agent Symbiosis and significance.

Human-Agent Symbiosis refers to collaboration where humans provide judgment and oversight while agents handle data-heavy and repetitive tasks. Unlike traditional automation, which replaces human labor through rigid rules, symbiosis enhances human abilities. It increases productivity, reduces burnout, and enables workers to focus on strategic or creative tasks while staying in control of key decisions.

4. Ethical implications of autonomous agents in finance.

Autonomous agents may introduce bias, make errors, or perform actions without clear accountability. High-stakes financial decisions require transparency and auditability. Safeguards include human approval for high-risk actions, strict logging, bias audits, and access control to prevent unauthorized transactions. These ensure fairness, safety, and regulatory compliance.

5. Memory and state management challenges.

Agents must retain relevant context, track goals, recall past actions, and maintain long-term state. Too little memory causes forgetfulness; too much causes irrelevant context and inefficiency. Real-world systems require structured memory, episodic recall, and reliable state synchronization to avoid repeated actions or incorrect reasoning. Effective memory handling makes agents dependable in complex environments.

Section 2: Case Study Analysis – AutoParts Inc.

AutoParts Inc. faces high defect rates, downtime, and customization demands. A multi-agent solution can significantly improve performance. Three proposed agents:

1. Quality Inspection Agent:

Uses computer vision to detect defects in components, reducing the 15% defect rate. It flags issues in real time and generates analytics to improve processes.

2. Predictive Maintenance Agent:

Collects vibration and temperature data to predict machine failures before they occur. It schedules maintenance automatically, reducing downtime and improving efficiency.

3. Production Optimization Agent:

Dynamically schedules jobs based on machine availability, order priority, and workload. It improves throughput and supports fast customization.

ROI & Timeline:

0–3 months: Infrastructure setup and pilot.

3–6 months: Deploy inspection and maintenance agents. Expected: defect rate drops to 6–8%, downtime reduced 25–40%.

6–12 months: Optimization agent rollout. Expected: throughput improvement 20–30%, delivery time reduction 15%.

Total ROI expected within 12–16 months.

Risks & Mitigation:

Technical risks (model drift) mitigated via retraining; organizational resistance mitigated by training; ethical risks mitigated by human approval requirements and secure data governance.

Simulation:

A workflow is provided using n8n, demonstrating an automated agent pipeline for scheduling and optimization.

Completed by: Jusper Ageri