Number Theoretic Algorithms

Dov Kruger

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Rutgers University

January 23, 2024







Introduction

Number theory is a branch of mathematics involving integers Computation of integer operations (large numbers) Primality Testing

Practical applications

- Coding and Cryptography (RSA)
- Random Number Generation
- Hash Functions
- Graphics





Warmup: GCD

The Greatest Common Divisor is a simple algorithm with a brute force and brilliant solution

Brute force: try every divisor $O(\sqrt{n})$

Euclid's algorithm is much better.



Brute Force GCD

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{brute\_gcd} \left( \text{a, b} \right) \\ \text{lim} \; \leftarrow \; \text{min} \big( \text{a, b} \big); \\ \text{best} \; \leftarrow \; 1 \\ \text{for} \; \; i \; \leftarrow \; 2 \; \; \text{to} \; \; \text{lim} \\ \quad \quad \text{if} \; \; \text{a mod} \; \; i \; \Longrightarrow \; 0 \; \; \text{and} \; \; \text{b} \; \; \text{mod} \; \; i \; = \; 0 \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{best} \; \leftarrow \; \; i \\ \quad \quad \text{end} \\ \quad \quad \text{end} \\ \quad \quad \text{return} \; \; \text{best} \\ \text{end} \end{array}
```



Still Brute Force GCD

```
brute_gcd(a, b)
    lim ← sqrt(min(a,b));
    for i ← lim downto 2
        if a mod i == 0 and b mod i == 0
            return i
        end
    end
end
```



Euclid, Recursively

```
gcd(a,b)
  if b == 0
    return a;
  end
  return gcd(b, a mod b)
end
```



Overview

Number theory only appears theoretical

In this session, we will answer some problems that turn out to have practical importance

- How fast can we test whether a number is prime or not?
- How fast can we factor a number into primes?

While cryptography is a huge field, we will also explore some basics

- How can messages be kept secret?
- How can keys be distributed in an environment where eavesdropping is possible?



Definition of Prime Numbers

A prime number is a positive integer that is evenly divisible only by itself and 1

- 1 is not considered prime
- 2, 3, and 5 are prime
- 4 is not (2 * 2 = 4)



Testing Primality: Brute Force

In order to determine if a number is prime, divide by every number smaller than itself

```
bool isPrime(int n) {
  for (int i = 2; i < n; i++)
    if (n % i == 0)
     return false;
  return true;
}</pre>
```



Better Brute Force

```
No need to test numbers up to n-1
Test up to n/2
bool isPrime(uint64_t n) {
  for (uint64_t i = 2; i < n/2; i++) {
    if (n \% i == 0)
      return false:
  return true;
```



Better Brute Force, take 2

No need for divisors past \sqrt{n} bool is Prime (uint 64_t n) { for (uint 64_t i = 2; i <= sqrt(n); i++) { if (n % i == 0) return false; } return true;



Eratosthenes: A Completely Different Approach

Eratosthenes' 2500 years ago

Avoid trial division by starting with the divisors

Wipe out all multiples and see what remains

[diag here showing numbers 2 to 25 with strikeouts, see notes, my diagram is not good]



Original Eratosthenes Algorithm

```
eratosthenes(n)
    isPrime \leftarrow new boolean[n+1]
    isPrime[*] \leftarrow true
    for i \leftarrow 2 to n
      if isPrime[i]
         print i
         for j \leftarrow 2*i to n step i
          isPrime[j] \leftarrow false
         end
      end
    end
end
```

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
I		1	0	1	00	1	0	0	00	1	00	1	0	00	0	1	00	1	00	0

Improved Eratosthenes Algorithm

```
improved_eratosthenes(n)
  isPrime \leftarrow new boolean[n+1]
  isPrime[*] \leftarrow true
  for i \leftarrow 2 to n
     if isPrime[i]
        print i
        for j \leftarrow i*i to n step 2i
          isPrime[j] \leftarrow false
       end
     end
  end
end
```

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
I		1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	00	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0

Complexity of Eratosthenes

The analysis of complexity is tough because it depends on an if statement

It turns out the density of prime numbers is $1/\log_2 n$

Q: At n = 1,000,000,000 how many numbers are prime? At n = 1,000,000,000,000 how many numbers are prime?





Probabilistic Algorithms for Prime: Fermat

Fermat is most famous for his "last theorem"

$$x^n + y^n = z^n$$
, for $n \ge 3$ there are no solutions

https://www.npr.org/sections/thetwo-way/2016/03/17/470786922/professor-who-solved-fermat-s-last-theorem-wins-math-s-abel-prize

This one thus far has no practical uses, but his *little theorem* is a different story

*Note Mathematicians have obsessed over this problem for 300 years. Excellent movie on this (Spanish) "Fermat's Room" https://www.imdb.com/title/tt1016301/



Fermat's Little Theorem

For any positive integer n, pick a witness a, 1 < a < n if is is prime, then $a^{n-1} \mod n = 1$

On its face, this seems ridiculous Computing a^{n-1} is far worse than the original problem. Consider...

would require computing

 $2^{100000000000000000000000017}$ (a gigantic number) 3.28×10^{80} estimated number of particles in the universe The observable universe is insufficient to store the number!



Appearances Can Deceive

Computing function is not the same as computing the function mod n

Consider the following problem:

compute $n!, n = 10^{12}$

compute $n! \mod 10$

The first cannot be computed. The second = 0 How do we know? Because the last digit is ALWAYS zero beyond 7

7! = 4940

9! = 362880

10! = 3628800





Computing Exponents: The power Algorithm

```
The power algorithm computes x^n
power(x, n)
   prod \leftarrow 1
   while n > 0
      if n AND 1 \neq 0
        prod \leftarrow prod * x
     end
     x \leftarrow x * x
     n \leftarrow n/2
   end
end
```



Power Algorithm at Work

power(2, 17) prod starts at 1

After each row in the table n is divided by 2, x is squared

X	n	n odd	prod
2	17	yes	2
$2^2 = 4$	8	no	2
$4^2 = 16$	4	no	2
$16^2 = 256$	2	no	2
$256^2 = 65536$	1	yes	$2 * 2^{16} = 2^{17} = 131072$





Subtle Difference: Powermod Algorithm

```
The powermod algorithm computes x^n \mod m
Notice the two places in the code where the result is \mod m
powermod(x, n, m)
  prod \leftarrow 1
  while n > 0
     if n AND 1 \neq 0
        prod \leftarrow prod * x \mod m
     end
     x \leftarrow x * x \mod m
     n \leftarrow n/2
  end
end
```



Powermod Algorithm at Work

Because powermod always keeps the result modulo $\mathbf{m},$ it never gets large

powermod(5, 9, 13) = $5^9 \mod 13 = 1953125 \mod 13 = 5$ prod starts at 1

After each row in the table n is divided by 2, x is squared

X	n	n odd	$prod = prod * x \mod n$
5	9	yes	$1*5 \mod 13 = 5$
$5^2 = 25 \mod 13 = 12$	4	no	5
$12^2 = 144 \mod 13 = 1$	2	no	5
$1^2 = 1 \mod 13 = 1$	1	yes	$5*1 \mod 13 = 5$
r9 1 10 F	ı	'	ı

 $5^9 \mod 13 = 5$





Powermod Algorithm at Work, 2nd example

 $powermod(6, 9, 17) = 6^9 \mod 17 = 10077696 \mod 17 = 11$ prod starts at 1

After each row in the table n is divided by 2, \times is squared

X	n	n odd	
6	9	yes	$1*6 \mod 17 = 6$
$6^2 = 36 \mod 17 = 2$	4	no	6
$2^2 = 4 \mod 17 = 4$	2	no	6
$4^2 = 16 \mod 17 = 16$	1	yes	$(6*16) \mod 17 = 11$
$6^9 \mod 17 = 11$			'



Fermat Primality Testing

```
To test for primes using Fermat, reverse his theorem if n is prime, then a^n \mod m = 1 So what if a^n \mod m = 1, does this mean that n must be prime? Not quite. Mostly true. powermod(3,911110,911111) = 1, 911111 is prime. powermod(6,96,97) = 1, 97 is prime. powermod(5,560,561) = 1, Error: 561 is not prime!
```



Problem with Fermat: Carmichael Numbers

A Carmichael Number

- Is a pseudoprime that will pass the Fermat test for many witnesses but still is not prime.
- is a square-free composite number.

see: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carmichael_number
The first three Carmichael numbers are:

The only way a Carmichael number will return false for the Fermat test is if the witness is chosen as one of the factors of the Carmichael number.

This means, in practice, trying all factors which is the brute force algorithm (trial division).



Fermat is a Probabilistic Algorithm

Fermat is not guaranteed to work. For any single witness a if $a^{p-1} \mod p = 1$ m, p may be prime The number is probably prime. Perform k trials with random witnesses If $powermod(a, p-1, p) \neq 1$ for any test, NOT PRIME



Fermat Pseudocode

```
The Fermat algorithm
Fermat(p, k)
  for i \leftarrow 1 to k
    a \leftarrow random(2, p-1)
     if powermod(a, p - 1, p) \neq 1
       return false // definitely not prime!
    end
  end
  return true // probably prime!
end
```



Problem with Fermat: Carmichael Numbers

Carmichael numbers are a problem, but very rare.

There would be three mistakes for numbers below 2000, numbers which would reported prime, but are not.

But no one would ever use this method for small integers For $p<10^{21}$ there are only 20,138,200 Carmichael numbers, so the probability is extremely low.

Still, there are better ways.



Miller-Rabin Algorithm

Miller-Rabin solves the problem of Carmichael numbers by determining whether the number is

- A Carmichael number
- A real prime

Procedure:

- ullet Compute p-1 which must be even for any prime >2
- ullet Split the number into the leading digits d and trailing zeros
- Count the number of trailing zeros
- Perform k trials of the Miller Rabin test
- \bullet For each test, pick a witness $2 \leq a < p-1$
- $\bullet \leftarrow powermod(a, d-1, d)$
- if x = 1 or x = -1





Miller-Rabin Algorithm

First split the algorithm into leading digits and trailing zeros Example:

```
\begin{array}{l} p = 10001110001 \; p - 1 = 10001110000 \; d = 1000111, s = 4 \\ \text{MillerRabin (p, k)} \; \; //O(k \log n) \\ \text{s} \; \leftarrow 0 \\ \text{d} \; \leftarrow p - 1 \\ \text{while} \; d \; \operatorname{mod} \; 2 = 0 \\ \text{d} \; \leftarrow \; \text{d} \; \; / \; 2 \\ \text{s} \; \leftarrow \; \text{s} \; + \; 1 \\ \text{end} \end{array}
```



Miller-Rabin Algorithm

```
witnessLoop:
  repeat k times
    a \leftarrow random(2, p-1)
    x \leftarrow powermod(a, p - 1, p)
     if x = 1 or x = n1 then
       continue WitnessLoop
     repeat s-1 times:
         x \leftarrow x^2 \mod p
         if x=p-1 then
            continue WitnessLoop
    end
    return false (definitely not prime)
  end
return true (probably prime)
```



Miller-Rabin: $x^2 \mod m$

if $x^2 \mod m = 1$ then x was ± 1



Miller-Rabin is Probabilistic

However, it has been tried and precomputed up to very large numbers

From Wikipedia:

$$n < 1,373,653$$

 $n < 9,080,191$

$$a = 2.3$$

$$a = 71,73$$

$$a = 2, 3$$

$$a = 2, 3$$

 $a = 71, 73$
 $a = 2, 3$
 $a = 2, 3, 5, 7$



AKS

Agrawal, Kayal, Saxena 2002

- 1. Far slower than Miller-Rabin
- 2. Revolutionary because it is deterministic
- Know certainly whether a number is prime or not without trial division
- **4.** If this is possible, perhaps it is possible to factor in less than $O(\sqrt{n})$?

