ChatGPT

Global Deployments of Counter-Drone Effector Systems and Autonomous Interceptors

Introduction

Effector systems are the "hard-kill" and "soft-kill" technologies used to neutralize hostile drones and other aerial threats. These include directed-energy weapons (lasers and high-power microwaves), radio-frequency (RF) jammers and takeover systems, kinetic interceptors (missiles, guns, nets), and **autonomous counter-drone drones**. Around the world, militaries and security agencies are deploying or trialing such systems to protect bases, critical infrastructure, borders, and public events from emerging threats like **drone swarms, loitering munitions, AI-guided surveillance UAVs, and autonomous explosive "breacher" drones**. This survey highlights major systems by category, detailing their technical specifications (range, power, speed, etc.), costs, deployment footprints, manufacturers, users, and relevant legal constraints, with documented trial outcomes and operational status.

High-Energy Laser Weapon Systems

Directed-energy lasers are being fielded to destroy drones and munitions by burning through their structure with focused light. Modern anti-drone lasers range from ~20 kW portable units to 100 kW-class strategic defenses, boasting **nearly instantaneous shot speed** and low cost-per-shot (only the electrical cost, often **cents per shot**). However, they require clear line-of-sight and can be affected by weather (dust, fog) and heat buildup. Table 1 compares notable laser systems:

Laser System (Country)	**Power**	**Effective Range**	**Target Types**	**Deployment Status**
P-HEL (BlueHalo "LOCUST") (USA)	20 kW	~5 km against drones	Group 1–2 drones, rockets [1]	**2 units fielded** (Army RCCTO) in Middle East [2] for operational evaluation
Iron Beam (Israel)	~100 kW (est.)	Several km (classified)	Rockets, mortars, UAVs, *cruise missiles*	**In trials**, entering production (Rafael/Elbit); $500 M program for **serial deployment in 2025**
DE M-SHORAD (USA)	50 kW	~5+ km (short-range)	Drones, artillery shells, rockets	**Prototypes delivered** on Stryker vehicles (Army) for testing in Europe; first combat unit equipped 2023–24 (Raytheon/ Kord)
DragonFire (UK)	50 kW (planned)	~3 km (tested)	Drones, artillery, missiles	**Technology demonstrator** (MBDA/QinetiQ), tested in 2023 for tracking/kill; not yet fielded (development ongoing)

Laser System (Country)	**Power**	**Effective Range**	**Target Types**	**Deployment Status**
Silent Hunter (China)	30 kW	1–4 km (max) [3] [4]	UAVs (up to Group 2), loitering munitions	**Export deployed** – used by Saudi Arabia ("SkyShield" system) to guard oil sites; *performance degraded* by desert dust & heat as trials showed

Table 1: Representative high-energy laser C-UAS systems (power, range, targets, and status).

United States – The U.S. has rapidly progressed laser air defenses. In 2022, the Army's Rapid Capabilities office began operational trials of the **Palletized High Energy Laser (P-HEL)**, a 20 kW system by BlueHalo. Two P-HEL units were **deployed overseas (undisclosed location)** by late 2022/early 2024 [2]. These pallet-mounted lasers have already engaged test drones (using an Xbox-style controller) and are the first U.S. laser weapons supporting active missions [1] [2]. The Army is also field-testing a higher-power **50 kW laser on Stryker vehicles** (DE M-SHORAD) for short-range air defense. Meanwhile, the Navy has installed ship-based lasers like **Lockheed Martin's HELIOS (60 kW)** on destroyers and the **ODIN dazzler** (lower-power) on warships to counter UAV sensors. The cost-per-shot of these electric lasers is minimal (~$1–$10 worth of fuel or electricity), a fraction of a traditional missile. However, generating and cooling high-power beams imposes logistical costs (power supply, thermal management). U.S. lasers remain relatively few in number – *e.g.*, only a pair of P-HEL and a handful of DE M-SHORAD prototypes exist – but they are at **Technology Readiness Level** 7–9 (advanced prototyping to initial field use). As of 2024, U.S. Central Command and INDOPACOM are *assessing multiple laser systems* under real-world conditions, aiming to deploy them more widely for base protection against drone and rocket attacks.

Israel – Facing intense rocket and drone threats, Israel has invested heavily in lasers to augment Iron Dome. **Iron Beam**, developed by Rafael and Elbit, is a ~100 kW-class interceptor laser intended to **counter rockets, mortar shells, UAVs and even cruise missiles**. After successful tests in 2022 (destroying mortar bombs and drones), Iron Beam is slated to integrate into Israel's multi-layer air defense by 2025. In late 2024, Israel signed a $500 million deal to mass-produce Iron Beam, aiming to deploy "many additional laser systems" in coming years. This program is boosted by U.S. funding – a special aid package of **$1.2 billion** was allocated by Congress to support Israel's Iron Beam and other air defenses. Israel has also tested smaller vehicle-mounted lasers: Rafael unveiled a compact **"Lite Beam"** system for mobile use. These developments underscore strong U.S.-Israeli collaboration and the expectation that **lasers will significantly cut defense costs** in high-threat regions (intercepting cheap drones or rockets with a $2,000 missile vs. a $2 electricity burst). Legally, Israel asserts its laser use complies with international law by targeting equipment – not persons – thus avoiding the ban on blinding weapons.

China – China has developed and exported several anti-drone lasers. The **Silent Hunter** (30 kW fiber laser) has been sold as part of the "SkyShield" C-UAS system. Saudi Arabia deployed Silent Hunter units to shield oil facilities after drone attacks. In controlled demonstrations, Silent Hunter could **burn through 5 mm of steel at 1 km** and engage drones out to 4 km under ideal conditions [3] [5]. However, real-world use in the Saudi desert revealed serious limitations: dust and sand degraded the beam and optics, high heat sapped power into cooling, and the system sometimes needed **15–30 minutes of continuous lasing to down a single drone** – far too slow for swarms. Frequent sandstorms and long setup times further hampered its effectiveness. As a result, Saudi operators found the integrated jamming units (JN1101 EW vehicles) to be more reliable for most drone incidents. China is reportedly adapting the laser for harsh environments, but for now **SkyShield's directed-energy**

component remains experimental on the battlefield. The Chinese military also deploys smaller anti-drone lasers for point defense (to destroy recon quadcopters or blind their cameras up to ~3 km) [6]. Notably, *Russia* has acquired at least one Silent Hunter battery from China, seen in use by Russian forces in 2023 [6] – a rare example of Chinese high-tech arms export to Russia.

Europe – Several NATO countries are trialing lasers. The UK's **DragonFire** program (50 kW, consortium of MBDA, Leonardo, QinetiQ) achieved a first static test-fire in 2022 and reportedly struck UAV targets in 2023 trials. Though not yet deployed, DragonFire aims for a **precise, focusable beam** that can be scaled up in power. Germany, France, and Italy are similarly investing in laser demonstrators for short-range air defense; for instance, Germany tested a 20 kW Rheinmetall laser against drones at 1 km in 2022. In the Middle East, the United Arab Emirates (UAE) has shown interest in directed-energy: the UAE unveiled a 2023 prototype laser C-UAS vehicle named "SkyKnight" (drawing on German technology). **Turkey** has a dual laser/HPM system called **Roketsan ALKA** – a 50 kW laser paired with electromagnetic disruptor – reportedly delivered in limited numbers to the Turkish military for base protection (Turkish sources claim ALKA downed drones in tests, but detailed specs are sparse). Across all these, **operational readiness is mixed**: most laser weapons remain in late-stage trials or limited deployments due to power and climate constraints, but their potential for low-cost intercepts of swarming threats is driving global adoption.

High-Power Microwave (HPM) Systems

High-power microwave weapons emit powerful pulses of RF energy to **fry drone electronics or disrupt their control circuits** at range. Unlike lasers (pinpoint aim on one target), HPM beams can disable multiple drones at once in a wide cone with **near-instant effect**. These are ideal against swarm attacks, as a single pulse can knock down dozens of UAVs mid-air. HPM systems are typically vehicle-mounted and require significant power (but still far cheaper per shot than missiles – often **pennies per engagement**). Table 2 summarizes key HPM systems:

HPM System (Country)	**Type / Platform**	**Effective Range**	**Swarm Capacity**	**Status (Deployments)**
Leonidas (Epirus, USA)	Phased-array HPM emitter on modular platform (truck or Stryker)	Classified (~**>1 km** per pulse)	**50+ drones** per burst (tested)	**Prototype proven** – Disabled *61/61* drones in one U.S. demo; now offered for Army Indirect Fires protection (JCO trials, allied demos)
Thales "RF DEW" (UK)	Truck-mounted microwave (HX60 4×4) – *"RapidDestroyer"*	~1 km against UAVs	**Multiple simultaneous** (100+ drones down in trials)	**Demonstrator tested** (2025) – Defeated >100 drones (incl. two swarms at once) in Wales trial. *Not yet in service* (further dev pending).

HPM System (Country)	Type / Platform	Effective Range	Swarm Capacity	Status (Deployments)
THOR (AFRL, USA)	Containerized HPM system ("Tactical High-power Operational Responder")	~1 km (area defense)	**Swarm** (designed for base defense swarms)	**Field trial** – tested in Africa against drone swarms circa 2020; tech transitioned to U.S. Air Force for base protection R&D.
PHASER (Raytheon, USA)	Vehicle HPM system (C-130 pallet prototype)	~1 km (estim.)	Swarm (area effect)	**Prototype tested** – Soft-deployed to a U.S. overseas base in 2019 for evaluation; program later paused (funding shifted to other C-UAS).
Rafael "Iron Beam HPM" (Israel)	(Notional future system)	n/a	n/a	**Planned** – Rafael has hinted at complementing Iron Beam laser with HPM; no public system yet.

Table 2: Select high-power microwave (RF Directed-Energy) anti-drone systems.

United States – Several American HPM projects have matured recently. Startup **Epirus Inc.** developed **Leonidas**, a gallium-nitride semiconductor-based HPM weapon. Leonidas emits precision-directed EMP pulses that *"deliver weaponized electromagnetic interference"* to disable drones. In a September 2025 demonstration, a Leonidas system **neutralized 61 out of 61 drones**, including a single shot that downed **49 drones at once**. This live trial (at Camp Atterbury, with DoD and 9 allied nations observing) was hailed as a "watershed moment," showcasing Leonidas as a *"mission-capable counter-swarm solution for the one-to-many fight,"* according to Epirus's CEO. The latest Leonidas iteration doubled the range and power over earlier models, though exact range is classified (likely on the order of 1 km or more radius). The U.S. Army is now integrating Leonidas onto **Stryker vehicles** as a mobile HPM defense for short-range air defense; this **IFPC-HPM** (Indirect Fire Protection Capability – HPM) may complement kinetic and laser components in protecting bases from salvos of drones or rockets. Another U.S. effort, the Air Force's **Project THOR**, built a transportable HPM system that reportedly deployed to an African base for field testing against drone swarms around 2020. Similarly, Raytheon's **PHASER** HPM was sent to a Middle East base in late 2019 for a 1-year evaluation, where it successfully downed drones, but the program was shelved in 2020 amid shifting budgets (the tech lessons likely folded into newer systems). As of 2024, **Pentagon HPM work is accelerating** due to swarm threats – the Army and AFRL have collaborated with several companies to bring HPM from prototype to deployable units.

United Kingdom – The UK has taken a lead in demonstrator trials of RF weapons. In April 2025, the British Army tested a **Radio Frequency Directed Energy Weapon (RF DEW)** prototype, developed by a Thales UK-led team under a £40 million program. Mounted on a military truck, this system *"rapidly neutralize[s] close-in drone threats"* by flooding their circuits with high-frequency energy. In trials at Manorbier range, Wales, British soldiers used it to **track and defeat swarms of drones**, bringing down over **100 UAVs**. Impressively, in one test a **dual-swarm** attack was defeated in a single engagement. The RF DEW could simultaneously engage multiple targets with near-instant effect, proving especially useful against drones that are resilient to normal jamming. Its effective range is about **1 km** for outright kills – a figure the Army hopes to extend with further development. The *cost per shot* was cited as only **£0.10 (13 ¢) per engagement**, highlighting the appeal of DEW economics. While this RF DEW is not yet an official program of record, the successful trial – making **Sgt. Mayers** the first British soldier to down drones with an RF weapon – suggests the UK may procure operational systems in the future. Thales markets the solution as **"RapidDestroyer"**, either standalone or integrated into a layered air defense network ("ForceShield") alongside radar, missiles (like LMM) and guns [7].

Others – China and Russia are also exploring HPM weapons, though details are sparse. China's CETC has hinted at microwave payloads for drone defense (and reportedly tested an HPM to **down drones at 0.5–1 km** during a 2021 exercise). Russia claims to have fielded a truck-mounted HPM called **"Ranets"**, but unconfirmed. Notably, **Turkey's ALKA** system (mentioned above) combines a laser with an HPM jammer, indicating a multi-effector approach. Overall, **HPM counter-drone systems are in early deployment stages** – the technology has proven it can **wipe out drone swarms in tests**, but now needs ruggedization for field conditions (resilience to weather, reliable power supply, safe integration with other electronics). Legal/export considerations for HPM are generally akin to lasers: since they disable electronics non-lethally, they are not banned by any treaty, but they may fall under export control (ITAR) due to their potential to disrupt communications. Spectrum regulators also require that HPM use (for testing or domestic security) be carefully coordinated to avoid unintended interference beyond the operational area.

RF Jammers and Protocol Takeover Systems

"Soft-kill" countermeasures – **RF jammers** and **protocol takeover** tools – are the most widely deployed C-UAS effector globally. These systems deny the drone's radio link or GPS signals, or even hijack its control commands, causing it to either **fail-safe and land** or **crash**. They are popular due to relatively low cost, portability, and minimal collateral damage (drones are forced down intact rather than exploded). However, they are only effective if the drone relies on radio control or GPS – fully autonomous drones may shrug off jamming, and high-end military UAVs often have anti-jam or encrypted links.

Man-Portable Jammer Guns – Dozens of manufacturers produce rifle-style or tube-style jammer guns. One notable example is the **EDM4S "Sky Wiper"** from Lithuania, used heavily by Ukraine. The EDM4S is a shoulder-mounted jammer rifle with up to **5 km range** against small drones. It emits electromagnetic pulses on common drone frequency bands (2.4 GHz, 5.8 GHz, GPS) to sever the control link and navigation signals. Drones hit by EDM4S typically **either drop, hover until battery dies, or initiate return-to-home** [8]. Video from 2022 shows Ukrainian troops using an EDM4S to force a Russian DJI Mavic Pro to descend gently for capture. Over **100 EDM4S units were supplied to at least 35 Ukrainian military units**, at a cost of about **$15,000 per gun**. This proliferation underscores the accessibility of jammer guns – relatively cheap and quick to deploy. Another major player is **DroneShield (Australia)**, which offers the **DroneGun** series. The **DroneGun Tactical** has an effective range of ~2 km and can jam 2.4 GHz, 5.8 GHz, GNSS, etc., with a backpack power supply. DroneShield reports over **4,000 of its counter-drone systems deployed worldwide** as of 2025, including many handheld jammers. Their customers span the U.S. DoD (which ordered ~70 DroneGun MK4 units in 2025), several European militaries (a single $61 M contract in 2025 for a European country), and the Australian Army (Land 156 program). DroneShield's rugged designs have seen operational use in conflict zones – *e.g.* Australia donated a $10.4 M package of DroneShield gear to Ukraine in 2023. Other notable jammer guns include the **Battelle DroneDefender** (USA, an early model fielded to US SOCOM), Russia's **Pishchal** and **Stupor** rifles (reportedly used by Russian troops in Ukraine), and China's myriad handheld jammers marketed for police and military use.

Vehicle and Fixed Jammers – For defending large sites, more powerful jamming systems are used. These can be rack-mounted arrays of antennas that flood a wide sector with interference. For example, the **Israeli "Drone Dome"** system (Rafael) in its baseline form uses 360° RF jammers to protect airports or bases, with effective ranges of a few kilometers (and an optional 5 kW laser add-on for hard-kill). In Saudi Arabia's Chinese-supplied **SkyShield**, **two JN1101 jamming vehicles** provide EW coverage, and in practice they **neutralized the majority of drones** in that system's deployments. The U.S. Army's fixed-site C-UAS (FS-LIDS) similarly integrates directional jammers to cover approach corridors. Modern jammers are often **software-defined, programmable** to jam or spoof only the hostile drones' frequencies while minimizing collateral RF interference. A new trend is **"smart" jamming** that can automatically detect a drone's control protocol and optimize the jamming waveform in real time.

Protocol Takeover (Cyber C-UAS) – Instead of brute-force jamming, some systems attempt a **cyber takeover** of the drone by mimicking its controller or exploiting its protocol. For instance, Israel's **D-Fend Solutions "EnforceAir"** can intercept the RF link of common hobbyist and small commercial drones (DJI, Parrot, etc.) and issue a command to land or return home safely. This is done by using exploits or default protocols rather than broad jamming – effectively a hack that only affects the target drone. Such systems are favored in sensitive environments (urban events, airports) because they can steer a rogue drone to a safe landing instead of simply dropping it (which could injure bystanders). EnforceAir and similar systems have been used to protect VIP events – for example, U.S. agencies deployed them during presidential inaugurations and stadium events in recent years (details are often classified, but public reports indicate DHS and the Secret Service employ protocol takeover for certain security operations). At the U.S. **Falcon Peak 2025** domestic C-UAS exercise, vendors demonstrated systems that **"hijack the control link"** of line-of-sight drones as a permissible approach under current law [9]. However, protocol-specific takeover has limits: it generally works on semi-professional drones with known radio protocols, but *not* on military-grade UAVs or DIY drones with custom links. It's also a cat-and-mouse game – as manufacturers patch vulnerabilities, takeover techniques must evolve.

From a **regulatory standpoint**, jamming and takeover tools face restrictions in peacetime domestic use. In many countries, only government authorities can jam signals (since indiscriminate jamming violates communications laws). The U.S. has specific statutes (10 USC 130i, and DOJ/DHS authorities) that tightly control who can use C-UAS jammers or spoofers inside the homeland. Military bases stateside, for example, cannot simply deploy high-power jammers without interagency approval due to air safety and FCC rules. Nonetheless, on **active battlefields or high-security zones**, these tools are widely employed – as seen in Ukraine, Syria, Iraq, and around heads-of-state gatherings. Jamming does run the risk of *collateral EW effects*: for instance, a jammer might also knock out Wi-Fi or GPS in the area. Thus, newer systems try to use **directional antennas and lower power** to localize the effect. Internationally, exporting jammers is subject to export control (the U.S. has ITAR restrictions on some jamming tech, which is why DroneShield – an Australian firm – often supplies countries that the U.S. cannot, and even markets "ITAR-free" versions of its products).

Overall, RF jammers and cyber takeover systems are the **most fielded C-UAS effector worldwide in 2023–2025**, with hundreds or thousands in active service. They form the *front-line defense* at bases and events due to their low cost and ease of use. However, against emerging autonomous threats (GPS-independent drones or swarms with inter-drone communication), sole reliance on jamming is insufficient – hence the need to pair them with hard-kill solutions (like guns, lasers, HPM) in a layered defense.

Kinetic Interceptors (Missiles, Guns, and Nets)

Kinetic approaches physically **destroy or disable drones by impact or fragmentation**, and they remain an important part of counter-UAS, especially for drones too large or hardened for soft-kill methods. These include specialized mini-missiles, anti-drone artillery shells, traditional gun systems with proximity-fuze ammo, and net projectors. Many militaries are adapting existing air defense weapons to the drone threat, while new purpose-built interceptors are also emerging.

Missile Interceptors – Standard anti-air missiles like the Patriot or Stinger can shoot down drones but are cost-inefficient for small cheap UAVs. Thus, a new class of **low-cost, small missiles** has been developed. The U.S. Army, for example, uses the **Raytheon Coyote** interceptor as part of its fixed-site C-UAS (FS-LIDS). The Coyote is a tube-launched micro-UAV with an explosive warhead; the latest Block 2 version has a proximity fuse to detonate near a drone and **can defeat drones up to Group 3 (~<25 kg) at several kilometers**. Coyotes have been used to protect U.S. bases in the Middle East – in one incident, they successfully **shot down multiple incoming drones attacking coalition forces** (likely in Syria or Iraq around 2021, though official details are scant due to operational security). The cost per Coyote round is on the order of ~$80k–$100k (significantly cheaper than larger SAMs but still pricey compared to the drone targets). Other examples include the **Israel Aerospace "Drone Dome" interceptor missile** (a small missile called SkyStriker – not to be confused with the loitering munition – integrated into some Drone Dome units for higher-range kill) and the **British LMM (Lightweight Multirole Missile)**, a laser-guided micro-missile that the UK is considering for drone defense as part of Thales' ForceShield network [7]. In general, missiles provide longer reach (several km) and high probability of kill, but their cost and potential collateral damage (shrapnel) are drawbacks for routine drone engagements.

Gun Systems & Smart Ammunition – Automated anti-aircraft guns are being retooled for drones. Many countries are testing **proximity-fuzed shells** or **airburst rounds** that create a cloud of sub-projectiles to hit small drones. For instance, the German **Oerlikon Skynex** 35 mm system (and its mobile Skyranger variant) uses AHEAD ammunition that releases a cone of tungsten pellets; even a tiny quadcopter can be shredded by these if within a few hundred meters. In 2022, German-led trials showed a Skyguard 35 mm could down Class I drones. The U.S. has also used the **Phalanx CIWS** and **C-RAM** guns in Iraq to shoot down larger drones (with mixed success, since very small drones are hard to track). Simpler approaches like shotguns or rifles are used by some police units for close-range drone stops (e.g. specialized 12-gauge shells like SkyNet rounds that deploy a net). However, on a military scale, **remote weapon stations with heavy machine guns or autocannons** tied into radar/EO trackers are being fielded – for example, the **US Marine Corps L-MADIS** pairs a .50 cal machine gun and EO sensor on a Polaris MRZR for last-resort drone kills (alongside jamming). Guns can provide virtually unlimited "shots" at low cost, but accuracy against small, fast drones is a challenge without advanced fire control.

Net Capture and Other Non-Destructive Kinetics – In sensitive environments, physically catching a drone is preferred to blowing it up. **Net launchers** like the handheld **SkyWall-100** (UK) fire a net projectile that entangles a drone and parachutes it down. Police in Japan and the Netherlands trialed net-carrying drones (even training eagles to snatch drones, an experiment since discontinued). On the military side, **Fortem Technologies' DroneHunter F700** is an unmanned interceptor drone that fires a net at target drones (more on this in the next section). Another novel concept is deploying drones or projectiles that emit **tangle lines or streamers** to foul drone rotors [10]. At the Falcon Peak demo in the U.S., **Leidos showed a drone that shoots stringy streamers** to entrap other UAVs [9]. These methods neutralize a drone intact, which is useful for forensic recovery (e.g. capturing an enemy surveillance drone without destroying its data). The trade-off is that nets/entanglers are generally short-range (tens to a few hundred meters) and best for single targets, not swarms.

Effectiveness and Deployments – Kinetic interceptors are typically used in a layered defense after detection and identification. Modern military C-UAS doctrine often layers **soft-kill first (jamming)**, then **hard-kill** if necessary, to minimize risk. For example, Saudi Arabia's SkyShield would jam first; if a drone persisted (hardened or autonomous), the Silent Hunter laser would attempt a kill, and as a last resort Patriot missiles might be used for any leakers heading to critical targets. On battlefields like Ukraine, kinetic intercepts have even taken the form of **drone-on-drone ramming** – both Ukrainian and Russian forces have flown small quadcopters into each other in mid-air combat [11] . This ad-hoc approach highlights that *anything physical that stops the drone works*, but dedicated systems greatly improve reliability and safety. The **confirmed deployment numbers** of kinetic C-UAS vary: the U.S. Army had acquired dozens of Coyote interceptors by 2022 for CENTCOM and later homeland defense tests, and is seeking more for IFPC. Israel has so far relied on Iron Dome missiles for larger drones (shooting down Iranian Shahed-136 loitering munitions and Hamas drones), but may field Iron Beam lasers and possibly smaller interceptors in the near future. European armies are mostly in trial phases – e.g. testing the use of existing SAMs and AA guns against drones, with procurement decisions pending threat assessments. One significant real-world use was the **2019 attack on Saudi oil facilities**, after which Saudi Arabia deployed both Western and Eastern kinetic defenses: Patriots (U.S.) and Chinese short-range missiles, though those systems had difficulty with the mix of low-flying drones and cruise missiles. It underlined the need for **dedicated C-UAS effectors** – spurring many of the developments discussed.

Autonomous Interceptor Drones ("Drone-on-Drone" Defense)

An emerging class of counter-threat measure is the use of **autonomous drones to hunt and intercept other drones**. These systems leverage onboard AI and high-speed flight to physically disable intruders – essentially "dogfighting" or kamikaze strikes in mid-air. The advantage of using drones for defense is agility and the ability to chase threats beyond the immediate area (unlike fixed guns or stationary jammers). They are especially useful when the threat drones are maneuverable or coming in swarms from multiple directions.

One prominent example is **Anduril Industries' Interceptor** UAV. This fixed-wing/high-performance quadcopter hybrid (recently evolved into the larger "**Ghost**" and new **"Roadrunner"** variants) is designed to **ram into enemy drones at over 100 mph** using machine vision. Anduril's interceptor drones use the company's Lattice AI system for autonomous target tracking: once a human operator authorizes engagement, the interceptor launches and **homes in on the target drone autonomously**. In tests, the outcome is usually a direct collision that obliterates the target (Anduril's drone itself is robust and can sometimes be reused after a hit, or it may sacrifice itself in a high-speed strike). By 2019, Anduril had already **deployed Interceptors to U.S. military bases and even provided units to the UK's Royal Marines**. As of 2023, Anduril's interceptors (now known as **"ANvil"** or the newer **"Roadrunner"** model) are part of several U.S. programs; for example, Anduril won contracts to supply counter-drone systems to Special Operations Command and to integrate with the Army's JCO solutions. The company also revealed a variant called **"Roadrunner-M"** with a small warhead for higher-end threats. Ethically, fully autonomous attack drones raise questions (human rights groups call them potential "killer robots"), but currently a human is kept *in the loop* for target confirmation in all deployed systems.

Another well-known system is the **Fortem DroneHunter** (USA). This is a quadcopter drone equipped with radar guidance and a net launcher. The DroneHunter can autonomously chase a rogue drone, fire a net to entangle it, and tow the captured drone to the ground via parachute. Fortem's latest models can carry multiple nets for multiple engagements. In U.S. Air Force exercises, DroneHunters have successfully caught small quadcopters. At **Falcon Peak 2025**, Fortem demonstrated a **"goalie" mode** where the DroneHunter patrolled a protected site and intercepted incoming drones like a goalkeeper.

Fortem's VP Spencer Proust explained that in *"attack mode"* the DroneHunter can chase targets and shoot them down with its net guns, but against very fast agile drones (like 150 mph FPV racers) it might not catch them – hence a defensive posture is used to guard a point and react as threats approach. Fortem has sold DroneHunters to U.S. defense customers and reportedly to Middle Eastern clients for critical infrastructure security (media reports suggest Qatar used DroneHunters to help secure World Cup 2022 stadiums, for instance). In 2022, the Canadian military also trialed DroneHunter to protect airbases. These interceptor drones are effective for **low-collateral capture** but are limited by weather (cannot operate in high winds/storms as well as ground systems) and by their chase speed.

Various other **drone-vs-drone** platforms exist or are in development globally. Israel has experimented with **quadcopter interceptors** that drop nets or grenades on target drones (one such system called **"SkyStriker"** quad, not to be confused with the loitering munition of the same name). The UK has a startup (**ISS Aerospace**) that tested a "drone outrigger" concept with entangling lines. Japan's police maintain drone squads to catch nuisance drones with net-carrying UAVs. In the Russia-Ukraine war, improvised interceptor drones (often FPV racing drones converted to "fighters") are frequently used; a notable tactic is using a small racing drone carrying **explosive charge to kamikaze** into larger surveillance drones. This has had some success but is an ad-hoc field innovation rather than a formal system. Russia has claimed it's working on AI-powered counter-drone drones too – for instance, a modified recon drone that can autonomously evade or attack – and indeed a viral video in 2024 showed a Russian drone performing automatic evasive maneuvers to dodge a Ukrainian interceptor [11], suggesting early counter-counterdrone logic.

Overall, **autonomous interceptor drones are in limited but growing deployment**. They excel as a **flexible layer** in layered defense: they can pursue threats that bypass fixed defenses or approach from awkward angles (e.g. a drone popping up over a city street during a VIP event, where you can't fire bullets or lasers safely – a net drone can snare it instead). Current deployments tend to be small numbers (a handful of ready intercept drones per site, due to cost and logistics of recharging/maintenance). A unit cost for these drones can range from tens of thousands up to a few hundred thousand dollars each, depending on sophistication (for example, an Anduril Interceptor was estimated around $20k–$50k, but it requires the larger system of sensors and control software). Many countries are evaluating such drones: the **US, UK, Israel, China, India** all have projects for AI-enabled defensive drones. As autonomy and AI improve, we expect these systems to handle swarms better – possibly engaging **drone swarm vs swarm**, where a team of defensive drones coordinates to counter multiple intruder drones simultaneously. This is a developing frontier; in fact, the Pentagon's SCO is reportedly exploring deploying "semi-autonomous micro-drone swarms" launched from base perimeters to intercept incoming hostile swarms.

Deployment by Country/Region

Because the question asks for **confirmed or estimated deployment numbers and regions**, we summarize notable deployments of these systems globally:

- **United States:** Actively deploying C-UAS tech in the Middle East and increasing usage in Europe and Asia-Pacific. The Army has *at least* **2 laser systems (P-HEL)** overseas [2], plus **4 DE M-SHORAD laser Strykers** delivered to an Army unit in Germany (one platoon) for operational trials. The Air Force and Army have several HPM prototypes (Leonidas units are being evaluated; exact number not public, likely a handful). *Hundreds* of jammer guns and portable systems are in use – for example, the U.S. donated ~50 DroneDefender rifles to Iraqi forces against ISIS (earlier in 2017) and is now buying **~100 DroneGun Mk4** for its forces. Virtually every U.S. base in CENTCOM (Iraq, Syria) and many in EUCOM (Europe) have some counter-drone defenses (mostly electronic warfare kits, as indicated by JCO reports). The U.S. also provided **over 600 C-UAS systems** to Ukraine (according to DoD reports), primarily *handheld jammers and detection gear*. For homeland defense, deployments are more limited: specialized units under NORTHCOM protect strategic sites (e.g. DoE nuclear facilities have anti-drone systems), and DHS covers major events. Overall readiness: **high for jammers (widely fielded)**, **medium for kinetic (some units)**, **low but advancing for DEW (just entering service)**.

- **Europe (NATO allies):**

- **UK:** No permanent C-UAS lasers yet, but **1 RF DEW prototype** tested successfully. The British Army also bought some *DroneShield* jammers (not officially disclosed, but likely in small numbers for trials) and employs **hundreds of conventional jammers** for events (e.g. RAF Regiment units used them to protect the 2018 London Heathrow Drone incident aftermath). The **Royal Marines** operate Anduril interceptors (Anduril had a contract). The UK has at least **several dozen anti-drone rifles** across police and military.
- **France/Germany:** Both have detection systems widely deployed and are testing effectors. Germany bought **HPM and laser prototypes** from domestic industry (Rheinmetall) and has a few **Skynex gun systems** assigned to drone defense for expeditionary use. France's anti-drone efforts ramped up after 2015 (when drones flew over nuclear plants) – by 2022 they reportedly fielded over **300 jammers** for police/military and were evaluating lasers with the HELMA-P project.

- **Eastern Europe:** Ukraine (though not NATO) has become a *mass user* of C-UAS kits due to war – more than **100 EDM4S** jammer guns, dozens of DroneGun units, and indigenously produced systems like **Kvertus** rifle jammers. Poland, Lithuania, etc., also acquired small numbers of anti-drone guns, especially to bolster Ukraine or protect NATO exercises near the conflict zone.

- **Middle East:**

- **Israel:** Deployed **Iron Dome** extensively against drones (over 200 drone kills by missiles historically). Now has **Iron Beam** laser pre-deployed at test sites and plans to field multiple units on borders (expected initial operational laser units in 2025–2026). The IDF uses numerous electronic systems: **Drone Dome** (Rafael) jamming systems are operational around Gaza and in the north, including some with a 10 kW laser variant used experimentally to shoot down incendiary balloons and quadcopters in 2020. Israel also leads in cyber takeover – units like the IDF "Skylord" system have hacked civilian drones. For count: likely **a dozen or more Drone Dome systems** protecting bases/airfields, and an inventory of **hundreds of portable jammers** with the IDF and police (given frequent drone incidents).
- **Gulf States:** Saudi Arabia has at least **3–5 batteries of SkyShield** (each with 1 laser, 2 jammers, radar) installed post-2019. The Kingdom also purchased Western gear: e.g. **CERBERUS** C-UAS systems from the US (combining radars and EW) for Aramco protection. The UAE and Qatar have each acquired various C-UAS for event security – Qatar reportedly deployed **Fortem DroneHunters** and **MyDefence jammers** during World Cup 2022. The UAE tested a South African laser C-UAS at IDEX expo. We estimate **dozens of C-UAS units** across the Gulf (jammers especially), but exact numbers are confidential.

- **Others:** Turkey, as mentioned, fields some **ALKA** systems (reports of at least 2 units active). It also uses electronic jammers (Korhal GDP jammer in Syria for instance). Iran, facing Israeli and U.S. drone threats, has invested in EW – it claims to have **"Radar Jammer 35"** units and even a laser prototype, but details are scant.

- **Asia:**

- **China:** Within China, the PLA has deployed C-UAS kits at bases (they have domestic versions of DroneGun and vehicle jammers). **At least 10 Silent Hunter lasers** were built; some used in Chinese bases in Xinjiang (to deter intruding drones from India, as rumored) and others exported. Additionally, China's integrated air defense now includes an **"Anti-drone air defense company"** in some brigades, equipped with electronic jammers and maybe the LW-30 laser. We do know **one Silent Hunter battery in Saudi** and possibly one sold to Algeria.
- **India:** Spurred by drone incursions from Pakistan, India's DRDO developed an anti-drone system with jamming and a 10 kW laser (tested in 2021 to shoot down drones at 1–2 km). The Indian Air Force started inducting **DRDO's D-4 system** (drone detection & jamming) in 2022, with **at least 6 sets** deployed to airbases. India is also evaluating high-power microwave payloads on drones (a recent DRDO test in Oct 2025 demonstrated a **drone-mounted HPM jammer** for counter-drone roles).
- **Russia:** Uses mainly electronic warfare for counter-drone – units like **Shipovnik-Aero, Krasukha, Repellent-1** are deployed in Ukraine to jam Ukrainian UAVs. They also field **Stupor jammer rifles** to front-line troops (dozens captured or observed, so likely hundreds issued). For DEW, Russia *claims* it has **Peresvet** (a megawatt-class laser) for blinding satellites and perhaps high-altitude drones, deployed with Strategic Rocket Forces, and **Zadira** (tactical laser) supposedly used once in Ukraine to burn a drone at 3 mi range – but there's no independent verification and Zelensky famously mocked these claims as "wunderwaffe" propaganda. Practically, Russia relies on **guns** (Pantsir-S1 AA guns have shot down some UAVs) and **EW**. They likely have a few prototype lasers, but nothing widely fielded yet.
- **Others:** Many countries are **importing commercial C-UAS**: for instance, **Japan** equipped its police with Dedrone and Mitsubishi built a microwave truck; **South Korea** is developing its own RF jammer systems for base defense and tested a 20 kW laser in 2023. Smaller nations often buy a handful of jammer guns for VIP protection – even economic forums like Davos deploy such gear (Swiss police used DroneGun and HP 47 devices at WEF).

In summary, the **global deployment** of these systems is accelerating. Public data suggests on the order of **hundreds of soft-kill systems and dozens of hard-kill systems are already in service worldwide**. Countries like the U.S., Israel, China lead in deploying cutting-edge directed energy and autonomous interceptors, whereas virtually **every military force now has at least some jammers or C-UAS rifles** for lower-end threats. Adoption is driven by both the *frequency* of drone threats (e.g. Middle East battlefields, Ukraine, high-profile terrorism concerns) and the *severity* of potential swarms.

Legal and Regulatory Constraints

The rollout of these counter-drone systems must navigate various legal frameworks:

- **Export Controls (ITAR and equivalents):** High-end effector systems (lasers, HPM, advanced jammers) are often considered sensitive military tech. U.S. ITAR restrictions mean American companies need State Department approval to sell abroad, especially HPM weapons or military lasers. This is one reason countries like Saudi turned to China's laser – U.S. policy had been cautious about exporting directed-energy weapons. Israel also tightly controls export of its C-UAS tech (e.g. EnforceAir takeover systems are sold only to approved governments). There is growing demand for such systems, so some countries loosened rules slightly – for instance, the U.S. has begun including counter-drone aid to allies (Ukraine, Middle East partners).

- **Domestic Use and Airspace Law:** Using any anti-drone system in civilian airspace can conflict with laws. In the U.S., **it is generally illegal for anyone other than federal agencies to disable**

aircraft (and drones are considered aircraft under FAA). Laws like 10 USC 130i and 18 USC 32 make it a crime to shoot down or interfere with aircraft, which had to be amended to carve out exceptions for C-UAS by DHS, DOJ, DoD in certain cases. Even military units at CONUS bases cannot deploy kinetic or DEW countermeasures on U.S. soil without special authorization. The focus for domestic use is thus on **soft-kill (jamming, spoofing)** and even that requires coordination. For example, the FAA and FCC must approve jamming at large events or around airports due to safety concerns. In the EU, similar restrictions exist – airport security may use jammers under government supervision, but private entities cannot. These legal hurdles have, in some cases, slowed adoption of kinetic/laser systems for **homeland security**, even as threats rise. Some nations are updating laws: e.g. Japan passed a law allowing police to disable drones in restricted areas; France and UK gave police limited anti-drone powers after the Gatwick incident.

- **Law of Armed Conflict (LOAC):** On the battlefield, the main treaty consideration is the **Protocol on Blinding Laser Weapons (1995)** which forbids lasers specifically designed to cause permanent blindness to unenhanced vision. All modern military lasers (Iron Beam, etc.) are *intended to destroy equipment (drones, munitions)*, not to target personnel eyes. As such, they are generally considered lawful, **provided they are used against materiel**. Operators are trained to avoid deliberate lasing of persons. High-power microwaves and jammers cause no direct injury to people (though there is some ethical discussion about HPM potentially disturbing pacemakers or electronics in civilian objects). Overall, these systems are accepted under LOAC as long as used proportionately against legitimate military objectives (a hostile drone is a lawful target). One grey area is **autonomous engagement** – fully AI-driven lethal decisions are controversial. For now, most nations insist on a human in the loop when using autonomous intercept drones or lethal DEWs, to ensure compliance with LOAC principles of distinction.

- **Spectrum Licensing:** Jammers and HPM use electromagnetic emissions that could interfere with civilian spectrum. Military use in war zones is unrestricted (jamming enemy frequencies is standard EW). But in peacetime, agencies must coordinate frequencies. For example, a C-UAS jammer might disrupt GPS L1; if used near an airport outside of an emergency, that's highly problematic. Spectrum regulators thus often restrict these systems to emergency or military-only frequency bands. Some modern C-UAS jammers incorporate **directional and GPS-spoof-limited techniques** to minimize unintended effects (for instance, only jamming a drone's control frequency but *not* GPS if not needed). Spectrum concerns also arise for HPM – a strong microwave burst could knock out friendly comms if not carefully targeted, and it may require specific frequency deconfliction to avoid frying other electronics.

- **Geneva and Humanitarian Concerns:** If an effector causes a drone carrying a bomb to detonate or crash unpredictably, there is a risk of collateral damage. Users must weigh this in populated areas. For example, shooting down a drone over a stadium (kinetic kill) could rain debris; that's why authorities lean toward jamming or safely landing via takeover in domestic scenarios. Also, autonomous drones that kill without human intervention raise future **compliance questions** under potential LAWS (Lethal Autonomous Weapons Systems) regulations – though currently no treaty forbids them, international groups are deliberating guidelines.

In practice, these legal factors mean that **militaries employ the full gamut in war zones**, but for domestic/homeland security use, they currently favor **non-kinetic measures** and heavily orchestrated deployments (with legal approvals). The War Zone report from Falcon Peak 2025 noted that *lasers, HPM, missiles and guns are effectively "off the table" for domestic drone defense for now, due to policy hurdles and collateral risk*, focusing instead on EW and cyber tools. Many countries are urgently updating their laws as drone threats encroach on civilian life, trying to balance security with safety and privacy.

Fielded Use Cases and Trial Outcomes

Real-world use cases of these systems illustrate both their potential and limitations:

- **Military Base Protection:** This is a primary driver. U.S. bases in Iraq and Syria facing armed drone attacks by insurgent groups have deployed C-UAS layers. In late 2023, amid increased drone and rocket strikes on U.S. positions in Syria, the Pentagon confirmed directed-energy systems were part of the defensive arsenal [2]. It's likely the 20 kW P-HEL laser was used there, given its deployment timeline, though the Army did not confirm any specific "kills" yet [12] [2]. Similarly, Russian bases in Syria have employed truck-mounted EW to defeat swarms of small drones launched by rebels (with considerable success jamming dozens of drones in the Battle of Khmeimim Airbase in 2018). In Afghanistan and Africa, U.S. FOBs used simpler measures (shotguns, signal blockers) until more sophisticated gear became available. Feedback from these uses shaped current programs – for example, after seeing swarms in Syria, the DoD's Joint C-sUAS Office (JCO) was established to fast-track better defenses.

- **Border and Perimeter Security:** On the U.S.-Mexico border, while the mission is mostly detection, CBP has trialed systems to stop cartel drones that ferry drugs. Anduril's surveillance towers track many incursions, and there were reports that in at least a few cases, Border Patrol agents used DroneDefenders or similar to down illegal drones. In Europe, countries like Lithuania and Poland have installed anti-drone systems along borders with Belarus/Kaliningrad to prevent surveillance or provocations (e.g. in 2021 a Lithuanian unit used an EDM4S jammer to down a Russian spy drone at the border). **Naval base protection** is another niche: the U.S. Navy is looking at C-UAS for shipyards and nuclear submarine bases where drones could snoop – they have deployed DroneShield sensors and some jammers at Kings Bay and Kitsap naval bases as a pilot.

- **Critical Infrastructure:** After drones attacked Saudi oil facilities in 2019, critical infrastructure sites worldwide took notice. Saudi Arabia, as covered, invested in SkyShield and other defenses for its oil refineries. Power plants, national laboratories, data centers, etc., are starting to install C-UAS. For example, France deployed anti-drone measures around nuclear power plants (mostly jammers and detection) after numerous mysterious drone overflights. Japan's TEPCO utility implemented drone detection and net guns at some nuclear sites. These cases rarely involve shooting down drones (due to hazards around such facilities), focusing instead on *electronic defeat or safe capture*. One noteworthy case: Venezuela's President in 2018 was targeted by drones with explosives – since then, many countries instituted C-UAS for **VIP protection** during parades and ceremonies (e.g. the U.S. Secret Service and DoD installed C-UAS at the White House and Capitol; Indian security forces use Israeli Smash-AD drone-killing rifles to protect their Prime Minister during rallies).

- **Public Event Security:** High-profile events like the Olympics, World Cups, G7 summits, etc., now routinely include drone mitigation. For example, at the **2022 FIFA World Cup in Qatar**, a layered system protected stadiums: there were radar/EO detection, DroneShield and other jammers, and reportedly Fortem DroneHunters on standby to intercept any unauthorized drones. No major incidents occurred, suggesting either deterrence worked or small intrusions were quietly handled. Another example: the **2018 Gatwick Airport drone incident** (UK) caused huge disruption; subsequently the UK bought systems (it was reported the British Army deployed an Israeli **Drone Dome** system at Heathrow/Gatwick after that, which uses RF jamming to create a drone "no-go zone"). Trials of anti-drone tech at airports (to avoid another Gatwick) have been successful in detecting, but **actual effector use at airports is tricky** – typically they prefer to halt flights and wait out the drone, as shooting or even jamming near approach paths is risky. Nonetheless, some airports (e.g. in the Middle East) have installed DroneShield or Robin RF jammers that can force a drone to land outside the fence in a controlled way.

- **Battlefield and Combat Trials:** Ukraine has been a crucible for C-UAS performance. Ukrainian forces have effectively used handheld jammers (EDM4S, DroneGuns) to drop hundreds of Russian Orlan-10 and commercial drones. However, in contested high-EMI environments, jammers face challenges – both sides also use ECM to protect their drones. A dramatic field innovation was the emergence of **drone swarms used offensively**: Russia attempted some swarm attacks with Lancet loitering munitions, and Ukraine has formed "drone swarm units" using dozens of FPV drones hitting targets simultaneously. In response, systems like Leonidas HPM (which can take out a whole swarm) are gaining attention. The UK's success in neutralizing two swarms in one go with RF DEW and Epirus's 49-drone kill shot are **proof-of-concept that technology can counter the swarm tactic** – a significant result because traditional air defense would struggle with 50+ small targets at once. Conversely, failures like the Silent Hunter in Saudi have taught that *context matters*: a system that works at a test range may falter in extreme environments or when facing unexpected operational constraints. These lessons learned are informing next-gen designs (e.g. adding dust filters and more power to lasers for desert use, or developing multi-layer defenses for swarms as opposed to single-target focus).

In summary, **successful trials** have been recorded for virtually every category: lasers shooting down drones and mortar shells in tests (Israel, US), HPM frying entire swarms (US, UK trials), jammers safely forcing landings (Ukraine, countless events), and intercept drones catching targets (Fortem and Anduril demos). There have also been **notable failures or limitations**: lasers underperforming in dusty combat zones, intercept drones struggling with ultra-fast FPV targets, jammers being ineffective against autonomous pre-programmed drones (seen in some terrorist drone incidents). Each trial – successful or failed – is pushing the development toward more robust, layered solutions, as officials recognize **no single tool is a silver bullet**.

Market Trends, Partnerships, and Future Outlook

With the rapid proliferation of drone threats, the counter-drone market is expanding at an extraordinary pace. **Dozens of companies and collaborations** have sprung up, and defense budgets are allocating substantial funds for these systems:

- **Market Growth:** Industry analyses indicate the C-UAS market will grow into the **multi-billions (USD)** annually by the late 2020s. DroneShield's financial reports show a **210% increase in revenue in 1H 2025** and record orders, reflecting surging demand. The CEO of DroneShield noted that after initial trials, customers are now moving to *"full-scale procurement"* as drone threats move from novelty to everyday concern. Similarly, Epirus (Leonidas) went from startup in 2020 to a major DoD contractor by 2023. Big defense primes are acquiring or partnering with startups: e.g. CACI bought Ascent Vision (maker of the X-MADIS C-UAS), Rafael partnered with DRONE Dome UK, and Anduril acquired companies to enhance its portfolio. **Venture investment** in counter-drone tech has also jumped, funding AI software to better detect/classify drones (critical for reducing false alarms and auto-engaging threats only when confirmed).

- **New Platforms & Integrations:** A key opportunity is integrating C-UAS into existing defense systems. For example, Thales incorporating RapidDestroyer HPM into its **ForceShield IADS** means a military can add a microwave truck alongside missile launchers [7]. In the U.S., the Army's upcoming **IFPC Inc.2** system is planned as a multi-effector battery (laser, HPM, and missiles) networked together. On naval ships, laser systems (HELIOS) are being tied into the combat management system so that a destroyer can seamlessly choose to zap a drone with a laser or jam it or shoot it, depending on ROE and threat level. Another area is **CUAS on unmanned platforms** – e.g. mounting a jammer or laser on an unmanned ground vehicle or drone. The Army has hinted at eventually putting directed energy on robotic vehicles for mobile perimeter defense. There's also interest in **counter-loitering munition** capabilities: essentially adapting C-UAS to intercept autonomous kamikaze drones (loitering munitions). Systems like Iron Beam are being eyed to also handle larger drones and rockets more cheaply; Epirus is marketing Leonidas not just for small drones but also to *"disable swarms of robotic, asymmetric threats"* broadly.

- **Notable Partnerships:** The complexity of counter-drone defense has fostered partnerships between traditional defense giants and tech firms:

- The **U.S.–Israel partnership** is particularly strong: Iron Beam's fast progress owes in part to **U.S. co-funding** and interest in the tech for potential American use. Rafael and Raytheon already co-produce Iron Dome missiles; one could envision co-development of laser or HPM interceptors for mutual benefit.
- **NATO collaborations**: NATO has a Counter-UAS working group to standardize approaches; France and Germany have co-funded some directed energy research. Also, multiple NATO nations participated in the JCO demos in Yuma, and the results are being shared alliance-wide. There's a push for interoperability – e.g. a German radar should be able to cue a UK effector in coalition setups.
- **Industry teaming:** To win contracts, companies form teams: *e.g.*, SRC Inc, Northrop, and SAIC teamed on one U.S. Army C-UAS solution; Anduril partners with Liteye for some US Air Force projects combining sensors and interceptors. Thales's Team Hersa in the UK brought together MOD labs and industry for the RF DEW. Such teaming ensures the best sensors, software, and effectors are integrated (since a single company rarely has the top product in all layers).

- **Cross-domain tech transfer:** Some partnerships leverage expertise from adjacent domains – for example, Boeing and General Atomics, known for lasers and railguns, are consulting on future drone defenses for the U.S. Navy. Lockheed Martin partnering with AIMLock (an AI fire control firm) to improve guns for C-UAS is another case.

- **Emerging Threats & Countermeasures:** Looking forward, threats like **AI-guided drone swarms** and hypersonic drones are on the horizon. This will likely spur development of **higher-power lasers (300+ kW)** capable of engaging faster, more robust targets (even missiles or aircraft), as well as **networked counter-drone swarms** (defensive drones working cooperatively). The Pentagon's recent "**Golden Horde**" and other swarm experiments indicate an interest in swarm vs swarm combat [13]. On the small scale, hobby drones are incorporating collision avoidance (like that Russian drone evading attack), so defenders are looking at **electro-optical dazzlers** to blind drone cameras or **ML algorithms to predict evasive moves**. There's also the looming threat of **5G-controlled drones** and satellite-controlled drones that won't be jammed by current means – prompting research into *directed RF overpowering* and cyber tactics at the link infrastructure level.

- **Market Opportunities:** As the market expands, there is strong opportunity for companies that can integrate multiple effectors. End-users (militaries, security agencies) prefer a **single command-and-control system** that handles detection through defeat. This opens market for software platforms (like Anduril's Lattice OS, Black Sage's Orion C2, etc.) to tie together radars, cameras, and effectors from different vendors. The trend is moving toward **"C-UAS as a service"** for civilian clients – where a company might offer to secure an event with their system for a fee, rather than the client buying the hardware outright (because tech is evolving fast). In the defense realm, replenishable systems (like having a magazine of cheap interceptor drones or rounds) are attractive – so vendors focusing on **low-cost-per-kill** are likely to win contracts. We've seen that in how *cost drove interest in lasers and HPM* (pennies per shot), but those have tech hurdles; interim, something like a $1,000 disposable intercept drone or a $5,000 net round might fill the gap.

In conclusion, the global landscape of counter-drone effectors in 2025 is one of **rapid growth and active experimentation**. Drones have proven their disruptive potential from battlefronts to airports, and in response an array of high-tech defenses – lasers burning targets mid-air, invisible microwave beams frying swarms, precision jammers and hacker tools, projectiles and hunter-drones – are moving from development to deployment. Every major military power and many smaller nations are now either using or testing these systems. The technology is approaching a point of maturation where **layered C-UAS defense** (sensors + multiple effectors) will become as standard as traditional air defense batteries. Challenges remain in refining these systems for all-weather reliability and ensuring legal/ethical use, but the trajectory is clear: **directed-energy and autonomous defenses are poised to play a central role in countering the next generation of unmanned threats**.

Sources: Verified public domain data and reporting have been used in compiling this survey, including defense news outlets, military trials releases, and manufacturer disclosures. Key references highlight specific claims and figures, for example: U.S. laser deployment [2], UK HPM swarm trial results, Epirus HPM swarm kill demo, anti-drone jammer range and cost in Ukraine, DroneShield global sales, Israel's Iron Beam program, and the SkyShield desert performance report, among others. These illustrate the state-of-the-art and real-world usage as of 2024–2025. All data presented is from open sources and unclassified reports.

[2]

---

[1] [2] [12] The Army Has Officially Deployed Laser Weapons Overseas to Combat Enemy Drones | Military.com
https://www.military.com/daily-news/2024/04/24/army-has-officially-deployed-laser-weapons-overseas-combat-enemy-drones.html

[3] LW-30 Silent Hunter - Low Altitude Laser Defending System (LASS)
https://www.globalsecurity.org/military/world/china/lw-30.htm

[4] [6] Russian Military Deploys Chinese Laser Air Defense System
https://militarnyi.com/en/news/russian-military-deploys-chinese-laser-air-defense-system/

[5] Silent Hunter anti drone system
https://www.militarydrones.org.cn/silent-hunter-anti-drone-system-p00561p1.html

[7] British High-Power Microwave Weapon Successfully Tested Against Drone Swarms
https://www.twz.com/news-features/british-high-power-microwave-weapon-successfully-tested-against-drone-swarms

[8] Ukraine's anti-drone gun brings down Russian DJI Mavic Pro UAV
https://dronedj.com/2022/10/06/ukraine-anti-drone-gun-russia/

[9] [10] [11] Lasers, Microwaves, Missiles, Guns Not On The Table For Domestic Drone Defense
https://www.twz.com/air/lasers-microwaves-missiles-guns-not-on-the-table-for-domestic-drone-defense

[13] Swarm Wars: Pentagon holds toughest drone-defense demo to date - Breaking Defense
https://breakingdefense.com/2024/07/swarm-wars-pentagon-holds-toughest-drone-defense-demo-to-date/