



**TASK**

# **Exploratory Data Analysis on the Automobile Data Set**

Visit our website

# Introduction

Summary of the data set

## DATA CLEANING

# SUMMARY OF THE METHODS AND VISUALIZATIONS DONE DURING DATA CLEANING

## MISSING DATA

# ANY MISSING DATA? HOW DID YOU HANDLE IT

## DATA STORIES AND VISUALIZATIONS

# THIS IS THE BULK OF THIS PROJECT. EXTRACT STORIES AND ASSUMPTIONS BASED ON VISUALIZATIONS OF THE DATA

# ENSURE THIS DOCUMENT IS NEAT AND CAN BE ADDED IN YOUR PORTFOLIO

**THIS REPORT WAS WRITTEN BY : JUSTIN BAINBRIDGE**



# Exploratory Data Analysis

Automobiles\_csv

## DATA CLEANING

Units and categorical data types are standardised and clean.

Numerous scatter plots were created based on various columns within the dataset to visually search for outliers within the set, the plots generated are realistically distributed and it is therefore assumed that there are no outliers.

When considering the Categorical data columns, the `unique()` function was run across the set to find any unusual or incorrect types. The results showed expected variations with no intuitive errors.

## MISSING DATA

Degree of missingness:

- `isnull()` was used to return the total number of NaN records present within the data set
- Initially, the “?” characters were not registered as NaN records, it was therefore necessary to replace the “?” records with `np.NaN` and to re-run `isnull()`
- A further calculation was done to determine the percentage of data that is missing, by returning the number of NaN values and dividing this value by the column size
- Overall, missing data was below 2% per column except for “normalized-losses that shows a 20% portion of data missing. This is an uninfluential characteristic and will therefore not require these records to be removed (however analysis based on this criteria will be done with the missing values in mind).

## DATA STORIES AND VISUALIZATIONS

### Visualization 1

**DF Column** – make

**Purpose** – Determine which are the major manufacturers based on number of different models produced

**Method** – Group the data set by “make” and generate a bar plot showing `sum()` of amount of records per “make”.

**Findings** – By far the largest variety is produced by Toyota, with Nissan and Mazda contributing the second largest variety

### Visualization 2

**DF Column** – fuel type ; aspiration ; num of doors ; body style

**Purpose** – The plots give a breakdown of population composition for each variety of categorical type within a series of parameters

**Method** – Seaborn library is used to generate `countplot()` visuals which sum up the number of records per category type and present the numbers in horizontal bar plots

**Findings** – The results show severely skewed data for the fuel type and aspiration, whereas number of doors is closer to evenly spread. The body style is predominantly Sedan and hatchback.

### Visualization 3

**DF Column** – drive-wheels ; horsepower

**Purpose** – To generate a distribution visualising the range of horsepower per drive type category

**Method** – A seaborn `distplot()` was used to separate the data based on drive type, then plotted along an x-axis representing the horsepower

**Findings** – 4wd vehicles generally have the lower horsepower while rear wheel drive options have a stronger distribution over a high horsepower range

#### Visualization 4

**DF Column** – make ; drive wheels

**Purpose** – To create a distribution plot of the price ranges for a buyer looking to purchase a 4wd vehicle from either Subaru or Toyota

**Method** – First step was to isolate all 4wd records from the main data set. Second step made use of a seaborn distplot() to display the number of vehicles falling across the price range

**Findings** – Toyota overs a higher number of 4wd models within a narrow price range and Subaru offers a larger spread tending towards the higher price range

#### Visualization 5

**DF Column** – symboling

**Purpose** – To determine an overall risk profile of the cars within the dataset, with the higher ranking models indicating higher risk

**Method** – A horizontal bar graph generated by summing the number of vehicles that fall into each symbol category to give an indication of the spread

**Findings** – The majority of models fall within the mid range of the plot, resulting in a normal distribution with a wider tail over the higher risk end than the lower risk end. This suggests the general market takes on moderate risk with a fair demand for higher risk models

## Visualization 6

**DF Column** – city-mpg ; highway-mpg ; horsepower

**Purpose** – To determine if there is a strong relationship between horsepower and improved fuel consumption in both city and highway travel

**Method** – Two separate scatter plots were created to display the relationship between horsepower and the two driving conditions. A second degree/polynomial regression line was included to clearly indicate the data trend

**Findings** – There is a strong relationship in both plots showing that fuel consumption does in fact improve with a reduction in horsepower.

## Visualization 7

**DF Column** – symboling ; normalized-losses

**Purpose** – To confirm the effect of producing a model with a higher rated symboling ranking on the normalized losses

**Method** – The models were categorised according to their symboling rank and plotted on a seaborn distplot() to show how higher ranking symboling resulted in higher normalized costs for the model

**Findings** – There is a clear relationship between higher ranked symboling and increased normalized losses as expected. In order to justify these losses we would need to see additional info relating to the manufacturing and sales costs vs profits.