CHAPTER

22

RADIATION HEAT TRANSFER

In Chapter 21, we considered the fundamental aspects of radiation and the radiation properties of surfaces. We are now in a position to consider radiation exchange between two or more surfaces, which is the primary quantity of interest in most radiation problems.

We start this chapter with a discussion of view factors and the rules associated with them. View factor expressions and charts for some common configurations are given, and the crossed-strings method is presented. We then discuss radiation heat transfer, first between black surfaces and then between nonblack surfaces using the radiation network approach. We continue with radiation shields and discuss the radiation effect on temperature measurements and comfort. Finally, we consider gas radiation, and discuss the effective emissivities and absorptivities of gas bodies of various shapes. We also discuss radiation exchange between the walls of combustion chambers and the high-temperature emitting and absorbing combustion gases inside.

CONTENTS



FIGURE 22–1
Radiation heat exchange between surfaces depends on the *orientation* of the surfaces relative to each other, and this dependence on orientation is accounted for by the *view factor.*

22–1 ▪ THE VIEW FACTOR

Radiation heat transfer between surfaces depends on the *orientation* of the surfaces relative to each other as well as their radiation properties and temperatures, as illustrated in Fig. 22–1. For example, a camper will make the most use of a campfire on a cold night by standing as close to the fire as possible and by blocking as much of the radiation coming from the fire by turning her front to the fire instead of her side. Likewise, a person will maximize the amount of solar radiation incident on him and take a sunbath by lying down on his back instead of standing up on his feet.

To account for the effects of orientation on radiation heat transfer between two surfaces, we define a new parameter called the *view factor,* which is a purely geometric quantity and is independent of the surface properties and temperature. It is also called the *shape factor, configuration factor,* and *angle factor.* The view factor based on the assumption that the surfaces are diffuse emitters and diffuse reflectors is called the *diffuse view factor,* and the view factor based on the assumption that the surfaces are diffuse emitters but specular reflectors is called the *specular view factor.* In this book, we will consider radiation exchange between diffuse surfaces only, and thus the term *view factor* will simply mean *diffuse view factor.*

The view factor from a surface i to a surface j is denoted by $F_{i \rightarrow j}$ or just F_{ij}, and is defined as

$$F_{ij} = \textit{the fraction of the radiation leaving surface i that strikes surface j directly}$$

The notation $F_{i \rightarrow j}$ is instructive for beginners, since it emphasizes that the view factor is for radiation that travels from surface i to surface j. However, this notation becomes rather awkward when it has to be used many times in a problem. In such cases, it is convenient to replace it by its *shorthand* version F_{ij}.

Therefore, the view factor F_{12} represents the fraction of radiation leaving surface 1 that strikes surface 2 directly, and F_{21} represents the fraction of the radiation leaving surface 2 that strikes surface 1 directly. Note that the radiation that strikes a surface does not need to be absorbed by that surface. Also, radiation that strikes a surface after being reflected by other surfaces is not considered in the evaluation of view factors.

To develop a general expression for the view factor, consider two differential surfaces dA_1 and dA_2 on two arbitrarily oriented surfaces A_1 and A_2, respectively, as shown in Fig. 22–2. The distance between dA_1 and dA_2 is r, and the angles between the normals of the surfaces and the line that connects dA_1 and dA_2 are θ_1 and θ_2, respectively. Surface 1 emits and reflects radiation diffusely in all directions with a constant intensity of I_1, and the solid angle subtended by dA_2 when viewed by dA_1 is $d\omega_{21}$.

The rate at which radiation leaves dA_1 in the direction of θ_1 is $I_1 \cos\theta_1 dA_1$. Noting that $d\omega_{21} = dA_2 \cos\theta_2/r^2$, the portion of this radiation that strikes dA_2 is

$$\dot{Q}_{dA_1 \rightarrow dA_2} = I_1 \cos\theta_1\, dA_1\, d\omega_{21} = I_1 \cos\theta_1\, dA_1 \frac{dA_2 \cos\theta_2}{r^2} \tag{22–1}$$



FIGURE 22–2
Geometry for the determination of the view factor between two surfaces.

The total rate at which radiation leaves dA_1 (via emission and reflection) in all directions is the radiosity (which is $J_1 = \pi I_1$) times the surface area,

$$\dot{Q}_{dA_1} = J_1\, dA_1 = \pi I_1\, dA_1 \tag{22–2}$$

Then the *differential view factor* $dF_{dA_1 \to dA_2}$, which is the fraction of radiation leaving dA_1 that strikes dA_2 directly, becomes

$$dF_{dA_1 \to dA_2} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{dA_1 \to dA_2}}{\dot{Q}_{dA_1}} = \frac{\cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2}{\pi r^2}\, dA_2 \tag{22–3}$$

The differential view factor $dF_{dA_2 \to dA_1}$ can be determined from Eq. 22–3 by interchanging the subscripts 1 and 2.

The view factor from a differential area dA_1 to a finite area A_2 can be determined from the fact that the fraction of radiation leaving dA_1 that strikes A_2 is the sum of the fractions of radiation striking the differential areas dA_2. Therefore, the view factor $F_{dA_1 \to A_2}$ is determined by integrating $dF_{dA_1 \to dA_2}$ over A_2,

$$F_{dA_1 \to A_2} = \int_{A_2} \frac{\cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2}{\pi r^2}\, dA_2 \tag{22–4}$$

The total rate at which radiation leaves the entire A_1 (via emission and reflection) in all directions is

$$\dot{Q}_{A_1} = J_1 A_1 = \pi I_1 A_1 \tag{22–5}$$

The portion of this radiation that strikes dA_2 is determined by considering the radiation that leaves dA_1 and strikes dA_2 (given by Eq. 22–1), and integrating it over A_1,

$$\dot{Q}_{A_1 \to dA_2} = \int_{A_1} \dot{Q}_{dA_1 \to dA_2} = \int_{A_1} \frac{I_1 \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2\, dA_2}{r^2}\, dA_1 \tag{22–6}$$

Integration of this relation over A_2 gives the radiation that strikes the entire A_2,

$$\dot{Q}_{A_1 \to A_2} = \int_{A_2} \dot{Q}_{A_1 \to dA_2} = \int_{A_2}\int_{A_1} \frac{I_1 \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2}{r^2}\, dA_1\, dA_2 \tag{22–7}$$

Dividing this by the total radiation leaving A_1 (from Eq. 22–5) gives the fraction of radiation leaving A_1 that strikes A_2, which is the view factor $F_{A_1 \to A_2}$ (or F_{12} for short),

$$F_{12} = F_{A_1 \to A_2} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{A_1 \to A_2}}{\dot{Q}_{A_1}} = \frac{1}{A_1}\int_{A_2}\int_{A_1} \frac{\cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2}{\pi r^2}\, dA_1\, dA_2 \tag{22–8}$$

The view factor $F_{A_2 \to A_1}$ is readily determined from Eq. 22–8 by interchanging the subscripts 1 and 2,

$$F_{21} = F_{A_2 \to A_1} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{A_2 \to A_1}}{\dot{Q}_{A_2}} = \frac{1}{A_2}\int_{A_2}\int_{A_1} \frac{\cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2}{\pi r^2}\, dA_1\, dA_2 \tag{22–9}$$



FIGURE 22–3
The view factor from a surface to itself is *zero* for *plane* or *convex* surfaces and *nonzero* for *concave* surfaces.



FIGURE 22–4
In a geometry that consists of two concentric spheres, the view factor $F_{1\to 2} = 1$ since the entire radiation leaving the surface of the smaller sphere will be intercepted by the larger sphere.

Note that I_1 is constant but r, θ_1, and θ_2 are variables. Also, integrations can be performed in any order since the integration limits are constants. These relations confirm that the view factor between two surfaces depends on their relative orientation and the distance between them.

Combining Eqs. 22–8 and 22–9 after multiplying the former by A_1 and the latter by A_2 gives

$$A_1F_{12} = A_2F_{21} \tag{22–10}$$

which is known as the **reciprocity relation** for view factors. It allows the calculation of a view factor from a knowledge of the other.

The view factor relations developed above are applicable to any two surfaces i and j provided that the surfaces are diffuse emitters and diffuse reflectors (so that the assumption of constant intensity is valid). For the special case of $j = i$, we have

$$F_{i\to i} = \textit{the fraction of radiation leaving surface i that strikes itself directly}$$

Noting that in the absence of strong electromagnetic fields radiation beams travel in straight paths, the view factor from a surface to itself will be zero unless the surface "sees" itself. Therefore, $F_{i\to i} = 0$ for *plane* or *convex* surfaces and $F_{i\to i} \neq 0$ for concave surfaces, as illustrated in Fig. 22–3.

The value of the view factor ranges between *zero* and *one.* The limiting case $F_{i\to j} = 0$ indicates that the two surfaces do not have a direct view of each other, and thus radiation leaving surface i cannot strike surface j directly. The other limiting case $F_{i\to j} = 1$ indicates that surface j completely surrounds surface i, so that the entire radiation leaving surface i is intercepted by surface j. For example, in a geometry consisting of two concentric spheres, the entire radiation leaving the surface of the smaller sphere (surface 1) will strike the larger sphere (surface 2), and thus $F_{1\to 2} = 1$, as illustrated in Fig. 22–4.

The view factor has proven to be very useful in radiation analysis because it allows us to express the *fraction of radiation* leaving a surface that strikes another surface in terms of the orientation of these two surfaces relative to each other. The underlying assumption in this process is that the radiation a surface receives from a source is directly proportional to the angle the surface subtends when viewed from the source. This would be the case only if the radiation coming off the source is *uniform* in all directions throughout its surface and the medium between the surfaces does not *absorb, emit,* or *scatter* radiation. That is, it will be the case when the surfaces are *isothermal* and *diffuse* emitters and reflectors and the surfaces are separated by a *nonparticipating* medium such as a vacuum or air.

The view factor $F_{1\to 2}$ between two surfaces A_1 and A_2 can be determined in a systematic manner first by expressing the view factor between two differential areas dA_1 and dA_2 in terms of the spatial variables and then by performing the necessary integrations. However, this approach is not practical, since, even for simple geometries, the resulting integrations are usually very complex and difficult to perform.

View factors for hundreds of common geometries are evaluated and the results are given in analytical, graphical, and tabular form in several publications. View factors for selected geometries are given in Tables 22–1 and 22–2 in *analytical* form and in Figs. 22–5 to 22–8 in *graphical* form. The view

TABLE 22–1

View factor expressions for some common geometries of finite size (3D)

Geometry	Relation
Aligned parallel rectangles 	$\bar{X} = X/L$ and $\bar{Y} = Y/L$ $F_{i \to j} = \frac{2}{\pi \bar{X}\bar{Y}} \Big\{ \ln\left[\frac{(1+\bar{X}^2)(1+\bar{Y}^2)}{1+\bar{X}^2+\bar{Y}^2}\right]^{1/2} + \bar{X}(1+\bar{Y}^2)^{1/2}\tan^{-1}\frac{\bar{X}}{(1+\bar{Y}^2)^{1/2}} + \bar{Y}(1+\bar{X}^2)^{1/2}\tan^{-1}\frac{\bar{Y}}{(1+\bar{X}^2)^{1/2}} - \bar{X}\tan^{-1}\bar{X} - \bar{Y}\tan^{-1}\bar{Y} \Big\}$
Coaxial parallel disks 	$R_i = r_i/L$ and $R_j = r_j/L$ $S = 1 + \frac{1+R_j^2}{R_i^2}$ $F_{i \to j} = \frac{1}{2}\left\{ S - \left[S^2 - 4\left(\frac{r_j}{r_i}\right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\}$
Perpendicular rectangles with a common edge 	$H = Z/X$ and $W = Y/X$ $F_{i \to j} = \frac{1}{\pi W}\Big(W\tan^{-1}\frac{1}{W} + H\tan^{-1}\frac{1}{H} - (H^2+W^2)^{1/2}\tan^{-1}\frac{1}{(H^2+W^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{4}\ln\Big\{\frac{(1+W^2)(1+H^2)}{1+W^2+H^2} \times \left[\frac{W^2(1+W^2+H^2)}{(1+W^2)(W^2+H^2)}\right]^{W^2} \times \left[\frac{H^2(1+H^2+W^2)}{(1+H^2)(H^2+W^2)}\right]^{H^2}\Big\}\Big)$

factors in Table 22–1 are for three-dimensional geometries. The view factors in Table 22–2, on the other hand, are for geometries that are *infinitely long* in the direction perpendicular to the plane of the paper and are therefore two-dimensional.

22–2 ▪ VIEW FACTOR RELATIONS

Radiation analysis on an enclosure consisting of N surfaces requires the evaluation of N^2 view factors, and this evaluation process is probably the most time-consuming part of a radiation analysis. However, it is neither practical nor necessary to evaluate all of the view factors directly. Once a sufficient number of view factors are available, the rest of them can be determined by utilizing some fundamental relations for view factors, as discussed next.

TABLE 22–2

View factor expressions for some infinitely long (2D) geometries

Geometry	Relation
Parallel plates with midlines connected by perpendicular line 	$W_i = w_i/L$ and $W_j = w_j/L$ $$F_{i\to j} = \frac{[(W_i + W_j)^2 + 4]^{1/2} - (W_j - W_i)^2 + 4]^{1/2}}{2W_i}$$
Inclined plates of equal width and with a common edge 	$$F_{i\to j} = 1 - \sin\frac{1}{2}\alpha$$
Perpendicular plates with a common edge 	$$F_{i\to j} = \frac{1}{2}\left\{1 + \frac{w_j}{w_i} - \left[1 + \left(\frac{w_j}{w_i}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}\right\}$$
Three-sided enclosure 	$$F_{i\to j} = \frac{w_i + w_j - w_k}{2w_i}$$
Infinite plane and row of cylinders 	$$F_{i\to j} = 1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{D}{s}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} + \frac{D}{s}\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{s^2 - D^2}{D^2}\right)^{1/2}$$

1 The Reciprocity Relation

The view factors $F_{i\to j}$ and $F_{j\to i}$ are *not* equal to each other unless the areas of the two surfaces are. That is,

$$F_{j\to i} = F_{i\to j} \qquad \text{when} \qquad A_i = A_j$$
$$F_{j\to i} \neq F_{i\to j} \qquad \text{when} \qquad A_i \neq A_j$$



FIGURE 22–5
View factor between two aligned parallel rectangles of equal size.



FIGURE 22–6
View factor between two perpendicular rectangles with a common edge.



FIGURE 22–7
View factor between two coaxial parallel disks.





FIGURE 22–8
View factors for two concentric cylinders of finite length: (*a*) outer cylinder to inner cylinder; (*b*) outer cylinder to itself.

We have shown earlier the pair of view factors $F_{i \to j}$ and $F_{j \to i}$ are related to each other by

$$A_i F_{i \to j} = A_j F_{j \to i} \tag{22–11}$$

This relation is referred to as the **reciprocity relation** or the **reciprocity rule,** and it enables us to determine the counterpart of a view factor from a knowledge of the view factor itself and the areas of the two surfaces. When determining the pair of view factors $F_{i \to j}$ and $F_{j \to i}$, it makes sense to evaluate first the easier one directly and then the more difficult one by applying the reciprocity relation.

2 The Summation Rule

The radiation analysis of a surface normally requires the consideration of the radiation coming in or going out in all directions. Therefore, most radiation problems encountered in practice involve enclosed spaces. When formulating a radiation problem, we usually form an *enclosure* consisting of the surfaces interacting radiatively. Even openings are treated as imaginary surfaces with radiation properties equivalent to those of the opening.

The conservation of energy principle requires that the entire radiation leaving any surface i of an enclosure be intercepted by the surfaces of the enclosure. Therefore, *the sum of the view factors from surface i of an enclosure to all surfaces of the enclosure, including to itself, must equal unity.* This is known as the **summation rule** for an enclosure and is expressed as (Fig. 22–9)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{i \to j} = 1 \tag{22–12}$$

where N is the number of surfaces of the enclosure. For example, applying the summation rule to surface 1 of a three-surface enclosure yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} F_{1 \to j} = F_{1 \to 1} + F_{1 \to 2} + F_{1 \to 3} = 1$$

The summation rule can be applied to each surface of an enclosure by varying i from 1 to N. Therefore, the summation rule applied to each of the N surfaces of an enclosure gives N relations for the determination of the view factors. Also, the reciprocity rule gives $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$ additional relations. Then the total number of view factors that need to be evaluated directly for an N-surface enclosure becomes

$$N^2 - [N + \tfrac{1}{2}N(N-1)] = \tfrac{1}{2}N(N-1)$$

For example, for a six-surface enclosure, we need to determine only $\frac{1}{2} \times 6(6-1) = 15$ of the $6^2 = 36$ view factors directly. The remaining 21 view factors can be determined from the 21 equations that are obtained by applying the reciprocity and the summation rules.



FIGURE 22–9
Radiation leaving any surface i of an enclosure must be intercepted completely by the surfaces of the enclosure. Therefore, the sum of the view factors from surface i to each one of the surfaces of the enclosure must be unity.



FIGURE 22–10
The geometry considered in Example 22–1.

EXAMPLE 22–1 View Factors Associated with Two Concentric Spheres

Determine the view factors associated with an enclosure formed by two spheres, shown in Fig. 22–10.

SOLUTION The view factors associated with two concentric spheres are to be determined.

Assumptions The surfaces are diffuse emitters and reflectors.

Analysis The outer surface of the smaller sphere (surface 1) and inner surface of the larger sphere (surface 2) form a two-surface enclosure. Therefore, $N = 2$ and this enclosure involves $N^2 = 2^2 = 4$ view factors, which are F_{11}, F_{12}, F_{21}, and F_{22}. In this two-surface enclosure, we need to determine only

$$\tfrac{1}{2}N(N-1) = \tfrac{1}{2} \times 2(2-1) = 1$$

view factor directly. The remaining three view factors can be determined by the application of the summation and reciprocity rules. But it turns out that we can determine not only one but *two* view factors directly in this case by a simple *inspection:*

$$F_{11} = 0, \qquad \text{since no radiation leaving surface 1 strikes itself}$$
$$F_{12} = 1, \qquad \text{since all radiation leaving surface 1 strikes surface 2}$$

Actually it would be sufficient to determine only one of these view factors by inspection, since we could always determine the other one from the summation rule applied to surface 1 as $F_{11} + F_{12} = 1$.

The view factor F_{21} is determined by applying the reciprocity relation to surfaces 1 and 2:

$$A_1F_{12} = A_2F_{21}$$

which yields

$$F_{21} = \frac{A_1}{A_2}F_{12} = \frac{4\pi r_1^2}{4\pi r_2^2} \times 1 = \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^2$$

Finally, the view factor F_{22} is determined by applying the summation rule to surface 2:

$$F_{21} + F_{22} = 1$$

and thus

$$F_{22} = 1 - F_{21} = 1 - \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^2$$

Discussion Note that when the outer sphere is much larger than the inner sphere ($r_2 \gg r_1$), F_{22} approaches one. This is expected, since the fraction of radiation leaving the outer sphere that is intercepted by the inner sphere will be negligible in that case. Also note that the two spheres considered above do not need to be concentric. However, the radiation analysis will be most accurate for the case of concentric spheres, since the radiation is most likely to be uniform on the surfaces in that case.

3 The Superposition Rule

Sometimes the view factor associated with a given geometry is not available in standard tables and charts. In such cases, it is desirable to express the given geometry as the sum or difference of some geometries with known view factors, and then to apply the **superposition rule,** which can be expressed as *the view factor from a surface i to a surface j is equal to the sum of the view factors from surface i to the parts of surface j.* Note that the reverse of this is not true. That is, the view factor from a surface *j* to a surface *i* is *not* equal to the sum of the view factors from the parts of surface *j* to surface *i.*

Consider the geometry in Fig. 22–11, which is infinitely long in the direction perpendicular to the plane of the paper. The radiation that leaves surface 1 and strikes the combined surfaces 2 and 3 is equal to the sum of the radiation that strikes surfaces 2 and 3. Therefore, the view factor from surface 1 to the combined surfaces of 2 and 3 is

$$F_{1 \to (2, 3)} = F_{1 \to 2} + F_{1 \to 3} \tag{22–13}$$

Suppose we need to find the view factor $F_{1 \to 3}$. A quick check of the view factor expressions and charts in this section will reveal that such a view factor cannot be evaluated directly. However, the view factor $F_{1 \to 3}$ can be determined from Eq. 22–13 after determining both $F_{1 \to 2}$ and $F_{1 \to (2, 3)}$ from the chart in Table 22–2. Therefore, it may be possible to determine some difficult view factors with relative ease by expressing one or both of the areas as the sum or differences of areas and then applying the superposition rule.

To obtain a relation for the view factor $F_{(2, 3) \to 1}$, we multiply Eq. 22–13 by A_1,

$$A_1F_{1 \to (2, 3)} = A_1F_{1 \to 2} + A_1F_{1 \to 3}$$

and apply the reciprocity relation to each term to get

$$(A_2 + A_3)F_{(2, 3) \to 1} = A_2F_{2 \to 1} + A_3F_{3 \to 1}$$

or

$$F_{(2, 3) \to 1} = \frac{A_2 F_{2 \to 1} + A_3 F_{3 \to 1}}{A_2 + A_3} \tag{22–14}$$

Areas that are expressed as the sum of more than two parts can be handled in a similar manner.



FIGURE 22–11
The view factor from a surface to a composite surface is equal to the sum of the view factors from the surface to the parts of the composite surface.



FIGURE 22–12
The cylindrical enclosure considered in Example 22–2.

EXAMPLE 22–2 **Fraction of Radiation Leaving through an Opening**

Determine the fraction of the radiation leaving the base of the cylindrical enclosure shown in Fig. 22–12 that escapes through a coaxial ring opening at its top surface. The radius and the length of the enclosure are $r_1 = 10$ cm and $L = 10$ cm, while the inner and outer radii of the ring are $r_2 = 5$ cm and $r_3 = 8$ cm, respectively.

SOLUTION The fraction of radiation leaving the base of a cylindrical enclosure through a coaxial ring opening at its top surface is to be determined.

Assumptions The base surface is a diffuse emitter and reflector.

Analysis We are asked to determine the fraction of the radiation leaving the base of the enclosure that escapes through an opening at the top surface. Actually, what we are asked to determine is simply the *view factor* $F_{1 \to \text{ring}}$ from the base of the enclosure to the ring-shaped surface at the top.

We do not have an analytical expression or chart for view factors between a circular area and a coaxial ring, and so we cannot determine $F_{1 \to \text{ring}}$ directly. However, we do have a chart for view factors between two coaxial parallel disks, and we can always express a ring in terms of disks.

Let the base surface of radius $r_1 = 10$ cm be surface 1, the circular area of $r_2 = 5$ cm at the top be surface 2, and the circular area of $r_3 = 8$ cm be surface 3. Using the superposition rule, the view factor from surface 1 to surface 3 can be expressed as

$$F_{1 \to 3} = F_{1 \to 2} + F_{1 \to \text{ring}}$$

since surface 3 is the sum of surface 2 and the ring area. The view factors $F_{1 \to 2}$ and $F_{1 \to 3}$ are determined from the chart in Fig. 22–7.

$$\frac{L}{r_1} = \frac{10 \text{ cm}}{10 \text{ cm}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r_2}{L} = \frac{5 \text{ cm}}{10 \text{ cm}} = 0.5 \xrightarrow{\text{(Fig. 22–7)}} F_{1 \to 2} = 0.11$$

$$\frac{L}{r_1} = \frac{10 \text{ cm}}{10 \text{ cm}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r_3}{L} = \frac{8 \text{ cm}}{10 \text{ cm}} = 0.8 \xrightarrow{\text{(Fig. 22–7)}} F_{1 \to 3} = 0.28$$

Therefore,

$$F_{1 \to \text{ring}} = F_{1 \to 3} - F_{1 \to 2} = 0.28 - 0.11 = \mathbf{0.17}$$

which is the desired result. Note that $F_{1 \to 2}$ and $F_{1 \to 3}$ represent the fractions of radiation leaving the base that strike the circular surfaces 2 and 3, respectively, and their difference gives the fraction that strikes the ring area.

4 The Symmetry Rule

The determination of the view factors in a problem can be simplified further if the geometry involved possesses some sort of symmetry. Therefore, it is good practice to check for the presence of any *symmetry* in a problem before attempting to determine the view factors directly. The presence of symmetry can be determined *by inspection,* keeping the definition of the view factor in mind. Identical surfaces that are oriented in an identical manner with respect to another surface will intercept identical amounts of radiation leaving that surface. Therefore, the **symmetry rule** can be expressed as *two (or more) surfaces that possess symmetry about a third surface will have identical view factors from that surface* (Fig. 22–13).

The symmetry rule can also be expressed as *if the surfaces j and k are symmetric about the surface* i *then* $F_{i \to j} = F_{i \to k}$. Using the reciprocity rule, we can show that the relation $F_{j \to i} = F_{k \to i}$ is also true in this case.



$F_{1 \to 2} = F_{1 \to 3}$

(Also, $F_{2 \to 1} = F_{3 \to 1}$)

FIGURE 22–13
Two surfaces that are symmetric about a third surface will have the same view factor from the third surface.

EXAMPLE 22–3 View Factors Associated with a Tetragon

Determine the view factors from the base of the pyramid shown in Fig. 22–14 to each of its four side surfaces. The base of the pyramid is a square, and its side surfaces are isosceles triangles.

SOLUTION The view factors from the base of a pyramid to each of its four side surfaces for the case of a square base are to be determined.

Assumptions The surfaces are diffuse emitters and reflectors.

Analysis The base of the pyramid (surface 1) and its four side surfaces (surfaces 2, 3, 4, and 5) form a five-surface enclosure. The first thing we notice about this enclosure is its symmetry. The four side surfaces are symmetric about the base surface. Then, from the *symmetry rule,* we have

$$F_{12} = F_{13} = F_{14} = F_{15}$$

Also, the *summation rule* applied to surface 1 yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^{5} F_{1j} = F_{11} + F_{12} + F_{13} + F_{14} + F_{15} = 1$$

However, $F_{11} = 0$, since the base is a *flat* surface. Then the two relations above yield

$$F_{12} = F_{13} = F_{14} = F_{15} = \mathbf{0.25}$$

Discussion Note that each of the four side surfaces of the pyramid receive one-fourth of the entire radiation leaving the base surface, as expected. Also note that the presence of symmetry greatly simplified the determination of the view factors.



FIGURE 22–14
The pyramid considered in Example 22–3.

EXAMPLE 22–4 View Factors Associated with a Triangular Duct

Determine the view factor from any one side to any other side of the infinitely long triangular duct whose cross section is given in Fig. 22–15.

SOLUTION The view factors associated with an infinitely long triangular duct are to be determined.

Assumptions The surfaces are diffuse emitters and reflectors.

Analysis The widths of the sides of the triangular cross section of the duct are L_1, L_2, and L_3, and the surface areas corresponding to them are A_1, A_2, and A_3, respectively. Since the duct is infinitely long, the fraction of radiation leaving any surface that escapes through the ends of the duct is negligible. Therefore, the infinitely long duct can be considered to be a three-surface enclosure, $N = 3$.

This enclosure involves $N^2 = 3^2 = 9$ view factors, and we need to determine

$$\tfrac{1}{2}N(N-1) = \tfrac{1}{2} \times 3(3-1) = 3$$



FIGURE 22–15
The infinitely long triangular duct considered in Example 22–4.

of these view factors directly. Fortunately, we can determine all three of them by inspection to be

$$F_{11} = F_{22} = F_{33} = 0$$

since all three surfaces are flat. The remaining six view factors can be determined by the application of the summation and reciprocity rules.

Applying the summation rule to each of the three surfaces gives

$$\begin{aligned} F_{11} + F_{12} + F_{13} &= 1 \\ F_{21} + F_{22} + F_{23} &= 1 \\ F_{31} + F_{32} + F_{33} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $F_{11} = F_{22} = F_{33} = 0$ and multiplying the first equation by A_1, the second by A_2, and the third by A_3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} A_1F_{12} + A_1F_{13} &= A_1 \\ A_2F_{21} + A_2F_{23} &= A_2 \\ A_3F_{31} + A_3F_{32} &= A_3 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, applying the three reciprocity relations $A_1F_{12} = A_2F_{21}$, $A_1F_{13} = A_3F_{31}$, and $A_2F_{23} = A_3F_{32}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} A_1F_{12} + A_1F_{13} &= A_1 \\ A_1F_{12} + A_2F_{23} &= A_2 \\ A_1F_{13} + A_2F_{23} &= A_3 \end{aligned}$$

This is a set of three algebraic equations with three unknowns, which can be solved to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{12} &= \frac{A_1 + A_2 - A_3}{2A_1} = \frac{L_1 + L_2 - L_3}{2L_1} \\ F_{13} &= \frac{A_1 + A_3 - A_2}{2A_1} = \frac{L_1 + L_3 - L_2}{2L_1} \\ F_{23} &= \frac{A_2 + A_3 - A_1}{2A_2} = \frac{L_2 + L_3 - L_1}{2L_2} \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(22–15)}$$

Discussion Note that we have replaced the areas of the side surfaces by their corresponding widths for simplicity, since $A = Ls$ and the length s can be factored out and canceled. We can generalize this result as *the view factor from a surface of a very long triangular duct to another surface is equal to the sum of the widths of these two surfaces minus the width of the third surface, divided by twice the width of the first surface.*

View Factors between Infinitely Long Surfaces: The Crossed-Strings Method

Many problems encountered in practice involve geometries of constant cross section such as channels and ducts that are *very long* in one direction relative to the other directions. Such geometries can conveniently be considered to be *two-dimensional,* since any radiation interaction through their end surfaces will be negligible. These geometries can subsequently be modeled as being *infinitely long,* and the view factor between their surfaces can be determined by the amazingly simple *crossed-strings method* developed by H. C. Hottel in the 1950s. The surfaces of the geometry do not need to be flat; they can be convex, concave, or any irregular shape.

To demonstrate this method, consider the geometry shown in Fig. 22–16, and let us try to find the view factor $F_{1\to 2}$ between surfaces 1 and 2. The first thing we do is identify the endpoints of the surfaces (the points A, B, C, and D) and connect them to each other with tightly stretched strings, which are indicated by dashed lines. Hottel has shown that the view factor $F_{1\to 2}$ can be expressed in terms of the lengths of these stretched strings, which are straight lines, as

$$F_{1\to 2} = \frac{(L_5 + L_6) - (L_3 + L_4)}{2L_1} \tag{22–16}$$

Note that $L_5 + L_6$ is the sum of the lengths of the *crossed strings,* and $L_3 + L_4$ is the sum of the lengths of the *uncrossed strings* attached to the endpoints. Therefore, Hottel's crossed-strings method can be expressed verbally as

$$F_{i\to j} = \frac{\Sigma\,(\text{Crossed strings}) - \Sigma\,(\text{Uncrossed strings})}{2 \times (\text{String on surface } i)} \tag{22–17}$$

The crossed-strings method is applicable even when the two surfaces considered share a common edge, as in a triangle. In such cases, the common edge can be treated as an imaginary string of zero length. The method can also be applied to surfaces that are partially blocked by other surfaces by allowing the strings to bend around the blocking surfaces.



FIGURE 22–16
Determination of the view factor $F_{1\to 2}$ by the application of the crossed-strings method.

EXAMPLE 22–5 The Crossed-Strings Method for View Factors

Two infinitely long parallel plates of widths $a = 12$ cm and $b = 5$ cm are located a distance $c = 6$ cm apart, as shown in Fig. 22–17. (*a*) Determine the view factor $F_{1\to 2}$ from surface 1 to surface 2 by using the crossed-strings method. (*b*) Derive the crossed-strings formula by forming triangles on the given geometry and using Eq. 22–15 for view factors between the sides of triangles.

SOLUTION The view factors between two infinitely long parallel plates are to be determined using the crossed-strings method, and the formula for the view factor is to be derived.

Assumptions The surfaces are diffuse emitters and reflectors.

Analysis (*a*) First we label the endpoints of both surfaces and draw straight dashed lines between the endpoints, as shown in Fig. 22–17. Then we identify the crossed and uncrossed strings and apply the crossed-strings method (Eq. 22–17) to determine the view factor $F_{1\to 2}$:

$$F_{1\to 2} = \frac{\Sigma\,(\text{Crossed strings}) - \Sigma\,(\text{Uncrossed strings})}{2 \times (\text{String on surface 1})} = \frac{(L_5 + L_6) - (L_3 + L_4)}{2L_1}$$



FIGURE 22–17
The two infinitely long parallel plates considered in Example 22–5.

where

$$L_1 = a = 12 \text{ cm} \qquad L_4 = \sqrt{7^2 + 6^2} = 9.22 \text{ cm}$$
$$L_2 = b = 5 \text{ cm} \qquad L_5 = \sqrt{5^2 + 6^2} = 7.81 \text{ cm}$$
$$L_3 = c = 6 \text{ cm} \qquad L_6 = \sqrt{12^2 + 6^2} = 13.42 \text{ cm}$$

Substituting,

$$F_{1 \to 2} = \frac{[(7.81 + 13.42) - (6 + 9.22)] \text{ cm}}{2 \times 12 \text{ cm}} = \mathbf{0.250}$$

(*b*) The geometry is infinitely long in the direction perpendicular to the plane of the paper, and thus the two plates (surfaces 1 and 2) and the two openings (imaginary surfaces 3 and 4) form a four-surface enclosure. Then applying the summation rule to surface 1 yields

$$F_{11} + F_{12} + F_{13} + F_{14} = 1$$

But $F_{11} = 0$ since it is a flat surface. Therefore,

$$F_{12} = 1 - F_{13} - F_{14}$$

where the view factors F_{13} and F_{14} can be determined by considering the triangles *ABC* and *ABD,* respectively, and applying Eq. 22–15 for view factors between the sides of triangles. We obtain

$$F_{13} = \frac{L_1 + L_3 - L_6}{2L_1}, \qquad F_{14} = \frac{L_1 + L_4 - L_5}{2L_1}$$

Substituting,

$$F_{12} = 1 - \frac{L_1 + L_3 - L_6}{2L_1} - \frac{L_1 + L_4 - L_5}{2L_1}$$
$$= \frac{(L_5 + L_6) - (L_3 + L_4)}{2L_1}$$

which is the desired result. This is also a miniproof of the crossed-strings method for the case of two infinitely long plain parallel surfaces.

22–3 ▪ RADIATION HEAT TRANSFER: BLACK SURFACES

So far, we have considered the nature of radiation, the radiation properties of materials, and the view factors, and we are now in a position to consider the rate of heat transfer between surfaces by radiation. The analysis of radiation exchange between surfaces, in general, is complicated because of reflection: a radiation beam leaving a surface may be reflected several times, with partial reflection occurring at each surface, before it is completely absorbed. The analysis is simplified greatly when the surfaces involved can be approximated as blackbodies because of the absence of reflection. In this section, we consider radiation exchange between *black surfaces* only; we will extend the analysis to reflecting surfaces in the next section.

Consider two black surfaces of arbitrary shape maintained at uniform temperatures T_1 and T_2, as shown in Fig. 22–18. Recognizing that radiation leaves a black surface at a rate of $E_b = \sigma T^4$ per unit surface area and that the view factor $F_{1 \to 2}$ represents the fraction of radiation leaving surface 1 that strikes surface 2, the *net* rate of radiation heat transfer from surface 1 to surface 2 can be expressed as

$$\dot{Q}_{1 \to 2} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Radiation leaving} \\ \text{the entire surface 1} \\ \text{that strikes surface 2} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \text{Radiation leaving} \\ \text{the entire surface 2} \\ \text{that strikes surface 1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= A_1 E_{b1} F_{1 \to 2} - A_2 E_{b2} F_{2 \to 1} \qquad \text{(W)} \tag{22–18}$$



FIGURE 22–18
Two general black surfaces maintained at uniform temperatures T_1 and T_2.

Applying the reciprocity relation $A_1 F_{1 \to 2} = A_2 F_{2 \to 1}$ yields

$$\dot{Q}_{1 \to 2} = A_1 F_{1 \to 2}\, \sigma (T_1^4 - T_2^4) \qquad \text{(W)} \tag{22–19}$$

which is the desired relation. A negative value for $\dot{Q}_{1 \to 2}$ indicates that net radiation heat transfer is from surface 2 to surface 1.

Now consider an *enclosure* consisting of *N black* surfaces maintained at specified temperatures. The *net* radiation heat transfer *from* any surface *i* of this enclosure is determined by adding up the net radiation heat transfers from surface *i* to each of the surfaces of the enclosure:

$$\dot{Q}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \dot{Q}_{i \to j} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_i F_{i \to j}\, \sigma (T_i^4 - T_j^4) \qquad \text{(W)} \tag{22–20}$$

Again a negative value for $\dot{Q}$ indicates that net radiation heat transfer is *to* surface *i* (i.e., surface *i gains* radiation energy instead of losing). Also, the net heat transfer from a surface to itself is zero, regardless of the shape of the surface.

EXAMPLE 22–6 **Radiation Heat Transfer in a Black Furnace**

Consider the 5-m × 5-m × 5-m cubical furnace shown in Fig. 22–19, whose surfaces closely approximate black surfaces. The base, top, and side surfaces of the furnace are maintained at uniform temperatures of 800 K, 1500 K, and 500 K, respectively. Determine (*a*) the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the base and the side surfaces, (*b*) the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the base and the top surface, and (*c*) the net radiation heat transfer from the base surface.

SOLUTION The surfaces of a cubical furnace are black and are maintained at uniform temperatures. The net rate of radiation heat transfer between the base and side surfaces, between the base and the top surface, and from the base surface are to be determined.

Assumptions The surfaces are black and isothermal.



FIGURE 22–19
The cubical furnace of black surfaces considered in Example 22–6.

Analysis (*a*) Considering that the geometry involves six surfaces, we may be tempted at first to treat the furnace as a six-surface enclosure. However, the four side surfaces possess the same properties, and thus we can treat them as a single side surface in radiation analysis. We consider the base surface to be surface 1, the top surface to be surface 2, and the side surfaces to be surface 3. Then the problem reduces to determining $\dot{Q}_{1\to 3}$, $\dot{Q}_{1\to 2}$, and $\dot{Q}_1$.

The net rate of radiation heat transfer $\dot{Q}_{1\to 3}$ from surface 1 to surface 3 can be determined from Eq. 22–19, since both surfaces involved are black, by replacing the subscript 2 by 3:

$$\dot{Q}_{1\to 3} = A_1F_{1\to 3}\sigma(T_1^4 - T_3^4)$$

But first we need to evaluate the view factor $F_{1\to 3}$. After checking the view factor charts and tables, we realize that we cannot determine this view factor directly. However, we can determine the view factor $F_{1\to 2}$ directly from Fig. 22–5 to be $F_{1\to 2} = 0.2$, and we know that $F_{1\to 1} = 0$ since surface 1 is a plane. Then applying the summation rule to surface 1 yields

$$F_{1\to 1} + F_{1\to 2} + F_{1\to 3} = 1$$

or

$$F_{1\to 3} = 1 - F_{1\to 1} - F_{1\to 2} = 1 - 0 - 0.2 = 0.8$$

Substituting,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{Q}_{1\to 3} &= (25\ \text{m}^2)(0.8)(5.67\times 10^{-8}\ \text{W/m}^2\cdot\text{K}^4)[(800\ \text{K})^4 - (500\ \text{K})^4]\\ &= \mathbf{394\times 10^3\ W = 394\ kW}\end{aligned}$$

(*b*) The net rate of radiation heat transfer $\dot{Q}_{1\to 2}$ from surface 1 to surface 2 is determined in a similar manner from Eq. 22–19 to be

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{Q}_{1\to 2} &= A_1F_{1\to 2}\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)\\ &= (25\ \text{m}^2)(0.2)(5.67\times 10^{-8}\ \text{W/m}^2\cdot\text{K}^4)[(800\ \text{K})^4 - (1500\ \text{K})^4]\\ &= \mathbf{-1319\times 10^3\ W = -1319\ kW}\end{aligned}$$

The negative sign indicates that net radiation heat transfer is from surface 2 to surface 1.

(*c*) The net radiation heat transfer from the base surface $\dot{Q}_1$ is determined from Eq. 22–20 by replacing the subscript *i* by 1 and taking $N = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{Q}_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{3}\dot{Q}_{1\to j} = \dot{Q}_{1\to 1} + \dot{Q}_{1\to 2} + \dot{Q}_{1\to 3}\\ &= 0 + (-1319\ \text{kW}) + (394\ \text{kW})\\ &= \mathbf{-925\ kW}\end{aligned}$$

Again the negative sign indicates that net radiation heat transfer is *to* surface 1. That is, the base of the furnace is gaining net radiation at a rate of about 925 kW.

22–4 ▪ RADIATION HEAT TRANSFER: DIFFUSE, GRAY SURFACES

The analysis of radiation transfer in enclosures consisting of black surfaces is relatively easy, as we have seen, but most enclosures encountered in practice involve nonblack surfaces, which allow multiple reflections to occur. Radiation analysis of such enclosures becomes very complicated unless some simplifying assumptions are made.

To make a simple radiation analysis possible, it is common to assume the surfaces of an enclosure to be *opaque, diffuse,* and *gray.* That is, the surfaces are nontransparent, they are diffuse emitters and diffuse reflectors, and their radiation properties are independent of wavelength. Also, each surface of the enclosure is *isothermal,* and both the incoming and outgoing radiation are *uniform* over each surface. But first we review the concept of radiosity discussed in Chap. 21.

Radiosity

Surfaces emit radiation as well as reflect it, and thus the radiation leaving a surface consists of emitted and reflected parts. The calculation of radiation heat transfer between surfaces involves the *total* radiation energy streaming away from a surface, with no regard for its origin. The *total radiation energy leaving a surface per unit time and per unit area* is the **radiosity** and is denoted by J (Fig. 22–20).

For a surface i that is *gray* and *opaque* ($\varepsilon_i = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i + \rho_i = 1$), the radiosity can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} J_i &= \begin{pmatrix}\text{Radiation emitted}\\ \text{by surface } i\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}\text{Radiation reflected}\\ \text{by surface } i\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \varepsilon_i E_{bi} + \rho_i G_i \\ &= \varepsilon_i E_{bi} + (1 - \varepsilon_i) G_i \qquad (\text{W/m}^2) \end{aligned} \quad \text{(22–21)}$$

where $E_{bi} = \sigma T_i^4$ is the blackbody emissive power of surface i and G_i is irradiation (i.e., the radiation energy incident on surface i per unit time per unit area).

For a surface that can be approximated as a *blackbody* ($\varepsilon_i = 1$), the radiosity relation reduces to

$$J_i = E_{bi} = \sigma T_i^4 \qquad \text{(blackbody)} \quad \text{(22–22)}$$

That is, *the radiosity of a blackbody is equal to its emissive power.* This is expected, since a blackbody does not reflect any radiation, and thus radiation coming from a blackbody is due to emission only.

Net Radiation Heat Transfer to or from a Surface

During a radiation interaction, a surface *loses* energy by emitting radiation and *gains* energy by absorbing radiation emitted by other surfaces. A surface experiences a net gain or a net loss of energy, depending on which quantity is larger. The *net* rate of radiation heat transfer from a surface i of surface area A_i is denoted by $\dot{Q}_i$ and is expressed as



FIGURE 22–20
Radiosity represents the sum of the radiation energy emitted and reflected by a surface.

$$\dot{Q}_i = \begin{pmatrix}\text{Radiation leaving}\\ \text{entire surface } i\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}\text{Radiation incident}\\ \text{on entire surface } i\end{pmatrix}$$

$$= A_i(J_i - G_i) \qquad \text{(W)} \tag{22–23}$$

Solving for G_i from Eq. 22–21 and substituting into Eq. 22–23 yields

$$\dot{Q}_i = A_i\left(J_i - \frac{J_i - \varepsilon_i E_{bi}}{1 - \varepsilon_i}\right) = \frac{A_i\varepsilon_i}{1 - \varepsilon_i}(E_{bi} - J_i) \qquad \text{(W)} \tag{22–24}$$

In an electrical analogy to Ohm's law, this equation can be rearranged as

$$\dot{Q}_i = \frac{E_{bi} - J_i}{R_i} \qquad \text{(W)} \tag{22–25}$$

where

$$R_i = \frac{1 - \varepsilon_i}{A_i\varepsilon_i} \tag{22–26}$$

is the **surface resistance** to radiation. The quantity $E_{bi} - J_i$ corresponds to a *potential difference* and the net rate of radiation heat transfer corresponds to *current* in the electrical analogy, as illustrated in Fig. 22–21.



FIGURE 22–21
Electrical analogy of surface resistance to radiation.

The direction of the net radiation heat transfer depends on the relative magnitudes of J_i (the radiosity) and E_{bi} (the emissive power of a blackbody at the temperature of the surface). It will be *from* the surface if $E_{bi} > J_i$ and *to* the surface if $J_i > E_{bi}$. A negative value for $\dot{Q}_i$ indicates that heat transfer is *to* the surface. All of this radiation energy gained must be removed from the other side of the surface through some mechanism if the surface temperature is to remain constant.

The surface resistance to radiation for a *blackbody* is *zero* since $\varepsilon_i = 1$ and $J_i = E_{bi}$. The net rate of radiation heat transfer in this case is determined directly from Eq. 22–23.

Some surfaces encountered in numerous practical heat transfer applications are modeled as being *adiabatic* since their back sides are well insulated and the net heat transfer through them is zero. When the convection effects on the front (heat transfer) side of such a surface is negligible and steady-state conditions are reached, the surface must lose as much radiation energy as it gains, and thus $\dot{Q}_i = 0$. In such cases, the surface is said to *reradiate* all the radiation energy it receives, and such a surface is called a **reradiating surface.** Setting $\dot{Q}_i = 0$ in Eq. 22–25 yields

$$J_i = E_{bi} = \sigma T_i^4 \qquad \text{(W/m}^2\text{)} \tag{22–27}$$

Therefore, the *temperature* of a reradiating surface under steady conditions can easily be determined from the equation above once its radiosity is known. Note that the temperature of a reradiating surface is *independent of its emissivity.* In radiation analysis, the surface resistance of a reradiating surface is disregarded since there is no net heat transfer through it. (This is like the fact that there is no need to consider a resistance in an electrical network if no current is flowing through it.)

Net Radiation Heat Transfer between Any Two Surfaces

Consider two diffuse, gray, and opaque surfaces of arbitrary shape maintained at uniform temperatures, as shown in Fig. 22–22. Recognizing that the radiosity J represents the rate of radiation leaving a surface per unit surface area and that the view factor $F_{i \to j}$ represents the fraction of radiation leaving surface i that strikes surface j, the *net* rate of radiation heat transfer from surface i to surface j can be expressed as

$$\dot{Q}_{i \to j} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Radiation leaving} \\ \text{the entire surface } i \\ \text{that strikes surface } j \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \text{Radiation leaving} \\ \text{the entire surface } j \\ \text{that strikes surface } i \end{pmatrix} \quad \textbf{(22–28)}$$

$$= A_i J_i F_{i \to j} - A_j J_j F_{j \to i} \qquad \text{(W)}$$

Applying the reciprocity relation $A_iF_{i \to j} = A_jF_{j \to i}$ yields

$$\dot{Q}_{i \to j} = A_iF_{i \to j}(J_i - J_j) \qquad \text{(W)} \quad \textbf{(22–29)}$$

Again in analogy to Ohm's law, this equation can be rearranged as

$$\dot{Q}_{i \to j} = \frac{J_i - J_j}{R_{i \to j}} \qquad \text{(W)} \quad \textbf{(22–30)}$$

where

$$R_{i \to j} = \frac{1}{A_i F_{i \to j}} \quad \textbf{(22–31)}$$

is the **space resistance** to radiation. Again the quantity $J_i - J_j$ corresponds to a *potential difference,* and the net rate of heat transfer between two surfaces corresponds to *current* in the electrical analogy, as illustrated in Fig. 22–22.

The direction of the net radiation heat transfer between two surfaces depends on the relative magnitudes of J_i and J_j. A positive value for $\dot{Q}_{i \to j}$ indicates that net heat transfer is *from* surface i *to* surface j. A negative value indicates the opposite.

In an N-surface enclosure, the conservation of energy principle requires that the net heat transfer from surface i be equal to the sum of the net heat transfers from surface i to each of the N surfaces of the enclosure. That is,

$$\dot{Q}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \dot{Q}_{i \to j} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_i F_{i \to j}(J_i - J_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{J_i - J_j}{R_{i \to j}} \qquad \text{(W)} \quad \textbf{(22–32)}$$

The network representation of net radiation heat transfer from surface i to the remaining surfaces of an N-surface enclosure is given in Fig. 22–23. Note that $\dot{Q}_{i \to i}$ (the net rate of heat transfer from a surface to itself) is zero regardless of the shape of the surface. Combining Eqs. 22–25 and 22–32 gives

$$\frac{E_{bi} - J_i}{R_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{J_i - J_j}{R_{i \to j}} \qquad \text{(W)} \quad \textbf{(22–33)}$$



FIGURE 22–22
Electrical analogy of space resistance to radiation.



FIGURE 22–23
Network representation of net radiation heat transfer from surface i to the remaining surfaces of an N-surface enclosure.

which has the electrical analogy interpretation that *the net radiation flow from a surface through its surface resistance is equal to the sum of the radiation flows from that surface to all other surfaces through the corresponding space resistances.*

Methods of Solving Radiation Problems

In the radiation analysis of an enclosure, either the temperature or the net rate of heat transfer must be given for each of the surfaces to obtain a unique solution for the unknown surface temperatures and heat transfer rates. There are two methods commonly used to solve radiation problems. In the first method, Eqs. 22–32 (for surfaces with specified heat transfer rates) and 22–33 (for surfaces with specified temperatures) are simplified and rearranged as

Surfaces with specified net heat transfer rate $\dot{Q}_i$

$$\dot{Q}_i = A_i \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{i \to j}(J_i - J_j) \tag{22–34}$$

Surfaces with specified temperature T_i

$$\sigma T_i^4 = J_i + \frac{1 - \varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon_i} \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{i \to j}(J_i - J_j) \tag{22–35}$$

Note that $\dot{Q}_i = 0$ for insulated (or reradiating) surfaces, and $\sigma T_i^4 = J_i$ for black surfaces since $\varepsilon_i = 1$ in that case. Also, the term corresponding to $j = i$ will drop out from either relation since $J_i - J_j = J_i - J_i = 0$ in that case.

The equations above give N linear algebraic equations for the determination of the N unknown radiosities for an N-surface enclosure. Once the radiosities $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_N$ are available, the unknown heat transfer rates can be determined from Eq. 22–34 while the unknown surface temperatures can be determined from Eq. 22–35. The temperatures of insulated or reradiating surfaces can be determined from $\sigma T_i^4 = J_i$. A positive value for $\dot{Q}_i$ indicates net radiation heat transfer *from* surface i to other surfaces in the enclosure while a negative value indicates net radiation heat transfer *to* the surface.

The systematic approach described above for solving radiation heat transfer problems is very suitable for use with today's popular equation solvers such as EES, Mathcad, and Matlab, especially when there are a large number of surfaces, and is known as the **direct method** (formerly, the *matrix method,* since it resulted in matrices and the solution required a knowledge of linear algebra). The second method described below, called the **network method,** is based on the electrical network analogy.

The network method was first introduced by A. K. Oppenheim in the 1950s and found widespread acceptance because of its simplicity and emphasis on the physics of the problem. The application of the method is straightforward: draw a surface resistance associated with each surface of an enclosure and connect them with space resistances. Then solve the radiation problem by treating it as an electrical network problem where the radiation heat transfer replaces the current and radiosity replaces the potential.

The network method is not practical for enclosures with more than three or four surfaces, however, because of the increased complexity of the network. Next we apply the method to solve radiation problems in two- and three-surface enclosures.

Radiation Heat Transfer in Two-Surface Enclosures

Consider an enclosure consisting of two opaque surfaces at specified temperatures T_1 and T_2, as shown in Fig. 22–24, and try to determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two surfaces with the network method. Surfaces 1 and 2 have emissivities ε_1 and ε_2 and surface areas A_1 and A_2 and are maintained at uniform temperatures T_1 and T_2, respectively. There are only two surfaces in the enclosure, and thus we can write

$$\dot{Q}_{12} = \dot{Q}_1 = -\dot{Q}_2$$

That is, the net rate of radiation heat transfer from surface 1 to surface 2 must equal the net rate of radiation heat transfer *from* surface 1 and the net rate of radiation heat transfer *to* surface 2.

The radiation network of this two-surface enclosure consists of two surface resistances and one space resistance, as shown in Fig. 22–24. In an electrical network, the electric current flowing through these resistances connected in series would be determined by dividing the potential difference between points A and B by the total resistance between the same two points. The net rate of radiation transfer is determined in the same manner and is expressed as

$$\dot{Q}_{12} = \frac{E_{b1} - E_{b2}}{R_1 + R_{12} + R_2} = \dot{Q}_1 = -\dot{Q}_2$$

or

$$\dot{Q}_{12} = \frac{\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\dfrac{1-\varepsilon_1}{A_1\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1}{A_1F_{12}} + \dfrac{1-\varepsilon_2}{A_2\varepsilon_2}} \qquad \text{(W)} \qquad \textbf{(22–36)}$$

This important result is applicable to any two gray, diffuse, and opaque surfaces that form an enclosure. The view factor F_{12} depends on the geometry and must be determined first. Simplified forms of Eq. 22–36 for some familiar arrangements that form a two-surface enclosure are given in Table 22–3. Note that $F_{12} = 1$ for all of these special cases.



FIGURE 22–24
Schematic of a two-surface enclosure and the radiation network associated with it.

EXAMPLE 22–7 Radiation Heat Transfer between Parallel Plates

Two very large parallel plates are maintained at uniform temperatures $T_1 = 800$ K and $T_2 = 500$ K and have emissivities $\varepsilon_1 = 0.2$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 0.7$, respectively, as shown in Fig. 22–25. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two surfaces per unit surface area of the plates.

SOLUTION Two large parallel plates are maintained at uniform temperatures. The net rate of radiation heat transfer between the plates is to be determined.

Assumptions Both surfaces are opaque, diffuse, and gray.

Analysis The net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two plates per unit area is readily determined from Eq. 22–38 to be



FIGURE 22–25
The two parallel plates considered in Example 22–7.

TABLE 22–3

Small object in a large cavity 	$\frac{A_1}{A_2} \approx 0$ $F_{12} = 1$	$\dot{Q}_{12} = A_1\sigma\varepsilon_1(T_1^4 - T_2^4)$	**(22-37)**
Infinitely large parallel plates 	$A_1 = A_2 = A$ $F_{12} = 1$	$\dot{Q}_{12} = \dfrac{A\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_2} - 1}$	**(22-38)**
Infinitely long concentric cylinders 	$\frac{A_1}{A_2} = \frac{r_1}{r_2}$ $F_{12} = 1$	$\dot{Q}_{12} = \dfrac{A_1\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1-\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2}\left(\dfrac{r_1}{r_2}\right)}$	**(22-39)**
Concentric spheres 	$\frac{A_1}{A_2} = \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^2$ $F_{12} = 1$	$\dot{Q}_{12} = \dfrac{A_1\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1-\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2}\left(\dfrac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^2}$	**(22-40)**

$$\dot{q}_{12} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{12}}{A} = \frac{\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_2} - 1} = \frac{(5.67 \times 10^{-8}\ \text{W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}^4)[(800\ \text{K})^4 - (500\ \text{K})^4]}{\dfrac{1}{0.2} + \dfrac{1}{0.7} - 1}$$

$$= \mathbf{3625\ W/m^2}$$

Discussion Note that heat at a net rate of 3625 W is transferred from plate 1 to plate 2 by radiation per unit surface area of either plate.

Radiation Heat Transfer in Three-Surface Enclosures

We now consider an enclosure consisting of three opaque, diffuse, and gray surfaces, as shown in Fig. 22–26. Surfaces 1, 2, and 3 have surface areas A_1, A_2, and A_3; emissivities ε_1, ε_2, and ε_3; and uniform temperatures T_1, T_2, and T_3, respectively. The radiation network of this geometry is constructed by following the standard procedure: draw a surface resistance associated with each of the three surfaces and connect these surface resistances with space resistances, as shown in the figure. Relations for the surface and space resistances are given by Eqs. 22–26 and 22–31. The three endpoint potentials E_{b1}, E_{b2}, and E_{b3} are considered known, since the surface temperatures are specified. Then all we need to find are the radiosities J_1, J_2, and J_3. The three equations for the determination of these three unknowns are obtained from the requirement that *the algebraic sum of the currents (net radiation heat transfer) at each node must equal zero.* That is,

$$\frac{E_{b1}-J_1}{R_1}+\frac{J_2-J_1}{R_{12}}+\frac{J_3-J_1}{R_{13}}=0$$
$$\frac{J_1-J_2}{R_{12}}+\frac{E_{b2}-J_2}{R_2}+\frac{J_3-J_2}{R_{23}}=0$$
$$\frac{J_1-J_3}{R_{13}}+\frac{J_2-J_3}{R_{23}}+\frac{E_{b3}-J_3}{R_3}=0 \tag{22–41}$$

Once the radiosities J_1, J_2, and J_3 are available, the net rate of radiation heat transfers at each surface can be determined from Eq. 22–32.

The set of equations above simplify further if one or more surfaces are "special" in some way. For example, $J_i = E_{bi} = \sigma T_i^4$ for a *black* or *reradiating* surface. Also, $\dot{Q}_i = 0$ for a reradiating surface. Finally, when the net rate of radiation heat transfer $\dot{Q}_i$ is specified at surface i instead of the temperature, the term $(E_{bi} - J_i)/R_i$ should be replaced by the specified $\dot{Q}_i$.



FIGURE 22–26
Schematic of a three-surface enclosure and the radiation network associated with it.



FIGURE 22–27
The cylindrical furnace considered in Example 22–8.

EXAMPLE 22–8 Radiation Heat Transfer in a Cylindrical Furnace

Consider a cylindrical furnace with $r_o = H = 1$ m, as shown in Fig. 22–27. The top (surface 1) and the base (surface 2) of the furnace have emissivities $\varepsilon_1 = 0.8$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 0.4$, respectively, and are maintained at uniform temperatures $T_1 = 700$ K and $T_2 = 500$ K. The side surface closely approximates a blackbody and is maintained at a temperature of $T_3 = 400$ K. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer at each surface during steady operation and explain how these surfaces can be maintained at specified temperatures.

SOLUTION The surfaces of a cylindrical furnace are maintained at uniform temperatures. The net rate of radiation heat transfer at each surface during steady operation is to be determined.

Assumptions **1** Steady operating conditions exist. **2** The surfaces are opaque, diffuse, and gray. **3** Convection heat transfer is not considered.

Analysis We will solve this problem systematically using the direct method to demonstrate its use. The cylindrical furnace can be considered to be a three-surface enclosure with surface areas of

$$A_1 = A_2 = \pi r_o^2 = \pi(1 \text{ m})^2 = 3.14 \text{ m}^2$$
$$A_3 = 2\pi r_o H = 2\pi(1 \text{ m})(1 \text{ m}) = 6.28 \text{ m}^2$$

The view factor from the base to the top surface is, from Fig. 22–7, $F_{12} = 0.38$. Then the view factor from the base to the side surface is determined by applying the summation rule to be

$$F_{11} + F_{12} + F_{13} = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad F_{13} = 1 - F_{11} - F_{12} = 1 - 0 - 0.38 = 0.62$$

since the base surface is flat and thus $F_{11} = 0$. Noting that the top and bottom surfaces are symmetric about the side surface, $F_{21} = F_{12} = 0.38$ and $F_{23} = F_{13} = 0.62$. The view factor F_{31} is determined from the reciprocity relation,

$$A_1F_{13} = A_3F_{31} \quad \rightarrow \quad F_{31} = F_{13}(A_1/A_3) = (0.62)(0.314/0.628) = 0.31$$

Also, $F_{32} = F_{31} = 0.31$ because of symmetry. Now that all the view factors are available, we apply Eq. 22–35 to each surface to determine the radiosities:

$$\textit{Top surface } (i = 1)\text{:} \quad \sigma T_1^4 = J_1 + \frac{1 - \varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1}\left[F_{1\rightarrow 2}(J_1 - J_2) + F_{1\rightarrow 3}(J_1 - J_3)\right]$$

$$\textit{Bottom surface } (i = 2)\text{:} \quad \sigma T_2^4 = J_2 + \frac{1 - \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2}\left[F_{2\rightarrow 1}(J_2 - J_1) + F_{2\rightarrow 3}(J_2 - J_3)\right]$$

$$\textit{Side surface } (i = 3)\text{:} \quad \sigma T_3^4 = J_3 + 0 \text{ (since surface 3 is black and thus } \varepsilon_3 = 1)$$

Substituting the known quantities,

$$(5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}^4)(700 \text{ K})^4 = J_1 + \frac{1 - 0.8}{0.8}\left[0.38(J_1 - J_2) + 0.62(J_1 - J_3)\right]$$

$$(5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}^4)(500 \text{ K})^4 = J_2 + \frac{1 - 0.4}{0.4}\left[0.38(J_2 - J_1) + 0.62(J_2 - J_3)\right]$$

$$(5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}^4)(400 \text{ K})^4 = J_3$$

Solving these equations for J_1, J_2, and J_3 gives

$$J_1 = 11{,}418 \text{ W/m}^2,\ J_2 = 4562 \text{ W/m}^2, \quad \text{and} \quad J_3 = 1452 \text{ W/m}^2$$

Then the net rates of radiation heat transfer at the three surfaces are determined from Eq. 22–34 to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{Q}_1 &= A_1[F_{1\to 2}(J_1 - J_2) + F_{1\to 3}(J_1 - J_3)] \\
&= (3.14 \text{ m}^2)[0.38(11{,}418 - 4562) + 0.62(11{,}418 - 1452)] \text{ W/m}^2 \\
&= \mathbf{27.6 \times 10^3\ W = 27.6\ kW} \\
\dot{Q}_2 &= A_2[F_{2\to 1}(J_2 - J_1) + F_{2\to 3}(J_2 - J_3)] \\
&= (3.12 \text{ m}^2)[0.38(4562 - 11{,}418) + 0.62(4562 - 1452)] \text{ W/m}^2 \\
&= \mathbf{-2.13 \times 10^3\ W = -2.13\ kW} \\
\dot{Q}_3 &= A_3[F_{3\to 1}(J_3 - J_1) + F_{3\to 2}(J_3 - J_2)] \\
&= (6.28 \text{ m}^2)[0.31(1452 - 11{,}418) + 0.31(1452 - 4562)] \text{ W/m}^2 \\
&= \mathbf{-25.5 \times 10^3\ W = -25.5\ kW}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the direction of net radiation heat transfer is *from* the top surface *to* the base and side surfaces, and the algebraic sum of these three quantities must be equal to zero. That is,

$$\dot{Q}_1 + \dot{Q}_2 + \dot{Q}_3 = 27.6 + (-2.13) + (-25.5) \cong 0$$

Discussion To maintain the surfaces at the specified temperatures, we must supply heat to the top surface continuously at a rate of 27.6 kW while removing 2.13 kW from the base and 25.5 kW from the side surfaces.

The direct method presented here is straightforward, and it does not require the evaluation of radiation resistances. Also, it can be applied to enclosures with any number of surfaces in the same manner.

EXAMPLE 22–9 Radiation Heat Transfer in a Triangular Furnace

A furnace is shaped like a long equilateral triangular duct, as shown in Fig. 22–28. The width of each side is 1 m. The base surface has an emissivity of 0.7 and is maintained at a uniform temperature of 600 K. The heated left-side surface closely approximates a blackbody at 1000 K. The right-side surface is well insulated. Determine the rate at which heat must be supplied to the heated side externally per unit length of the duct in order to maintain these operating conditions.



FIGURE 22–28
The triangular furnace considered in Example 22–9.

SOLUTION Two of the surfaces of a long equilateral triangular furnace are maintained at uniform temperatures while the third surface is insulated. The external rate of heat transfer to the heated side per unit length of the duct during steady operation is to be determined.

Assumptions **1** Steady operating conditions exist. **2** The surfaces are opaque, diffuse, and gray. **3** Convection heat transfer is not considered.

Analysis The furnace can be considered to be a three-surface enclosure with a radiation network as shown in the figure, since the duct is very long and thus the end effects are negligible. We observe that the view factor from any surface to any other surface in the enclosure is 0.5 because of symmetry. Surface 3 is a reradiating surface since the net rate of heat transfer at that surface is zero. Then we must have $\dot{Q}_1 = -\dot{Q}_2$, since the entire heat lost by surface 1 must be gained by surface 2. The radiation network in this case is a simple series–parallel connection, and we can determine $\dot{Q}_1$ directly from

$$\dot{Q}_1 = \frac{E_{b1} - E_{b2}}{R_1 + \left(\dfrac{1}{R_{12}} + \dfrac{1}{R_{13} + R_{23}}\right)^{-1}} = \frac{E_{b1} - E_{b2}}{\dfrac{1-\varepsilon_1}{A_1\varepsilon_1} + \left(A_1F_{12} + \dfrac{1}{1/A_1F_{13} + 1/A_2F_{23}}\right)^{-1}}$$

where

$$A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = wL = 1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m} = 1 \text{ m}^2 \qquad \text{(per unit length of the duct)}$$
$$F_{12} = F_{13} = F_{23} = 0.5 \qquad \text{(symmetry)}$$
$$E_{b1} = \sigma T_1^4 = (5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}^4)(600 \text{ K})^4 = 7348 \text{ W/m}^2$$
$$E_{b2} = \sigma T_2^4 = (5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}^4)(1000 \text{ K})^4 = 56{,}700 \text{ W/m}^2$$

Substituting,

$$\dot{Q}_1 = \frac{(56{,}700 - 7348) \text{ W/m}^2}{\dfrac{1-0.7}{0.7 \times 1 \text{ m}^2} + \left[(0.5 \times 1 \text{ m}^2) + \dfrac{1}{1/(0.5 \times 1 \text{ m}^2) + 1/(0.5 \times 1 \text{ m}^2)}\right]^{-1}}$$
$$= \mathbf{28.0 \times 10^3 = 28.0 \text{ kW}}$$

Therefore, heat at a rate of 28 kW must be supplied to the heated surface per unit length of the duct to maintain steady operation in the furnace.



FIGURE 22–29
Schematic for Example 22–10.

EXAMPLE 22–10 Heat Transfer through a Tubular Solar Collector

A solar collector consists of a horizontal aluminum tube having an outer diameter of 2 in enclosed in a concentric thin glass tube of 4-in diameter, as shown in Fig. 22–29. Water is heated as it flows through the tube, and the space between the aluminum and the glass tubes is filled with air at 1 atm pressure. The pump circulating the water fails during a clear day, and the water temperature in the tube starts rising. The aluminum tube absorbs solar radiation at a rate of 30 Btu/h per foot length, and the temperature of the ambient air outside is 70°F. The emissivities of the tube and the glass cover are 0.95 and 0.9, respectively. Taking the effective sky temperature to be 50°F, determine the temperature of the aluminum tube when steady operating conditions are established (i.e., when the rate of heat loss from the tube equals the amount of solar energy gained by the tube).

SOLUTION The circulating pump of a solar collector that consists of a horizontal tube and its glass cover fails. The equilibrium temperature of the tube is to be determined.

Assumptions **1** Steady operating conditions exist. **2** The tube and its cover are isothermal. **3** Air is an ideal gas. **4** The surfaces are opaque, diffuse, and gray for infrared radiation. **5** The glass cover is transparent to solar radiation.

Properties The properties of air should be evaluated at the average temperature. But we do not know the exit temperature of the air in the duct, and thus we cannot determine the bulk fluid and glass cover temperatures at this point, and thus we cannot evaluate the average temperatures. Therefore, we will assume the glass temperature to be 110°F, and use properties at an anticipated average temperature of (70 + 110)/2 = 90°F (Table A–22E),

$$k = 0.01505 \text{ Btu/h} \cdot \text{ft} \cdot {}^\circ\text{F} \qquad \text{Pr} = 0.7275$$

$$\upsilon = 0.6310 \text{ ft}^2/\text{h} = 1.753 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ft}^2/\text{s} \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{T_{\text{ave}}} = \frac{1}{550 \text{ R}}$$

Analysis This problem was solved in Chap. 9 by disregarding radiation heat transfer. Now we will repeat the solution by considering natural convection and radiation occurring simultaneously.

We have a horizontal cylindrical enclosure filled with air at 1 atm pressure. The problem involves heat transfer from the aluminum tube to the glass cover and from the outer surface of the glass cover to the surrounding ambient air. When steady operation is reached, these two heat transfer rates must equal the rate of heat gain. That is,

$$\dot{Q}_{\text{tube-glass}} = \dot{Q}_{\text{glass-ambient}} = \dot{Q}_{\text{solar gain}} = 30 \text{ Btu/h} \qquad \text{(per foot of tube)}$$

The heat transfer surface area of the glass cover is

$$A_o = A_{\text{glass}} = (\pi D_o L) = \pi(4/12 \text{ ft})(1 \text{ ft}) = 1.047 \text{ ft}^2 \qquad \text{(per foot of tube)}$$

To determine the Rayleigh number, we need to know the surface temperature of the glass, which is not available. Therefore, it is clear that the solution will require a trial-and-error approach. Assuming the glass cover temperature to be 110°F, the Rayleigh number, the Nusselt number, the convection heat transfer coefficient, and the rate of natural convection heat transfer from the glass cover to the ambient air are determined to be

$$\text{Ra}_{D_o} = \frac{g\beta(T_o - T_\infty)\, D_o^3}{\upsilon^2}\text{Pr}$$

$$= \frac{(32.2 \text{ ft/s}^2)[1/(550 \text{ R})](110 - 70 \text{ R})(4/12 \text{ ft})^3}{(1.753 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ft}^2/\text{s})^2}(0.7275) = 2.054 \times 10^6$$

$$\text{Nu} = \left\{0.6 + \frac{0.387\,\text{Ra}_{D_o}^{1/6}}{[1 + (0.559/\text{Pr})^{9/16}]^{8/27}}\right\}^2 = \left\{0.6 + \frac{0.387(2.054 \times 10^6)^{1/6}}{[1 + (0.559/0.7275)^{9/16}]^{8/27}}\right\}^2$$

$$= 17.89$$

$$h_o = \frac{k}{D_o}\text{Nu} = \frac{0.01505\ \text{Btu/h}\cdot\text{ft}\cdot{}^\circ\text{F}}{4/12\ \text{ft}}(17.89) = 0.8075\ \text{Btu/h}\cdot\text{ft}^2\cdot{}^\circ\text{F}$$

$$\dot{Q}_{o,\,\text{conv}} = h_o A_o(T_o - T_\infty) = (0.8075\ \text{Btu/h}\cdot\text{ft}^2\cdot{}^\circ\text{F})(1.047\ \text{ft}^2)(110 - 70)^\circ\text{F}$$
$$= 33.8\ \text{Btu/h}$$

Also,

$$\dot{Q}_{o,\,\text{rad}} = \varepsilon_o \sigma A_o(T_o^4 - T_{\text{sky}}^4)$$
$$= (0.9)(0.1714 \times 10^{-8}\ \text{Btu/h}\cdot\text{ft}^2\cdot\text{R}^4)(1.047\ \text{ft}^2)[(570\ \text{R})^4 - (510\ \text{R})^4]$$
$$= 61.2\ \text{Btu/h}$$

Then the total rate of heat loss from the glass cover becomes

$$\dot{Q}_{o,\,\text{total}} = \dot{Q}_{o,\,\text{conv}} + \dot{Q}_{o,\,\text{rad}} = 33.8 + 61.2 = 95.0\ \text{Btu/h}$$

which is much larger than 30 Btu/h. Therefore, the assumed temperature of 110°F for the glass cover is high. Repeating the calculations with lower temperatures (including the evaluation of properties), the glass cover temperature corresponding to 30 Btu/h is determined to be 78°F (it would be 106°F if radiation were ignored).

The temperature of the aluminum tube is determined in a similar manner using the natural convection and radiation relations for two horizontal concentric cylinders. The characteristic length in this case is the distance between the two cylinders, which is

$$L_c = (D_o - D_i)/2 = (4 - 2)/2 = 1\ \text{in} = 1/12\ \text{ft}$$

Also,

$$A_i = A_{\text{tube}} = (\pi D_i L) = \pi(2/12\ \text{ft})(1\ \text{ft}) = 0.5236\ \text{ft}^2 \qquad \text{(per foot of tube)}$$

We start the calculations by assuming the tube temperature to be 122°F, and thus an average temperature of (78 + 122)/2 = 100°F = 640 R. Using properties at 100°F,

$$\text{Ra}_L = \frac{g\beta(T_i - T_o)L_c^3}{\upsilon^2}\text{Pr}$$
$$= \frac{(32.2\ \text{ft/s}^2)[1/(640\ \text{R})](122 - 78\ \text{R})(1/12\ \text{ft})^3}{(1.809 \times 10^{-4}\ \text{ft}^2/\text{s})^2}(0.726) = 3.249 \times 10^4$$

The effective thermal conductivity is

$$F_{\text{cyc}} = \frac{[\ln(D_o/D_i)]^4}{L_c^3\,(D_i^{-3/5} + D_o^{-3/5})^5}$$
$$= \frac{[\ln(4/2)]^4}{(1/12\ \text{ft})^3\,[(2/12\ \text{ft})^{-3/5} + (4/12\ \text{ft})^{-3/5}]^5} = 0.1466$$

$$k_{\text{eff}} = 0.386k\left(\frac{\text{Pr}}{0.861 + \text{Pr}}\right)^{1/4}(F_{\text{cyc}}\text{Ra}_L)^{1/4}$$
$$= 0.386(0.01529\ \text{Btu/h}\cdot\text{ft}\cdot{}^\circ\text{F})\left(\frac{0.726}{0.861 + 0.726}\right)(0.1466 \times 3.249 \times 10^4)^{1/4}$$
$$= 0.04032\ \text{Btu/h}\cdot\text{ft}\cdot{}^\circ\text{F}$$

Then the rate of heat transfer between the cylinders by convection becomes

$$\dot{Q}_{i,\text{ conv}} = \frac{2\pi k_{\text{eff}}}{\ln(D_o/D_i)}(T_i - T_o)$$
$$= \frac{2\pi(0.04032 \text{ Btu/h} \cdot \text{ft °F})}{\ln(4/2)}(122 - 78)\text{°F} = 16.1 \text{ Btu/h}$$

Also,

$$\dot{Q}_{i,\text{ rad}} = \frac{\sigma A_i (T_i^4 - T_o^4)}{\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_i} + \dfrac{1-\varepsilon_o}{\varepsilon_o}\left(\dfrac{D_i}{D_o}\right)}$$
$$= \frac{(0.1714 \times 10^{-8} \text{ Btu/h} \cdot \text{ft}^2 \cdot \text{R}^4)(0.5236 \text{ ft}^2)[(582 \text{ R})^4 - (538 \text{ R})^4]}{\dfrac{1}{0.95} + \dfrac{1-0.9}{0.9}\left(\dfrac{2 \text{ in}}{4 \text{ in}}\right)}$$
$$= 25.1 \text{ Btu/h}$$

Then the total rate of heat loss from the glass cover becomes

$$\dot{Q}_{i,\text{ total}} = \dot{Q}_{i,\text{ conv}} + \dot{Q}_{i,\text{ rad}} = 16.1 + 25.1 = 41.1 \text{ Btu/h}$$

which is larger than 30 Btu/h. Therefore, the assumed temperature of 122°F for the tube is high. By trying other values, the tube temperature corresponding to 30 Btu/h is determined to be **112°F** (it would be 180°F if radiation were ignored). Therefore, the tube will reach an equilibrium temperature of 112°F when the pump fails.

Discussion It is clear from the results obtained that radiation should always be considered in systems that are heated or cooled by natural convection, unless the surfaces involved are polished and thus have very low emissivities.

22–5 ▪ RADIATION SHIELDS AND THE RADIATION EFFECTS

Radiation heat transfer between two surfaces can be reduced greatly by inserting a thin, high-reflectivity (low-emissivity) sheet of material between the two surfaces. Such highly reflective thin plates or shells are called **radiation shields.** Multilayer radiation shields constructed of about 20 sheets per cm thickness separated by evacuated space are commonly used in cryogenic and space applications. Radiation shields are also used in temperature measurements of fluids to reduce the error caused by the radiation effect when the temperature sensor is exposed to surfaces that are much hotter or colder than the fluid itself. The role of the radiation shield is to reduce the rate of radiation heat transfer by placing additional resistances in the path of radiation heat flow. The lower the emissivity of the shield, the higher the resistance.

Radiation heat transfer between two large parallel plates of emissivities ε_1 and ε_2 maintained at uniform temperatures T_1 and T_2 is given by Eq. 22–38:



FIGURE 22–30
The radiation shield placed between two parallel plates and the radiation network associated with it.

$$\dot{Q}_{12,\text{ no shield}} = \frac{A\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_2} - 1}$$

Now consider a radiation shield placed between these two plates, as shown in Figure 22–30. Let the emissivities of the shield facing plates 1 and 2 be $\varepsilon_{3,1}$ and $\varepsilon_{3,2}$, respectively. Note that the emissivity of different surfaces of the shield may be different. The radiation network of this geometry is constructed, as usual, by drawing a surface resistance associated with each surface and connecting these surface resistances with space resistances, as shown in the figure. The resistances are connected in series, and thus the rate of radiation heat transfer is

$$\dot{Q}_{12,\text{ one shield}} = \frac{E_{b1} - E_{b2}}{\dfrac{1-\varepsilon_1}{A_1\,\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1}{A_1\,F_{12}} + \dfrac{1-\varepsilon_{3,1}}{A_3\,\varepsilon_{3,1}} + \dfrac{1-\varepsilon_{3,2}}{A_3\,\varepsilon_{3,2}} + \dfrac{1}{A_3\,F_{32}} + \dfrac{1-\varepsilon_2}{A_2\,\varepsilon_2}} \qquad \textbf{(22-42)}$$

Noting that $F_{13} = F_{23} = 1$ and $A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = A$ for infinite parallel plates, Eq. 12–42 simplifies to

$$\dot{Q}_{12,\text{ one shield}} = \frac{A\pi(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\left(\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_2} - 1\right) + \left(\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_{3,1}} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_{3,2}} - 1\right)} \qquad \textbf{(22-43)}$$

where the terms in the second set of parentheses in the denominator represent the additional resistance to radiation introduced by the shield. The appearance of the equation above suggests that parallel plates involving multiple radiation shields can be handled by adding a group of terms like those in the second set of parentheses to the denominator for each radiation shield. Then the radiation heat transfer through large parallel plates separated by N radiation shields becomes

$$\dot{Q}_{12,\ N\text{ shields}} = \frac{A\pi(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\left(\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_2} - 1\right) + \left(\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_{3,1}} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_{3,2}} - 1\right) + \cdots + \left(\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_{N,1}} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_{N,2}} - 1\right)} \qquad \textbf{(22-44)}$$

If the emissivities of all surfaces are equal, Eq. 12–44 reduces to

$$\dot{Q}_{12,\, N\,\text{shields}} = \frac{A\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{(N+1)\left(\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon} - 1\right)} = \frac{1}{N+1}\dot{Q}_{12,\,\text{no shield}} \qquad \textbf{(22-45)}$$

Therefore, when all emissivities are equal, 1 shield reduces the rate of radiation heat transfer to one-half, 9 shields reduce it to one-tenth, and 19 shields reduce it to one-twentieth (or 5 percent) of what it was when there were no shields.

The equilibrium temperature of the radiation shield T_3 in Figure 22–30 can be determined by expressing Eq. 22–43 for $\dot{Q}_{13}$ or $\dot{Q}_{23}$ (which involves T_3) after evaluating $\dot{Q}_{12}$ from Eq. 22–43 and noting that $\dot{Q}_{12} = \dot{Q}_{13} = \dot{Q}_{23}$ when steady conditions are reached.

Radiation shields used to reduce the rate of radiation heat transfer between concentric cylinders and spheres can be handled in a similar manner. In case of one shield, Eq. 22–42 can be used by taking $F_{13} = F_{23} = 1$ for both cases and by replacing the A's by the proper area relations.

Radiation Effect on Temperature Measurements

A temperature measuring device indicates the temperature of its *sensor,* which is supposed to be, but is not necessarily, the temperature of the medium that the sensor is in. When a thermometer (or any other temperature measuring device such as a thermocouple) is placed in a medium, heat transfer takes place between the sensor of the thermometer and the medium by convection until the sensor reaches the temperature of the medium. But when the sensor is surrounded by surfaces that are at a different temperature than the fluid, radiation exchange will take place between the sensor and the surrounding surfaces. When the heat transfers by convection and radiation balance each other, the sensor will indicate a temperature that falls between the fluid and surface temperatures. Below we develop a procedure to account for the radiation effect and to determine the actual fluid temperature.

Consider a thermometer that is used to measure the temperature of a fluid flowing through a large channel whose walls are at a lower temperature than the fluid (Fig. 22–31). Equilibrium will be established and the reading of the thermometer will stabilize when heat gain by convection, as measured by the sensor, equals heat loss by radiation (or vice versa). That is, on a unit-area basis,

$$\dot{q}_{\text{conv, to sensor}} = \dot{q}_{\text{rad, from sensor}}$$
$$h(T_f - T_{\text{th}}) = \varepsilon_{\text{th}}\sigma(T_{\text{th}}^4 - T_w^4)$$

or

$$T_f = T_{\text{th}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{th}}\,\sigma(T_{\text{th}}^4 - T_w^4)}{h} \qquad \text{(K)} \qquad \textbf{(22-46)}$$



FIGURE 22–31
A thermometer used to measure the temperature of a fluid in a channel.

where

T_f = actual temperature of the fluid, K
T_{th} = temperature value measured by the thermometer, K
T_w = temperature of the surrounding surfaces, K
h = convection heat transfer coefficient, $W/m^2 \cdot K$
ε = emissivity of the sensor of the thermometer

The last term in Eq. 22–46 is due to the *radiation effect* and represents the *radiation correction.* Note that the radiation correction term is most significant when the convection heat transfer coefficient is small and the emissivity of the surface of the sensor is large. Therefore, the sensor should be coated with a material of high reflectivity (low emissivity) to reduce the radiation effect.

Placing the sensor in a radiation shield without interfering with the fluid flow also reduces the radiation effect. The sensors of temperature measurement devices used outdoors must be protected from direct sunlight since the radiation effect in that case is sure to reach unacceptable levels.

The radiation effect is also a significant factor in *human comfort* in heating and air-conditioning applications. A person who feels fine in a room at a specified temperature may feel chilly in another room at the same temperature as a result of the radiation effect if the walls of the second room are at a considerably lower temperature. For example, most people will feel comfortable in a room at 22°C if the walls of the room are also roughly at that temperature. When the wall temperature drops to 5°C for some reason, the interior temperature of the room must be raised to at least 27°C to maintain the same level of comfort. Therefore, well-insulated buildings conserve energy not only by reducing the heat loss or heat gain, but also by allowing the thermostats to be set at a lower temperature in winter and at a higher temperature in summer without compromising the comfort level.



FIGURE 22–32
Schematic for Example 22–11.

EXAMPLE 22–11 Radiation Shields

A thin aluminum sheet with an emissivity of 0.1 on both sides is placed between two very large parallel plates that are maintained at uniform temperatures T_1 = 800 K and T_2 = 500 K and have emissivities ε_1 = 0.2 and ε_2 = 0.7, respectively, as shown in Fig. 22–32. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two plates per unit surface area of the plates and compare the result to that without the shield.

SOLUTION A thin aluminum sheet is placed between two large parallel plates maintained at uniform temperatures. The net rates of radiation heat transfer between the two plates with and without the radiation shield are to be determined.

Assumptions The surfaces are opaque, diffuse, and gray.

Analysis The net rate of radiation heat transfer between these two plates without the shield was determined in Example 22–7 to be 3625 W/m^2. Heat transfer in the presence of one shield is determined from Eq. 22–43 to be

$$\dot{q}_{12,\text{ one shield}} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{12,\text{ one shield}}}{A} = \frac{\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{3,1}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{3,2}} - 1\right)}$$

$$= \frac{(5.67 \times 10^{-8}\text{ W/m}^2 \text{ ? K}^4)[(800\text{ K})^4 - (500\text{ K})^4]}{\left(\frac{1}{0.2} + \frac{1}{0.7} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{0.1} + \frac{1}{0.1} - 1\right)}$$

$$= \mathbf{806\ W/m^2}$$

Discussion Note that the rate of radiation heat transfer reduces to about one-fourth of what it was as a result of placing a radiation shield between the two parallel plates.

EXAMPLE 22–12 Radiation Effect on Temperature Measurements

A thermocouple used to measure the temperature of hot air flowing in a duct whose walls are maintained at $T_w = 400$ K shows a temperature reading of $T_{\text{th}} = 650$ K (Fig. 22–33). Assuming the emissivity of the thermocouple junction to be $\varepsilon = 0.6$ and the convection heat transfer coefficient to be $h = 80\text{ W/m}^2 \cdot °\text{C}$, determine the actual temperature of the air.

SOLUTION The temperature of air in a duct is measured. The radiation effect on the temperature measurement is to be quantified, and the actual air temperature is to be determined.

Assumptions The surfaces are opaque, diffuse, and gray.

Analysis The walls of the duct are at a considerably lower temperature than the air in it, and thus we expect the thermocouple to show a reading lower than the actual air temperature as a result of the radiation effect. The actual air temperature is determined from Eq. 22–46 to be

$$T_f = T_{\text{th}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{th}}\,\sigma(T_{\text{th}}^4 - T_w^4)}{h}$$

$$= (650\text{ K}) + \frac{0.6 \times (5.67 \times 10^{-8}\text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}^4)[(650\text{ K})^4 - (400\text{ K})^4]}{80\text{ W/m}^2 \cdot °\text{C}}$$

$$= \mathbf{715\ K}$$

Note that the radiation effect causes a difference of 65°C (or 65 K since °C ≡ K for temperature differences) in temperature reading in this case.



FIGURE 22–33
Schematic for Example 22–12.

SUMMARY

Radiaton heat transfer between surfaces depends on the orientation of the surfaces relative to each other, the effects of orientation are accounted for by the geometric parameter *view factor*. The *view factor* from a surface i to a surface j is denoted by $F_{i \to j}$ or F_{ij}, and is defined as the fraction of the radiation leaving surface i that strikes surface j directly. The view factors between differential and finite surfaces are expressed as *differential view factor* $dF_{dA_1 \to dA_2}$ is expressed as

$$dF_{dA_1 \to dA_2} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{dA_1 \to dA_2}}{\dot{Q}_{dA_1}} = \frac{\cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2}{\pi r^2} dA_2$$

$$F_{dA_1 \to A_2} = \int_{A_2} \frac{\cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2}{\pi r^2} dA_2$$

$$F_{12} = F_{A_1 \to A_2} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{A_1 \to A_2}}{\dot{Q}_{A_1}} = \frac{1}{A_1}\int_{A_2}\int_{A_1} \frac{\cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2}{\pi r^2} dA_1\, dA_2$$

where r is the distance between dA_1 and dA_2, and θ_1 and θ_2 are the angles between the normals of the surfaces and the line that connects dA_1 and dA_2.

The view factor $F_{i \to i}$ represents the fraction of the radiation leaving surface i that strikes itself directly; $F_{i \to i} = 0$ for *plane* or *convex* surfaces and $F_{i \to i} \neq 0$ for *concave* surfaces. For view factors, the *reciprocity rule* is expressed as

$$A_i F_{i \to j} = A_j F_{j \to i}$$

The sum of the view factors from surface i of an enclosure to all surfaces of the enclosure, including to itself, must equal unity. This is known as the *summation rule* for an enclosure. The *superposition rule* is expressed as the view factor from a surface i to a surface j is equal to the sum of the view factors from surface i to the parts of surface j. The symmetry rule is expressed as if the surfaces j and k are symmetric about the surface i then $F_{i \to j} = F_{i \to k}$.

The rate of net radiation heat transfer between two *black* surfaces is determined from

$$\dot{Q}_{1 \to 2} = A_1 F_{1 \to 2}\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4) \qquad \text{(W)}$$

The *net* radiation heat transfer from any surface i of a *black* enclosure is determined by adding up the net radiation heat transfers from surface i to each of the surfaces of the enclosure:

$$\dot{Q}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \dot{Q}_{i \to j} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_i F_{i \to j}\,\sigma(T_i^4 - T_j^4) \qquad \text{(W)}$$

The total radiation energy leaving a surface per unit time and per unit area is called the *radiosity* and is denoted by J. The *net* rate of radiation heat transfer from a surface i of surface area A_i is expressed as

$$\dot{Q}_i = \frac{E_{bi} - J_i}{R_i} \qquad \text{(W)}$$

where

$$R_i = \frac{1 - \varepsilon_i}{A_i\,\varepsilon_i}$$

is the *surface resistance* to radiation. The *net* rate of radiation heat transfer from surface i to surface j can be expressed as

$$\dot{Q}_{i \to j} = \frac{J_i - J_j}{R_{i \to j}} \qquad \text{(W)}$$

where

$$R_{i \to j} = \frac{1}{A_i F_{i \to j}}$$

is the *space resistance* to radiation. The *network method* is applied to radiation enclosure problems by drawing a surface resistance associated with each surface of an enclosure and connecting them with space resistances. Then the problem is solved by treating it as an electrical network problem where the radiation heat transfer replaces the current and the radiosity replaces the potential. The *direct method* is based on the following two equations:

Surfaces with specified net heat transfer rate $\dot{Q}_i$ $\qquad \dot{Q}_i = A_i \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{i \to j}(J_i - J_j)$

Surfaces with specified temperature T_i $\qquad \sigma T_i^4 = J_i + \frac{1 - \varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon_i}\sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{i \to j}(J_i - J_j)$

The first group (for surfaces with specified heat transfer rates) and the second group (for surfaces with specified temperatures) of equations give N linear algebraic equations for the determination of the N unknown radiosities for an N-surface enclosure. Once the radiosities $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_N$ are available, the unknown surface temperatures and heat transfer rates can be determined from the equations just shown.

The net rate of radiation transfer between any two gray, diffuse, opaque surfaces that form an enclosure is given by

$$\dot{Q}_{12} = \frac{\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\dfrac{1 - \varepsilon_1}{A_1\,\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1}{A_1 F_{12}} + \dfrac{1 - \varepsilon_2}{A_2\,\varepsilon_2}} \qquad \text{(W)}$$

Radiation heat transfer between two surfaces can be reduced greatly by inserting between the two surfaces thin, high-reflectivity (low-emissivity) sheets of material called *radiation shields.* Radiation heat transfer between two large parallel plates separated by N radiation shields is

$$\dot{Q}_{12,\,N\,\text{shields}} = \frac{A\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\left(\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_2} - 1\right) + \left(\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_{3,1}} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_{3,2}} - 1\right) + \cdots + \left(\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_{N,1}} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_{N,2}} - 1\right)}$$

The radiation effect in temperature measurements can be properly accounted for by the relation

$$T_f = T_{\text{th}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{th}}\,\sigma(T_{\text{th}}^4 - T_w^4)}{h} \qquad \text{(K)}$$

where T_f is the actual temperature of the fluid, T_{th} is the temperature value measured by the thermometer, and T_w is the temperature of the surrounding walls, all in K.

REFERENCES AND SUGGESTED READINGS

1. D. C. Hamilton and W. R. Morgan. "Radiation Interchange Configuration Factors." National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Technical Note 2836, 1952.
2. J. P. Holman. *Heat Transfer.* 9th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2002.
3. H. C. Hottel. "Radiant Heat Transmission." In *Heat Transmission,* ed. W. H. McAdams. 3rd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1954.
4. J. R. Howell. *A Catalog of Radiation Configuration Factors.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 1982.
5. F. P. Incropera and D. P. DeWitt. *Introduction to Heat Transfer.* 4th ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
6. F. Kreith and M. S. Bohn. *Principles of Heat Transfer.* 6th ed. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole, 2001.
7. M. F. Modest. *Radiative Heat Transfer.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 1993.
8. A. K. Oppenheim. "Radiation Analysis by the Network Method." *Transactions of the ASME* 78 (1956), pp. 725–735.
9. R. Siegel and J. R. Howell. *Thermal Radiation Heat Transfer.* 3rd ed. Washington, DC: Hemisphere, 1992.

PROBLEMS*

The View Factor

22–1C What does the view factor represent? When is the view factor from a surface to itself not zero?

22–2C How can you determine the view factor F_{12} when the view factor F_{21} and the surface areas are available?

22–3C What are the summation rule and the superposition rule for view factors?

22–4C What is the crossed-strings method? For what kind of geometries is the crossed-strings method applicable?

22–5 Consider an enclosure consisting of six surfaces. How many view factors does this geometry involve? How many of these view factors can be determined by the application of the reciprocity and the summation rules?

22–6 Consider an enclosure consisting of five surfaces. How many view factors does this geometry involve? How many of these view factors can be determined by the application of the reciprocity and summation rules?

22–7 Consider an enclosure consisting of 12 surfaces. How many view factors does this geometry involve? How many of these view factors can be determined by the application of the reciprocity and the summation rules? *Answers:* 144, 78

22–8 Determine the view factors F_{13} and F_{23} between the rectangular surfaces shown in Fig. P22–8.



FIGURE P22–8

*Problems designated by a "C" are concept questions, and students are encouraged to answer them all. Problems designated by an "E" are in English units, and the SI users can ignore them. Problems with a CD-EES icon are solved using EES, and complete solutions together with parametric studies are included on the enclosed CD. Problems with a computer-EES icon are comprehensive in nature, and are intended to be solved with a computer, preferably using the EES software that accompanies this text.



FIGURE P22–11
(*a*) Semicylindrical duct.
(*b*) Triangular duct.
(*c*) Rectangular duct.



(*a*) (*b*) (*c*)

FIGURE P22–12
(*a*) Semicylindrical groove.
(*b*) Triangular groove.
(*c*) Rectangular groove.

22–9 Consider a cylindrical enclosure whose height is twice the diameter of its base. Determine the view factor from the side surface of this cylindrical enclosure to its base surface.

22–10 Consider a hemispherical furnace with a flat circular base of diameter D. Determine the view factor from the dome of this furnace to its base. *Answer:* 0.5

22–11 Determine the view factors F_{12} and F_{21} for the very long ducts shown in Fig. P22–11 without using any view factor tables or charts. Neglect end effects.

22–12 Determine the view factors from the very long grooves shown in Fig. P22–12 to the surroundings without using any view factor tables or charts. Neglect end effects.

22–13 Determine the view factors from the base of a cube to each of the other five surfaces.

22–14 Consider a conical enclosure of height h and base diameter D. Determine the view factor from the conical side surface to a hole of diameter d located at the center of the base.



FIGURE P22–14

22–15 Determine the four view factors associated with an enclosure formed by two very long concentric cylinders of radii r_1 and r_2. Neglect the end effects.

22–16 Determine the view factor F_{12} between the rectangular surfaces shown in Fig. P22–16.

22–17 Two infinitely long parallel cylinders of diameter D are located a distance s apart from each other. Determine the view factor F_{12} between these two cylinders.

22–18 Three infinitely long parallel cylinders of diameter D are located a distance s apart from each other. Determine the



FIGURE P22–16 (*a*) (*b*) (*c*)

view factor between the cylinder in the middle and the surroundings.



FIGURE P22–18

Radiation Heat Transfer between Surfaces

22–19C Why is the radiation analysis of enclosures that consist of black surfaces relatively easy? How is the rate of radiation heat transfer between two surfaces expressed in this case?

22–20C How does radiosity for a surface differ from the emitted energy? For what kind of surfaces are these two quantities identical?

22–21C What are the radiation surface and space resistances? How are they expressed? For what kind of surfaces is the radiation surface resistance zero?

22–22C What are the two methods used in radiation analysis? How do these two methods differ?

22–23C What is a reradiating surface? What simplifications does a reradiating surface offer in the radiation analysis?

22–24E Consider a 10-ft × 10-ft × 10-ft cubical furnace whose top and side surfaces closely approximate black surfaces and whose base surface has an emissivity $\varepsilon = 0.7$. The base, top, and side surfaces of the furnace are maintained at uniform temperatures of 800 R, 1600 R, and 2400 R, respectively. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between (*a*) the base and the side surfaces and (*b*) the base and the top surfaces. Also, determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer to the base surface.

22–25E EES Reconsider Prob. 22–24E. Using EES (or other) software, investigate the effect of base surface emissivity on the net rates of radiation heat transfer between the base and the side surfaces, between the base and top surfaces, and to the base surface. Let the emissivity vary from 0.1 to 0.9. Plot the rates of heat transfer as a function of emissivity, and discuss the results.

22–26 Two very large parallel plates are maintained at uniform temperatures of $T_1 = 600$ K and $T_2 = 400$ K and have emissivities $\varepsilon_1 = 0.5$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 0.9$, respectively. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two surfaces per unit area of the plates.

22–27 EES Reconsider Prob. 22–26. Using EES (or other) software, investigate the effects of the temperature and the emissivity of the hot plate on the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the plates. Let the temperature vary from 500 K to 1000 K and the emissivity from 0.1 to 0.9. Plot the net rate of radiation heat transfer as functions of temperature and emissivity, and discuss the results.

22–28 A furnace is of cylindrical shape with $R = H = 2$ m. The base, top, and side surfaces of the furnace are all black and are maintained at uniform temperatures of 500, 700, and 1200 K, respectively. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer to or from the top surface during steady operation.



FIGURE P22–28

22–29 Consider a hemispherical furnace of diameter $D = 5$ m with a flat base. The dome of the furnace is black, and the base has an emissivity of 0.7. The base and the dome of the furnace are maintained at uniform temperatures of 400 and 1000 K, respectively. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer from the dome to the base surface during steady operation. *Answer:* 759 kW



FIGURE P22–29

22–30 Two very long concentric cylinders of diameters $D_1 = 0.2$ m and $D_2 = 0.5$ m are maintained at uniform temperatures of $T_1 = 950$ K and $T_2 = 500$ K and have emissivities $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 0.7$, respectively. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two cylinders per unit length of the cylinders.

22–31 This experiment is conducted to determine the emissivity of a certain material. A long cylindrical rod of diameter $D_1 = 0.01$ m is coated with this new material and is placed in an evacuated long cylindrical enclosure of diameter $D_2 = 0.1$ m and emissivity $\varepsilon_2 = 0.95$, which is cooled externally and maintained at a temperature of 200 K at all times. The rod is heated by passing electric current through it. When steady operating conditions are reached, it is observed that the rod is dissipating electric power at a rate of 8 W per unit of its length and its surface temperature is 500 K. Based on these measurements, determine the emissivity of the coating on the rod.

22–32E A furnace is shaped like a long semicylindrical duct of diameter $D = 15$ ft. The base and the dome of the furnace have emissivities of 0.5 and 0.9 and are maintained at uniform temperatures of 550 and 1800 R, respectively. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer from the dome to the base surface per unit length during steady operation.



FIGURE P22–32E

22–33 Two parallel disks of diameter $D = 0.6$ m separated by $L = 0.4$ m are located directly on top of each other. Both disks are black and are maintained at a temperature of 700 K. The back sides of the disks are insulated, and the environment that the disks are in can be considered to be a blackbody at $T_\infty = 300$ K. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer from the disks to the environment. *Answer:* 5505 W

22–34 A furnace is shaped like a long equilateral-triangular duct where the width of each side is 2 m. Heat is supplied from the base surface, whose emissivity is $\varepsilon_1 = 0.8$, at a rate of 800 W/m^2 while the side surfaces, whose emissivities are 0.5, are maintained at 500 K. Neglecting the end effects, determine the temperature of the base surface. Can you treat this geometry as a two-surface enclosure?

22–35 Reconsider Prob. 22–34. Using EES (or other) software, investigate the effects of the rate of the heat transfer at the base surface and the temperature of the side surfaces on the temperature of the base surface. Let the rate of heat transfer vary from 500 W/m^2 to 1000 W/m^2 and the temperature from 300 K to 700 K. Plot the temperature of the base surface as functions of the rate of heat transfer and the temperature of the side surfaces, and discuss the results.

22–36 Consider a 4-m × 4-m × 4-m cubical furnace whose floor and ceiling are black and whose side surfaces are reradiating. The floor and the ceiling of the furnace are maintained at temperatures of 550 K and 1100 K, respectively. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the floor and the ceiling of the furnace.

22–37 Two concentric spheres of diameters $D_1 = 0.3$ m and $D_2 = 0.8$ m are maintained at uniform temperatures $T_1 = 700$ K and $T_2 = 400$ K and have emissivities $\varepsilon_1 = 0.5$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 0.7$, respectively. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two spheres. Also, determine the convection heat transfer coefficient at the outer surface if both the surrounding medium and the surrounding surfaces are at 30°C. Assume the emissivity of the outer surface is 0.35.

22–38 A spherical tank of diameter $D = 2$ m that is filled with liquid nitrogen at 100 K is kept in an evacuated cubic enclosure whose sides are 3 m long. The emissivities of the spherical tank and the enclosure are $\varepsilon_1 = 0.1$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 0.8$, respectively. If the temperature of the cubic enclosure is measured to be 240 K, determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer to the liquid nitrogen. *Answer:* 228 W



FIGURE P22–38

22–39 Repeat Prob. 22–38 by replacing the cubic enclosure by a spherical enclosure whose diameter is 3 m.

22–40 Reconsider Prob. 22–38. Using EES (or other) software, investigate the effects of the side length and the emissivity of the cubic enclosure, and the emissivity of the spherical tank on the net rate of radiation heat transfer. Let the side length vary from 2.5 m to 5.0 m and both emissivities from 0.1 to 0.9. Plot the net rate of radiation heat transfer as functions of side length and emissivities, and discuss the results.

22–41 Consider a circular grill whose diameter is 0.3 m. The bottom of the grill is covered with hot coal bricks at 1100 K, while the wire mesh on top of the grill is covered with steaks initially at 5°C. The distance between the coal bricks and the steaks is 0.20 m. Treating both the steaks and the coal bricks as blackbodies, determine the initial rate of radiation heat transfer from the coal bricks to the steaks. Also, determine the initial rate of radiation heat transfer to the steaks if the side opening of the grill is covered by aluminum foil, which can be approximated as a reradiating surface. *Answers:* 1674 W, 3757 W



FIGURE P22–41

22–42E A 19-ft-high room with a base area of 12 ft × 12 ft is to be heated by electric resistance heaters placed on the ceiling, which is maintained at a uniform temperature of 90°F at all times. The floor of the room is at 65°F and has an emissivity of 0.8. The side surfaces are well insulated. Treating the ceiling as a blackbody, determine the rate of heat loss from the room through the floor.

22–43 Consider two rectangular surfaces perpendicular to each other with a common edge which is 1.6 m long. The horizontal surface is 0.8 m wide and the vertical surface is 1.2 m high. The horizontal surface has an emissivity of 0.75 and is maintained at 400 K. The vertical surface is black and is maintained at 550 K. The back sides of the surfaces are insulated. The surrounding surfaces are at 290 K, and can be considered to have an emissivity of 0.85. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfers between the two surfaces, and between the horizontal surface and the surroundings.



FIGURE P22–43

22–44 Two long parallel 16-cm-diameter cylinders are located 50 cm apart from each other. Both cylinders are black, and are maintained at temperatures 425 K and 275 K. The surroundings can be treated as a blackbody at 300 K. For a 1-m-long section of the cylinders, determine the rates of radiation heat transfer between the cylinders and between the hot cylinder and the surroundings.



FIGURE P22–44

22–45 Consider a long semicylindrical duct of diameter 1.0 m. Heat is supplied from the base surface, which is black, at a rate of 1200 W/m^2, while the side surface with an emissivity of 0.4 are is maintained at 650 K. Neglecting the end effects, determine the temperature of the base surface.

22–46 Consider a 20-cm-diameter hemispherical enclosure. The dome is maintained at 600 K and heat is supplied from the dome at a rate of 50 W while the base surface with an emissivity is 0.55 is maintained at 400 K. Determine the emissivity of the dome.

Radiation Shields and the Radiation Effect

22–47C What is a radiation shield? Why is it used?

22–48C What is the radiation effect? How does it influence the temperature measurements?

22–49C Give examples of radiation effects that affect human comfort.

22–50 Consider a person whose exposed surface area is 1.7 m^2, emissivity is 0.85, and surface temperature is 30°C. Determine the rate of heat loss from that person by radiation in a large room whose walls are at a temperature of (*a*) 300 K and (*b*) 280 K.

22–51 A thin aluminum sheet with an emissivity of 0.15 on both sides is placed between two very large parallel plates, which are maintained at uniform temperatures T_1 = 900 K and T_2 = 650 K and have emissivities ε_1 = 0.5 and ε_2 = 0.8, respectively. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two plates per unit surface area of the plates and compare the result with that without the shield.



FIGURE P22–51

22–52 Reconsider Prob. 22–51. Using EES (or other) software, plot the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two plates as a function of the emissivity of the aluminum sheet as the emissivity varies from 0.05 to 0.25, and discuss the results.

22–53 Two very large parallel plates are maintained at uniform temperatures of T_1 = 1000 K and T_2 = 800 K and have emissivities of $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$ = 0.2, respectively. It is desired to reduce the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two plates to one-fifth by placing thin aluminum sheets with an emissivity of 0.15 on both sides between the plates. Determine the number of sheets that need to be inserted.

22–54 Five identical thin aluminum sheets with emissivities of 0.1 on both sides are placed between two very large parallel plates, which are maintained at uniform temperatures of T_1 = 800 K and T_2 = 450 K and have emissivities of $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$ = 0.1, respectively. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two plates per unit surface area of the plates and compare the result to that without the shield.

22–55 Reconsider Prob. 22–54. Using EES (or other) software, investigate the effects of the number of the aluminum sheets and the emissivities of the plates on the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two plates. Let the number of sheets vary from 1 to 10 and the emissivities of the plates from 0.1 to 0.9. Plot the rate of radiation heat transfer as functions of the number of sheets and the emissivities of the plates, and discuss the results.

22–56E Two parallel disks of diameter $D = 3$ ft separated by $L = 2$ ft are located directly on top of each other. The disks are separated by a radiation shield whose emissivity is 0.15. Both disks are black and are maintained at temperatures of 1200 R and 700 R, respectively. The environment that the disks are in can be considered to be a blackbody at 540 R. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer through the shield under steady conditions. *Answer:* 866 Btu/h



FIGURE P22–56E

22–57 A radiation shield that has the same emissivity ε_3 on both sides is placed between two large parallel plates, which are maintained at uniform temperatures of $T_1 = 650$ K and $T_2 = 400$ K and have emissivities of $\varepsilon_1 = 0.6$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 0.9$, respectively. Determine the emissivity of the radiation shield if the radiation heat transfer between the plates is to be reduced to 15 percent of that without the radiation shield.

22–58 Reconsider Prob. 22–57. Using EES (or other) software, investigate the effect of the percent reduction in the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the plates on the emissivity of the radiation shields. Let the percent reduction vary from 40 to 95 percent. Plot the emissivity versus the percent reduction in heat transfer, and discuss the results.

22–59 Two coaxial cylinders of diameters $D_1 = 0.10$ m and $D_2 = 0.30$ m and emissivities $\varepsilon_1 = 0.7$ and $\varepsilon_2 = 0.4$ are maintained at uniform temperatures of $T_1 = 750$ K and $T_2 = 500$ K, respectively. Now a coaxial radiation shield of diameter $D_3 = 0.20$ m and emissivity $\varepsilon_3 = 0.2$ is placed between the two cylinders. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two cylinders per unit length of the cylinders and compare the result with that without the shield.

22–60 Reconsider Prob. 22–59. Using EES (or other) software, investigate the effects of the diameter of the outer cylinder and the emissivity of the radiation shield on the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two cylinders. Let the diameter vary from 0.25 m to 0.50 m and the emissivity from 0.05 to 0.35. Plot the rate of radiation heat transfer as functions of the diameter and the emissivity, and discuss the results.

Review Problems

22–61 A thermocouple used to measure the temperature of hot air flowing in a duct whose walls are maintained at $T_w = 500$ K shows a temperature reading of $T_{th} = 850$ K. Assuming the emissivity of the thermocouple junction to be $\varepsilon = 0.6$ and the convection heat transfer coefficient to be $h = 60$ W/m$^2 \cdot$ °C, determine the actual temperature of air.
Answer: 1111 K



FIGURE P22–61

22–62 A thermocouple shielded by aluminum foil of emissivity 0.15 is used to measure the temperature of hot gases flowing in a duct whose walls are maintained at $T_w = 380$ K. The thermometer shows a temperature reading of $T_{th} = 530$ K. Assuming the emissivity of the thermocouple junction to be $\varepsilon = 0.7$ and the convection heat transfer coefficient to be $h = 120$ W/m$^2 \cdot$ °C, determine the actual temperature of the gas. What would the thermometer reading be if no radiation shield was used?

22–63E Consider a sealed 8-in-high electronic box whose base dimensions are 12 in × 12 in placed in a vacuum chamber. The emissivity of the outer surface of the box is 0.95. If the electronic components in the box dissipate a total of 100 W of power and the outer surface temperature of the box is not to exceed 130°F, determine the highest temperature at which the surrounding surfaces must be kept if this box is to be cooled by radiation alone. Assume the heat transfer from the bottom surface of the box to the stand to be negligible. *Answer:* 43°F



FIGURE P22–63E

22–64 A 2-m-internal-diameter double-walled spherical tank is used to store iced water at 0°C. Each wall is 0.5 cm thick, and the 1.5-cm-thick air space between the two walls of the tank is evacuated in order to minimize heat transfer. The surfaces surrounding the evacuated space are polished so that each surface has an emissivity of 0.15. The temperature of the outer wall of the tank is measured to be 20°C. Assuming the inner wall of the steel tank to be at 0°C, determine (*a*) the rate of heat transfer to the iced water in the tank and (*b*) the amount of ice at 0°C that melts during a 24-h period.



FIGURE P22–64

22–65 Two concentric spheres of diameters D_1 = 15 cm and D_2 = 25 cm are separated by air at 1 atm pressure. The surface temperatures of the two spheres enclosing the air are T_1 = 350 K and T_2 = 275 K, respectively, and their emissivities are 0.5. Determine the rate of heat transfer from the inner sphere to the outer sphere by (*a*) natural convection and (*b*) radiation.

22–66 Consider a 1.5-m-high and 3-m-wide solar collector that is tilted at an angle 20° from the horizontal. The distance between the glass cover and the absorber plate is 3 cm, and the back side of the absorber is heavily insulated. The absorber plate and the glass cover are maintained at temperatures of 80°C and 32°C, respectively. The emissivity of the glass surface is 0.9 and that of the absorber plate is 0.8. Determine the rate of heat loss from the absorber plate by natural convection and radiation. *Answers:* 750 W, 1289 W



FIGURE P22–66

22–67E A solar collector consists of a horizontal aluminum tube having an outer diameter of 2.5 in enclosed in a concentric thin glass tube of diameter 5 in. Water is heated as it flows through the tube, and the annular space between the aluminum and the glass tube is filled with air at 0.5 atm pressure. The pump circulating the water fails during a clear day, and the water temperature in the tube starts rising. The aluminum tube absorbs solar radiation at a rate of 30 Btu/h per foot length, and the temperature of the ambient air outside is 75°F. The emissivities of the tube and the glass cover are 0.9. Taking the effective sky temperature to be 60°F, determine the temperature of the aluminum tube when thermal equilibrium is established (i.e., when the rate of heat loss from the tube equals the amount of solar energy gained by the tube).

22–68 A vertical 2-m-high and 3-m-wide double-pane window consists of two sheets of glass separated by a 5-cm-thick air gap. In order to reduce heat transfer through the window, the air space between the two glasses is partially evacuated to 0.3 atm pressure. The emissivities of the glass surfaces are 0.9.



FIGURE P22–68

Taking the glass surface temperatures across the air gap to be 15°C and 5°C, determine the rate of heat transfer through the window by natural convection and radiation.

22–69 A simple solar collector is built by placing a 6-cm-diameter clear plastic tube around a garden hose whose outer diameter is 2 cm. The hose is painted black to maximize solar absorption, and some plastic rings are used to keep the spacing between the hose and the clear plastic cover constant. The emissivities of the hose surface and the glass cover are 0.9, and the effective sky temperature is estimated to be 15°C. The temperature of the plastic tube is measured to be 40°C, while the ambient air temperature is 25°C. Determine the rate of heat loss from the water in the hose by natural convection and radiation per meter of its length under steady conditions. *Answers:* 5.2 W, 26.2 W



FIGURE P22–69

22–70 A solar collector consists of a horizontal copper tube of outer diameter 5 cm enclosed in a concentric thin glass tube of diameter 9 cm. Water is heated as it flows through the tube, and the annular space between the copper and the glass tubes is filled with air at 1 atm pressure. The emissivities of the tube surface and the glass cover are 0.85 and 0.9, respectively. During a clear day, the temperatures of the tube surface and the glass cover are measured to be 60°C and 40°C, respectively. Determine the rate of heat loss from the collector by natural convection and radiation per meter length of the tube.

22–71 A furnace is of cylindrical shape with a diameter of 1.2 m and a length of 1.2 m. The top surface has an emissivity of 0.70 and is maintained at 500 K. The bottom surface has an emissivity of 0.50 and is maintained at 650 K. The side surface has an emissivity of 0.40. Heat is supplied from the base surface at a net rate of 1400 W. Determine the temperature of the side surface and the net rates of heat transfer between the top and the bottom surfaces, and between the bottom and side surfaces.



FIGURE P22–71

22–72 Consider a cubical furnace with a side length of 3 m. The top surface is maintained at 700 K. The base surface has an emissivity of 0.90 and is maintained at 950 K. The side surface is black and is maintained at 450 K. Heat is supplied from the base surface at a rate of 340 kW. Determine the emissivity of the top surface and the net rates of heat transfer between the top and the bottom surfaces, and between the bottom and side surfaces.

22–73 A thin aluminum sheet with an emissivity of 0.12 on both sides is placed between two very large parallel plates maintained at uniform temperatures of T_1 = 750 K and T_2 = 550 K. The emissivities of the plates are ε_1 = 0.8 and ε_2 = 0.9. Determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer between the two plates per unit surface area of the plates, and the temperature of the radiation shield in steady operation.

22–74 Two thin radiation shields with emissivities of ε_3 = 0.10 and ε_4 = 0.15 on both sides are placed between two very large parallel plates, which are maintained at uniform temperatures T_1 = 600 K and T_2 = 300 K and have emissivities ε_1 = 0.6 and ε_2 = 0.7, respectively. Determine the net rates of radiation heat transfer between the two plates with and without the shields per unit surface area of the plates, and the temperatures of the radiation shields in steady operation.



FIGURE P22–74

Computer, Design, and Essay Problems

22–75 Consider an enclosure consisting of *N* diffuse and gray surfaces. The emissivity and temperature of each surface as well as all the view factors between the surfaces are specified. Write a program to determine the net rate of radiation heat transfer for each surface.

22–76 Radiation shields are commonly used in the design of superinsulations for use in space and cryogenic applications. Write an essay on superinsulations and how they are used in different applications.

22–77 Thermal comfort in a house is strongly affected by the so-called radiation effect, which is due to radiation heat transfer between the person and surrounding surfaces. A person feels much colder in the morning, for example, because of the lower surface temperature of the walls at that time, although the thermostat setting of the house is fixed. Write an essay on the radiation effect, how it affects human comfort, and how it is accounted for in heating and air-conditioning applications.