

# Relating complexities for the reflexive study of complex socio-technical systems

## *Chapter proposal for Theories and Models of Urbanization*

JUSTE RAIMBAULT<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> UPS CNRS 3611 ISC-PIF

<sup>2</sup> UMR CNRS 8504 Géographie-cités

### Abstract

**Keywords :** *Complexity; Reflexivity; Knowledge of Knowledge*

## 1 Introduction

One aspect of knowledge production on complex systems which seems to be recurrent and even inevitable, is a certain level of reflexivity (and that would be inherent to complex system in comparison to simple systems, as we will develop further). We mean by this term both a practical reflexivity, i.e. a necessity to increase the level of abstraction, such as the need to reconstruct in an endogenous way the disciplines in which a reflexion aims at positioning as proposed by Raimbault (2017), but also a theoretical reflexivity in the sense that theoretical apparels or produced concepts can apply recursively to themselves. This practical observation can be related to old epistemological debates questioning the possibility of an objective knowledge of the universe that would be independent of our cognitive structure, somehow opposed to the necessity of an “evolutive rationality” implying that our cognitive system, product of the evolution, mirrors the complex processes that led to its emergence, and that any knowledge structure will be consequently reflexive<sup>1</sup>. We do not pretend here to bring a response to such a broad and vague question as such, but we propose a potential link between this reflexivity and the nature of complexity.

## 2 Complexity and complexities

What is meant by complexity of a system often leads to misunderstandings since it can be qualified according to different dimensions and visions. We distinguish first the complexity in the sense of weak emergence and autonomy between the different levels of a system, and on which different positions can be developed as in Deffuant et al. (2015). We will not enter a finer granularity, the vision of social complexity giving even more nightmares to the Laplace daemon, and since it can be understood as a stronger emergence (in the sense of weak and strong emergence as developed before in ??). We thus simplify and assume that the nature of systems plays a secondary role in our reflexion, and therefore consider complexity in the sense of an emergence.

Moreover, we distinguish two other “types” of complexity, namely computational complexity and informational complexity, that can be seen as measures of complexity, but that are not directly equivalent to emergence, since there exists no systematic link between the three. We can for example consider the use

---

<sup>1</sup>We thank here D. PUMAIN to have formulated this alternative view on the problem that we will develop in the following.

of a simulation model, for which interactions between elementary agents translate as a coded message at the upper level: it is then possible by exploiting the degrees of freedom to minimize the quantity of information contained in the message. The different languages require different cognitive efforts and compress the information in a different way, having different levels of measurable complexity Febres et al. (2013). In a similar way, architectural artefacts are the result of a process of natural and cultural evolution, and witness more or less this trajectory.

Numerous other conceptual or operational characterizations of complexity exist, and it is clear that the scientific community has not converged on a unique definition Chu (2008)<sup>2</sup>. We propose to focus on these three concepts in particular, for which the relations are already not evident.

Indeed, links between these three types of complexity are not systematic, and depend on the type of system. Epistemological links can however be introduced. We will develop the links between emergence and the two other complexities, since the link between computational complexity and informational complexity is relatively well explored, and corresponds to issues in the compression of information and signal processing, or moreover in cryptography.

### 3 Computational complexity and emergence

Different clues suggest a certain necessity of computational complexity to have emergence in complex systems, whereas reciprocally a certain number of adaptive complex systems have high computational capabilities.

A first link where computational complexity implies emergence is suggested by an algorithmic study of fundamental problems in quantum physics. Indeed, Bolotin (2014) shows that the resolution of the Schrödinger equation with any Hamiltonian is a NP-hard and NP-complete problem, and thus that the acceptance of  $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$  implies a qualitative separation between the microscopic quantum level and the macroscopic level of the observation. Therefore, it is indeed the complexity (here in the sense of their computation) of interactions in a system and its environment that implies the apparent collapse of the wave function, what rejoins the approach of GELL-MANN by quantum decoherence Gell-Mann and Hartle (1996), which explains that probabilities can only be associated to decoherent histories (in which correlations have led the system to follow a trajectory at the macroscopic scale)<sup>3</sup>. The paradox of the Schrödinger cat appears then as a fundamentally reductionist perspective, since it assumes that the superposition of states can propagate through the successive levels and that there would be no emergence, in the sense of the constitution of an autonomous upper level. In other terms, the work of Bolotin (2014) suggests that computational complexity is sufficient for the presence of emergence<sup>4</sup>.

Reciprocally, the link between computational complexity and emergence is revealed by questions linked

---

<sup>2</sup>In an approach that is in a way reflexive, Chu (2008) proposes to continue exploring the different existing approaches, as proxies of complexity in the case of an essentialism, or as concepts in themselves. The complexity should emerge naturally from the interaction between these different approaches studying complexity, hence the reflexivity.

<sup>3</sup>The *Quantum Measurement Problem* arises when we consider a microscopic wave function giving the state of a system that can be the superposition of several states, and consists in a theoretical paradox, on the one hand the measures being always deterministic whereas the system has probabilities for states, and on the other hand the issue of the non-existence of superposed macroscopic states (collapse of the wave function). As reviewed by Schlosshauer (2005), different epistemological interpretations of quantum physics are linked to different explanations of this paradox, including the “classical” Copenhagen one which attributes to the act of observation the role of collapsing the wave function. GELL-MANN recalls that this interpretation is not absurd since it is indeed the correlations between the quantum object and the world that product the decoherent history, but that it is far more specific, and that the collapse happens in the emergence itself: the cat is either dead or living, but not both, before we open the box.

<sup>4</sup>This effective separation of scales does not a priori imply that the lower level does not play a crucial role, since Vattay et al. (2015) proves that the properties of quantum criticality are typical of molecules of the living, without a priori any specificity for life in this complex determination by lower scales: Verlinde (2017) has recently introduced a new approach linking quantum theories and general relativity in which it is shown that gravity is an emergent phenomenon and that path-dependency in the deformation of the original space introduces a supplementary term at the macroscopic level, that allows to explain deviations attributed up to now to *dark matter*.

to the nature of computation Moore and Mertens (2011). Cellular automata, that are moreover crucial for the understanding of several complex systems, have been shown as Turing-complete<sup>5</sup>, such as the Game of Life Beer (2004)<sup>6</sup>. Some organisms without a central nervous system are capable of solving difficult decisional problems Reid et al. (2016). An ant-based algorithm is shown by Pintea et al. (2017) as solving a Generalized Travelling Salesman Problem (GTSP), problem which is NP-difficult. This fundamental link had already been conceived by TURING, since beyond his fundamental contributions to contemporary computer science, he studied morphogenesis and tried to produce chemical models to explain it Turing (1952) (that were far from actually explaining it - it is still not well understood today, see ?? - but which conceptual contributions were fundamental, in particular for the notion of reaction-diffusion). We moreover know that a minimum of complexity in terms of constituting interactions in a particular case of agent-based system (models of boolean networks), and thus in terms of possible emergences, implies a lower bound on computational complexity, which becomes significant as soon as interactions with the environment are added Tošić and Ordonez (2017).

Elliott and Gu (2017) quantum computation reduces drastically memory needed

## 4 Informational complexity and emergence

Informational complexity, or the quantity of information contained in a system and the way it is stored, also bears some fundamental links with emergence. Information is equivalent to the entropy of a system and thus to its degree of organisation - this what allows to solve the apparent paradox of the Maxwell Daemon that would be able to diminish the entropy of an isolated system and thus contradict the second law of thermodynamics: it indeed uses the information on positions and velocities of molecules of the system, and its action balances to loss of entropy through its captation of information<sup>7</sup>.

This notion of local increase in entropy has been largely studied by CHUA under the form of the *Local Activity Principle*, which is introduced as a third principle of thermodynamics, allowing to explain with mathematical arguments the self-organization for a certain class of complex systems that typically involve reaction-diffusion equations Mainzer and Chua (2013).

The way information is stored and compressed is essential for life, since the ADN is indeed an information storage system, which role at different levels is far from being fully understood. Cultural complexity also witnesses of an information storage at different levels, for example within individuals but also within artefacts and institutions, and information flows that necessarily deal with the two other types of complexities. Information flows are essential for self-organization in a multi-agent system. Collective behaviors of fishes or birds are typical examples used to illustrate emergence and belong to the canonic examples of complex systems. We only begin to understand how these flows structure the system, and what are the spatial patterns of information transfer within a *flock* for example: Crosato et al. (2017) introduce first empirical results with transfer entropy for fishes and lay the methodological basis of this kind of studies.

## 5 Knowledge production

We now have enough material to come to reflexivity. It is possible to position knowledge production at the intersection of interactions between types of complexity developed above. First of all, knowledge as we consider it can not be dissociated from a collective construction, and implies thus an encoding and

---

<sup>5</sup>A system is said to be Turing-complete if it is able to compute the same functions than a Turing machine, commonly accepted as all what is “computable” (CHURCH’s thesis). We recall that a Turing machine is a finite automaton with an infinite writing band Moore and Mertens (2011).

<sup>6</sup>There even exists a programming language allowing to code in the *Game of Life*, available at <https://github.com/QuestForTetris>. Its genesis finds its origin in a challenge posted on *codegolf* aiming at the conception of a Tetris, and ended in an extremely advanced collaborative project.

<sup>7</sup>The Maxwell Daemon is more than an intellectual construction: Cottet et al. (2017) implements experimentally a daemon at the quantum level.

a transmission of information: it is at an other level all problematics linked to scientific communication. The production of knowledge thus necessitates this first interaction between computational complexity and informational complexity. The link between informational complexity and emergence is introduced if we consider the establishment of knowledge as a morphogenetic process. It is shown in ?? that the link between form and function is fundamental in psychology: we can interpret it as a link between information and meaning, since semantics of a cognitive object can not be considered without a function. HOFSTADER recalls in Hofstadter (1980) the importance of symbols at different levels for the emergence of a thought, that consist in signals at an intermediate level. Finally, the last relation between computational complexity and emergence is the one allowing us a positioning in particular on knowledge production on complex systems, the previous links being applicable to any type of knowledge.

Therefore, any *knowledge of the complex* embraces not only all complexities and their relations in its content, but also in its nature as we just showed. The structure of knowledge in terms of complexity is analog to the structure of systems its studies. We postulate that this structural correspondence implies a certain recursivity, and thus a certain level of *reflexivity* (in the sens of knowledge of itself and its own conditions).

We can try to extend to reflexivity in terms of a reflexion on the disciplinary positioning: following Pumain (2005), the complexity of an approach is also linked to the diversity of viewpoints that are necessary to construct it. To reach this new type of complexity<sup>8</sup>, that would be a supplementary dimension linked to the knowledge of complex systems, reflexivity must be at the core of the approach. Read et al. (2009) recall that innovation has been made possible when societies reached the ability to produce and diffuse innovation on their own structure, i.e when they were able to reach a certain level of reflexivity. The *knowledge of the complex* would thus be the product and the support of its own evolution thanks to reflexivity which played a fundamental role in the evolution of the cognitive system: we could thus suggest to gather these considerations, as proposed by PUMAIN, as a new epistemological notion of *evolutive rationality*.

To conclude, we can remark that given the law of *requisite complexity*, proposed by ? as an extension of *requisite variety* ?<sup>9</sup>, the *knowledge of the complex* will necessarily have to be a *complex knowledge*. This other point of view reinforces the necessity of reflexivity, since following MORIN (see for example ? on the production of knowledge), the *knowledge of knowledge* is central in the construction of a complex thinking.

## 6 Discussion

Finally, from the epistemological point of view, we can also find “practical” implications that will naturally be more implicit in our approach, but not less structuring:

- Our inspiration will essentially be interdisciplinary and will aim at combining different points of view.
- Different knowledge domains (notion that we will precise in ??, but that we can understand for now in the sense of theoretical, modeling and empirical domains introduced by ?) can not be dissociated for any approach of scientific production, and we will use them in a strongly dependent way.
- Our approach will have to imply a certain level of reflexivity.

---

<sup>8</sup>For which links with the previous types naturally appear: for example, Gell-Mann (1995) considers the effective complexity as an *Algorithmic Information Content* (close to Kolmogorov complexity) of a Complex Adaptive System *which is observing an other* Complex Adaptive System, what gives their importance to informational and computational complexities and suggests the importance of the observational viewpoint, and by extension of their combination - what furthermore must be related to the perspectivist approach of complex sciences presented above.

<sup>9</sup>One of the crucial principles of cybernetics, the *requisite variety*, postulates that to control a system having a certain number of states, the controller must have at least as much states. GERSHENSON proposes a conceptual extension of complexity, which can be justified for example by Allen et al. (2017) which introduce the multi-scale *requisite variety*, showing the compatibility with a theory of complexity based on information theory.

- The construction of a complex knowledge (?) is neither inductive nor deductive, but constructive in the idea of a morphogenesis of knowledge: it can be for example difficult to clearly identify precise “scientific deadlocks” since this metaphor assumes that an already constructed problem has to be unlocked, and even to constrain notions, concepts, objects or models in strict analytical frameworks, by categorizing them following a fixed classification, whereas the issue is to understand if the construction of categories is relevant. Doing it a posteriori is similar to a negation of the circularity and recursivity of knowledge production. The elaboration of ways to report that translate the diachronic character and the evolutive properties of it is an open problem.

## References

- Allen, B., Stacey, B. C., and Bar-Yam, Y. (2017). Multiscale information theory and the marginal utility of information. *Entropy*, 19(6):273.
- Beer, R. D. (2004). Autopoiesis and cognition in the game of life. *Artificial Life*, 10(3):309–326.
- Bolotin, A. (2014). Computational solution to quantum foundational problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.7686*.
- Chu, D. (2008). Criteria for conceptual and operational notions of complexity. *Artificial Life*, 14(3):313–323.
- Cottet, N., Jezouin, S., Bretheau, L., Campagne-Ibarcq, P., Ficheux, Q., Anders, J., Auffèves, A., Azouit, R., Rouchon, P., and Huard, B. (2017). Observing a quantum maxwell demon at work. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(29):7561–7564.
- Crosato, E., Jiang, L., Lecheval, V., Lizier, J. T., Wang, X. R., Tichit, P., Theraulaz, G., and Prokopenko, M. (2017). Informative and misinformative interactions in a school of fish. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.01213*.
- Deffuant, G., Banos, A., Chavalarias, D., Bertelle, C., Brodu, N., Jensen, P., Lesne, A., Müller, J.-P., Perrier, É., and Varenne, F. (2015). Visions de la complexité. le démon de laplace dans tous ses états. *Natures Sciences Sociétés*, 23(1):42–53.
- Elliott, T. J. and Gu, M. (2017). Occam’s Vorpall Quantum Razor: Memory Reduction When Simulating Continuous-Time Stochastic Processes With Quantum Devices. *ArXiv e-prints*.
- Febres, G., Jaffé, K., and Gershenson, C. (2013). Complexity measurement of natural and artificial languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1311.5427*.
- Gell-Mann, M. (1995). *The Quark and the Jaguar: Adventures in the Simple and the Complex*. Macmillan.
- Gell-Mann, M. and Hartle, J. B. (1996). Quantum mechanics in the light of quantum cosmology. In *Foundations Of Quantum Mechanics In The Light Of New Technology: Selected Papers from the Proceedings of the First through Fourth International Symposia on Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, pages 347–369. World Scientific.
- Hofstadter, D. R. (1980). *Gödel, escher, bach*. Vintage Books New York.
- Mainzer, K. and Chua, L. O. (2013). *Local activity principle*. World Scientific.
- Moore, C. and Mertens, S. (2011). *The nature of computation*. Oxford University Press.
- Pintea, C.-M., Pop, P. C., and Chira, C. (2017). The generalized traveling salesman problem solved with ant algorithms. *Complex Adaptive Systems Modeling*, 5(1):8.
- Pumain, D. (2005). Cumulativité des connaissances. *Revue européenne des sciences sociales. European Journal of Social Sciences*, (XLIII-131):5–12.
- Raimbault, J. (2017). Exploration of an Interdisciplinary Scientific Landscape. *ArXiv e-prints*.
- Read, D., Lane, D., and Van der Leeuw, S. (2009). The innovation innovation. In *Complexity perspectives in innovation and social change*, pages 43–84. Springer.
- Reid, C. R., MacDonald, H., Mann, R. P., Marshall, J. A., Latty, T., and Garnier, S. (2016). Decision-making without a brain: how an amoeboid organism solves the two-armed bandit. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 13(119):20160030.
- Schlosshauer, M. (2005). Decoherence, the measurement problem, and interpretations of quantum mechanics. *Reviews of Modern physics*, 76(4):1267.
- Tošić, P. T. and Ordóñez, C. (2017). Boolean network models of collective dynamics of open and closed large-scale multi-agent systems. In *International Conference on Industrial Applications of Holonic and Multi-Agent Systems*, pages 95–110. Springer.
- Turing, A. M. (1952). The chemical basis of morphogenesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 237(641):37–72.
- Vattay, G., Salahub, D., Csabai, I., Nassimi, A., and Kaufmann, S. A. (2015). Quantum criticality at the origin of life. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 626, page 012023. IOP Publishing.
- Verlinde, E. (2017). Emergent gravity and the dark universe. *SciPost Physics*, 2(3):016.