

Relating complexities for the reflexive study of complex systems

Juste Raimbault

Abstract Several approaches and corresponding definitions of complexity have been developed in different fields. Urban systems are the archetype of complex socio-technical systems concerned with these different viewpoints. We suggest in this chapter some links between three types of complexity, namely emergence, computational complexity and informational complexity. We discuss the implication of these links on the necessity of reflexivity to produce a knowledge of the complex, and how this connects to the interdisciplinary of approaches in particular for socio-technical systems. We finally synthesize this positioning under a new epistemological framework called *applied perspectivism*.

Keywords : *Complexity; Socio-technical Systems; Reflexivity; Knowledge of Knowledge*

1 Introduction

The various disciplines or approaches concerned with the study of urban systems have the common point of having postulated their *complexity*: Batty's "new science of cities" is rooted within complexity science paradigms [Batty, 2007, Batty, 2013]; Pumain's evolutive urban theory makes the fundamental assumption of urban systems as co-evolving complex systems [Pumain, 2017, Pumain, 1997]; Haken's synergetics have application in urban space cognition for example [Haken and Portugali, 2016]; recent works by physicists have applied tools from statistical physics to urban systems [West, 2017]; architecture has a long tradition in integrating complexity in the urban fabric [Alexander, 1977]; the new economic geography is aware of the complexity of its objects of study despite its reductionism in methods used [Krugman, 1994] and has developed an evolutionary branch [Cooke, 2018]; artificial life has introduced complex simulation models with application to urban problems [Raimbault et al., 2014]; to give a few examples.

What is meant by complexity remains however not well defined, and as [Chu, 2008] recalls, several definitions and characterizations exist and there is a priori no reason to think that these could converge. Interestingly, a reductionist view on this matter would aim at some unified definition, whereas most complexity approaches will take this diversity as an asset to be developed (take for example the complex thinking advocated by [Morin, 1991] which relies on the progressive integration of multiple disciplines and thus viewpoints on the world). [Manson, 2001] develops different notions of complexity and their potential in the study of geographical systems. The previous chapter [Batty, 2018] has investigated this issue by providing a broad historical overview of complexity approaches to urban systems.

We propose here a theoretical entry to a limited but effective integration of some approaches to complexity, what induces a framework to study complex socio-technical systems. More precisely, we illustrate links between three types of complexities (emergence, computational complexity, and informational complexity, which choice will be discuss below), and develop the implication of these links on the production of a knowledge of the complex. This work also has more general epistemological implications, since we introduce a development modestly contributing (i.e. in our context of the study of urban systems) to the *knowledge of knowledge* [Morin, 1986]. An implicit aim is to interrogate the links between complexity and processes of knowledge production.

Our argument will therefore (i) establish some links between different types of complexities; (ii) explore the necessity of reflexivity possibly as a consequence of these links; (iii) suggest a practical framework to apply these principles to the construction of integrative approaches to complex systems. For this purpose, we will sequentially follow this outline:

Juste Raimbault

UPS CNRS 3611 ISC-PIF and UMR CNRS 8504 Géographie-cités, e-mail: juste.raimbault@polytechnique.edu

1. introduce more precisely the types of complexities we consider;
2. detail the links which are currently not obvious in the literature (i.e. between computational complexity and informational complexity with emergence);
3. recall reasons suggesting a necessity of reflexivity;
4. sketch how the production of knowledge on complex systems falls at the intersection of different complexities and how this implies reflexivity;
5. develop implications for interdisciplinarity and introduce the corresponding applied perspectivism framework.

2 Complexity and complexities

What is meant by complexity of a system often leads to misunderstandings since it can be qualified according to different dimensions and visions. We distinguish first the complexity in the sense of weak emergence and autonomy between the different levels of a system, and on which different positions can be developed as in [Deffuant et al., 2015]. We will not enter a finer granularity, the vision of social complexity giving even more nightmares to the Laplace daemon, and since it can be understood as a stronger emergence (in the sense of weak and strong emergence as viewed by [Bedau, 2002]).

We thus simplify and assume that the nature of systems plays a secondary role in our reflexion, and therefore consider complexity in the sense of an emergence. This choice answers the following rationale:

Moreover, we distinguish two other “types” of complexity, namely computational complexity and informational complexity, that can be seen as measures of complexity, but that are not directly equivalent to emergence, since there exists no systematic link between the three. We can for example consider the use of a simulation model, for which interactions between elementary agents translate as a coded message at the upper level: it is then possible by exploiting the degrees of freedom to minimize the quantity of information contained in the message. The different languages require different cognitive efforts and compress the information in a different way, having different levels of measurable complexity [Febres et al., 2013]. In a similar way, architectural artefacts are the result of a process of natural and cultural evolution, and witness more or less this trajectory.

Numerous other conceptual or operational characterizations of complexity exist, and it is clear that the scientific community has not converged on a unique definition [Chu, 2008], which proposes to continue exploring the different existing approaches, as proxies of complexity in the case of an essentialism, or as concepts in themselves. This approach that is in a certain way reflexive, since the complexity should emerge naturally from the interaction between these different approaches studying complexity, hence the reflexivity. We propose to focus on these three concepts in particular, for which the relations are already not obvious.

Indeed, links between these three types of complexity are not systematic, and depend on the type of system. Epistemological links can however be introduced. We will develop the links between emergence and the two other complexities, since the link between computational complexity and informational complexity is relatively well explored, and corresponds to issues in the compression of information and signal processing, or moreover in cryptography.

Note that complexity is not the only concept for which different approaches diverge for complex enough systems: [Thurner et al., 2017] detail three approaches to entropy, linked to information theory, thermodynamics and statistical inference, and show that the corresponding measures do not coincide for some examples of complex systems.

3 Computational complexity and emergence

Different clues suggest a certain necessity of computational complexity to have emergence in complex systems, whereas reciprocally a certain number of adaptive complex systems have high computational capabilities.

A first link where computational complexity implies emergence is suggested by an algorithmic study of fundamental problems in quantum physics. Indeed, [Bolotin, 2014] shows that the resolution of the Schrödinger equation with any Hamiltonian is a NP-hard and NP-complete problem, and thus that the acceptance of $P \neq NP$ implies a qualitative separation between the microscopic quantum level and the macroscopic level of the observation. Therefore, it is indeed the complexity (here in the sense of their computation) of interactions in a system and its environment that implies the apparent collapse of the wave function, what rejoins the approach of GELL-MANN by quantum decoherence [Gell-Mann and Hartle, 1996], which explains that probabilities can only be associated to decoherent histories (in which correlations have led the system to follow a trajectory at the macroscopic scale). The *Quantum Measurement Problem* arises when we consider a microscopic wave function giving the state of a system that can be the superposition of several states, and consists in a theoretical paradox, on the one

hand the measures being always deterministic whereas the system has probabilities for states, and on the other hand the issue of the non-existence of superposed macroscopic states (collapse of the wave function). As reviewed by [Schlosshauer, 2005], different epistemological interpretations of quantum physics are linked to different explanations of this paradox, including the “classical” Copenhagen one which attributes to the act of observation the role of collapsing the wave function. GELL-MANN recalls that this interpretation is not absurd since it is indeed the correlations between the quantum object and the world that product the decoherent history, but that it is far more specific, and that the collapse happens in the emergence itself: the cat is either dead or living, but not both, before we open the box. The paradox of the Schrodinger cat appears then as a fundamentally reductionist perspective, since it assumes that the superposition of states can propagate through the successive levels and that there would be no emergence, in the sense of the constitution of an autonomous upper level. In other terms, the work of [Bolotin, 2014] suggests that computational complexity is sufficient for the presence of emergence. This effective separation of scales does not a priori imply that the lower level does not play a crucial role, since [Vattay et al., 2015] proves that the properties of quantum criticality are typical of molecules of the living, without a priori any specificity for life in this complex determination by lower scales: [Verlinde, 2017] has recently introduced a new approach linking quantum theories and general relativity in which it is shown that gravity is an emergent phenomenon and that path-dependency in the deformation of the original space introduces a supplementary term at the macroscopic level, that allows to explain deviations attributed up to now to *dark matter*.

[Wolf et al., 2018] physical and bio complexity (reductionist ?)

Reciprocally, the link between computational complexity and emergence is revealed by questions linked to the nature of computation [Moore and Mertens, 2011]. Cellular automata, that are moreover crucial for the understanding of several complex systems, have been shown as Turing-complete, i.e. if it is able to compute the same functions than a Turing machine, commonly accepted as all what is “computable” (CHURCH’s thesis). The Game of Life is such an exemple [Beer, 2004]. There even exists a programming language allowing to code in the *Game of Life*, available at <https://github.com/QuestForTetris>. Its genesis finds its origin in a challenge posted on *codegolf* aiming at the conception of a Tetris, and ended in an extremely advanced collaborative project.

Some organisms without a central nervous system are capable of solving difficult decisional problems [Reid et al., 2016]. An ant-based algorithm is shown by [Pintea et al., 2017] as solving a Generalized Travelling Salesman Problem (GTSP), problem which is NP-difficult. This fundamental link had already been conceived by TURING, since beyond his fundamental contributions to contemporary computer science, he studied morphogenesis and tried to produce chemical models to explain it [Turing, 1952] (that were far from actually explaining it but which conceptual contributions were fundamental, in particular for the notion of reaction-diffusion). We moreover know that a minimum of complexity in terms of constituting interactions in a particular case of agent-based system (models of boolean networks), and thus in terms of possible emergences, implies a lower bound on computational complexity, which becomes significant as soon as interactions with the environment are added [Tošić and Ordóñez, 2017].

[Elliott and Gu, 2017] quantum computation reduces drastically memory needed

<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-018-05739-8.pdf>

4 Informational complexity and emergence

[Haken and Portugali, 2016] information and self-organization

idea : link with Gershenson approach through information and the juice : explicitly develop applied perspectivism ?

idem multi-scale info Bar-Yam [Allen et al., 2017]

Informational complexity (see [DeDeo, 2016] for a smooth introduction to information theory), or the quantity of information contained in a system and the way it is stored, also bears some fundamental links with emergence. Information is equivalent to the entropy of a system and thus to its degree of organisation - this what allows to solve the apparent paradox of the Maxwell Daemon that would be able to diminish the entropy of an isolated system and thus contradict the second law of thermodynamics: it indeed uses the information on positions and velocities of molecules of the system, and its action balances to loss of entropy through its captation of information (the Maxwell Daemon is more than an intellectual construction: [Cottet et al., 2017] implements experimentally a daemon at the quantic level).

This notion of local increase in entropy has been largely studied by CHUA under the form of the *Local Activity Principle*, which is introduced as a third principle of thermodynamics, allowing to explain with mathematical arguments the self-organization for a certain class of complex systems that typically involve reaction-diffusion equations [Mainzer and Chua, 2013].

The way information is stored and compressed is essential for life, since the ADN is indeed an information storage system, which role at different levels is far from being fully understood. Cultural complexity also witnesses of an information storage at different levels, for example within individuals but also within artefacts and institutions, and information flows that necessarily

deal with the two other types of complexities. Information flows are essential for self-organization in a multi-agent system. Collective behaviors of fishes or birds are typical examples used to illustrate emergence and belong to the canonic examples of complex systems. We only begin to understand how these flows structure the system, and what are the spatial patterns of information transfer within a *flock* for example: [Crosato et al., 2017] introduce first empirical results with transfer entropy for fishes and lay the methodological basis of this kind of studies.

5 Reflexivity in the study of complex systems

[Banzhaf et al., 2016] reflexive programming language for open-ended evolution

Furthermore, one aspect of knowledge production on complex systems which seems to be recurrent and even inevitable, is a certain level of reflexivity (and that would be inherent to complex system in comparison to simple systems, as we will develop further). We mean by this term both a practical reflexivity, i.e. a necessity to increase the level of abstraction, such as the need to reconstruct in an endogenous way the disciplines in which a reflexion aims at positioning as proposed by [Raimbault, 2017], or to reflect on the epistemological nature of modeling when constructing a model, but also a theoretical reflexivity in the sense that theoretical apparels or produced concepts can recursively apply to themselves. The practical inevitability of reflexivity is well-known in social sciences and humanities, but [Bourdieu, 2004] postulated this would be more generally linked to the nature of scientific knowledge which is inherently social [Maton, 2003]. This does not apply

This practical observation can be related to old epistemological debates questioning the possibility of an objective knowledge of the universe that would be independent of our cognitive structure, somehow opposed to the necessity of an “evolutive rationality” implying that our cognitive system, product of the evolution, mirrors the complex processes that led to its emergence, and that any knowledge structure will be consequently reflexive. We naturally do not pretend here to bring a response to such a broad and vague question as such, but we propose a potential link between this reflexivity and the nature of complexity.

6 Production of knowledge

6.1 From complexity to reflexivity

We now have enough material to come to reflexivity. It is possible to position knowledge production at the intersection of interactions between types of complexity developed above. First of all, knowledge as we consider it can not be dissociated from a collective construction, and implies thus an encoding and a transmission of information: it is at another level all problematics linked to scientific communication. The production of knowledge thus necessitates this first interaction between computational complexity and informational complexity. The link between informational complexity and emergence is introduced if we consider the establishment of knowledge as a morphogenetic process. It is shown by [Antelope et al., 2016] that the link between form and function is fundamental in psychology: we can interpret it as a link between information and meaning, since semantics of a cognitive object can not be considered without a function. [Hofstadter, 1980] recalls the importance of symbols at different levels for the emergence of a thought, that consist in signals at an intermediate level. Finally, the last relation between computational complexity and emergence is the one allowing us a positioning in particular on knowledge production on complex systems, the previous links being applicable to any type of knowledge.

Therefore, any *knowledge of the complex* embraces not only all complexities and their relations in its content, but also in its nature as we just showed. The structure of knowledge in terms of complexity is analog to the structure of systems its studies. We postulate that this structural correspondence implies a certain recursivity, and thus a certain level of *reflexivity* (in the sense of knowledge of itself and its own conditions).

6.2 The complexity of interdisciplinarity

We can try to extend to reflexivity in terms of a reflexion on the disciplinary positioning: following [Pumain, 2005], the complexity of an approach is also linked to the diversity of viewpoints that are necessary to construct it. To reach this new type of complexity, for which links with the previous types naturally appear (for example, [Gell-Mann, 1995] considers the effective complexity as an *Algorithmic Information Content* (close to Kolmogorov complexity) of a Complex Adaptive System *which is observing an other* Complex Adaptive System, what gives their importance to informational and computational complexities

and suggests the importance of the observational viewpoint, and by extension of their combination - what furthermore must be related to the perspectivist approach of complex sciences presented above), that would be a supplementary dimension linked to the knowledge of complex systems, reflexivity must be at the core of the approach. [Read et al., 2009] recall that innovation has been made possible when societies reached the ability to produce and diffuse innovation on their own structure, i.e when they were able to reach a certain level of reflexivity. The *knowledge of the complex* would thus be the product and the support of its own evolution thanks to reflexivity which played a fundamental role in the evolution of the cognitive system: we could thus suggest to gather these considerations, as proposed by PUMAIN, as a new epistemological notion of *evolutive rationality*.

This view of complexity can be formulated as a research program, for the development of an *applied perspectivism*, which has already been sketch for example by [Banos et al., 2018].

These approaches could not be tackled in a simple way. Indeed, we can remark that given the law of *requisite complexity*, proposed by [Gershenson, 2015] as an extension of *requisite variety* [Ashby, 1991]. One of the crucial principles of cybernetics, the *requisite variety*, postulates that to control a system having a certain number of states, the controller must have at least as much states. GERSHENSON proposes a conceptual extension of complexity, which can be justified for example by [Allen et al., 2017] which introduce the multi-scale *requisite variety*, showing the compatibility with a theory of complexity based on information theory. Therefore the *knowledge of the complex* will necessarily have to be a *complex knowledge*. This other point of view reinforces the necessity of reflexivity, since following MORIN (see for example [Morin, 1991] on the production of knowledge), the *knowledge of knowledge* is central in the construction of a complex thinking.

7 Discussion

7.1 Towards an applied epistemological framework

7.1.1 Applied perspectivism

[Muelder and Filatova, 2018] shows that even different formalizations of the same theory can lead to highly different outcomes. This does not imply that one is more relevant than others (this point being validated by the internal consistence and external validations), but on the contrary that they inform on different dimensions of the system.

7.1.2 Link with knowledge domains

7.2 Implications for urban theories

[White et al., 2017]

[Anzoise, 2017]

[Shah and Corley, 2006] quanti-quali bridged by framework ?

Finally, from the epistemological point of view, we can also find “practical” implications that will naturally be more implicit in our approach, but not less structuring:

1. Our inspiration will essentially be interdisciplinary and will aim at combining different points of view.
2. Different knowledge domains (concepts that are developed in [Raimbault, 2017], and that we can understand to simplify in the sense of theoretical, modeling and empirical domains introduced by [Livet et al., 2010]) can not be dissociated for any approach of scientific production, and we will use them in a strongly dependent way.
3. Our approach will have to imply a certain level of reflexivity.
4. The construction of a complex knowledge ([Morin, 1991]) is neither inductive nor deductive, but constructive in the idea of a morphogenesis of knowledge: it can be for example difficult to clearly identify precise “scientific deadlocks” since this metaphor assumes that an already constructed problem has to be unlocked, and even to constrain notions, concepts, objects or models in strict analytical frameworks, by categorizing them following a fixed classification, whereas the issue is to understand if the construction of categories is relevant. Doing it a posteriori is similar to a negation of the circularity and recursivity of knowledge production. The elaboration of ways to report that translate the diachronic character and the evolutive properties of it is an open problem.

References

- [Alexander, 1977] Alexander, C. (1977). *A pattern language: towns, buildings, construction*. Oxford university press.
- [Allen et al., 2017] Allen, B., Stacey, B. C., and Bar-Yam, Y. (2017). Multiscale information theory and the marginal utility of information. *Entropy*, 19(6):273.
- [Antelope et al., 2016] Antelope, C., Hubatsch, L., Raimbault, J., and Serna, M. J. (2016). An interdisciplinary approach to morphogenesis. SFIC-SSS 2016 Proceedings.
- [Anzoise, 2017] Anzoise, V. (2017). Perception and (re) framing of urban environments: a methodological reflection toward sentient research. *Visual Anthropology*, 30(3):191–205.
- [Ashby, 1991] Ashby, W. R. (1991). Requisite variety and its implications for the control of complex systems. In *Facets of systems science*, pages 405–417. Springer.
- [Banos et al., 2018] Banos, A., Chasset, P.-O., Commenges, H., Cottineau, C., Pumain, D., and Raimbault, J. (2018). A spatialised bibliometrics approach of a scientific journal production. *ArXiv e-prints*.
- [Banzhaf et al., 2016] Banzhaf, W., Baumgaertner, B., Beslon, G., Doursat, R., Foster, J. A., McMullin, B., De Melo, V. V., Miconi, T., Spector, L., Stepney, S., et al. (2016). Defining and simulating open-ended novelty: requirements, guidelines, and challenges. *Theory in Biosciences*, 135(3):131–161.
- [Batty, 2007] Batty, M. (2007). *Cities and complexity: understanding cities with cellular automata, agent-based models, and fractals*. MIT press.
- [Batty, 2013] Batty, M. (2013). *The new science of cities*. MIT Press.
- [Batty, 2018] Batty, M. (2018). *Which complexity for urban systems ?*
- [Bedau, 2002] Bedau, M. (2002). Downward causation and the autonomy of weak emergence. *Principia: an international journal of epistemology*, 6(1):5–50.
- [Beer, 2004] Beer, R. D. (2004). Autopoiesis and cognition in the game of life. *Artificial Life*, 10(3):309–326.
- [Bolotin, 2014] Bolotin, A. (2014). Computational solution to quantum foundational problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.7686*.
- [Bourdieu, 2004] Bourdieu, P. (2004). *Science of science and reflexivity*. Polity.
- [Chu, 2008] Chu, D. (2008). Criteria for conceptual and operational notions of complexity. *Artificial Life*, 14(3):313–323.
- [Cooke, 2018] Cooke, P. (2018). Evolutionary complexity geography and the future of regional innovation and growth policies. In *Resilience and Regional Dynamics*, pages 11–30. Springer.
- [Cottet et al., 2017] Cottet, N., Jezouin, S., Bretheau, L., Campagne-Ibarcq, P., Ficheux, Q., Anders, J., Auffèves, A., Azouit, R., Rouchon, P., and Huard, B. (2017). Observing a quantum maxwell demon at work. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(29):7561–7564.
- [Crosato et al., 2017] Crosato, E., Jiang, L., Lecheval, V., Lizier, J. T., Wang, X. R., Tichit, P., Theraulaz, G., and Prokopenko, M. (2017). Informative and misinformative interactions in a school of fish. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.01213*.
- [DeDeo, 2016] DeDeo, S. (2016). Information theory for intelligent people.
- [Deffuant et al., 2015] Deffuant, G., Banos, A., Chavalarias, D., Bertelle, C., Brodru, N., Jensen, P., Lesne, A., Müller, J.-P., Perrier, É., and Varenne, F. (2015). Visions de la complexité. le démon de laplace dans tous ses états. *Natures Sciences Sociétés*, 23(1):42–53.
- [Elliott and Gu, 2017] Elliott, T. J. and Gu, M. (2017). Occam’s Vorpal Quantum Razor: Memory Reduction When Simulating Continuous-Time Stochastic Processes With Quantum Devices. *ArXiv e-prints*.
- [Febres et al., 2013] Febres, G., Jaffé, K., and Gershenson, C. (2013). Complexity measurement of natural and artificial languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1311.5427*.
- [Gell-Mann, 1995] Gell-Mann, M. (1995). *The Quark and the Jaguar: Adventures in the Simple and the Complex*. Macmillan.
- [Gell-Mann and Hartle, 1996] Gell-Mann, M. and Hartle, J. B. (1996). Quantum mechanics in the light of quantum cosmology. In *Foundations Of Quantum Mechanics In The Light Of New Technology: Selected Papers from the Proceedings of the First through Fourth International Symposia on Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, pages 347–369. World Scientific.
- [Gershenson, 2015] Gershenson, C. (2015). Requisite variety, autopoiesis, and self-organization. *Kybernetes*, 44(6/7):866–873.
- [Haken and Portugali, 2016] Haken, H. and Portugali, J. (2016). Information and selforganization: A unifying approach and applications. *Entropy*, 18(6):197.
- [Hofstadter, 1980] Hofstadter, D. R. (1980). *Gödel, escher, bach*. Vintage Books New York.
- [Krugman, 1994] Krugman, P. (1994). Complex landscapes in economic geography. *The American Economic Review*, 84(2):412–416.
- [Livet et al., 2010] Livet, P., Muller, J.-P., Phan, D., and Sanders, L. (2010). Ontology, a mediator for agent-based modeling in social science. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, 13(1):3.
- [Mainzer and Chua, 2013] Mainzer, K. and Chua, L. O. (2013). *Local activity principle*. World Scientific.
- [Manson, 2001] Manson, S. M. (2001). Simplifying complexity: a review of complexity theory. *Geoforum*, 32(3):405–414.
- [Maton, 2003] Maton, K. (2003). Reflexivity, relationism, & research: Pierre bourdieu and the epistemic conditions of social scientific knowledge. *Space and culture*, 6(1):52–65.
- [Moore and Mertens, 2011] Moore, C. and Mertens, S. (2011). *The nature of computation*. Oxford University Press.
- [Morin, 1986] Morin, E. (1986). La méthode 3. la connaissance de la connaissance. *Essais, Seuil*.
- [Morin, 1991] Morin, E. (1991). *La Méthode, tome 4. Les idées*.
- [Muelder and Filatova, 2018] Muelder, H. and Filatova, T. (2018). One theory - many formalizations: Testing different code implementations of the theory of planned behaviour in energy agent-based models. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, 21(4):5.
- [Pintea et al., 2017] Pintea, C.-M., Pop, P. C., and Chira, C. (2017). The generalized traveling salesman problem solved with ant algorithms. *Complex Adaptive Systems Modeling*, 5(1):8.
- [Pumain, 1997] Pumain, D. (1997). Pour une théorie évolutive des villes. *Espace géographique*, 26(2):119–134.
- [Pumain, 2005] Pumain, D. (2005). Cumulativité des connaissances. *Revue européenne des sciences sociales. European Journal of Social Sciences*, (XLIII-131):5–12.
- [Pumain, 2017] Pumain, D. (2017). Geography far from equilibrium. In *Non-Equilibrium Social Science and Policy*, pages 71–80. Springer.
- [Raimbault, 2017] Raimbault, J. (2017). An applied knowledge framework to study complex systems. In *Complex Systems Design & Management*, pages 31–45.
- [Raimbault, 2017] Raimbault, J. (2017). Exploration of an Interdisciplinary Scientific Landscape. *ArXiv e-prints*.

- [Raimbault et al., 2014] Raimbault, J., Banos, A., and Doursat, R. (2014). A hybrid network/grid model of urban morphogenesis and optimization. In *4th International Conference on Complex Systems and Applications*, pages 51–60.
- [Read et al., 2009] Read, D., Lane, D., and Van der Leeuw, S. (2009). The innovation innovation. In *Complexity perspectives in innovation and social change*, pages 43–84. Springer.
- [Reid et al., 2016] Reid, C. R., MacDonald, H., Mann, R. P., Marshall, J. A., Latty, T., and Garnier, S. (2016). Decision-making without a brain: how an amoeboid organism solves the two-armed bandit. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 13(119):20160030.
- [Schlosshauer, 2005] Schlosshauer, M. (2005). Decoherence, the measurement problem, and interpretations of quantum mechanics. *Reviews of Modern physics*, 76(4):1267.
- [Shah and Corley, 2006] Shah, S. K. and Corley, K. G. (2006). Building better theory by bridging the quantitative–qualitative divide. *Journal of management studies*, 43(8):1821–1835.
- [Thurner et al., 2017] Thurner, S., Corominas-Murtra, B., and Hanel, R. (2017). Three faces of entropy for complex systems: Information, thermodynamics, and the maximum entropy principle. *Physical Review E*, 96(3):032124.
- [Tošić and Ordonez, 2017] Tošić, P. T. and Ordonez, C. (2017). Boolean network models of collective dynamics of open and closed large-scale multi-agent systems. In *International Conference on Industrial Applications of Holonic and Multi-Agent Systems*, pages 95–110. Springer.
- [Turing, 1952] Turing, A. M. (1952). The chemical basis of morphogenesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 237(641):37–72.
- [Vattay et al., 2015] Vattay, G., Salahub, D., Csabai, I., Nassimi, A., and Kaufmann, S. A. (2015). Quantum criticality at the origin of life. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 626, page 012023. IOP Publishing.
- [Verlinde, 2017] Verlinde, E. (2017). Emergent gravity and the dark universe. *SciPost Physics*, 2(3):016.
- [West, 2017] West, G. (2017). *Scale: the universal laws of growth, innovation, sustainability, and the pace of life in organisms, cities, economies, and companies*. Penguin.
- [White et al., 2017] White, R., Recio, G., and Banzhaf, W. (2017). The necessity of disequilibrium. In *European Colloquium on Theoretical and Quantitative Geography 2017*.
- [Wolf et al., 2018] Wolf, Y. I., Katsnelson, M. I., and Koonin, E. V. (2018). Physical foundations of biological complexity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(37):E8678–E8687.