

Integrating urban models and theories

J. Raimbault^{1,2,3,4}

juste.raimbault@ign.fr

¹LASTIG, Univ Gustave Eiffel, IGN-ENSG

²CASA, UCL

³UPS CNRS 3611 ISC-PIF

⁴UMR CNRS 8504 Géographie-cités

CSH Workshop - Cities as Complex Systems

20/06/2022

① Integration of urban models

② Urban dynamics: trade-offs between SDGs

③ Multiple models of city growth

Large scale urban models are intrinsically flawed and do not reach their goals of long-term application to planning: Requiem for large scale models in 1973 [Lee Jr, 1973]

Urban analytics and Smart Cities approaches may follow the same path if they ignore the past and the complexity of cities [Batty, 2014]

To foster relevance of large urban models:

- Transparency on data and implementation, reproducibility
- Validation of models and sub-models: from small simple models well validated to larger integrated models

→ Open, reproducible urban models can be shared, coupled into modular integrated models, tested and validated [Banos, 2013]

Proposed research framework

Sustainable urban systems: (i) multiple contradictory objectives; (ii) implemented by stakeholders at different scales, within various information and power contexts; (iii) adaptive on multiple time scales.


Models are essential tools to (i) capture complexity; (ii) construct integrated perspectives; (iii) link data, empirical stylised facts and decision-making.

→ **Integrated models** to simulate multiple dimensions of **urban systems** towards decision-making in the context of **sustainable transitions**.

- Horizontal integration (model coupling and interdisciplinarity)
- Vertical integration (multi-scale models)
- Model exploration and validation methods

Horizontal integration: interdisciplinarity

Literature mapping and systematic review tools to enhance integration



Raimbault, J. (2019). Exploration of an interdisciplinary scientific landscape. *Scientometrics*, 119(2), 617-641.

Implementing horizontal model integration


Work in progress: constructing a multimodal four step transport models by linking open components and data with scientific workflow engines

Integrated models:

- MATSim model (MATSim Community) for transport
[W Axhausen et al., 2016]
- SPENSER model (University of Leeds) for synthetic population
[Spooner et al., 2021]
- QUANT model (CASA, University College London) for spatial interactions [Batty and Milton, 2021]
- spatialdata library (OpenMOLE community) for data processing
[Raimbault et al., 2020b]

Raimbault, J., & Batty, M. (2021). Estimating public transport congestion in UK urban areas with open transport models. GISRUK 2021 Proceedings.

Horizontal integration: multi-modeling and benchmarks




Benchmarking network and urban morphogenesis models

Raimbault, J. (2018). Multi-modeling the morphogenesis of transportation networks. In Artificial Life Conference Proceedings (pp. 382-383). MIT Press, Cambridge.

Raimbault, J. (2020). A comparison of simple models for urban morphogenesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.13277.

Raimbault, J. (2021). Complementarity of generative models for road networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.15206.

Vertical integration: towards multi-scale models




Processes specific to scales, coupling implies dedicated ontologies

Raimbault, J. (2021). Strong coupling between scales in a multi-scalar model of urban dynamics. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.12725.


Raimbault, J. (2021). A multiscale model of urban morphogenesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.17241.

Model exploration methods to foster knowledge integration

OpenMOLE software [Reuillon et al., 2013]: (i) Innovative exploration methods; (ii) Scaling of methods on high performance computing environments; (iii) Scripts to embed and couple models.




Validation: towards spatial sensitivity analysis




Raimbault, J., Cottineau, C., Le Texier, M., Le Nechet, F., Reuillon, R. (2019). Space Matters: Extending Sensitivity Analysis to Initial Spatial Conditions in Geosimulation Models. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, 22(4).

Raimbault, J., Perret, J., & Reuillon, R. (2020). A scala library for spatial sensitivity analysis. *GISRUK 2020 Proceedings*, 32.



Raimbault, J. (2019). Second-order control of complex systems with correlated synthetic data. *Complex Adaptive Systems Modeling*, 7(1), 1-19.



Raimbault, J., Perret, J. (2019). Generating urban morphologies at large scales. In *Artificial Life Conference Proceedings* (pp. 179-186).

1 Integration of urban models

2 Urban dynamics: trade-offs between SDGs

3 Multiple models of city growth

SDG 11: “Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable” [Nations, 2015]



- Environmental Kuznet Curve hypothesis [Dinda, 2004, Stern, 2004]: inverted U-shaped relationship between environmental impact and income per-capita; not validated empirically [Harbaugh et al., 2002]
- Trade-offs between SDGs in urban systems [Viguié and Hallegatte, 2012]

- **Innovation diffusion** is a crucial process in artificial life evolutionary systems and open-ended evolution [Bedau et al., 2000]
- Artificial societies used to study the dynamics of innovation [Zenobia et al., 2009]
- Innovations diffuse hierarchically in systems of cities [Hagerstrand, 1968], potential explanation of urban scaling laws [Pumain et al., 2006]


Innovation diffusion as a privileged entry to understand urban evolution

- Agents are cities, macroscopic scale (regional, country, continental) and long time scales (century)
- Cities characterised by their size in terms of population; genome as adoption proportions of innovations (social or technological) for each city (one single dimension to simplify)
- Following [Favaro and Pumain, 2011], attractivity of cities due to level of innovation drive their population growth through spatial interactions; innovation diffuse through an other spatial interaction model [Fotheringham and O'Kelly, 1989]
- Mutations occur in cities as new innovations appear


Model description




Model description



Model description



Model description



Model formalisation

At each time step, with $P_i(t)$ population, $\delta_{c,i}(t)$ genome, u_c utility of innovation, $p_{c,i,t}$ share of total population adopting innovation c in city i

- ① Crossover through the diffusion of innovations

$$\delta_{c,i,t} = \frac{\sum_j p_{c,j,t-1}^{\frac{1}{u_c}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{d_{ij}}{d_I}\right)}{\sum_c \sum_j p_{c,j,t-1}^{\frac{1}{u_c}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{d_{ij}}{d_I}\right)}$$

- ② Population growth through spatial interactions

$$P_i(t) - P_i(t-1) = w_I \cdot \sum_j \frac{V_{ij}}{\langle V_{ij} \rangle} \text{ with}$$

$$V_{ij} = \frac{P_i(t-1) \cdot P_j(t-1)}{\left(\sum_k P_k(t-1)\right)^2} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{d_{ij}}{d_G} \cdot \prod_c \delta_{c,i,t}^{\phi_{c,t}}\right)$$

$$\text{and } \phi_{c,t} = \sum_i \delta_{i,c,t} \cdot P_i(t-1) / \sum_{i,c} \delta_{i,c,t} \cdot P_i(t-1)$$

- ③ Mutations with innovations introduced with probability

$\beta \cdot (P_i(t) / \max_k P_k(t))^{\alpha_i}$ and an initial penetration rate r_0 ; new utility u_c randomly distributed (normal or log-normal) with average current average utility and standard deviation a given parameter σ_u

Synthetic configurations

Model applied on synthetic systems of cities (so that conclusions are independent of geographical contingencies [Raimbault et al., 2019]):

- random positions and rank-size hierarchy $P_i(0) = \frac{P_{max}}{i^{\alpha_0}}$ with $\alpha_0 = 1.0$ and $P_{max} = 100,000$
- regional urban system scale: $N = 30$ cities
- simulated for $t_f = 50$ macroscopic time steps (order of magnitude of a century)

Trade-offs between SDGs

→ Which trade-offs between innovation (SDG 9: innovation) and emissions (SDG 14: climate) in systems of cities?

Application of the urban evolution model, optimising with NSGA2 for conflicting objectives in synthetic systems of cities:


- ① total utility of innovations

$$U = \sum_{t,i,c} \delta_{t,i,c} \cdot u_c$$

- ② gravity mobility flows as proxy for emissions


$$E = \sum_{t,i,j} \frac{P_{t,i} P_{t,j}}{P_t^2} \cdot \exp(-d_{ij}/d_G)$$

Trade-offs between SDG9 and SDG14




Pareto front confirms the existence of a trade-off

Influence of urban hierarchy



Higher inter-urban inequalities yield stronger trade-offs

Influence of innovation hierarchy



*More balanced innovation yield higher utilities and less emissions
(dominating Pareto front)*

Extension and link with empirical data

Work in progress: empirical stylised facts on possible trade-offs in systems of cities; model parametrisation with real data (patents and spatialised emissions); extension to other SDGs.

Issues:

- ① Patent data as a proxy for innovation
 - Geolocation of inventors not straightforward
[Bergeaud and Verluise, 2021, De Rassenfosse et al., 2019]
 - Which technological (sub-)classes? Model with one dimension
(extension with a matrix genome?)
 - Semantic content to better capture innovation diffusion?
[Bergeaud et al., 2017]
- ② Emissions: inter-urban mobility emissions difficult to capture (need an additional transport model?)
- ③ Additional dimensions: accessibility and public transport networks, economic prosperity and inequalities
 - coupling with other layers for these dimensions
[Raimbault et al., 2020a]
 - many-objective optimisation? (NSGA3)

1 Integration of urban models

2 Urban dynamics: trade-offs between SDGs

3 Multiple models of city growth

City growth models: Gibrat's legacy

Simple law for city population growth proposed by R. Gibrat in 1931 [Gibrat, 1931]

$$\frac{P_i(t+1) - P_i(t)}{P_i(t)} \sim \eta(t)$$

Proportional growth: growth rates statistical distribution independent of city size

- Produces a log-normal size distribution [Eeckhout, 2004] (not a Pareto one - Zipf's law)
- Extended model with minimal size for cities yields Zipf [Gabaix, 1999]; other model with heterogenous variances [Córdoba, 2008]
- Empirical investigations confirm Gibrat's law seems to hold: US [Ioannides and Overman, 2003], France [Pumain and Moriconi-Ebrard, 1997], but not for China on some time periods [Ning et al., 2022]
- Issues of city definition for estimation [Berry and Okulicz-Kozaryn, 2012] (as for scaling laws [Cottineau et al., 2017])

Simon and preferential attachment

Herbert Simon in 1955 proposed a model for city growth [Simon, 1955], which is analog to generalised preferential attachment with new entrants [Yamasaki et al., 2006]

- Extended to include inter-city migrations [Haran and Vining Jr, 1973]
- Link between Gibrat model and generalised preferential attachment [Raimbault, 2018a]: preferential attachment at the individual level with strength λ and new entrant probability m yields a Gibrat's law in the limit $\lambda \ll 1$ such that

$$\eta_i(t) = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{m \cdot (t - 1)}$$

More elaborated extensions of city growth models, including urban interactions:

Synergetics and migrations [Haag et al., 1992], urban dynamics based on spatial interactions [Pumain, 2012], stochastic model with Taylor's law (conditional variance scales with average) [James et al., 2018], stochastic model with migrations [Verbavatz and Barthelemy, 2020], ...

How to benchmark and validate models?

- Validation criteria: stationary distribution of population, dynamics, migrations, other dimensions (social, economic), non-linear indicators (rank dynamics), qualitative behavior, ... ?
- Data construction and quality: see Geodiversity ERC project [Pumain, 2020]
- Which geographical case studies: systems of cities, periods, definition of systems, of cities, ... ? [Raimbault et al., 2020a]
- Potential complementarity of models? Multi-modeling relevant at the mesoscopic scale for population density [Raimbault, 2020], road networks [Raimbault, 2021a], and building layouts [Raimbault and Perret, 2019]

- **Integrated models** capturing complexity of **urban sustainability** towards **decision-making**.
- **Robust** knowledge from models obtained with the development of **validation and exploration methods**.
- **Complementarity** of perspectives, models and theories in an **interdisciplinary** context.

To use **OpenMOLE** (free and open software) and contribute:
<https://next.openmole.org>

Presented model open source at
<https://github.com/JusteRaimbault/UrbanEvolution>

References I

-  Banos, A. (2013).
Pour des pratiques de modélisation et de simulation libérées en géographie et SHS.
PhD thesis, Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne.
-  Batty, M. (2014).
Can it happen again? planning support, lee's requiem and the rise of the smart cities movement.
Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 41(3):388–391.
-  Batty, M. and Milton, R. (2021).
A new framework for very large-scale urban modelling.
Urban Studies, 58(15):3071–3094.

References II

-  Bedau, M. A., McCaskill, J. S., Packard, N. H., Rasmussen, S., Adami, C., Green, D. G., Ikegami, T., Kaneko, K., and Ray, T. S. (2000).
Open problems in artificial life.
Artificial life, 6(4):363–376.
-  Bergeaud, A., Potiron, Y., and Raimbault, J. (2017).
Classifying patents based on their semantic content.
PloS one, 12(4):e0176310.
-  Bergeaud, A. and Verluise, C. (2021).
Patentcity: A century of innovation: New data and facts.
-  Berry, B. J. and Okulicz-Kozaryn, A. (2012).
The city size distribution debate: Resolution for us urban regions and megalopolitan areas.
Cities, 29:S17–S23.

References III

-  Córdoba, J. C. (2008).
A generalized gibrat's law.
International Economic Review, 49(4):1463–1468.
-  Cottineau, C., Hatna, E., Arcaute, E., and Batty, M. (2017).
Diverse cities or the systematic paradox of urban scaling laws.
Computers, environment and urban systems, 63:80–94.
-  De Rassenfosse, G., Kozak, J., and Seliger, F. (2019).
Geocoding of worldwide patent data.
Scientific data, 6(1):1–15.
-  Dinda, S. (2004).
Environmental kuznets curve hypothesis: a survey.
Ecological economics, 49(4):431–455.
-  Eeckhout, J. (2004).
Gibrat's law for (all) cities.
American Economic Review, 94(5):1429–1451.

References IV

-  Favaro, J.-M. and Pumain, D. (2011).
Gibrat revisited: An urban growth model incorporating spatial interaction and innovation cycles.
Geographical Analysis, 43(3):261–286.
-  Fotheringham, A. S. and O'Kelly, M. E. (1989).
Spatial interaction models: formulations and applications, volume 1.
Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht.
-  Gabaix, X. (1999).
Zipf's law for cities: an explanation.
The Quarterly journal of economics, 114(3):739–767.
-  Gibrat, R. (1931).
Les inégalités économiques.
Sirey.

References V

-  Haag, G., Munz, M., Pumain, D., Sanders, L., and Saint-Julien, T. (1992).
Interurban migration and the dynamics of a system of cities: 1. the stochastic framework with an application to the french urban system.
Environment and Planning A, 24(2):181–198.
-  Hagerstrand, T. (1968).
Innovation diffusion as a spatial process.
Innovation diffusion as a spatial process.
-  Haran, E. and Vining Jr, D. R. (1973).
A modified yule-simon model allowing for intercity migration and accounting for the observed form of the size distribution of cities.
Journal of Regional Science, 13(3):421–437.

References VI

-  Harbaugh, W. T., Levinson, A., and Wilson, D. M. (2002).
Reexamining the empirical evidence for an environmental kuznets curve.
Review of Economics and Statistics, 84(3):541–551.
-  Ioannides, Y. M. and Overman, H. G. (2003).
Zipf's law for cities: an empirical examination.
Regional science and urban economics, 33(2):127–137.
-  James, C., Azaele, S., Maritan, A., and Simini, F. (2018).
Zipf's and taylor's laws.
Physical Review E, 98(3):032408.
-  Lee Jr, D. B. (1973).
Requiem for large-scale models.
Journal of the American Institute of planners, 39(3):163–178.

References VII

-  Nations, U. (2015). Sustainable development goals. united nations.
-  Ning, Y., Liu, S., Zhao, S., Liu, M., Gao, H., and Gong, P. (2022). Urban growth rates, trajectories, and multi-dimensional disparities in china. *Cities*, page 103717.
-  Pumain, D. (2012). Multi-agent system modelling for urban systems: The series of simpop models. In *Agent-based models of geographical systems*, pages 721–738. Springer.
-  Pumain, D. (2020). *Theories and models of urbanization*. Springer.

References VIII

-  Pumain, D. and Moriconi-Ebrard, F. (1997).
City size distributions and metropolisation.
Geojournal, 43(4):307–314.
-  Pumain, D., Paulus, F., Vacchiani-Marcuzzo, C., and Lobo, J. (2006).
An evolutionary theory for interpreting urban scaling laws.
Cybergeo: European Journal of Geography.
-  Rambault, J. (2018a).
Caractérisation et modélisation de la co-évolution des réseaux de transport et des territoires.
PhD thesis, Université Paris 7 Denis Diderot.
-  Rambault, J. (2018b).
Multi-modeling the morphogenesis of transportation networks.
In *European Conference on Artificial Life (ALIFE 2018)*, volume 2018, pages 382–383. MIT Press.

References IX

-  Rimbault, J. (2019a).
Exploration of an interdisciplinary scientific landscape.
Scientometrics, 119(2):617–641.
-  Rimbault, J. (2019b).
Second-order control of complex systems with correlated synthetic data.
Complex Adaptive Systems Modeling, 7(1):1–19.
-  Rimbault, J. (2020).
A comparison of simple models for urban morphogenesis.
arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.13277.
-  Rimbault, J. (2021a).
Complementarity of generative models for road networks.
arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.15206.

-  Rimbault, J. (2021b).
A multiscale model of urban morphogenesis.
arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.17241.
-  Rimbault, J. (2021c).
Strong coupling between scales in a multi-scalar model of urban dynamics.
arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.12725.
-  Rimbault, J. and Batty, M. (2021).
Estimating public transport congestion in uk urban areas with open transport models.
arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.14359.

References XI

-  Rimbault, J., Cottineau, C., Le Texier, M., Le Néchet, F., and Reuillon, R. (2019).
Space matters: Extending sensitivity analysis to initial spatial conditions in geosimulation models.
Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation, 22(4).
-  Rimbault, J., Denis, E., and Pumain, D. (2020a).
Empowering urban governance through urban science: Multi-scale dynamics of urban systems worldwide.
Sustainability, 12(15):5954.
-  Rimbault, J. and Perret, J. (2019).
Generating urban morphologies at large scales.
In *The 2019 Conference on Artificial Life*, pages 179–186. MIT Press.
-  Rimbault, J., Perret, J., and Reuillon, R. (2020b).
A scala library for spatial sensitivity analysis.
arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.10667.

References XII

-  Reuillon, R., Leclaire, M., and Rey-Coyrehourcq, S. (2013). Openmole, a workflow engine specifically tailored for the distributed exploration of simulation models. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 29(8):1981–1990.
-  Simon, H. A. (1955). On a class of skew distribution functions. *Biometrika*, 42(3/4):425–440.
-  Spooner, F., Abrams, J. F., Morrissey, K., Shaddick, G., Batty, M., Milton, R., Dennett, A., Lomax, N., Malleson, N., Nelissen, N., et al. (2021). A dynamic microsimulation model for epidemics. *Social Science & Medicine*, 291:114461.
-  Stern, D. I. (2004). The rise and fall of the environmental kuznets curve. *World development*, 32(8):1419–1439.

References XIII

-  Verbavatz, V. and Barthelemy, M. (2020).
The growth equation of cities.
Nature, 587(7834):397–401.
-  Viguié, V. and Hallegatte, S. (2012).
Trade-offs and synergies in urban climate policies.
Nature Climate Change, 2(5):334–337.
-  W Axhausen, K., Horni, A., and Nagel, K. (2016).
The multi-agent transport simulation MATSim.
Ubiquity Press.
-  Yamasaki, K., Matia, K., Buldyrev, S. V., Fu, D., Pammolli, F., Riccaboni, M., and Stanley, H. E. (2006).
Preferential attachment and growth dynamics in complex systems.
Physical Review E, 74(3):035103.

-  Zenobia, B., Weber, C., and Daim, T. (2009).
Artificial markets: A review and assessment of a new venue for
innovation research.
Technovation, 29(5):338–350.