Evaluating Different Models for HW 4

| PART 2 | |
|--------|--|
|--------|--|

| | | | Num. of | Text | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| . . | _ | | Words in | Sequence | | | | | |
| Part | Type | Epochs | Tokenizer | Max Length | output_dim | Accuracy | Loss | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 1 | 5 | 5 | 128 | 59.058% | 67.246% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 5 | 5 | 5 | 128 | 59.042% | 66.840% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 10 | 5 | 5 | 128 | 58.792% | 66.820% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 1 | 50 | 20 | 128 | 80.017% | 43.463% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 5 | 50 | 20 | 128 | 82.225% | 39.628% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 10 | 50 | 20 | 128 | 83.533% | 36.650% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 1 | 200 | 35 | 128 | 90.283% | 24.218% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 5 | 200 | 35 | 128 | 92.308% | 19.547% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 10 | 200 | 35 | 128 | 93.225% | 17.566% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 1 | 400 | 50 | 128 | 93.625% | 16.541% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 5 | 400 | 50 | 128 | 95.633% | 11.731% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 10 | 400 | 50 | 128 | 96.058% | 10.705% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 1 | 800 | 75 | 128 | 96.283% | 9.881% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 5 | 800 | 75 | 128 | 97.808% | 6.299% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 10 | 800 | 75 | 128 | 98.075% | 5.574% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 1 | 800 | 100 | 128 | 97.008% | 8.267% | Precision | Recall |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 5 | 800 | 100 | 128 | 98.183% | 5.117% | 98.720% | 96.540% |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 10 | 800 | 100 | 128 | 98.450% | 4.787% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 1 | 10000 | 100 | 128 | 98.575% | 3.838% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 5 | 10000 | 100 | 128 | 99.117% | 3.502% | | |
| 2 | Basic Sequential | 10 | 10000 | 100 | 128 | 99.142% | 4.238% | | |

PART 3

Starting with the best model from part 2:

| | | | Num. of | Text | | | | | |
|------|---------------------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | Words in | Sequence | | | | | |
| Part | Type | Epochs | Tokenizer | Max Length | output_dim | Accuracy | Loss | Precision | Recall |
| 2 | 2 Basic Sequential | 5 | 800 | 100 | 128 | 98.183% | 5.117% | 98.720% | 96.540% |
| 3 | 3 RNN + Dense Layer | 5 | 800 | 100 | 128 | 70.717% | 56.516% | | |
| 3 | 3 RNN | 5 | 800 | 100 | 128 | 84.775% | 33.474% | | |
| 3 | S CNN | 5 | 800 | 100 | 128 | 98.908% | 4.312% | Precision | Recall |
| 3 | S CNN | 2 | 800 | 100 | 128 | 98.925% | 3.086% | 98.900% | 98.120% |
| 3 | B LSTM | 5 | 800 | 100 | 128 | 98.483% | 4.911% | | |

PART 4 (see next page for PART 4.2)

Starting with the best model from part 3:

| | | | Num. of | Text | | | | | |
|------|------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | Words in | Sequence | | | | | |
| Part | Type | Epochs | Tokenizer | Max Length | output_dim | Accuracy | Loss | Precision | Recall |
| 3 | CNN | 2 | 800 | 100 | 128 | 98.925% | 3.086% | 98.900% | 98.120% |
| 4 | CNN | 2 | 600 | 100 | 128 | 98.700% | 3.770% | | |
| 4 | CNN | 2 | 400 | 100 | 128 | 97.483% | 6.798% | | |
| 4 | CNN | 2 | 200 | 100 | 128 | 98.092% | 5.614% | 98.170% | 97.770% |
| 4 | CNN | 2 | 100 | 100 | 128 | 97.583% | 7.064% | | |
| 4 | CNN | 2 | 50 | 100 | 128 | 96.442% | 9.792% | | |
| 4 | CNN | 2 | 25 | 100 | 128 | 93.992% | 15.565% | | |
| 4 | CNN | 2 | 5 | 100 | 128 | 77.692% | 46.499% | | |

PART 4.2: output_dim

| | | | Num. of | Text | | | | | |
|------|------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | Words in | Sequence | | | | | |
| Part | Type | Epochs | Tokenizer | Max Length | output_dim | Accuracy | Loss | Precision | Recall |
| 4.1 | CNN | 2 | 200 | 100 | 128 | 98.092% | 5.614% | 98.170% | 97.770% |
| 4.2 | CNN | 2 | 200 | 100 | 256 | 97.150% | 7.895% | 98.160% | 97.730% |
| 4.2 | CNN | 2 | 200 | 100 | 64 | 98.083% | 5.464% | 97.530% | 97.850% |
| 4.2 | CNN | 2 | 200 | 100 | 32 | 97.483% | 7.381% | 99.010% | 95.270% |
| 4.2 | CNN | 2 | 200 | 100 | 16 | 97.175% | 7.818% | 97.060% | 97.080% |
| 4.2 | CNN | 2 | 200 | 100 | 8 | 96.342% | 9.583% | 96.570% | 96.590% |
| 4.2 | CNN | 2 | 200 | 100 | 4 | 95.300% | 12.198% | 96.280% | 94.730% |
| 4.2 | CNN | 2 | 200 | 100 | 2 | 94.225% | 15.156% | 88.080% | 97.330% |