

# Notes on Manifolds

Justin H. Le

Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering

University of Nevada, Las Vegas

lejustin.lv@gmail.com

August 3, 2016

## 1 Multilinear maps

A tensor  $\mathcal{T}$  of order  $r$  can be expressed as the *tensor product* of  $r$  vectors:

$$\mathcal{T} = u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \dots \otimes u_r \quad (1)$$

We herein fix  $r = 3$  whenever it eases exposition. Recall that a vector  $u \in U$  can be expressed as the combination of the basis vectors of  $U$ . Transform these basis vectors with a matrix  $A$ , and if the resulting vector  $u'$  is equivalent to  $uA$ , then the components of  $u$  are said to be *covariant*. If  $u' = A^{-1}u$ , i.e., the vector changes inversely with the change of basis, then the components of  $u$  are *contravariant*. By *Einstein notation*, we index the covariant components of a tensor in subscript and the contravariant components in superscript.

Just as the components of a vector  $u$  can be indexed by an integer  $i$  (as in  $u_i$ ), tensor components can be indexed as  $\mathcal{T}_{ijk}$ . Additionally, as we can view a matrix to be a linear map  $M : U \rightarrow V$  from one finite-dimensional vector space to another, we can consider a tensor to be *multilinear* map  $\mathcal{T} : V^{*r} \times V^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $V^s$  denotes the  $s$ -th-order Cartesian product of vector space  $V$  with itself and likewise for its algebraic dual space  $V^*$ . In this sense, a tensor maps an ordered sequence of vectors to one of its (scalar) components. Just as a linear map satisfies  $M(a_1u_1 + a_2u_2) = a_1M(u_1) + a_2M(u_2)$ , we call an  $r$ -th-order tensor multilinear if it satisfies

$$\mathcal{T}(u_1, \dots, a_1v_1 + a_2v_2, \dots, u_r) = a_1\mathcal{T}(u_1, \dots, v_1, \dots, u_r) + a_2\mathcal{T}(u_1, \dots, v_2, \dots, u_r), \quad (2)$$

for scalars  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ .

Let  $\{\mathcal{T}_t\}$  be the set of all tensors. Endow  $\{\mathcal{T}_t\}$  with the binary operator  $+$  that maps two tensors,  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$ , to a tensor whose  $ijk$ -th component is the scalar addition of the  $ijk$ -th components of  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$ . Let scalar multiplication be defined on  $\{\mathcal{T}_t\}$  such that the multiplication of  $\mathcal{T} \in \{\mathcal{T}_t\}$  by a scalar  $a$  results in a multiplication of its components by  $a$ . Further endow the set with the tensor product operator as defined above. Then with these operators,  $\{\mathcal{T}_t\}$  forms an algebra over the field  $\{a_t\}$ , the set of all  $a$  for which the above properties hold.

## 2 Smooth manifolds

Let  $X$  be any set and  $T$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ . Call the members of  $T$  *open sets*.  $T$  forms a topology on  $X$  if its members satisfy:

- $T$  contains  $X$  and the empty set  $\emptyset$
- Arbitrary unions of open sets are open
- Finite intersections of open sets are open

Then  $(X, T)$  is a *topological space*. For simplicity, we often omit  $T$  and refer to a topological space by its underlying set  $X$ .

An open set containing  $x \in X$  is a *neighborhood* of  $x$ .  $T$  is *Hausdorff* if there exist disjoint sets  $A, B \in T$  such that  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ ,  $\forall a, b \in X$ . That is, on a Hausdorff topological space, any two points lie in disjoint neighborhoods.

**Proposition 2.1.** *A subspace of a Hausdorff space is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  and  $G \subseteq H$  be topological spaces. (We omit their topologies for simplicity.) Suppose  $G$  is not Hausdorff. Then for some  $a, b \in G$ , there exist  $A, B \subseteq G$  such that  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ , and  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Yet,  $a, b \in H$  and  $A, B \subseteq H$ , so the same can be said for  $H$ . Thus,  $G$  not Hausdorff implies  $H$  not Hausdorff.  $\square$

Recall that a map  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at a point  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $|x - c| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Intuitively, this definition of continuity seems to highlight a relationship between "neighborhoods" of size  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$ . We can analogously understand continuity of maps between topological spaces.

Let  $X, Y$  be two topological spaces and  $A \in X, B \in Y$  be open sets. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if  $\forall B \in Y$  and  $\forall x \in X$ , there exists a neighborhood  $A$  of  $x$  such that  $f(A) \in B$ . Equivalently, we can say that the inverse image of an open set in  $Y$  is open.

If both  $f$  and its inverse are continuous, then  $f$  is a *homeomorphism*. Then there exists a continuous map  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ g = g \circ f = 1$ . Then  $X$  and  $Y$  are *homeomorphic* or *topologically equivalent*.

Recall that a map  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is smooth if  $\partial^k f / \partial x^k$  exists and is continuous  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Equivalently, we say that  $f$  is  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . We can similarly define smoothness for maps between open sets.

Let  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open sets. The map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is smooth if every component of the Jacobian matrix

$$Df(x) := \left[ \frac{\partial^i f}{\partial x^j} \right] \quad (3)$$

exists and is continuous  $\forall i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Here, we note that, for a single component of  $f$  (i.e., a map  $f^i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ),

$$\frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^n f^i}{\partial x^1 \dots \partial x^n}. \quad (4)$$

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a homeomorphism, where  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  are open sets. If both  $f$  and its inverse are smooth, then  $f$  is a *diffeomorphism*.

A topological space  $X$  is *locally Euclidean* if  $\forall x_i \in X$  with neighborhood  $U_i$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $\phi_i$  from  $U_i$  to a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We refer to the set  $\{U_i\}$  as the coordinate neighborhoods of  $X$ ,  $\{\phi_i\}$  as the coordinate maps, and each pair  $(U_i, \phi_i)$  as a chart. An *atlas* on  $X$  is a set of charts whose neighborhoods form a countable covering of  $X$ . An atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal if any other atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e., no atlas is larger.

A *topological manifold*  $M$  (or simply a *manifold*) is a topological space that is:

- second countable (its basis is countable)
- Hausdorff (pairs of points belong to some disjoint neighborhoods)
- locally Euclidean ( $\exists \phi : U \rightarrow (V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n)$  as above)

Let  $(U_i, \phi_i)$  and  $(U_j, \phi_j)$  be two charts with overlapping  $U_i$  and  $U_j$ , i.e.,  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ . We call  $\phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1}$  a *transition function* of the atlas formed by these charts. We say that  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j$  are *compatible*.

A space with a maximal atlas is a manifold. Furthermore, if a space has any atlas, it is also a manifold due to the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** *On a locally Euclidean space, every atlas is a subset of a unique maximal atlas.*

A *smooth manifold* is a topological manifold whose transition functions  $\phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1}$  are diffeomorphisms. In this case, we say that  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j$  are  $C^\infty$ -compatible, and we refer to the atlas as a  $C^\infty$  atlas or a smooth structure. As before, a topological space is a smooth manifold if it is second countable, Hausdorff, and has a maximal smooth structure (i.e., has any  $C^\infty$  atlas).

## References

- [1] James Raymond Munkres. *Topology*. Prentice Hall, 2000.
- [2] Peter Petersen. “Manifold Theory”.
- [3] Paul Renteln. *Manifolds, Tensors, and Forms*. Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [4] Loring W Tu. *An Introduction to Manifolds*. Springer, 2000.