Problems in Riemann integration

Justin H. Le
Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering
University of Nevada, Las Vegas
lejustin.lv@gmail.com

November 10, 2016

1 Introduction

Proposition 1.1. Let $x \in [0,1]$ and define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \ irrational \\ \frac{1}{n} & x = \frac{m}{n} \end{cases} , \tag{1}$$

where m and n are integers with no factors in common (except 1). Then f is Riemann integrable.

Proof. Define a partition of [0, 1] such that $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_k = 1$ and

$$x_{1} - x_{0} < \frac{\epsilon}{k}$$

$$x_{2} - x_{1} < \frac{\epsilon}{k}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{k} - x_{k-1} < \frac{\epsilon}{k}$$

$$(2)$$

Let P be the set of all the above intervals for a fixed k. As k increases, the intervals grow smaller, ϵ decreases, and we say that "the partition P grows finer".

To satisfy Riemann integrability, we'd like to show that $\inf_P U_P(f) = \sup_P L_P(f)$, where

$$L_P(f) = \sum_{i=0}^k m_i (x_k - x_{k-1})$$
(3)

$$U_P(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} M_i(x_k - x_{k-1})$$
(4)

$$m_i = \inf_{[x_i, x_{i-1})} f(x)$$
 (5)

$$M_i = \sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1})} f(x)$$
 (6)

Since an irrational number lies in every interval $[x_i, x_{i-1})$, the infimum of f on each interval is 0. So, $m_i = 0$ for all i and $L_P(f) = 0$. Then $\sup_P L_P(f) = 0$. Now, we only need to show that $\inf_P U_P(f) = 0$.

Since a rational number lies in every interval, the supremum of f on each interval is the supremum of 1/n, which is 1. So, $M_i = 1$ for all i. Then, by the partition that we defined in 2, we have

$$U_P(f) = \sum_{i=0}^k M_i(x_k - x_{k-1}) = 1 \cdot (k \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{k}) = \epsilon .$$
 (7)

As the partition becomes finer, the intervals grow smaller and ϵ tends to zero. So, we've shown that $U_P(f)$ tends to 0. That is, $\inf_P U_P(f) = 0$. Then $\inf_P U_P(f) = \sup_P L_P(f) = 0$.

Proposition 1.2. Let $x \in [0,1]$ and define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \neq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 & x = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$
 (8)

Then f is Riemann integrable.

Proof. Define a partition of [0, 1] such that $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_k = 1$ and

$$x_{1} - x_{0} < \epsilon$$

$$x_{2} - x_{1} < \epsilon$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{k} - x_{k-1} < \epsilon . \tag{9}$$

Let the partition P denote the set of all the above intervals for a fixed k.

The infimum of each interval is 1, regardless of whether the interval contains $x = \frac{1}{2}$. Knowing this, let's determine whether there exists a partition P such that $U_P(f) - L_P(f) < \epsilon$ for any ϵ , as in Lemma A.1.

For any P, there exists ℓ such that $\frac{1}{2} \in [x_{\ell-1}, x_{\ell})$. Then on this interval, $M_{\ell} = 2$. Computing the upper sum,

$$U_{P}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}(x_{i} - x_{i-1})$$

$$= M_{\ell}(x_{\ell} - x_{\ell-1}) + \sum_{i=1, i \neq \ell}^{n} M_{i}(x_{i} - x_{i-1})$$

$$< 2\epsilon + 1 \cdot (n-1)\epsilon$$

$$= \epsilon(n+1), \qquad (10)$$

where (n-1) arises from the fact that there are (n-1) terms in the sum remaining after excluding the interval that contains $\frac{1}{2}$. (Only one interval may contain $\frac{1}{2}$, by the definition of a partition.)

From the above expression, we can show that there exists n (and therefore a P) such that Lemma A.1 is satisfied. To satisfy the lemma, we must have

$$U_P(f) - L_P(f) < \epsilon(n+1) - 1 \le \epsilon \tag{11}$$

So, given any ϵ , there exists $n \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ that satisfies the above inequality. Then, f is Riemann integrable.

Proposition 1.3. Suppose that f is Riemann integrable on [a,b] and $f(x) \geq 0$ for all x. Then

- (A) $\int_a^b f(x)dx \ge 0$ and
- (B) if $\int_a^b f(x)dx = 0$ and f is continuous, then f(x) = 0 for all $x \in [a,b]$.

Proof. Define a partition of [a, b] such that $a = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_k = b$. Let $x_k^* \in [x_k, x_{k-1}]$. Then, for all n,

$$S_n(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k^*)(x_k - x_{k-1})$$

$$\geq \sum_{k=1}^n 0 \cdot (x_k - x_{k-1})$$

$$= 0.$$
(12)

Since $S_n(f) \to as \ n \to b$, the above result implies that $\int_a^b f(x)dx \ge 0$.

To prove (B), suppose that there exists $x_0 \in [a, b]$ such that $f(x_0) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, assume that $f(x_0) > 0$. Also, suppose that f is continuous on its domain, so that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad |x - x_0| \le \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x) - f(x_0)| \le \epsilon$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad f(x_0) - \epsilon \le f(x) . \tag{13}$$

Then, we can show that the integral of f over its domain must be greater than zero.

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \int_{a}^{x_{0}-\delta} f(x)dx + \int_{x_{0}-\delta}^{x_{0}+\delta} f(x)dx + \int_{x_{0}+\delta}^{b} f(x)dx
= 0 + \int_{x_{0}-\delta}^{x_{0}+\delta} f(x)dx + 0
> \int_{x_{0}-\delta}^{x_{0}+\delta} f(x_{0})dx
> 2\delta f(x_{0})
> 0,$$
(14)

where the final line follows from the fact that both δ and $f(x_0)$ are greater than zero. So, the integral is non-zero, and (B) is proven by contraposition.

2 Supplement

Proposition 2.1. Let f be a continuous function on [a,b] and

$$F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t)dt . {15}$$

Then F is Lipschitz continuous on [a, b].

Proof. First, note that f is bounded, since it's continuous on a closed and bounded interval. That is, $\exists M$ such that $f(x) \leq M$ for all x.

Let $x_1 \in [a, b]$ and $x_2 \in [a, b]$. Without loss of generality, let $x_1 < x_2$. Then,

$$|F(x_{2}) - F(x_{1})| = \left| \int_{a}^{x_{2}} f(x) dx - \int_{a}^{x_{1}} f(x) dx \right|$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} \left| \int_{a}^{x_{1}} f(x) dx + \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} f(x) dx - \int_{a}^{x_{1}} f(x) dx \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} f(x) dx \right|$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{<} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} |f(x)| dx$$

$$\leq \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} M dx$$

$$= M(x_{2} - x_{1}). \tag{16}$$

Line (a) follows from Lemma A.3. Line (b) follows from Lemma A.2. By the assumption that $x_1 < x_2$, we see that $x_2 - x_1$ is always positive. So, the final line implies that $|F(x_2) - F(x_1)| < M|x_2 - x_1|$, which proves the Lipschitzianity of F.

Proposition 2.2. Let f be a continuous function on [a,b] and

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(x)dx = 0 , \qquad (17)$$

for every x_1 and x_2 in [a,b]. Then f(x) = 0 for all x.

Proof. Suppose that there exists $x^* \in [a, b]$ such that $f(x^*) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, let $f(x^*) > 0$. Since f is continuous on its domain, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - x^*| \leq \delta$ implies $f(x) - f(x^*) \leq \epsilon$. Then, $f(x^*) - \epsilon \leq f(x)$.

Now, suppose that $x_1 = x^* - \delta$ and $x_2 = x^* + \delta$. Then,

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(x)dx \ge \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(x^*)dx
= \int_{x^*-\delta}^{x^*+\delta} f(x^*)dx
= (x^* + \delta - (x^* - \delta))f(x^*)
= 2\delta f(x^*)
> 0$$
(18)

Then there exists x_1 and x_2 such that the integral is non-zero, and the proposition is proven by contraposition.

Proposition 2.3. Let f be a continuous function on [a,b]. Then, there exists $x_0 \in [a,b]$ such that

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \ . \tag{19}$$

Proof. Since f is continuous on a closed and bounded interval, it achieves its infimum and supremum on that interval. So, let c and d be in [a,b] such that $c = \inf_{[a,b]} f(x)$ and $d = \sup_{[a,b]} f(x)$. Then,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(c)dx \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(d)dx$$

$$(b-a)f(c) \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \leq (b-a)f(d)$$

$$f(c) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \leq f(d)$$

Then, by the Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists $x_0 \in [c,d]$ such that $f(x_0) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx$. Since $[c,d] \subseteq [a,b]$, it follows that x_0 is also in [a,b].

A Some useful results

Lemma A.1. Let f be a bounded function on [a,b]. Suppose that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a partition P such that

$$U_P(f) - L_P(f) \le \epsilon . (20)$$

Then f is Riemann integrable.

Lemma A.2. Let f be a continuous function on [a, b]. Then,

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx \right| \le \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|dx \tag{21}$$

Lemma A.3. Let f be a continuous function on [a,b] and $a \le c \le b$. Then,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \int_{a}^{c} f(x)dx + \int_{c}^{b} f(x)dx \tag{22}$$