TEAM:			

JUDGE:	
	-

Criteria	Excellent (Exceptional performance, fully meets criteria and exceeds expectations.)	Satisfactory (Meets criteria adequately but may have minor deficiencies.)	Needs Improvement (Some aspects meet criteria, but significant improvements are needed.)	Not Present (Criteria not met or not addressed)
Data Selection (10 points)	(7-10 points): Dataset is relevant, well-defined, and directly applicable to team's project objectives with clear justifications.	(4-6 points): Dataset is relevant but lacks the depth or clarity in its relation to project objectives.	(1-3 points): Dataset chosen lacks relevance or clarity for project objectives, with no clear justifications.	
Data Preparation and Management (10 points)	(7-10 points): Comprehensive and effective data preparation. Resulting in high-quality data ready for analysis.	(4-6 points): Some data preparation completed. Quality issues remain.	(1-3 points): Minimal data preparation. Incomplete or poorly executed, evident quality issues.	
Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) (15 points)	(11-15 points): Comprehensive EDA with informative visualizations providing clear context for the project.	(6-10 points): Some EDA conducted, but lacks depth or relevance in findings or visualizations.	(1-5 points): EDA lacks depth with few or irrelevant visualizations.	

Criteria	Excellent (Exceptional performance, fully meets criteria and exceeds expectations.)	Satisfactory (Meets criteria adequately but may have minor deficiencies.)	Needs Improvement (Some aspects meet criteria, but significant improvements are needed.)	Not Present (Criteria not met or not addressed)
Feature & Statistical Analysis (15 points)	(11-15 points): Thorough feature and statistical analysis performed. Significant contribution to project understanding.	(6-10 points): Initial/partial feature and statistical analysis performed. Lacking in depth or relevance.	(1-5 points): Data fields are not properly discussed or utilized; limited feature and statistical analysis performed.	
Machine Learning Model (20 points)	(15-20 points): Model solves/ contributes to project vision, with well-discussed findings and clear connections to the project. Tested many models before selecting one optimal solution.	(8-14 points): Model findings are discussed. Lacking conclusive results or clear connection to project vision. Tested few models before selecting an optimal solution.	(1-7 points): Model selection is poor or performance evaluation is inadequate. Lacks any brainstorming/ testing of models.	
Presentation & Communicatio n (20 points)	(15-20 points): Engaging presentation and well-structured with clear communication of findings and storytelling. Presentation is within time limits.	(8-14 points): Presentation is adequate. Prevalent issues related to structure or communication. Lack of effective storytelling or timing.	(1-7 points): Presentation lacks structure or effective communication of findings or storytelling.	

TOTAL SCORE: _____ / 90

TEAM:		

Criteria	Excellent (Exceptional performance, fully meets criteria and exceeds expectations.)	Satisfactory (Meets criteria adequately but may have minor deficiencies.)	Needs Improvement (Some aspects meet criteria, but significant improvements are needed.)	Not Present (Criteria not met or not addressed)
GitHub/ ReadME (5 points)	(5 points): Project context and conventions clearly stated. Well-structured directory including notebooks, data, etc.	(3 points): Project context and conventions are moderately discussed. Directory lacks strong structure.	(0 point): Poor discussion of project context and conventions. GitHub lacks structure entirely.	
Attendance (5 points)	(5 points): Team members have been consistently coming to meetings and are actively engaged. Teams submitted their projects on time.	(3 points): Team members have satisfactory attendance and have consistent check ins with project lead.	(0 point): Insufficient attendance and lack of accountability.	

TOTAL SCORE: _____ / 10