A Doomsday Vault of Software Engineering Tools

Archiving Software Engineering Tools from ICSE and FSE 2011 through 2014

Emerson Murphy-Hill
Department of Computer
Science
NC State University
Raleigh, NC, USA 27695
emerson@csc.ncsu.edu

ABSTRACT

Many innovative software engineering tools appear at the field's premier venues, the International Software Engineering Conference (ICSE) and the Foundations of Software Engineering (FSE). But what happens to these tools after they were presented? In this paper, we spend 10,000 hours trying to obtain, download, use, and repackage 150 tools from ICSE and FSE's tool demonstration tracks. Our results enumerate the practical and accidental reasons that software engineering tools fail to work over time, and provide practical implications for creating lasting tools.

CCS Concepts

•General and reference \rightarrow *Empirical studies*;

Keywords

Software engineering tools; replication

1. INTRODUCTION

What tool demos are. Why they're important.

Why it's important that they last. - future work must built on past work - one other way to figure out what those in the past did and learned

why its hard to get tools to last. - no requirement for archiving tools - shifting platforms - data loss - implicit information

in this paper, we contribute: - an evaluation of how difficult it is to get past tools working - re-package existing tools with an eye towards maximizing durability (do we need a word?)

2. RELATED WORK

Artifact evaluation committees. (SIGPLAN, where else?)
Other fields: - Data science (doing better - http://zenodo.org)
- MPC journal requires code submission (tarball) - In gen-

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

FSE '16 November 13-19, 2016, Seattle, WA, USA

© 2015 ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-2138-9.

DOI: 10.1145/1235

eral, Math not doing a lot with code sub submissions - Security, should be able to do VM, but don't (but see Will's paper) - HPC doesn't (and maybe can't) - RTC is starting to do it, but maybe shouldn't

Constant worry is protecting IP in security and HPC

3. RESEARCH OUESTIONS

Henceforth, we will simply say *tool* to refer to software engineering tools presented at the International Conference on Software Engineering or Foundations of Software Engineering in their respective tool demonstration tracks.

- 1. How much effort is required to get tools to work?
- 2. What are the barriers to get tools to work?
- 3. How much effort is required to get tools to work in virtual machines?
- 4. What are the barriers to get tools to work in virtual machines?

4. PROCEEDURE

No cost, but demos ok. (How many did this happen with?) Tools where tool could convievably be put into a virtual machine. How many didn't fit?

5. RESULTS

5.1 RQ1 and RQ2

5.1.1 Effort

Average person-hours per tool, distribution (min, max, box plot)

Time invested in evaluating non-working tools.

5.1.2 Challenge: Tool Cannot Be Obtained

What percent of tool links were dead? What percent of tools said they were available in the paper, but the tool could not be obtained? What percent of tools were being planned to be commercialized? What were actually commercial? What percent of tools could we use if we had paid for them?

5.1.3 Challenge: Disappearing Tools

One author said tool just doesn't exist anymore. Another author had to dig tool out of long term archive. Several authors (what percent?) has tools hosted on Google code, even though it was dying. Did we save 'em? 5.1.4 Challenge: Author non-responsive

5.1.5 Challenge: Technical Difficulties

5.1.6 Challenge: Inconsistencies

One tool required to different VMs because two features needed different prereqs.

Some tools versioned differently.

5.2 RQ3 and RQ4

5.2.1 Challenge: Tool Licensing

University grey area.

5.2.2 Challenge: Technology Stack Licensing

5.2.3 Challenge: Author Doesn't Want Redistribu-

Additional authors: Shabbir Abdul (sabdul@ncsu.edu),

tion

Even when tool is available

6. CONCLUSIONS

Some other things.

7. ADDITIONAL AUTHORS

Varun Aettapu (vaettap@ncsu.edu), Sumeet Agarwal (sagarwa6@ ncsu.edu), Sindhu Anangur Vairavel (sanangu@ncsu.edu), Rishi Avinash Anne (raanne@ncsu.edu), Haris Mahmood Ansari (hmansari@ncsu.edu), Ankit Bhandari (abhanda3@ ncsu.edu), Anand Bhanu (bhanua@ncsu.edu), Aditya Vinayak Bhise (avbhise@ncsu.edu), Saikrishna Teja Bobba (sbobba3@ ncsu.edu), Vineela Boddula (vboddul@ncsu.edu), Venkata Krishna Sailesh Bommisetti (vbommis@ncsu.edu), Dwayne Christian (Chris) Brown (dcbrow10@ncsu.edu), Peter Morgan Chen (pmchen@ncsu.edu), Yi Chun (Yi-Chun) Chen (ychen74@ncsu.edu), Nikhil Chinthapallee (nchinth@ncsu. edu), Karan Singh Dagar (kdagar@ncsu.edu), Joseph Decker (jdecker@ncsu.edu), Pankti Rakeshkumar Desai (prdesai2@ ncsu.edu), Jayant Dhawan (jdhawan2@ncsu.edu), Sarah Elizabeth Elder (seelder@ncsu.edu), Shrenuj Gunvant Gandhi (sgandhi4@ncsu.edu), Jennifer Michelle Green (jmgree17@ ncsu.edu), Mohammed Hasibul Hassan (mhhassan@ncsu.edu), Satish Inampudi (sinampu@ncsu.edu), Pragyan Paramita Jena (ppjena@ncsu.edu), Bhargav Rahul (Bhargav) Jhaveri (bjhaver@ncsu.edu), Apoorv Vijay Joshi (avjoshi@ncsu.edu), Nikhil Josyabhatla (njosyab@ncsu.edu), Sujith Katakam (skataka@ ncsu.edu), Juzer Husainy Khambaty (jhkhamba@ncsu.edu), Aneesh Arvind Kher (aakher@ncsu.edu), Craig Kimpel (ckimpal@ ncsu.edu), Siddhartha Kollipara (skollip@ncsu.edu), Asish Prabhakar Kottala (akottal@ncsu.edu), Abishek Kumar (akumar21@ ncsu.edu), Harini Reddy Kumbum (hkumbum@ncsu.edu), Nitish Pradeep Limaye (nplimaye@ncsu.edu), Apoorv Mahajan (amahaja3@ncsu.edu), Sai Sindhur Malleni (smallen3@ ncsu.edu), Sudha Manchukonda (smanchu@ncsu.edu), Kavit Maral Mehta (kmmehta@ncsu.edu), Justin Alan Middleton (jamiddl2@ncsu.edu), Ramakant Moka (rmoka@ncsu.edu), Eesha Gopalakrishna Mulky (egmulky@ncsu.edu), Gauri Naik (gnaik2@ncsu.edu), Shraddha Anil Naik (sanaik2@ncsu.edu), Yashwanth Nallabothu (ynallab@ncsu.edu), Yogesh Nandakumar (ynandak@ncsu.edu), Kairav Sai Padarthy (kspadart@ ncsu.edu), Pulkesh Kumar Yadav Pannalal (ppannal@ncsu. edu), Sattwik Pati (spati2@ncsu.edu), Kahan Prabhu (kprabhu@

ncsu.edu), Shashank Goud Pulimamidi (spulima@ncsu.edu), Gargi Sandeep Rajadhyaksha (gsrajadh@ncsu.edu), Priyadarshini Rajagopal (prajago4@ncsu.edu), Venkatesh Sambandamoorthy (vsamban@ncsu.edu), Mohan Sammeta (msammet@ncsu. edu), Shaown Sarker (ssarker@ncsu.edu), Anshita Sayal (asayal@ ncsu.edu), Vrushti Kamleshkumar Shah (vkshah@ncsu.edu), Esha Sharma (esharma2@ncsu.edu), Saurav Shekhar (sshekha3@ ncsu.edu), Sarthak Prabhakar Shetty (spshetty@ncsu.edu), Manish Ramashankar Singh (mrsingh@ncsu.edu), Ankush Kumar Singh (asingh21@ncsu.edu), Vinay Kumar Suryadevara (vksuryad@ncsu.edu), Sumit Kumar Tomer (sktomer@ ncsu.edu), Akriti Tripathi (atripat4@ncsu.edu), Jennifer Tsan (jtsan@ncsu.edu), Vivekananda Vakkalanka (vvakkal@ncsu. edu), Alexander Valkovsky (avalkov@ncsu.edu), Rishi Kumar Vardhineni (rkvardhi@ncsu.edu) and Manav Verma (mverma4@ ncsu.edu).

8. REFERENCES