

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_{i,1} \cdot X_{i,1} &= \sum \hat{y}_{i,1} \cdot (\hat{y}_{i,1} + \bar{X}_1) \\
 &= \sum \hat{y}_{i,1}^2 + \sum \hat{y}_{i,1} \cdot \bar{X}_1 \\
 &= \sum \hat{y}_{i,1}^2
 \end{aligned}
 \quad \begin{aligned}
 &\bar{X}_1 \cdot \sum \hat{y}_{i,1} = \bar{X}_1 \cdot 0 = 0 \\
 &\text{Law of Large Numbers.}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2. \quad (a) \quad \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum \hat{y}_{i,1} \cdot y_i}{\sum \hat{y}_{i,1}^2}\right) = \frac{\sum \hat{y}_{i,1}^2 \cdot \text{Var}(y_i)}{\left(\sum \hat{y}_{i,1}^2\right)^2} = \underbrace{f(X_i)}_{\text{Becomes a function of } X_i} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum \hat{y}_{i,1}^2} \\
 &\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_1 \text{ is biased}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b) \quad E(\hat{\beta}_1) &= \frac{\sum \hat{y}_{i,1} \cdot E(y_i)}{\sum \hat{y}_{i,1}^2} = \frac{\sum \hat{y}_{i,1} \cdot (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i,1} + \beta_2 X_{i,2} + \beta_3 X_{i,3})}{\sum \hat{y}_{i,1}^2} \\
 &= \beta_1 + \beta_3 \cdot \frac{\sum \hat{y}_{i,1} \cdot X_{i,3}}{\sum \hat{y}_{i,1}^2} \neq \beta_1 \Rightarrow \text{biased}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(c) \quad E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \text{same procedure as (b)} = \beta_1 \Rightarrow \text{unbiased}$$

3. No, because the target  $y$  is not given,  $\beta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$  can't be calculated.

$$\begin{aligned}
 4. \quad SST &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \\
 &= \sum [(y_i - \hat{y}_i) + (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})]^2 \\
 &= \underbrace{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}_{SSE} + \underbrace{\sum (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2}_{SSR} + \underbrace{2 \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})}_{\text{so it turns out to prove it this term equals to 0}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Rightarrow \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y}) \\
 &= \sum [\hat{y}_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i) - \bar{y} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)] \\
 &= \sum (\hat{y}_i) u_i - \sum (\bar{y}) u_i = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\hat{y}_i$  doesn't intercept with  $\bar{y}$ , the summation can't be zero.