Assignment 1

	September 5, 2023 1:01 PM
١.	Diver XER, truncation to h digits is equivalent to truncating
	to k-1 digits often the decimal due to the machine representation of the mantina.
	The founcation of x (denoted x) can thus be written as x = LBk-1x].
	lit should also be noted that $x \in \frac{\lceil \beta^{k-1} \times \rceil}{\beta^{k-1}}$. Therefore $x - \widetilde{x} \in \frac{\lceil \beta^{k-1} \times \rceil}{\beta^{k-1}} - \frac{\lfloor \beta^{k-1} \times \rceil}{\beta^{k-1}} - \frac$
	Since VacR Fa]-Lazel and VxeR x-x=ph, Emoch=ph
2.	$\begin{array}{c} x_1 + dx_2 = 1 & dx_1 + x_2 = 0 \\ d \mid 1 & (x_2) = (1 & d) \\ (x_1) = (1 & d) \\ (x_2) = (1 & d) \\ (x_1) = (1 & d) \\ (x_2) = (1 & d) \\ (x_2) = (1 & d) \\ (x_1) = (1 & d) \\ (x_2) = (1 & d) \\ (x_1) = (1 & d) \\ (x_2) = (1 & d) \\ (x_1) = (1 & d) \\ (x_2) = (1 & d) \\ (x_1) = (1 & d) \\ (x_2) = (1 & d) \\ (x_2) = (1 & d) \\ (x_1) = (1 & d) \\ (x_2) = (1 & d) \\$
	This means the problem is well posed. Now for the condition number.
	$cond = \ f(d+\Delta d) - f(d)\ _{\infty} \ d\ _{\infty}$
	f(d) ₂
	$= \left(\frac{1}{1 - (d + \Delta d)^2} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha l^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 $
	$\frac{-(d+\Delta d)^{2}}{1-(d+\Delta d)^{2}} \left(\frac{-d}{1-d^{2}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1-d^{2}}\right)$
	$= \frac{ \operatorname{dl}(-\operatorname{d}^2) }{ \operatorname{\Delta} \operatorname{dl} } \frac{ \operatorname{d} \operatorname{\Delta} \operatorname{dl} }{ \operatorname{d}^2 } \operatorname{d}^2 + (\operatorname{d} + \operatorname{\Delta} \operatorname{dl})^2$
	$ \Delta d (-(d+\Delta d)^2)(-d^2) $
	$= d \qquad 2d\Delta d + \Delta d^2$
	Dd1(1-(d+Dd)2) -(d+Dd-d3-d2Dd)+(d-d3-2d2Dd-d2Dd2) 00
	$ \nabla q (\Gamma(q+\nabla q)_{5}) - \nabla q - q_{5} \nabla q - q_{5} \nabla q_{5} ^{\infty}$ $= q \qquad (2q + \nabla q)\nabla q $
	$= \frac{ d }{ d } 2d+\Delta d $
	1-(4+09)2 1+92(1+09) 00
	John lim , mice 1+07 > 12d , cond = 1dl (1+02)
	Since cond is symmetric 8 monotonic on (O,1), binary rearch can give (d)(0.9125 (ree q2.m)
	a. See Forward Substitute m
	b. 1 function Backward Substitution (U,b)
	2 xn-bn/Unn
	3 for i=n-1 to 1do 4
	5 for j=in to n do
	6
	7

	$\frac{7}{X_i} \leftarrow \frac{5}{1}$
	8 return x
	. 1 . 0 . 4 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1
	<. Line 2:1 division = 1 FLOPs
	Line 6: (n-i-1) multiplications & Multractions = 2(n-i-1) FLOBs Line7: 1 division = 1 FLOPs
	LineT: 1 durison = 1 FLOPS
	$T_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (2n-2i+1) = 1+2n+1(n-1)-n(n-1)$
	Total FLOPs: $n-1$ $T= +\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(2n-2i+1) = +(2n+1)(n-1)-n(n-1) $
	$= h^2 \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$
	d. See Backward Substitution.m
	e. See LUDecomposition.m
	f. See LUSolve.m
	t. See Cussive.m
4.	anter brevity let p= p(6:), q=(t:), b:=b(t:) for i=0,, n+1. Using (2) &(3),(1) becomes:
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	$\frac{X_{i+1} - 2X_i + X_{i+1}}{h^2} = \rho_i \frac{X_{i+1} - X_{i+1}}{2h} + q_i X_{i+1} + b_{i-1} X_0 = \alpha_i X_{n+1} \beta_i.$
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	$X_{i+1}\left(\frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{P_i}{2h}\right) - X_i\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + q_i\right) + X_{i+1}\left(\frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{P_i}{2h}\right) - b_i = 0$
	$X_{i,i}(\frac{h}{2}p_{i-1})+X_{i}(h^{2}q_{i}+2)-X_{i-1}(\frac{h}{2}p_{i}+1)=-h^{2}b_{i}$ \longrightarrow $O_{i,i-1}=\frac{h}{2}p_{i-1}$
	$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_{i,i} &=& \frac{1}{2}Q_{i}+2 \\ Q_{i,i} &=& \frac{1}{2}P_{i}-1 \end{array}$
	b. L=11P11 = max p(t) , L(2, A is stridy now diagonal dominant when
	$ a_{i,i} > \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,i} + a_{i,i} $
	112 2/2 / 2 / 1 / 2 / 1 / 2 / 2 / max /2/2/ 1 / k/2 / 1/2/2/ 2/2/2/ 2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2
	$\frac{ h^2q_{i+2} > -\frac{1}{2}p_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}p_{i-1}-1 = 2 \left(\frac{\max_{t \in [Q_i)} p(t) = L, \frac{h!}{2} < 1, \text{ and } -t-1 + t-1 = 2 \forall t < 1\right)}{ h^2q_{i+2} > -\frac{1}{2}p_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}p_{i-1}-1 = 2 \left(\frac{\max_{t \in [Q_i)} p(t) = L, \frac{h!}{2} < 1, \text{ and } -t-1 + t-1 = 2 \forall t < 1\right)}$
	Since he can decrease the characteristic half and intitle and have a local locality
	Since h'>0 and q; so the above always holds, so A is strictly now diagonal dominant.
	c. L=20 so h < to and No=10]. There does appear to be convergence as n-soo (see 94c.png)
	$d.B_{3}=I-DA \Rightarrow B_{3ii}=O_{1}B_{3ii-1}=\frac{2p_{i}-1}{h^{2}q_{i}+2},B_{3ii}=\frac{2p_{i}-1}{h^{2}q_{i}+2}$
	$\Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} = \frac{(\xi_1, \dots, x_j)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \Rightarrow \ \beta_3\ _{\infty} (x_1^2 + x_2^2$
	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$
	Since quisobliso 2/29:12 E(0,1) soit dury converges in this cose.
	hosa Bz no it gets very show as hoso.
	e. This took 102958 tentino (1811 >0.99992). Wie way tealer here Won 9 = 108(22+1), D = 20005(156)
	ix took 7 iteration The is due to the feet that higgin ~ 10° po (IB-1) = 1/9. (nee atel pry & a 4e2. pry
	The Ul method was shower here since it had to perform O(n) FLOPS while Jacobi
	e. This took 102958 iteration (18,11, >0.97992), Wis way fearlow here. When q=108(€2,1), p= \frac{1}{2}0005(176) it took 7 iteration This is due to the feat that had no perform O(no) FLOPS while Jacobi elyfedively only needed 7 O(n) operations.
<u>う.</u>	a sinst note that f(x)= (x) c . of y;=f(x;) \(\frac{1}{2}\)
	Since M has more nows than columns, it must be overdetermined of
	The state of the s

