A simple proof of the Sion minimax theorem

Article i	n Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society · September 2010		
DOI: 10.4134	4/BKMS.2010.47.5.1037		
CITATIONS		READS	
5		846	
1 author:			
1 autiloi	•		
	Sehie Park		
	Seoul National University		
	472 PUBLICATIONS 6,590 CITATIONS		
	SEE PROFILE		

A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE SION MINIMAX THEOREM

Sehie Park

ABSTRACT. For convex subsets X of a topological vector space E, we show that a KKM principle implies a Fan-Browder type fixed point theorem and that this theorem implies generalized forms of the Sion minimax theorem.

The von Neumann-Sion minimax theorem is fundamental in convex analysis and in game theory. von Neumann [8] proved his theorem for simplexes by reducing the problem to the 1-dimensional cases. Sion's generalization [7] was proved by the aid of Helly's theorem and the KKM theorem due to Knaster, Kuratowski, and Mazurkiewicz [5]. In a recent paper, Kindler [4] proved Sion's theorem by applying the 1-dimensional KKM theorem (i.e., every interval in $\mathbb R$ is connected), the 1-dimensional Helly theorem (i.e., any family of pairwise intersecting compact intervals in $\mathbb R$ has nonempty intersection), and Zorn's lemma (or other method).

In this short note, for convex subsets X of a topological vector space E, we show that a KKM principle implies a Fan-Browder type fixed point theorem and that this theorem implies a generalized form of the Sion minimax theorem.

Definition. If a multimap $G: X \multimap X$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{co} A \subset G(A) := \bigcup_{y \in A} G(y) \quad \text{for all finite subset A of X},$$

then G is called a KKM map.

Definition. A multimap $T:X\multimap X$ is called a Fan-Browder map provided that

- (a) for each $x \in X$, T(x) is convex; and
- (b) $X = \bigcup_{y \in N} \operatorname{Int} T^{-}(y)$ for some finite subset N of X.

Here, Int denotes the interior with respect to X and, for each $y \in X$, $T^-(y) := \{x \in X \mid y \in T(x)\}.$

Received April 9, 2009

 $^{2000\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 49B40,\ 49J35,\ 90C06,\ 90C30.$

Key words and phrases. minimax theorem, KKM principle, Fan-Browder fixed point theorem.

1038 SEHIE PARK

For a convex subset X of a topological vector space E, let us consider the following statements:

- (A) The KKM principle. For any closed-valued KKM map $G: X \multimap X$, the family $\{G(x)\}_{x \in X}$ has the finite intersection property.
- (B) The Fan-Browder fixed point theorem. Any Fan-Browder map $T: X \multimap X$ has a fixed point $x_0 \in X$; that is, $x_0 \in T(x_0)$.

Recall that (A) originates from the Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorem [5] and holds by Fan's lemma [3], and (B) from Fan [3] and Browder [1].

Theorem 1. The statement (A) implies (B).

Proof. Define a map $G: X \multimap X$ by $G(x) := X \setminus \operatorname{Int} T^{-}(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Then each G(x) is (relatively) closed, and

$$\bigcap_{y\in N}G(y)=X\setminus\bigcup_{y\in N}\operatorname{Int}T^-(y)=X\setminus X=\emptyset$$

by (b). Therefore, the family $\{G(x)\}_{x\in X}$ does not have the finite intersection property, and hence, G is not a KKM map by (A). Thus, there exists a finite subset A of X such that $\operatorname{co} A \not\subset G(A) = \bigcup \{X \setminus \operatorname{Int} T^-(y) \mid y \in A\}$. Hence, there exists an $x_0 \in \operatorname{co} A$ such that $x_0 \in \operatorname{Int} T^-(y) \subset T^-(y)$ for all $y \in A$; that is, $A \subset T(x_0)$. Therefore, $x_0 \in \operatorname{co} A \subset T(x_0)$ by (a).

Theorem 2. Let X and Y be nonempty convex subsets of two topological vector spaces, and $f, s, t, g: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be four functions,

$$\mu := \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x,y) \ \ and \ \nu := \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x,y).$$

Suppose that

- $(2.1) \ f(x,y) \le s(x,y) \le t(x,y) \le g(x,y) \ for \ each \ (x,y) \in X \times Y;$
- (2.2) for each $r < \mu$ and $y \in Y$, $\{x \in X \mid s(x,y) > r\}$ is convex; for each $r > \nu$ and $x \in X$, $\{y \in Y \mid t(x,y) < r\}$ is convex;
- (2.3) for each $r > \nu$, there exists a finite subset $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of X such that $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \operatorname{Int} \{y \in Y \mid f(x_i, y) > r\}$; and
- (2.4) for each $r < \mu$, there exists a finite subset $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of Y such that $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Int} \{x \in X \mid g(x, y_j) < r\}.$

Then we have $\mu \leq \nu$, that is,

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \le \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y).$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists a real c such that

$$\nu := \sup_{x} \inf_{y} g(x, y) < c < \inf_{y} \sup_{x} f(x, y) =: \mu.$$

Define a map $T: X \times Y \multimap X \times Y$ by

$$T(x,y) := \{ \bar{x} \in X \mid s(\bar{x},y) > c \} \times \{ \bar{y} \in Y \mid t(x,\bar{y}) < c \}$$

for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Then each T(x, y) is convex by (2.2). Moreover, for each $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in X \times Y$, we have

$$T^{-}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \{x \in X \mid s(x, \bar{y}) > c\} \times \{y \in Y \mid t(\bar{x}, y) < c\}$$

$$\supset \{x \in X \mid f(x, \bar{y}) > c\} \times \{y \in Y \mid g(\bar{x}, y) < c\}$$

$$\supset \operatorname{Int}\{x \in X \mid f(x, \bar{y}) > c\} \times \operatorname{Int}\{y \in Y \mid g(\bar{x}, y) < c\}.$$

Therefore, by (2.3) and (2.4), $X \times Y$ is covered by

$${\text{Int } T^-(x_i, y_i) \mid 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n}.$$

Hence, T is a Fan-Browder map. Since $X \times Y$ is a convex subset of a topological vector space, (A) and (B) hold. Therefore, by (B), we have an $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ such that $(x_0, y_0) \in T(x_0, y_0)$. Therefore, $t(x_0, y_0) < c < s(x_0, y_0)$, a contradiction.

Recall that a extended real-valued function $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ on a topological space X is lower [resp., upper] semicontinuous (l.s.c.) [resp., u.s.c.] if $\{x \in X \mid f(x) > r\}$ [resp., $\{x \in X \mid f(x) < r\}$] is open for each $r \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

For a convex set X, a extended real-valued function $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be quasiconcave [resp., quasiconvex] if $\{x \in E \mid f(x) > r\}$ [resp., $\{x \in E \mid f(x) < r\}$] is convex for each $r \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 3. Let X and Y be compact convex subsets of topological vector spaces, and $f, s, t, g: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be functions satisfying

- (3.1) $f(x,y) \le s(x,y) \le t(x,y) \le g(x,y)$ for each $(x,y) \in X \times Y$;
- (3.2) for each $x \in X$, $f(x, \cdot)$ is l.s.c. and $t(x, \cdot)$ is quasiconvex on Y; and
- (3.3) for each $y \in Y$, $s(\cdot,y)$ is quasiconcave and $g(\cdot,y)$ is u.s.c. on X. Then we have

$$\min_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \le \max_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y).$$

Proof. Note that $y \mapsto \sup_{x \in X} f(x,y)$ is l.s.c. on Y and $x \mapsto \inf_{y \in Y} g(x,y)$ is u.s.c. on X. Therefore, the both sides of the inequality exist. Then all the requirements of Theorem 2 are satisfied.

For f=s=t=g in Theorem 3, we have the following Sion minimax theorem [7]:

Theorem 4. Let X and Y be compact convex subsets of topological vector spaces and $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ a real function such that

- (4.1) for each $x \in X$, $f(x,\cdot)$ is l.s.c. and quasiconvex on Y; and
- (4.2) for each $y \in Y$, $f(\cdot, y)$ is u.s.c. and quasiconcave on X.

Then

- (i) f has a saddle point $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$; and
- (ii) we have

$$\min_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y) = \max_{x \in X} \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y).$$

1040 SEHIE PARK

Proof. It is well known and easy to see that the minima and maxima in Theorem 4 exist under our topological assumptions. Hence, there exists an $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ such that

$$\min_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y) = \max_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) \ge f(x_0, y_0) \ge \min_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) = \max_{x \in X} \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y).$$

Moreover, all the requirements of Theorem 3 with f = g are satisfied. Therefore, the \geq 's in the above should be = and we have the conclusion.

Remark 1. von Neumann [8] obtained Theorem 4 when X and Y are subsets of Euclidean spaces and f is continuous.

- 2. (A) also holds for open-valued KKM maps, and (B) also holds when T^- has closed values. In this case, (A) implies (B) also.
 - 3. For other simple proof of the Sion minimax theorem, see [4].
 - 4. Theorem 2 is motivated from [2, Theorem 8], which is for f = s = t = g.
 - 5. For the history of the KKM theory, see [6].
- 6. All the results in this paper can be extended to abstract convex spaces without assuming any linear structure.

References

- [1] F. E. Browder, The fixed point theory of multi-valued mappings in topological vector spaces, Math. Ann. 177 (1968), 283–301.
- [2] Y. J. Cho, J. K. Kim, and B. Y. Lee, Remarks on KKM maps and applications, J. Adv. Res. Appl. Math. 1 (2009), no. 1, 1–8.
- [3] K. Fan, A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem, Math. Ann. 142 (1960), 305–310.
- [4] J. Kindler, A simple proof of Sion's minimax theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly 112 (2005), no. 4, 356–358.
- [5] B. Knaster, K. Kuratowski, and S. Mazurkiewicz, Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für n-Dimensionale Simplexe, Fund. Math. 14 (1929), 132–137.
- [6] S. Park, Ninety years of the Brouwer fixed point theorem, Vietnam J. Math. 27 (1999), no. 3, 187–222.
- [7] M. Sion, On general minimax theorems, Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958), 171–176.
- [8] J. von Neumann, Zur Theorie der Gesellschaftsspiele, Math. Ann. 100 (1928), no. 1, 295–320.

THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

SEOUL 137-044, KOREA

AND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

SEQUE NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

SEOUL 151-747, KOREA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: shpark@math.snu.ac.kr}$