

CSCE 686 Homework 6 - SCP Heuristics

Jon Knapp and Justin Fletcher

April 25, 2016

1 SCP Heuristic Design and Development [a, b]

[Jon]

In this section, we apply the disciplined design process advocated in [1] to construct the algorithms fundamental to the Set Covering Problem (SCP). Additionally, we introduce three heuristics to the algorithm with the goal of reducing the search number of states which must be visited.

1.1 Problem Domain Description: SCP

Given a set of elements: $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, a family of subsets, $\{S_1, \dots, S_m\} \subseteq 2^E$, and weights $w_j \geq 0$ for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the SCP is defined by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\} \min \sum_{j \in I} w_j \\ s.t. \bigcup_{j \in I} S_j = E \end{aligned}$$

The input domain of the problem, which is a set of elements, E , can be specified by a set. The family of sets, S , is a set of sets, where each subset contains elements E' and cost c . Formally:

- Input Domain D_i : A set of elements, E , and a set of sets.

- E: Elements
- $S(E', c)$: Set of sets
 - * E' : Set of elements where $E' \in E$
 - * c : Cost of set
- Output Domain D_o : A Set of sets, B , such that $B \in S$, and B satisfies set minimum covering property.
- Input Function $I(E, S)$: Determines if the input conditions on the input E and S are satisfied. The required input conditions for this domain is that, for all $S(E', c)$, $E' \in E$.
- Output Function: $O(B)$: Determines if the output conditions are met. The conditions are given met when:

$$I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\} \min \sum_{j \in I} w_j$$

$$s.t. \bigcup_{j \in I} S_j = E$$

1.2 Problem Domain and Algorithm Domain Integration

The algorithm domain to which the SCP problem will be mapped in this work is the global search via depth-first search with backtracking (GS/DFS/BT) algorithm. Thus, the algorithm domain used in this work is that of GS/DFS/BT, which is described in [1]. In order to use this algorithm to solve the SCP problem, the SCP domain must be mapped to the GS/DFS/BT domain. This integration is accomplished as follows:

- GS/DFS/BT Basic Search Constructs
 - **initialization**(D_i): Initializes T , where T is a tableau. T consists of M blocks of columns, one for each e_k of E . The k th block will consist of the sets of S that cover e_k , but do not contain lower numbered elements e_1, \dots, e_{k-1} .
 - **next-state-generator**(D_i): Returns B , where $B \in S$.

- **selection**(D_i): Returns b where $b \in S$. Generally, the specific set chosen is selected from a block of T in such a way as to maximize the coverage of the elements E . In the case of SCP, we require that all elements E are covered and that the solution is the minimum cover for E .
 - **feasibility**: Returns a Boolean, which is true if, for some $b \subset S$, E is covered and is false otherwise.
 - **solution**(B, z): Returns a Boolean which is true if S covers E and is the minimum cover of E , i.e. z is minimized.
 - **objective**: Returns a set D_o , which in this algorithm is a minimum cover of E .
- Delay Termination: Because the previous GS/DFS/BT search only finds a minimal set cover, rather than a minimum set cover, we must prevent the algorithm from terminating until all covering sets have been either implicitly or explicitly examined.
 - In some as yet undefined loop, iterate finding all minimal set covers possible in the problem instance.
 - Repeat the GS/DFS/BT search for SCP, avoiding duplication where possible.

1.3 Algorithm Domain Specification Refinement

In order to further refine this design, in pursuit of executable code, we must disambiguate several operations. We define a candidate solution set to be sets, $B \subseteq S$. We first specify the next state generation and selection functions. The next state should be a state which has not been previously selected.

Three heuristics were created for the SCP. The first heuristic, which we call Heuristic 1, implements the dominance test as presented in [Chr. ref]. During the operation of the SCP algorithm, we will keep track of partial solutions in a set $L(E_{ck}, z_k)$, where E_{ck} is the set coverage at step k , and z_k is the associated cost at step k . If at some step $r > k$, $E_{cr} \subseteq E_{ck}$ and $z_r \geq z_k$, then we know that a previous solution was better than the solution at step r . We can thus abandon this branch of the search. One of the disadvantages of this technique is that maintaining L can require significant memory, and the

time required, which is polynomial, to search the list can potentially diminish the advantage of pruning branches of the tree. While not implemented, there are possibly ways to improve Heuristic 1. If, rather than a set of lists, L was represented as a tree, so that searching L could be performed in greater than $O(m)$, there could be significant time reduction. This is a possible future avenue for exploration.

Heuristic 2 adjusts the sorted order of the tableau to prune additional branches of the search. In the original implementation, the sets within a Block n are first sorted by lexicographical order and then by cost. In this way, cost ties are sorted in lexicographical order. Heuristic 2 first sorts the sets by $|S|$, or the number of elements that are covered by the set, and then by cost. This will guarantee that sets with higher coverages will be examined first. The strategy for this sort order is that searching the sets with higher coverage first will eliminate a larger portion of the search tree early.

Heuristic 3 takes advantage of the fact that we do not need to include blocks in the tableau that only have one set. For each element $e_k \in E$, the number of covering sets is calculated, which we will call α_k .

$$\alpha_k = \sum S \text{ s.t. } S \text{ covers } e_k$$

If $\alpha_k = 1$, or there is only one set S' in a block k , then that block contains a set that is the only cover for some element e_k . Rather than include this block in the search, S' is automatically included in the result set B . Additionally, the set coverage, E_c , is initialized to include those elements covered by S' . In problems that have high coverages, this heuristic will not yield an improvement. However, if there exists any singly covered elements e_k , large portions of the search space will be eliminated before the search is even started, since that element already exists with E_c . The selection phase of the algorithm will never need to select any element from that block, because it will already have been covered. If $\alpha_k = 0$ for some element e_k , then e_k does not have a valid cover, and there is no solution possible.

2 SCP Heuristic Testing and Evaluation [c]

[Justin]

2.1 Problem Selection [c.1]

[Justin]

2.2 Test Suite Description [c.2]

[Justin]

2.3 Results [c.3]

[Justin]

2.4 Search Tree [c.4]

[Jon?]

3 AFIT SCP Program Software Engineering Practices

[Jon] Does the AFIT SCP Solver employ good software engineering practices?

4 AFIT SCP Program Complexity

[Justin] Describe observed complexity.

5 Integration

[Jon] Discuss ease of understanding code in the AFIT SCP Solver. Discuss ease of standard interfaces.

A Code Listing

[Both] Talk about code briefly. [Jon, you can add your source code below, once commented, then uncomment the L^AT_EX-line. Neat, huh? Oh, and the caption needs to be a single sentence, otherwise it breaks. No idea why.]

References

- [1] G. Lamont, “Advanced algorithm design class notes: Algorithm design.”