

AFIT/GE/ENG/16-..

SIMULATED QUANTUM ANISOTROPIC ANNEALING APPLIED TO ARTIFICIAL  
NEURAL NETWORK WEIGHT SELECTION

THESIS  
Justin Fletcher  
First Lieutenant, USAF

AFIT/GE/ENG/16-..

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Defense or the United States Government.

AFIT/GE/ENG/16-..

SIMULATED QUANTUM ANISOTROPIC ANNEALING APPLIED TO  
ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK WEIGHT SELECTION

THESIS

Presented to the Faculty of the Electrical and Computer Engineering  
of the Air Force Institute of Technology

Air University

In Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Science in Computer Science

Justin Fletcher, B.S. CEC

First Lieutenant, USAF

June, 2016

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

SIMULATED QUANTUM ANISOTROPIC ANNEALING APPLIED TO  
ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK WEIGHT SELECTION

Justin Fletcher, B.S. CEC

First Lieutenant, USAF

Approved:

<hr/> Dr. Michael J. Mendenhall Thesis Advisor	<hr/> Date
<hr/> Dr. Gilbert L. Peterson Committee Member	<hr/> Date
<hr/> Capt. Charlton D. Lewis Committee Member	<hr/> Date

*Preface*

Justin Fletcher

## Table of Contents

	Page
Preface . . . . .	iii
List of Figures . . . . .	vi
List of Tables . . . . .	vii
List of Symbols . . . . .	viii
List of Abbreviations . . . . .	ix
Abstract . . . . .	x
I. Introduction . . . . .	1-1
II. Background . . . . .	2-1
2.1 Artificial Neural Networks . . . . .	2-1
2.1.1 Biological Inspiration . . . . .	2-1
2.1.2 Historical Overview . . . . .	2-2
2.1.3 Network Topology . . . . .	2-3
2.1.4 Activation Functions . . . . .	2-3
2.1.5 Learning Strategies . . . . .	2-3
2.2 Related Works in Simulated Annealing . . . . .	2-3
2.2.1 Reheating . . . . .	2-4
2.2.2 Application of Simulated Annealing to ANN Synaptic Weight Selection . . . . .	2-4
2.3 Related Works in Quantum Mechanics . . . . .	2-4
2.3.1 Quantum Tunneling . . . . .	2-4
2.3.2 Quantum Annealing . . . . .	2-5
2.4 Notation and Terminology Conventions . . . . .	2-6

	Page
III. Methodology . . . . .	3-1
3.1 Simulated Quantum Annealing . . . . .	3-1
3.2 Traversing the Error Manifold . . . . .	3-1
3.2.1 Unidimensional Weight Perturbation . . . . .	3-1
3.2.2 Omni-dimensional Weight Perturbation . . . . .	3-1
3.2.3 Constrained Step-Size Omni-dimensional Weight Per- turbation . . . . .	3-1
3.2.4 Quantum Weight Perturbation . . . . .	3-1
3.2.5 Stochastic-Anisotropic Quantum Weight Perturbation	3-1
3.2.6 Quantum Annealing . . . . .	3-1
IV. Results . . . . .	4-1
V. Conclusion . . . . .	5-1
Appendix A. First appendix title . . . . .	A-1
A.1 In an appendix . . . . .	A-1
Bibliography . . . . .	BIB-1
Vita . . . . .	VITA-1

*List of Figures*

Figure

Page



*List of Tables*

Table

Page

*List of Symbols*

Symbol

Page

*List of Abbreviations*

Abbreviation	Page
ANN Artificial Neural Network . . . . .	ix
ANN	

AFIT/GE/ENG/16-..

*Abstract*

# SIMULATED QUANTUM ANISOTROPIC ANNEALING APPLIED TO ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK WEIGHT SELECTION

## *I. Introduction*

In chapter three the traversal a error manifold in the problem configuration space is discussed at length. Several traversal methodologies are proposed and evaluated.

## II. Background

This chapter serves as a comprehensive review of the physical and computational concepts material to the topic of this thesis. A broad overview of artificial neural networks and the application and history thereof is presented. Next, simulated annealing is described, along with a summary of some related works and a description of the physical inspiration for the algorithm. The chapter concludes with a very brief overview of the quantum mechanics, with emphasis placed on those concepts which will be employed throughout this thesis document. Finally, the notation and terminology conventions adopted in this document are established.

### 2.1 Artificial Neural Networks

It has long been recognized (source maybe?) that the capacity of biological information processing systems to flexibly and quickly process large quantities of data greatly exceeds that of sequential computing machinery. This information processing capability arises from the complex, nonlinear, parallel nature of biological information processors (Source? Hayken?). The family of models designed to replicate this powerful information processing architecture are collectively called artificial neural networks. In the most general sense, artificial neural networks are parallel distributed information processors (1) comprising many simple processing elements. Networks store information about experienced stimuli and can make that information available. In such a network, interneuron connection strengths are used to encode information, and are modified via a learning strategy. Artificial neural networks are characterized by three features: a network topology or architecture, an activation function, and a learning strategy. Each will be discussed in the following sections. I will also review the history of artificial neural networks, and the biological inspiration for the computational model.

*2.1.1 Biological Inspiration.* Fig[an image of a neuron, mapped to a schematic of a neuron, mapped to a processing element]

Integration of magnitude-encoded, rather than frequency-encoded, signals. Threshold functions relationship to the biological shape, size... Papers needed.

Biological neural networks are many orders of magnitude slower than those based in ... It is not the size of the network or the number of interconnections alone which confer upon the human brain its remarkable efficiency (Faggin, 1991). Though size and connectivity are necessary, it is the structure, or topology of the network of interconnections that en

*2.1.2 Historical Overview.* The study of artificial neural networks began with a 1943 paper (4) by McCulloch and Pitts. In this paper, McCulloch and Pitts united, for the first time, neurophysiology, mathematics, and computation in a model of neural activity. This landmark paper marked the beginning of not only the computational theory of neural networks but also the computational theory of mind, and eventually led to the notion of finite automata (6). In this paper McCulloch and Pitts introduced a very simple model of a neuron, which acted as a threshold-based propositional logic unit. Significantly, McCulloch and Pitts showed that a network of these neuron models, interconnected, could represent a proposition of arbitrarily-high complexity. These models, often called McCulloch-Pitts Neurons, allowed only limited, discrete input values which are summed and compared to a threshold value, and did not possess any learning mechanism. They did, however, account for inhibitory action.

Though McCulloch and Pitts made mention of learning in their 1943 paper, thirteen years would pass before this concept was formalized into a mathematical and computational model. In 1956 Rochester, Holland, Haibt, and Duda (7) presented the first attempt at using a physiologically-inspired learning rule to update the synaptic weights of a neural network. This model was based on the learning rule proposed in *The Organization of Behavior* by Hebb (2).

(Kurt Hornik (1991) "Approximation Capabilities of Multilayer Feedforward Networks", Neural Networks, 4(2), 251-257)

Back propagation...

This, in turn, implies that neural networks can serve as universal function approximators. (Cybenko)

2.1.3 *Network Topology.* Feed for

2.1.4 *Activation Functions.*

2.1.5 *Learning Strategies.* General discussion.

Reference (Mendel and McClaren, 1970) and (Haykin, pg 50)

2.1.5.1 *Back Propagation Training.* Discuss (Rumelhart, Hinton, Williams, 1986)

Derive back prop. (Haykin, pg 161)

Discuss the implication of local minima.

Fig[Error surface with backprop]

2.1.5.2 *Simulated Annealing.* (Ackley, Hinton, and Sejnowski 1985)

This Boltzmann machine is also of historical importance, as it was the first successfully-implemented multilayered neural network (1).

## 2.2 *Related Works in Simulated Annealing*

Read (Haykin pg 556)

Read (Haykin pg 560)

Simulated annealing (SA) is a stochastic optimization algorithm which can be used to find the global minimum of a cost function mapped from the configurations of a combinatorial optimization problem. The concept of simulated annealing was introduced in by Kirkpatrick et al. in (3) as an application of the methods of statistical mechanics to the problem of discrete combinatorial optimization. Specifically, simulated annealing is an extension of the Metropolis-Hastings (5) algorithm which can be used to estimate the ground energy state of a many-body systems at thermal equilibrium. Kirkpatrick et al. applied the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm sequentially, with decreasing temperature values in order to approximate a solid slowly cooling to low temperatures. Later work by Geoff (Geoff)



and Cortana et al. (Cortana) extended simulated annealing to the continuous domain. The basic simulated annealing algorithm is presented in [algo1].

[algo1]

The physical inspiration for simulated annealing. See (Haykin pg 546)

In the parlance of simulated annealing (3) a system at its maximum temperature is said to be *melted*. In the melted state, most perturbations of the system configuration are accepted by the algorithm. Analogously, a system that has a temperature of zero, which indicates that the algorithm cannot move to any higher-error state, is said to be *frozen*. Note that a frozen system may still be perturbed into a lower-energy state.

When considering only the influence of classical thermal fluctuations in particle energy levels, the probability of a particle traversing a barrier of height  $\Delta V$  at a temperature  $T$  is on the order of:

$$\mathcal{P}_t = e^{-\frac{\Delta V}{T}} \quad (2.1)$$

### 2.2.1 Reheating.

### 2.2.2 Application of Simulated Annealing to ANN Synaptic Weight Selection.

## 2.3 Related Works in Quantum Mechanics

Quantum mechanics is the branch of physics concerned with the physical laws of nature at very small scales. Many aspects of physical reality are observable only at these scales. Several techniques described in this document are either inspired by, or are simple models of quantum mechanical processes. These concepts are very briefly reviewed in this section.

*2.3.1 Quantum Tunneling.* One of the quantum phenomena for which there is no classical analog is potential barrier penetration, also known as quantum tunneling. This phenomenon arises from the probabilistic and wavelike behavior of particles in quantum physics. Tunneling plays a significant role in the behavior of bound and scattering quantum mechanical systems.

A particle with energy  $E$  incident upon a potential energy barrier of height  $\Delta V > E$  has a non-zero probability of being found in, or past, the barrier. Classically, this behavior is forbidden. The probability of tunneling,  $\mathcal{P}_t$ , through a step barrier of height  $\Delta V$  is described by:

$$\mathcal{P}_t = e^{-\frac{w\sqrt{\Delta V}}{\Gamma}} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the tunneling field strength [Ref: Multivariable Opt: QAC - Mukherjee]. Figure [1] depicts a one-dimensional example of quantum tunneling.

[Figure 1]

*2.3.2 Quantum Annealing.* Quantum annealing is the use of quantum, rather than thermal fluctuations to traverse the free energy landscape of a system. This is accomplished by introducing an additional Hamiltonian term that does not commute with the classical Hamiltonian. This non-commutation implies that [What does it mean?... The non-commutative causes the quantum effects...but how?]. The term is introduced to account for the presence of a tunneling field which controls the frequency with which quantum fluctuations occur in the system. In effect, this term controls the relative importance of quantum effects on the behavior of the modeled system. This term, much like thermal energy in simulated annealing, is gradually reduced over the course of the simulation. [Par Source: Quantum annealing in a kinetically constrained system] The time dependent Schrödinger equation <sup>1</sup> for such a system has the form:

$$[\lambda(t)H' + H_0]\psi = i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} \quad (2.3)$$

[Eq from Mult Opt... Mukherjee] where  $\lambda(t)$  is the time-variance function of the tunneling field,  $H'$  is the Hamiltonian term describing the tunneling field, and  $H_0$  is the classical Hamiltonian.

The fluctuations induced by the tunneling field are tunneling events, which transition the system from one configuration to a different, lower-energy configuration directly, with-

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that the presence of the Schrödinger equation in section does not imply that quantum annealing requires the annealed system must be an approximation to a wavefunction. It merely serves as an exposition of the properties of physical system which is modeled.

out assuming any of the higher energy configurations between the two. Said differently, the quantum tunneling field enables the penetration of energy barriers. The addition of these quantum fluctuations also ensures that each possible state of the system can be reached (KCS Paper).

#### *2.4 Notation and Terminology Conventions*

There is a great deal of academic writing describing quantum annealing in the language of physics, but very little writing describing the concept from an algorithmic perspective. For this reason a new, more specific term is introduced in this document. Simulated quantum annealing (SQA) is the quantum mechanical counterpart of simulated thermal annealing.

The term neuron will be used in this document to describe the information processing elements of a neural network. This convention is selected both for conciseness and for the useful adjectival form, neural, which will be of great explanatory utility in the coming chapters.

Read (Haykin pg 561) Table 11.1

### *III. Methodology*

#### *3.1 Simulated Quantum Annealing*

It is instructive to contrast equations 2.1 and 2.2. Both describe the same value, but the importance of the width and height of the traversed barrier in the two equations is considerably different. For systems in which quantum tunneling is possible, the probability of penetrating a barrier of height  $\Delta V$  is increased by a factor of approximately  $e^{\Delta V}$ , for large values of  $\Delta V$ . This relationship is depicted graphically in Fig. 2 which shows the probability of barrier traversal for a system which allows quantum fluctuations, divided by the same probability for a system which only considers thermal fluctuations. Therefore, physical models which considers quantum effects are much more likely predict penetration of tall, thin energy barriers than those which only include classical thermal effects.

[Figure 2]

#### *3.2 Traversing the Error Manifold*

##### *3.2.1 Unidimensional Weight Perturbation.*

##### *3.2.2 Omni-dimensional Weight Perturbation.*

##### *3.2.3 Constrained Step-Size Omni-dimensional Weight Perturbation.*

*3.2.4 Quantum Weight Perturbation.* It is shown in Proof [n] that this algorithm is certain to eventually find the minimum possible

##### *3.2.5 Stochastic-Anisotropic Quantum Weight Perturbation.*

*3.2.6 Quantum Annealing.* [After discussing the way in which the algorithm is implemented] ...The net effect of this design is to allow the algorithm to move from a local minima configuration, to a different, lower-error configuration, without requiring the evaluation of intervening, higher-error configurations. This means that the probability of "tunneling" to a state

#### *IV. Results*

Is my algorithm computationally efficient as in Haykin, pg 229?

## *V. Conclusion*

## *Appendix A. First appendix title*

### *A.1 In an appendix*

This is appendix section A.1.

Note: I highly recommend you create each chapter in a separate file including the `\chapter` command and `\include` the file. Then you can use `\includeonly` to process selected chapters and you avoid having to latex/preview/print your entire document every time.

## *Bibliography*

1. Haykin, Simon. *Neural networks: a comprehensive foundation*. Upper Saddle River, N.J: Prentice Hall, 1999.
2. Hebb, D. O. *The organization of behavior; a neuropsychological theory, (by) D.O. Hebb. Science Editions*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1967.
3. Kirkpatrick, S., et al. “Optimization by simulated annealing,” *SCIENCE*, 220(4598):671–680 (1983).
4. McCulloch, Warren S. and Walter Pitts. “Neurocomputing: Foundations of Research.” edited by James A. Anderson and Edward Rosenfeld, chapter A Logical Calculus of the Ideas Immanent in Nervous Activity, 15–27, Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 1988.
5. Metropolis, N., et al. “Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines,” *jcp*/, 21:1087–1092 (June 1953).
6. Piccinini, Gualtiero. “Computational Explanation in Neuroscience,” *Synthese*, 153(3):343–353 (2006).
7. Rochester, N., et al. “Tests on a cell assembly theory of the action of the brain, using a large digital computer,” *Information Theory, IRE Transactions on*, 2(3):80–93 (September 1956).



## *Vita*

Insert your brief biographical sketch here. Your permanent address is generated automatically.

Permanent address: 452 Orchard Drive  
Oakwood, Ohio 45419