1

1 Level 1: Goal Regression

Given a set of entities $e \in E$, preferences $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n\}$, and a value function over preferences $h(e,r) \in [0,1]$, it is possible to produce an ideal match over the set of entities $q(e_i,e_i)$. To do this, a few functions need to be defined:

- properties, $P(e,r) \in \{0,1\}$, denotes if an entity e has property r,
- value function $h(e,r) \in [0,1]$ is the level of disappointment e will experience when matched with property
- directional quality of match $q(e_i, e_j)$, which expresses the disappointment e_i experiences when matched with e_j : $q(e_i,e_j)=\sum_{\{r|P(e_j,r)=1\}}h(e_i,r)$,
 • a match indicator function, which indicates that a match has been assigned: $m(e_i,e_j)=\begin{cases} 1, & \text{match assigned \& }b(e_i,e_j)=0\\ 0, & \text{not matched} \end{cases}$

$$m(e_i,e_j) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1, & ext{match assigned \& } b(e_i,e_j) = 0 \ 0, & ext{not matched} \end{array}
ight.$$
 $(m(e_i,e_j) = m(e_j,e_i)),$

• a value $b(e_i, e_j) \in \{0, 1\}$ expresses if an entity e_i or e_j is too busy to be matched.

Given these match characteristics and quality values, two matrices may be maintained:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q(e_1, e_1) & \cdots & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ \vdots & & q(e_i, e_j) & & & & \\ & & \ddots & \vdots & & \\ & & & q(e_n, e_n) \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

and

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} m(e_1, e_1) & \cdots & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ \vdots & & m(e_i, e_j) & & & \\ & & \ddots & \vdots & & \\ & & & m(e_n, e_n) \end{bmatrix} . \tag{2}$$

Given a set E, then the cost of a match arrangement M is

$$C(Q, M) = QM^{T} (3)$$

and the optimization goal is to assign matches to unmatched entities:

$$M_{t+1} \leftarrow \frac{\arg\max_{M} \sum_{m \in M} M\alpha(Q, M)}{QM^{T} (1 - \alpha(Q, M))}$$
(4)

where α is a scaling factor, $\alpha(Q, M) \in [0, 1]$, which can emphasize quantity of matches or quality of matches. Specifically:

 $\alpha(Q,M)=0$ \to only the cost of matches is significant. Trivially, $\sum_{m\in M} m|=\varnothing$ will always satisfy this condition.

 $\alpha(Q,M)=1$ \to only the amount of assignments matter. Trivially, $\sum_{m\in M}m=\sum_{m\in M}1$ satisfies this requirement.

Thus, the ideal matching characteristics depend on an optimal value α . For algorithmic stability and quantity, low values of α should be attempted, which will prioritize quality over quantity.