



Research Form No. 03

PROPOSAL DEFENSE EVALUATION FORM

for Transaction Processing System, Management Information System, etc.

Name	and section of Proponent	ts:					
	(R1)						
	(R2)						
	(R3)						
Resea	arch Title:						
Adviser:				Adviser's Rating:			
	Key: 5 – Outstanding	Rating: 4.5 – 5.0 = 95	- 100	2.5 - 2.9 = 71 - 76			
	4 – Very Good 3 – Good 2 – Fair	4.0 - 4.4 = 89 3.5 - 3.9 = 83 3.0 - 3.4 = 77	- 88	2.0 - 2.4 = 65 - 70 1.5 - 1.9 = 60 - 64 1.0 - 1.4 = Failed			
	1 – Poor			1.0 1.1 Tanea			
No.	Assessment Criteria for Paper	Group Points	No.	Assessment Criteria for Oral Presentation	R1	Points R2	R3
1	Comprehensive background of the study		1	A well-prepared presentation of the proposal within the required time			
2	Clear statement of the problem		2	A clear delivery of the proposal by PowerPoint® presentation			
3	Attainable research objectives		3	A clear understanding of key concepts that demonstrate depth			
4	Established significance of the resear	rch	4	A sufficient acquaintance with the relevant literature	COA		
5	Clear scope and limitations of the stu	ıdy	5	Ability to answer and explain inquiries and clarifications		8	
6	Comprehensive and logical literary review	7	6	Punctuality and personal traits		8	V
7	Consistent use of standard reference procedure	ng		Average			p
8	Thorough presentation of methodolo		Rec	omme <mark>nd</mark> ation:			
9	Documentation of standard techniquand statistical tool	ies		Acc <mark>epte</mark> d <mark>wit</mark> h minor revision		34	
10	Necessary inclusions (i.e. forms, reports)		D	Acc <mark>epte</mark> d <mark>wit</mark> h major revision		10	2
	Aver	age		Rejec <mark>ted</mark> /f <mark>aile</mark> d and required presen <mark>t a</mark> gain		200	?
Evalu	ated by:		SALL		SMA	35	
 Signa	iture over printed name			Date		-	

discovering, inventing, nucturing,