



Research Form No. 04

PROPOSAL DEFENSE EVALUATION FORM
for Game Development, Application Development etc.

Name and section of Proponents:

(R1) _____

(R2) _____

(R3) _____

Research Title:

Adviser: _____ **Adviser's Rating:** _____

Key:

5 – Outstanding
4 – Very Good
3 – Good
2 – Fair
1 – Poor

Rating:

4.5 – 5.0 = 95 – 100
4.0 – 4.4 = 89 – 94
3.5 – 3.9 = 83 – 88
3.0 – 3.4 = 77 – 82

2.5 – 2.9 = 71 – 76
2.0 – 2.4 = 65 – 70
1.5 – 1.9 = 60 – 64
1.0 – 1.4 = Failed

No.	Assessment Criteria for Paper	Group Points
1	Comprehensive project context/ background of the research study	
2	Clear purpose of the research study	
3	Understandable description of the research study	
4	Attainable research objectives	
5	Clear scope and limitations of the research study	
6	Comprehensive and logical literary review	
7	Established research paradigm or framework	
8	Thorough presentation of Concept of the study	
9	Systematic and logical arrangement of conceptual process & operation	
10	Documentation of standard techniques	
Average		

No.	Assessment Criteria for Oral Presentation	Points		
		R1	R2	R3
1	A well-prepared presentation of the proposal within the required time			
2	A clear delivery of the proposal by PowerPoint® presentation			
3	A clear understanding of key concepts that demonstrate depth			
4	A sufficient acquaintance with the relevant literature			
5	Ability to answer and explain inquiries and clarifications			
6	Punctuality and personal traits			
Average				

Recommendation:

Accepted with minor revision _____

Accepted with major revision _____

Rejected/failed and required to present again _____

Evaluated by:

Signature over printed name

Date