Haskell Lessons Documentation

Release 0.1

Justus Adam

CHAPTERS:

1	The tools v	ve will need	3
	1.1 The	compiler (GHC)	3
	1.2 Docu	umentation resources	1
	1.3 Edito	ors	1
2	Fundamen	itals of the Haskell syntax	1
	2.1 Com	ments	7
	2.2 Type	e literals	7
		e literals	3
		lings	3
		xpressions)
		ction Application	
3	Functions	11	1
	3.1 Fund	ction literals	ĺ
		actic sugar for function definitions)
4	Types	15	5
		e variables	5
		defined types	S
		case construct	
		ial types	
	_	ord syntax	
5	Exercises	23	1
		s	
		cs	
6	Indices an		-

Some links to motivational Haskell source files:

- Makefile
- A dataflow compiler pass
- Parser for a format string
- The acommodating test suite
- A short script to strip directory prefixes

New.

CHAPTERS: 1

2 CHAPTERS:

CHAPTER

ONE

THE TOOLS WE WILL NEED

This first lesson is all about the various tools we will use to develop Haskell code.

1.1 The compiler (GHC)

Haskell is a compiled language. As such you do not require any special tooling at runtime. However to develop and build Haskell projects you will require a compiler to generate an executable binary file from your code. Furthermore you will most likely require a library management tool, since the Haskell "base" library, which is bundled with the compiler will most likely not be sufficient for most tasks¹.

There are several Haskell compilers out there, however very few are well maintained. As such the Glasgow Haskell Compiler, or GHC for short, has developed as the de-facto standard Haskell compiler. It is by far the most mature, stable and feature rich Haskell compiler. In this course we will use optional extensions of the Haskell language which not every compiler implements. I therefore highly recommend using the GHC as your Haskell compiler.

For more information about the various parts of the GHC see the compiler reference pages. There you will find information on compiler flags, the interactive prompt GHCi, including the debugger, profiling, and the GHC Haskell extensions. We will discuss all these topics in the future.

We will rarely interact directly with the compiler, as there are very nice build tools out there which we will make use of instead.

1.1.1 The interactive Interpreter (GHCi)

The GHC also supports the interpreted execution of code. For one this allows you to directly run a Haskell source file with the runghe or runhaskell program. Furthermore any standard installation of GHC includes a program in which you can interactively type Haskell code, inspect it and run it. The program is called GHCi (ghci is the executable name) which stands for "GHC interpreter". (ghci reference pages) GHCi is very similar to programs like the python or ruby interpreter with the notable difference that the code you type is type checked, like normal Haskell programs, before it is executed. The GHCi also includes a debugger for Haskell code (similar to gdb) which we will study in a later chapter.

We first use GHCi to explore some Haskell code before we get started with source files.

Some notable ways to interact with GHCi are:

<expr> Simply submitting a Haskell expression evaluates the code and tries to print the result value.

let <name> = <expr> Binding the value of an expression to a name (we will learn about this *later*).

¹ This is one of the unfortunate things about Haskell, that the base library lacks many desirable things. Examples of good standard libraries would be those of python and go.

- :? Probably the most important command. Displays the help menu. The help menu lists available commands (a selection of which will follow here) and what they do.
- :browse <module> Displays the contents of the module with the entered name.
- :type <expr> Prints the type of the expression expr.
- :info <name> Displays information about the name, such as the source module, or the type if it is a function.

Some, but not all, of these commands also work in a shortened form (:t for :type for instance)

1.2 Documentation resources

The standard Haskell tool suite includes a tool called "haddock" which can be used to generate documentation for your source code from special in line comments. This documentation is available online for published packages.

1.2.1 Hackage

Hackage is the de-facto standard online Haskell package database. Anyone can make an account and start uploading their own Haskell packages. My username for instance is justus.

On hackage you may search for packages, browse the different versions available for each package, see a packages dependencies and also browse the generated haddock documentation.

1.2.2 Hoogle

Hoogle is a search engine for the hackage documentation. Whereas on hackage you may only search the database by package name on hoogle you can search the contents more directly by searching for function names, module names, package names and even *type signatures*.

1.2.3 Stackage

The *stackage* site, which hosts resources to be used with the tool stack functions similarly to a combination of Hackage and Hoogle. It hosts the documentation, including a Hoogle search, for each package snapshot. I therefore recommend to use stackage to browse haskell packages and documentation, unless the package you want information on is not on Stackage.

1.3 Editors

Any text editor is fine for Haskell development. Though it is desirable to have at least some Haskell source code highlighting. Many editors also offer extra features via one of the Haskell ide servers ghc-mod and intero.

I personally use *visual studio code*, becuase it is clean and fast and becuase I maintain its Haskell highlighting plugin and constantly improve it. However I have heard that the editor best supporting Haskell is supposedly emacs. Atom also has good Haskell support because of the atom-haskell group on github.

For those who wish to go hard on Haskell, there is a graphical editor written in Haskell itself, called leksah as well as a command line editor called yi. Also a special mention is to be given to Haskell for Mac a particularly beautiful graphical Haskell IDE with native stack support for OSX and tailored towards learning Haskell.

And lastly I want to mention ghcid. Its a very simple, command line based program which simply attempts to load your code into the interpreter and shows you the errors it encouters. It automatically refreshes whenever you save a

source file. This gives you some very bare bones ide features. The big advantage is that, unlike the other ide programs, ghcid is incredibly reliable.

Also for those who like their code to be a bit prettier I recommend using *font ligatures* with a font that supports it, for instance my favourite is github.com/tonsky/FiraCode. In this font there are some multi character symbols which in my opinion make the code a bit more readable.

1.3. Editors 5

FUNDAMENTALS OF THE HASKELL SYNTAX

This lesson gets us started with the basics of Haskell syntax. Haskell is an old language (older than Java) and also one people like to experiment with. As a result a lot of extra syntax has accumulated in over the years. Some of it in regular use, some of it more obscure and not well known. Most of this extra syntax is hidden behind language extensions. We may come to learn some of it in future lessons, however for now we will simply start with the ML style core of the Haskell syntax.

2.1 Comments

There are two types of comments in Haskell. **Line comments** start with a double dash -- (must be followed by a space or word character) and extends to the end of the current line. **Block comments** start with the sequence $\{-\}$ and extend until the end sequence $-\}$.

2.2 Type literals

In Haskell type literals always start with an uppercase letter. Examples from Haskell's base library are:

Int A fixed size integer

Integer An unbounded integer.

Float A floating point number.

Char A character.

String A string of characters.

Bool A boolean.

After that the allowed characters are word characters, digits, the underscore _ and the apostrophe ' (often called "prime"). Therefore a name such as Isn't_4_bool is a valid type name.

In general Haskell is a type inferred language, meaning you rarley have to specify the type of a value or expression (although it is common practice to annotate top level types and values with type signatures). You can however annotate any value and expression you want with a type signature. The special operator: can be used to achieve this (see also next section for examples). This is particularly useful when chasing down the source of type errors as you can fix expressions to a certain type you expect them to be.

⁵ GHC also allows you to define data constructors and types as operators.

2.3 Value literals

Supported literals are:

Numbers 1, 3.0 etc. These are however overloaded, meaning depending on the inferred type a literal 3 can be an Int or Integer for instance. If you wish to specify the type you can annotate the literal like so (3:: Int), (3:: Integer) or (3.5:: Float), (3.5:: Double).

Characters Character literals are constructed by surrounding a character or escape sequence with single quotes.

```
'a', 'H', '5', '.'.
```

The escape character $\$ is used to produce special values, such as the newline character ('\n') For a literal \\ character use '\\'. For a literal \' character use '\\'.

Strings String literals are constructed by surrounding a sequence of characters or escape sequences with double quotes.

```
"Hello World", "Foo\nBar"
```

The same escape sequences as for characters apply with addition of the escaped double quotes \".1

Lists List literals are a sequence of comma separated values surrounded by square brackets.

```
[1,2,3,4]
```

All elements of a list must have the same type.

More on the list type in the next section.

Tuples Tuples are a sequence of comma separated values surrounded by parentheses.

```
(5, "A string", 'c')
```

Unlike lists the elements of a tuple can have different types.

More on the tuple type in the next section.

Note that there are not *special* literals for booleans in Haskell as they are just a regular *data structure*. The literals for Bool are True and False.

2.4 Bindings

Bindings (often also called variables) are names referring to pieces of data. It is similar to the concept of variables in other languages, however in Haskell bindings are **always** immutable. Since these ergo they are **not** "variable" (they cannot vary). This is why I prefer the name "binding" as it **binds** a value to an identifier, not a variable, as it cannot "vary".

Bindings must always start with a lowercase letter. Then, like the types, it may contain word characters, digits, the underscore and the apostrophe.³

There are several ways to bind a value. The first one we will learn (because it is the way to bind values in GHCi) is called let with the concrete syntax let name = value.

```
let myInt = 5
let aBool = False
let someString = "Hello World"
```

¹ There is a language extension in GHC which allows overloading of strings (much like the numeric literals), see overloaded strings.

³ The naming convention in Haskell is camel case. Meaning in each identifier (type variable, type or binding) all words composing the name are chained directly, with each new word starting with an upper case letter, except for the first word, who's case is determined by the syntax contstraints (upper case for types, lower case for type variables and bindings).

You can use let in GHCi to bind a value and then print it by simply entering the name again and pressing enter.⁴ In the let construct you may also, optionally, specify a type signature for the binding.

```
let myInt :: Int
  myInt = 5
```

Note that the second occurrence of myInt must be properly indented. We will explore the indentation rules in more detail later

2.5 if expressions

In Haskell if is not a statement, but an expression, meaning that it returns a value. Therefore if always has a type, and also always has an else case, which must return a value of the same type. For instance we can assign the result of if to a binding.

```
let aBool = False
let anInt = if aBool then 8 else 9
```

Parentheses are not required and one may write any expression on the branches and for the condition of an if.

2.6 Function Application

The syntax for applying functions to arguments in Haskell is the simplest imaginable. Its called *juxtaposition* or somethimes *prefix notation*. Meaning we simply write the function and follow it up by the arguments separated by whitespace. Optionally we can surround the whole construct with parentheses. This is especially useful when we need the result of a function call as an argument.

```
succ 5 == 6
takeDirectory "/etc/hosts" == "/etc"
elem (pred 6) [1..10]
not True == False
```

Haskell also supports binary operators. For instance the addition operator (+) and the equality operator (==). Note that to apply the operator we use its bare form +, however if we mean a reference to the function we surround it *directly* with parentheses.

```
4 + 5 == 9
[1,2,3] ++ [4,5,6] == [1,2,3,4,5,6]
map (uncurry (+)) [(1,2), (4,5)]
```

Infix operators can also be used in the prefix notation by surrounding them with parentheses (+). And prefix functions can also be used like infix operators by surrounding them with backticks 4 `elem` [1..10].

Function application *always binds stronger* to its arguments than operator application. For operators users may define a prescedence in which they are applied. Thus (+) for instance is applied before (==).

⁴ Note that in GHCi, as in many Haskell constructs you may also **rebind** a binding. This may look like you have altered the binding, however this is not the case. It creates a wholly new binding, which simply shadows the older binding in the current scope. When the scope is exited the value stored for this name remains the old value. You will also know that it is a new binding by the fact that the new binding can have a different type than the old one.

Haskell Lessons Documentation, Release 0
--

footnotes

CHAPTER

THREE

FUNCTIONS

3.1 Function literals

Function literals in Haskell are also often called **lambda functions**. The syntax is a slash \ followed by a list of space separated paramters, follwed by an ASCII arrow -> upon which follows the body of the function. Function bodies in Haskell are always an expression, and as such require no return keyword. Think of an implicit return at the beginning of the function body, this will help you understand better how to write these functions.

```
\ param -> param
```

Here for instance we have a function which takes one parameter as input and return it. This function is also known as id.

```
-- we often call an unspecified parameter 'x' id = \xspace x -> x
```

Haskell is a functional language. As such functions may be used just like any other value including being assigned to bindings. The type of our binding is now the function type ->.

```
id :: a -> a
id = \x -> x
```

When we have a value of the function type we may apply it to an argument of the type *left* of the arrow to obtain a value of the type *right* of the arrow. Ergo Int -> Bool applied to Int gives a Bool. Similarly a -> a applied to Int gives an Int again. And a -> a applied to a Bool gives a Bool.

To apply a function we use the simplest syntax of all, juxtaposition. Also called *postfix notation* or "the function followed by the arguments, all space separated".

```
id :: a -> a
id = \x -> x

myBool = id True
myBool2 = (\x -> x) True
myInt = id 5

myBool == myBool2 == True && myInt == 5
```

Lets look at another example fuction:

```
const :: a -> b -> a
const = \x _ -> x
```

The const function takes a first parameter x and a second parameter, which we ignore. The underscore _ as a parameter or binding name is used to indicate that we ignore the value. And finally the function returns the first parameter.

Note that the type of the function is now $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a$. We see here that the function type \rightarrow occurs twice and this is deliberate because we may rewrite our function as follows:

```
const :: a -> (b -> a)
const = \x -> \_ -> x
```

Now we can see the analogy. We first consume the first parameter and return a function. This second function is then applied to the second parameter returning the final value. The two versions $\xspace x = -> x$ and $\xspace x = -> x$ and $\xspace x = -> x$ and their type signatures are equivalent in Haskell, hence the same type.

The practical upshot of this is that haskell makes it extremely easy to do what is often called "partially applied functions". This means supplying fewer arguments to a function than would be required to produce its final value. Technically this is not even possible in Haskell, since, as we have seen above, every Haskell function only takes one argument but may return a curried function to simulate being given a second argument. To fully grasp the possibilities that partial application offers it is instrumental to internalise this aforementioned concept.

Partial application is best described using examples:

```
const :: a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a

const = x \rightarrow x

alwaysFive = const 5

alwaysFive "a string" == alwaysFive 6 == alwaysFive () == 5

plusThree = (+ 3)

plusThree 5 == 8

plusThree 10 == 13
```

Aside

This is particularly useful when combined with higher order functions.

For instance we can increment a whole list of integers using the partial application of + to 1.

```
map (+ 1) [4,5,8] == [5,6,9]
```

Or to find the index of a particular element in a list: (partial application of ==)

```
find (== 6) [3,6,8] == Just 2
```

Note that these are advanced examples, there is no need to undestand them yet, we will cover those in detail later.

3.2 Syntactic sugar for function definitions

There are a few common patterns in Haskell when defining functions. The first is for creating function values.

```
myFunction = \a b -> doSomthing
let anotherFunction = \x -> expr
```

This pattern is very common. Therefore we have some syntactic sugar in the Haskell laguage which allows us to omit both the backslash \ and the arrow -> by moving the function arguments before the equal sign.

myFunction a b = doSomthing
let anotherFunction x = expr

CHAPTER

FOUR

TYPES

4.1 Type variables

Types in Haskell may be parameterized over another type, which is not known at the time of defining the former type. This system is very similar to generics in many languages, but much more powerful as the type information is fully preserved.

The naming rules for type variables are the same as for *Bindings*. ¹

The whole type is then written as first the type name followed by a space and then followed by the parameters, also space separated. This is also called juxtaposition.

As an example for a parameterized type is the <code>Either</code> a <code>b</code> type. The name of the type is <code>Either</code> and it is parameterized by a type variable <code>a</code> and a type variable <code>b</code>. Note that there is no special significance to the name of the type variables themselves. It would be semantically equivalent to call the type <code>Either</code> one <code>the_other</code>. Only if we were to name both variables the same would we change the meaning, because <code>Either</code> <code>a</code> <code>a</code> would mean both types <code>Either</code> is parameterized over are the <code>same</code> type.

We have now seen the type in its generic form. By instantiating the type variables we can create a concrete form. For instance Either Int String or Either Bool Char. Note that Either a b does not mean that a and b have to be distinct, but they are allowed to. Either Int Int is also a perfectly valid concrete form of Either a b

At compile time all of the type parameters must be known, i.e. only concrete form of types are allowed. The compiler will infer the concrete values of the type variables for you.

Note that if you wish to annotate a type which uses type variables you will have to fill in the concrete types for those variables *unless* they are unused. An example:

As you can see from the definition of Either each type variable is used in one of the constructors. If you now create one of theses values and whish to annotate it with a type you have to fill in the respective typ variable. However you do not have to fill in the second variable. For instance if you create a Left value, lets say containing a String it does not matter what type b is in the resulting Either, because the Left constructor only uses the a variable and therefore the compiler will allow you to write anything for a including a type variable (which means it can be anything). If however you have an expression like the if which may either return Left or Right you have to fill in both types properly.

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b

x :: Either String b
x = Left "A String"
y :: Either a Int
```

¹ The naming convention in Haskell is camel case. Meaning in each identifier (type variable, type or binding) all words composing the name are chained directly, with each new word starting with an upper case letter, except for the first word, who's case is determined by the syntax contstraints (upper case for types, lower case for type variables and bindings).

```
y = Right 1
x_and_y :: Either String Int
x_and_y = if someBool then x else y
```

We could also have annotated x and y with concrete types for the respective other variable, however in that case we must make it the type the if expression expects it to be or we get a type error. Therefore is is usually advisable to leave the type unspecified unless necessary.

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b

-- these definitions are ok
-- because the type lines up with the if expression

x :: Either String Int
x = Left "A String"
y :: Either String Int
y = Right 1

-- these definitions are problematic
-- they would cause a type error

x :: Either String Bool
x = Left "A String"
y :: Either (Either String String) Int
y = Right 1

x_and_y :: Either String Int
x_and_y = if someBool then x else y
```

If you don't know the type of an expression but wish to annotate it or you dont know the value of one of the type variables you can use a so called "type hole" to have the compiler figure it out for you. If you annotate an expression with _ the compiler will throw an error and tell you what it infers the type for _ to be. You can use multiple _ at the same time each of which will cause a compile error with information about the inferred type. This can be used for full type signatures or even just parts of it, including type variables. GHC generally tries to infer the most general type for you.

```
-- infer a full type signature
x :: _
x = Left "A String"

-- Infer a variable
y :: Either a _
y = Right 1
```

4.2 User defined types

Defining types in Haskell takes three forms.

4.2.1 Aliases

The type keyword allows us to define a new name for an existing type. This can have two different purposes:

1. It allows us to define shorter names for long type. For instance

16 Chapter 4. Types

2. We can abstract our API from the concrete type. If our program uses a Map like structure for instance, but we are not sure yet that we want to stick with a concrete Map type we might write the following:

```
type MyMap key value = HashMap key value
-- or (omitting the `value` variable)
type MyMap key = HashMap key
-- or (omitting both the `value` and `key` variable)
type MyMap = HashMap
```

We can then later replace it with a different map type if we like and we do not need to change all of our type signatures.

```
type MyMap = Map
```

As you can see from these examples like in function signatures type aliases support polymorphism via type variables and the type variables support partial application like functions.

4.2.2 Algebraic datatypes

Algebraic datatypes are the "normal" user defined datatypes in Haskell. They are richer than datatypes from other laguages such as Java classes or C structs in that each type can have more (or less) than one representation. Some modern languages such as Rust and Swift also support those types of data. They call them Enums.

A type is defined using the data keyword, followed by the name of the type, which must begin with an upper case letter (see also *here*), followed by an equal sign. This is followed by any number of | separated *constructor definitions*.

```
data Coordinates = LongAndLat Int Int
data File = TextFile String | Binary Bytes
```

A constructor definition takes the form of first the constructor itself, followed by any number of type arguments, which are the types of the fields in the constructor. The naming constraints for the constructor are the same as for *Types*.[#type-operators]

Constructors serve two purposes.

1. They are used, through normal function application, to *construct* a value of their type. You can think of any constructor (like Coordinates) as a function, wich takes arguments according to the number and type of its fields and produces a value of its type.

```
LongAndLat :: Int -> Int -> Coordinates
```

These constructors can be used just just like any other function, which includes partial application and being arguments to higher order functions.

2. They are used in a pattern match to *deconstruct* a value of their type and gain access to its fields. (See *next section*)

It is very important to know the difference between a *type(name)* and a *constructor* in Haskell. Also not that it is allowed for a type and a constructor with the same name to be in scope, as the distinction between the two can be made from the context in which they are used. Type names only ever occur in a place where a type can occur, such as in the definition of another type and type signatures whereas a *Constructor* can occur in any epression.

Aside

There are more ways to control type variables in Haskell using a generalised concept of algebraic datatypes.

4.2.3 Newtypes

Newtypes are basically a stricter version of the type alias. To be more concrete a newtype is a wrapper for another type which completely hides the wrapped type.

The syntax is very similar to a data definition, with two important restrictions.

- 1. The newtype must have exactly *one* constructor.
- 2. The constructor must have exactly *one* field.

What is so special about the newtype is that even though it may look like a data definition the newtype does not exist at runtime and thus has no runtime overhead. It is typically used to impose some restrictions on the creation of a type.

Whereas aliases created with type may be used in just the same way that the type they alias can be used a newtype creates a completely new type and the functions which work on the inner type *do not* work on the new type.

In the following example for instance we force the user to go through the <code>createEmail</code> function to construct an <code>Email</code> type. if we used a type alias the user could simply pass a <code>String</code> to the <code>sendEmail</code> function, because it is just an alias, but types created with <code>newtype</code> are distinct from the type they wrap and thus ths would cause a type error.

```
newtype Email = Email String

createEmail :: String -> Either String Email
createEmail str =
   if conformsToEmailStandard str
        then Right (Email str)
        else Left "This is not a valid email"

sendEmail :: Email -> String -> IO ()
```

4.3 The case construct

The case construct together with function application basically comprises everything which you can do in Haskell. The case construct is used to deconstruct a type and gain access to the data contained withtin.

This is easiest to see with a user defined type

```
data MyType = Constr1 Int

let aValue = Constr1 5 :: MyType
    theIntWithin =
    case aValue of
        Constr1 i -> i
```

18 Chapter 4. Types

```
theIntWithin == 5
```

Any Haskell expression is allowed in the case <expr> of head of the construct. The body of the case statement is a number of matchclause -> expr pairs.

Each match clause is a combination of constructors and bindings for values. The expression to the right of the arrow may then use the values bound by these bindings.

A very simple case match (which does absolutely nothing) would be

```
case expr of
  x -> doSomething x
```

Which is the same as doSomething expr. We simply bind the expression to x.

However this is often used to create a default clause for a case match.

```
data MyType = Constr1 Int | Constr2 String

let aValue = Constr1 5 :: MyType
    theIntWithin =
        case aValue of
        Constr1 i -> i
        x -> 0
```

Match clauses are always matched in sequence, from top to bottom until a matching clause is found. A clause like x, which does not contain a constructor will always match. Therefore it is usually found as the last clause, often serving as a kind of default clause. If the default clause does not need the value we often use _ as binding to indicate that we do not use the value.

The case is an immensely powerful control structure as all other control structures can be defined in terms of case and function application. For instance we can define an if using case.

```
let if cond a b =
    case cond of
    True -> a
    False -> b
```

You can also pattern match on all primitive, built-in types such as Char, [], String, Int, Float and so on. Anything you can write as a literal you may use in a case pattern.

4.3.1 Different ways to write a case expression

Case expressions can either be written using indentation, or semicolons and braces in the same way we can do with let. Thereby we can use; to omit newlines and {} to omit the indentation. The following definitions are equivalent

4.4 Special types

There are some notable exceptions to the type naming rule. Those are the **list type**, which is [] or [a] which means "a list containing elements of type a" and the **tuple type** (a,b) for "a 2-tuple containing a value of type a and a value of type b". There are also larger tuples (a,b,c), (a,b,c,d) etc.² These tuples are simply grouped data and very common in mathematics for instance. Should you not be familiar with the mathematical notion of tuples it may help to think of it as an unnamed struct where the fields are accessed by "index". And the last special type is the **function type** $a \rightarrow b$, which reads "a function taking as input a value of type a and producing a value of type b.

Some examples for concrete instances of special types:

```
let myIntBoolTriple :: (Int, Int, Bool)
   myIntBoolTriple = (5, 9, False)

let aWordList = ["Hello", "Foo", "bar"] :: [String] -- Note: A different way to
   →annotate the type

-- Note: we can also nest these types
let listOfTuples :: [(Int, String)]
   listOfTuples =
        [ (1, "Marco")
        , (9, "Janine")
        ]
        [ (9, "Janine")
```

4.5 Record syntax

For convenience reasons there is some extra syntax for defining data types which also automatically creates some field accessor functions.

We can write the following:

20 Chapter 4. Types

² The source file for tuples in GHC defined tuples with up to 62 elements. Below the last declaration is a large block of perhaps 20 more declarations which is commented out, with a note above saying "Manuel says: Including one more declaration gives a segmentation fault."

```
data TyType =
   Constructor { field1 :: Int
           , field2 :: String
        }
```

This defines the type the same way as the other data construct. Meaning we can pattern match as usual on the constructor.

But additionally it also defines two functions field1 and field2 for accessing the fields.

Aka it generates code similar to the following:

```
data MyType = Constructor Int String

field1 :: MyType -> Int
field1 (Constructor i _) = i

field2 :: MyType -> String
field2 (Constructor _ s) = s
```

Also the two accessor functions field1 and field2 may be used in a special *record update syntax* to create a new record from an old one with altered field contents. Additionally the record may be created with a special record creation syntax.

footnotes

22 Chapter 4. Types

EXERCISES

5.1 Tools

Install the mentioned Haskell tools.

5.1.1 Installing the compiler

- Method 1 platform first
 - 1. Install the correct Haskell platform distribution for your system (for instance the package cabal-install).
 - 2. Install stack. Either from source with cabal install stack or by downloading it from the website.

Advantages:

With this approach you'll have the ghc and ghci as well as cabal and haddock commands directly available to you on the command line after installation.

Disadvantages:

You'll have to keep your installation of these tools up to date. If you later run stack install haddock to get a newer version of haddock it will shadow the previously globally installed tool.

- Method 2 stack first
 - 1. Install stack from the website.
 - 2. Use the stack setup command on the command line to have stack install ghc for you.

Advantages:

No stray ghc etc. executables.

Disadvantages:

You have to separately install haddock with stack install haddock if you need haddock directly.

• Make sure the stack executable is on your \$PATH.

Stack can update itself (if you want to). In this case it'll always install the new version in some user-local binary directory (~/.local/bin on UNIX). Therefore you also have to ensure this directory is on your \$PATH. This is also important as all other executables which you install with stack are placed in this directory (including the ones you wrote yourself).

5.1.2 Install some Haskell support for your favourite editor

You may ask for help for finding said support.

Also consider the website https://wiki.haskell.org/IDEs and https://wiki.haskell.org/Editors.

5.2 Basics

- 1. Open GHCi.
- 2. Browse the Prelude module.
- 3. Be overwhelmed with how much stuff is in there.
- 4. Open Hackage
- 5. Find the base library.
- 6. Find the Prelude module.
- 7. Browse the documentation of the prelude module on Hackage.
- 8. Play with the various functions from the Prelude module in the interpreter.

CHAPTER

SIX

INDICES AND TABLES

- genindex
- modindex
- search