Exercise 2

Process and Thread synchronisation

Why do we need that?

- Processes can be executed in parallel, and processes may be multithreaded
 - Data sharing might be necessary among processes/threads
 - Data inconsistencies may occur => Can lead to software malfunctions that are not checked => Must be avoided!

Different theoretically described problems exist

Different handling mechanisms exist

The Producer/Consumer (Bounded Buffer) problem

- Two processes/threads exist, one makes data available (Producer), one makes use of that data (Consumer)
 - Can be extended to multiple Producers and Consumers
- Queue between Producers/Consumers serves as buffer for data
 - Consumers need time for processing in which Consumers may or may not add data
- Problem: Assure that Consumers do not try to get data from empty queue + Consumers do not add to full queue => Causes exceptions

The Reader/Writer problem

- One or more Writers exist which create data into a shared data set
 - Can read, update and delete data

- One or more Readers exist which only read data from shared data set
 - Can read data

- Goal: Uphold data consistency and keep data up to date
 - Problem: Multiple readers should be able to read at the same time
 - Problem: Only a single writer can access data set at the same time

The Reader/Writer problem

- Two variations exist:
 - 1: Readers have priority => Readers are not kept waiting except for when a
 Writer is accessing and writing
 - Can lead to Writer starvation => Data in the data set might be outdated (=stale)
 - 2: Writers always get immediate access to data set except for when another
 Writer is accessing and writing
 - Can lead to Reader starvation => Data is kept up to date but is never read and used

Goal: Assure data consistency without starvation

Race condition

- Simple solution: Use while loops that use a shared control variable?
 - Works conceptually if only code is considered
 - Can still lead to inconsistencies in practice
 - CPU needs time to process control variable from one process/thread
 - While CPU is processing the control variable it may be accessed by another process/thread => Now the second thread works with the non-updated value
 - When CPU is done with both processes/threads the value of the control variable is inconsistent!
 - This happens because CPU buffers data in registers for computation
 - This is called a race condition => Avoidance is one goal of synchronisation
 - The outcome of an execution depends on order of access and cannot be controlled

The Critical Section Problem

- Goal: Avoid race conditions
- Each one of n processes has a critical section
 - Part of code where shared resources are accessed
 - When one process enters its critical section, no others may to enter theirs
 - A critical section is always defined by the shared resource
- Structure of process around critical section
 - Entry section: Get permission to enter critical section
 - Exit section: Return permission and continue with remainder section

The Critical Section Problem

 Critical Section Problem = Design a protocol to synchronize critical sections without race conditions and starvation

- Requirements for a solution:
 - Mutual Exclusion: If one process/thread is executing its critical section, no other is allowed to enter its
 - Progress: If entering the critical section is possible and a process/thread wants to enter its, it must be allowed to do so in finite time
 - **Bounded Waiting**: A bound must exist for how often a process/thread that wants to execute its critical section is postponed in favour of another one

- Interrupt-based solution
 - Use OS interrupts (traps)
 - Disable interrupts in entry section, enable them in the exit section
 - Can lead to starvation if any process remains in its critical section for a long time => And the interrupts are blocked => No OS services available for other apps

- Peterson's solution for two processes:
 - Two control variables are used
 - A Boolean array containing a flag per process signalling intention to enter critical section
 - An integer serving as an identifier for a process
 - Entry section:
 - Process 1 sets own flag in array to signal intention to enter
 - Priority is given to the process 2 via its id
 - Empty while loop blocks execution until process 2 does not intend to enter its critical section or gives priority to process 1
 - Same logic for process 2, only it gives priority to process 1 and checks process 1 in while loop

- Peterson's solution for two processes:
 - Fulfils all requirements to solve the critical section problem (can be proven mathematically)
 - But:
 - Assumes that load and store instructions in CPU are atomic (= Cannot be broken down and interrupted) to work
 - Because of this it may not work with modern compilers => More abstraction from the Operating System

Mutex locks

- OS service providing a lock for critical sections => Only one thread allowed to hold it at any time
- Thread/Process acquires lock in entry section and releases it in exit section
- Availability is shown via a Boolean flag
- Busy waiting => Threads/Processes that want to acquire a lock in use must loop when trying to acquire => Spinlock

Semaphore

- On instantiation n permissions to access the critical section are created
- Calls to acquire/wait in entry section succeed whenever a permit is free =>
 (n-=1)
- Calls to release/signal in exit section make a permit available => (n+=1)
- Semaphore with 1 permit = Binary Semaphore = Mutex lock

- Monitor (Thread safe class/object in Java)
 - In Java, any class has an in-built monitor object => Is made available to a function by using the keyword synchronized
 - Wait() is called in entry section which blocks execution until no other thread is in its critical section
 - Once a waiting thread receives a signal to continue it can enter its critical section => Signalling done via call to notify() or notifyAll() in exit section

The Dining-Philosophers problem

Shared data (= bowl of rice)

• 5 philosophers (= processes)

• 5 chopsticks (= limited resources)

All need to access data at times but need two chopsticks to do so

Deadlock

Process level: Process waits for an event that will never occur

System level: Multiple processes deadlocked and wait for each other

 Comes up because of resources are scarce and there might be a bigger need for resources than there are available resources

Deadlock - Conditions

- Four conditions must hold simultaneously for deadlock:
 - Mutual exclusion: A resource is only available for one process at a time
 - Hold and wait: A process P_x holds a resource and is waiting for a resource which another process P_v is holding at the same time
 - No pre-emption: A resource cannot be taken from a process once it holds it
 - Circular wait: Processes waiting for occupied resources form a circle
- Resource-Allocation graph can be used to visualize the situation
 - Can show if a system is not deadlocked or if deadlock is possible

Deadlock - Solutions

- Deadlock prevention
 - Avoid any of the four conditions to be fulfilled
 - Mutual exclusion always holds for non-shareable resources (e.g., chopsticks)
 - Other three conditions can be avoided but avoiding hold and wait may introduce starvation
- Deadlock detection
 - System can enter deadlock and in that case a recovery scheme to free resources is run

Deadlock - Solutions

- Deadlock avoidance
 - Safe state exists if there is an order of execution where all processes get resources they need
 - Avoidance algorithm controls state of system resources and manages assignment of resources to processes to keep system in a safe state
 - Processes must inform the system about their maximum need before start
 - If the system is always in a safe state, no deadlock can happen
 - E.g., Resource-Allocation-Graph or Banker's algorithm