AI for biology

JxLi

September 13, 2025

1 Supervised Learning

1.1 Linear Regression

1.1.1 simple linear regression

In simple linear regression ,we model the relationship between a single variable x and a dependent variable y using a linear function:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \varepsilon$$

where

- y is the dependent variable.
- x is the independent variable.
- β_0 is the intercept.
- β_1 is the slope coefficient.
- ε is the random error term.

The difference between the observed value y_i and the predicted value \hat{y}_i is called the residual:

$$e_i = y_i - \hat{y_i}$$

Suppose our regression model is:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

where we assume the errors ε_i are independent and normally distributed:

$$\varepsilon_i \ N(0, \sigma^2).$$

This means each observed value y_i is a random variable with density:

$$p(y_i|x_i, \beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} exp(-\frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}).$$

The likelihood of observing all data points is:

$$L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i|x_i, \beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma)$$

We need to find the $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$, $\hat{\sigma}$, that maximize $L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma)$, which means

$$\max \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i|x_i, \beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma) \Leftrightarrow \max(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}})^n exp(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

For fixed σ , maximizing $L(\beta_0, \beta_1)$ is equivalent to minimizing $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$, which is exactly the sum of squared residuals.

From a probability perspective ,we prove that using the sum of squared residuals(SSR) to evaluate the loss of function is mathematically reasonable.

To figure out the right parameters, we try as follows:

$$f(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2,$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_0} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_0} = 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i) = 0$$

$$\beta_0 = \hat{y}_i - \beta_1 \hat{x}_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i - \beta_1 (x_i - \hat{x}_i))$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (x_i - \hat{x}_i)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \hat{x}_i)(y_i - \hat{y}_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2}$$

1.1.2 multiple linear regression

In matrix notation, the multiple linear regression can be written as follows:

$$u = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

where

- y is the $n \times 1$ vector
- X is the $n \times (p+1)$ matrix
- β is the $(p+1) \times 1$ vector of regression coefficients
- ε is the $n \times 1$ vector of random error terms , assumed to follow ε $N(0, \sigma^2 I)$

Similarly , the least squares estimator of β is obtained by minimizing the sum of squared residuals:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta} ||y - X\beta||^2.$$

which comes from as follows:

$$p(y|X,\beta,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \underbrace{(y-X\beta)^T (y-X\beta)}_{||y-X\beta||^2})$$

¹The notation of arg means to find a argument that satisfy the requirements of the subsequent function. In the text, we are saying "Find the vector β that makes the sum of the squared residuals as small as possible."

$$L(\beta, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i | x_i^T, \beta, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i | X, \beta, \sigma) = p(y | x_i^T, \beta, \sigma).^2$$

For the same reason , we find the targeted β only when function $(y - X\beta)^T(y - X\beta)$ get minimized.

$$(y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta) = (y^T - \beta^T X^T)(y - X\beta) = y^T y + (X\beta)^T X\beta - \beta^T X^T y - y^T X\beta$$

Since $y^T X\beta$ is a scalar $y^T X\beta = \beta^T X^T y$

$$(y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta) = y^T y + (X\beta)^T X\beta - 2y^T X\beta.$$

To explain it from a higher perspective ,I want to introduce the matrix calculus rules . Firstly ,let's assume a vector $e = e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$

$$\frac{\partial e^T e}{\partial e} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2}{\partial e} = 2e$$

Then,

$$\frac{\partial (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial (y^T y + (X\beta)^T X\beta - 2y^T X\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial (\beta^T X^T X\beta)}{\partial \beta} - 2X^T y$$

$$\frac{\partial (\beta^T X^T X\beta)}{\partial \beta} = (\frac{\partial (X\beta)}{\partial \beta})^T \frac{\partial (\beta^T X^T X\beta)}{\partial (X\beta)} = 2X^T X\beta \tag{1}$$

Equation (1) comes from multivariable chain rule:

$$\nabla_{\beta} f = J_{v,\beta}^T \nabla_v f,$$

where

- $J_{v,\beta} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta}$ is the Jacobian of v w.r.t. β
- $\nabla_v f$ is the gradient w.r.t. v

To review the concept of Jacobian, we take multivariable function f and column vector $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T$:

$$J_{f,x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_3} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_3} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}, J_{f,x}(i;j) = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$$

As for our case,

$$J_{v,\beta}^T = (\frac{\partial (X\beta)}{\partial \beta})^T = X^T.$$

Now we can solve the $\hat{\beta}$ we want:

$$\frac{\partial (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta)}{\partial \beta} = 2X^T (X\beta - y) = 0$$

²Normally ,we take x_i as a column vector and x_i^T as a row vector.

if the X^TX is invertible

$$(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}X\beta = (X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}y$$
$$\hat{\beta} = (X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}y$$

else ,like the X is not full ranked, we need to use the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse:

$$\hat{\beta} = X^+ y$$

where $X^{+} = (X^{T}X)^{+}X^{T}.^{3}$

1.1.3 post-estimation analysis

We always need a way to evaluate our function ,and it is called post-estimation analysis. Take multivariable linear regression for an example ,we do as follows:

First ,get our predicted values

$$\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}.$$

Calculate the residual:

$$r = y - \hat{y}$$

To evaluate the residual without bias , we divided the squared residual by degrees of freedom (DF) n - (p + 1):

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{r^T r}{n - (p+1)}$$

Why is it unbiased?

$$r = y - X\hat{\beta} = y - X(X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty = (I - H)y$$

where $H = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T$ is the hat matrix ,which put a hat on y to transform it to \hat{y} as for hat matrix ,it has some good properties:

- Symmetric: $H = H^T$
- Idempotent: $H^2 = H$

$$r = (I - H)(X\beta + \varepsilon) = (I - H)X\beta + (I - H)\varepsilon$$

where $HX\beta = X\beta$

$$r = (I - H)\varepsilon$$

since I-H is symmetric and idempotent $(I-H)^T(I-H)=(I-H)^2=I-H$

$$r^T r = \varepsilon^T (I - H)^T (I - H) \varepsilon = \varepsilon^T (I - H) \varepsilon$$

$$\mathbb{E}(r^T r) = \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon^T (I - H)\varepsilon)$$

Lemma 1 (Expectation of a quadratic form). Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be a random vector with

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon] = 0, \quad Cov(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 I_m,$$

and let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be a symmetric matrix. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^{\top} A \varepsilon] = \sigma^2 \operatorname{tr}(A).$$

³We will talk about it later if possible

Proof. Expand the quadratic form:

$$\varepsilon^{\top} A \varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{ij} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}.$$

Taking expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^{\top} A \varepsilon] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{ij} \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}].$$

Since $Cov(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 I_m$, $Cov(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}\varepsilon)(\varepsilon_j - \mathbb{E}\varepsilon_j) = \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j)$, we have $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j] = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i^2] = \sigma^2$. Therefore, only diagonal terms remain:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^{\top} A \varepsilon] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{ii} \sigma^{2} = \sigma^{2} \operatorname{tr}(A).$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon^{T}(I-H)\varepsilon) = \sigma^{2}tr(I-H) = \sigma^{2}(n-(p+1))$$
$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\sigma}^{2}) = \mathbb{E}(\sigma^{2})$$

This shows that $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is an unbiased estimator of the true error variance σ^2 .