# **Titanic Dataset Analysis Insights**

# 1. Data Understanding and Preparation

#### a. Dataset Overview

i. The dataset contains 891 rows and 12 columns (11 features + 1 target variable "Survived").

### b. Missing Values

- i. Age: 19.87% missing values. These rows were dropped.
- **ii.** Cabin: 77.10% missing values. The missing values were imputed using the mode (most frequent value).
- **iii.** Embarked: 0.22% missing values. These were also imputed using the mode.

### c. Data Types

i. The Age column was converted from float64 to int64 since age is typically represented as an integer.

#### d. Outliers

i. No significant outliers or invalid values were detected in the dataset.

# 2. Data Visualization and Bivariate Analysis

#### a. Pclass vs Survived

- i. Passengers with 1st class tickets had the highest survival rate.
- ii. Passengers with 3rd class tickets had the lowest survival rate.
- iii. 2nd class passengers had mixed survival rates.

#### b. Sex vs Survived

- i. Females had a significantly higher survival rate compared to males.
- **ii.** This is likely due to the "women and children first" protocol during the evacuation.

### c. Age vs Survived

- i. Age was binned into categories: 020, 2140, 4160, and 6180.
- ii. Passengers aged 2140 had the highest death count.
- iii. Passengers aged 6180 had the lowest death count.
- **iv.** The high death count in the 2140 age group is likely due to the majority of passengers in this group being 3rd class males, who had lower survival rates.

### d. SibSp (Siblings/Spouses) vs Survived

- i. Passengers with 0, 2, 3, 4, or 5 siblings/spouses had higher death rates.
- ii. Passengers with 1 sibling/spouse had a higher survival rate.

## e. Parch (Parents/Children) vs Survived

- i. Passengers with 0, 4, 5, or 6 parents/children had higher death rates.
- ii. Passengers with 1, 2, or 3 parents/children had higher survival rates.

### f. Embarked (Port of Embarkation) vs Survived

- i. Passengers who embarked from Southampton (S) and Queensland (Q) had higher death rates.
- ii. Passengers who embarked from Cherbourg (C) had higher survival rates

- **iii.** This is likely because Southampton had a higher number of 3rd class passengers and males, both of which had lower survival rates.
- **iv.** Cherbourg had a higher proportion of 1st class passengers, who had higher survival rates.

# 3. Feature Engineering

## a. Age Binning

i. The Age column was binned into categories (020, 2140, 4160, 6180) to simplify analysis.

# b. Categorical to Ordinal Conversion

- i. Sex: Converted to binary (0 for male, 1 for female).
- **ii.** Embarked: Converted to ordinal (0 for Cherbourg, 1 for Queensland, 2 for Southampton).

# c. Irrelevant Columns Dropped

i. Columns like Fare, Ticket, Passengerld, Cabin, and Name were dropped as they were deemed irrelevant for prediction.

### 4. Feature Importance

# a. ChiSquare Test

- i. The most important features based on the ChiSquare test were Sex, Pclass, Parch, and Embarked.
- **ii.** Sex had the highest ChiSquare score, indicating it is the most significant predictor of survival.

#### b. Correlation Matrix

- i. Sex was positively correlated with survival, indicating that females had a higher chance of surviving.
- **ii.** Pclass was negatively correlated with survival, indicating that lower class passengers had a lower chance of surviving.
- **iii.** Other variables like Parch, SibSp, Age\_Bins, and Embarked also showed some correlation with survival.

## 5. Key Observations and Conclusions

#### a. Survival Factors

- i. **Sex**: Females had a much higher survival rate than males.
- **ii. Pclass**: 1st class passengers had the highest survival rate, while 3rd class passengers had the lowest.
- **iii. Age**: Younger passengers (020) and older passengers (6180) had higher survival rates compared to middle aged passengers (2140).
- **iv. Embarked**: Passengers from Cherbourg had higher survival rates compared to those from Southampton and Queensland.

#### b. Feature Importance

i. Sex and Pclass were the most important features in predicting survival.

#### c. Data Preparation

i. The dataset was cleaned by handling missing values, converting categorical variables to ordinal, and dropping irrelevant columns.

## **Summary of Key Insights**

- Survival was highly influenced by Sex, Pclass, and Age.
- Females, 1st class passengers, and younger/older passengers had higher survival rates.
- Passengers from Cherbourg had better survival chances compared to those from Southampton and Queensland.
- Feature engineering and data preparation were crucial in improving the model's predictive power.