

### Optimization of Weapon-Target Pairings Based on Kill Probabilities

Goal: for given weapons, targets, and kill probabilities, satisfy desired kill probabilities while minimizing overkill. The purpose of this paper is optimizing existing approaches rather than generating/demonstrating new ones.

Kill probabilities are not additive.

Uses a new combinatorial algorithm derived from the auction algorithm.

NP-hard problem with multiple, non-identical weapons, so uses a heuristic rather than an algorithm.

The probability of a set of weapons killing a target is higher than the probabilities of each individual weapon-target pair (WTP) in that set multiplied together resulting in a kill.

The optimization problem can be formulated as:

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{i=1}^n |Q_i(q(i)) - K_i|$$

subject to

$$Q_i(q(i)) \geq K_i \text{ for } S_i(q(i)) \neq \emptyset \quad (4)$$

$$S_i(q(i)) \cap S_{i'}(q(i')) = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq i'. \quad (5)$$

Where  $K_i$  is the desired kill probability for a target,  $Q_i(q(i))$  is the lower bound for kill target  $i$  with weapons  $w_{i1} \dots w_{iq(i)}$  and  $S_i(q(i))$  is the subset of weapons  $\{w_{i1} \dots w_{iq(i)}\}$  being used to kill target  $i$ . Total probability of a kill must meet or exceed desired probability (constraint 4) and no weapon can be assigned to multiple targets (constraint 5).

Phase 1 of the algorithm is to generate an Attack Guidance Table (AGT),

---

**Algorithm 1** Generate *AGT* (*AGT\_Gen* algorithm)

---

**Input:** targets:  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ ,  
           weapons:  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$ ,  
           upper bound for  $q(1), q(2), \dots, q(n)$ :  $U$ ,  
           effects:  $P_1(w_1), P_1(w_2), \dots, P_1(w_m)$ ,  
                    $P_2(w_1), P_2(w_2), \dots, P_2(w_m)$ ,  
                   .....  
                    $P_n(w_1), P_n(w_2), \dots, P_n(w_m)$ ,  
           desired effects:  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n$ .

**Output:** *AGT*.

- Step 1. Initialize *minimal set* matrix  $A$  by setting  $a_{i,j} := \emptyset$  for each  $a_{i,j} \in A$ .
  - Step 2. Initialize benefit matrix  $B$  by setting  $b_i^j := 0$  for each  $b_i^j \in B$ .
  - Step 3. Dispatch execution of depth-first search for the minimal sets to  $n$  cores.
  - Step 4. Wait for completion of depth-first searches from all  $n$  cores.
  - Step 5. Generate *AGT* by joining  $A$  and  $B$ , and STOP.
- 

The purpose of this table is to construct minimal sets of weapons to assign to each target and record the benefits of assigning these minimal sets to the target.

However, the number of minimal sets grows exponentially with the number of weapons and targets, and is the main bottleneck for running this algorithm. As such, a global maximum number of weapons that can be assigned to a target,  $U$ , is specified by the user.  $U$  must be below approximately 30 for the algorithm to be practical.

This algorithm is designed for multicore processors where each target is given a dedicated core. Algorithm 2 generates all minimal sets for a target using depth first search.

---

**Algorithm 2** Generate all minimal sets for target  $t_i$  by the  $i$ th core

---

**Input:** target:  $t_i$ ,

weapons:  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$ ,

upper bound for  $q(i)$ :  $U$ ,

effects:  $P_i(w_1), P_i(w_2), \dots, P_i(w_m)$ ,

desired effect:  $K_i$ .

**Output:** matrices:  $A, B$ .

Step 1. Execute depth-first search for all the minimal sets with pruning based on  $q(i) > U$  for target  $t_i$ .

Step 2. For every  $j$ th found, a minimal set that satisfies  $|S_i^j(q(i))| \leq U$  do:

(i) save minimal set in  $A$  by setting

$$a_{i,j} := S_i^j(q(i));$$

(ii) save benefit in  $B$  by setting

$$b_i^j := 100 - Q_i^j(q(i)) + K_i;$$

Step 3. STOP.

---

Algorithm 3 assigns minimal sets to targets, attempting to minimize “adjusted benefit,” which is defined as follows:

The adjusted benefit  $a_{ji}$  of assigning minimal set  $S_{ji}$  to target  $t_i$  is equal to benefit  $b_{ji}$  reduced by the currently assigned scores for targets that have been assigned and share at least one

weapon with  $S$ , where the scores are assigned in steps 1, 5, and 6.

---

**Algorithm 3** Serial optimizer (*Min\_Kill* algorithm)

---

**Input:**  $AGT$ .

**Output:** Assignment of minimal sets to targets.

- Step 1. Initialize target scores  $s_1 := s_2 := \dots := s_n := 0$ .
- Step 2. If there exists unassigned target  $t_i$  with the associated minimal set of positive adjusted benefit, then execute Steps 3–9. Otherwise, STOP.
- Step 3. Find  $j$  that maximizes adjusted benefit  $a_i^j$  for  $t_i$ .
- Step 4. Find  $j' \neq j$  that maximizes adjusted benefit  $a_i^{j'}$  for  $t_i$ .
- Step 5. For every target  $t_k$  (where  $t_k \neq t_i$ ) with currently assigned weapon  $w_{k'} \in S_i^j(q(i))$  do:
- (i)  $s_i := s_i + s_k$ ;
  - (ii) reset score  $s_k := 0$ ;
  - (iii) unassign assigned minimal set  $S_k$ ;
  - (iv) for every weapon  $w_{k''}$  currently assigned to  $t_k$  unassign  $w_{k''}$ .
- Step 6. If  $j'$  exists, then calculate score for target  $t_i$  as follows:

$$s_i := s_i + b_i^j - \max(0, a_i^{j'}) + \epsilon.$$

Else, calculate score for target  $t_i$  as follows:

$$s_i := s_i + b_i^j + \epsilon.$$

Step 7. Assign minimal set  $S_i^j(q(i))$  to target  $t_i$ ;

$$S_i^j(q(i)) \leftrightarrow t_i.$$

Step 8. For every weapon  $w_{k'} \in S_i^j(q(i))$  assign  $w_{k'}$  to target  $t_i$ ;  $w_{k'} \leftrightarrow t_i$ .

Step 9. Go to Step 2.

---

This algorithm converges in a finite number of steps.

Algorithm 4 does the same thing as algorithm 3, but in parallel rather than in serial.

---

**Algorithm 4** Parallel optimizer (*Min\_Kill\_Par* algorithm)

---

**Input:** *AGT*.

**Output:** Assignment of minimal sets to targets.

- Step 1. Initialize target scores  $s_1 := s_2 := \dots := s_n := 0$ .
- Step 2. If there exists unassigned target  $t_i$  with associated minimal set of positive adjusted benefit then execute Steps 3–11. Otherwise, STOP.
- Step 3. For every unassigned  $t_r$  dispatch to core  $r$ , search for  $a_r(1), a_r(2)$ .
- Step 4. Wait until all dispatched tasks to the cores are completed.
- Step 5. Pick unassigned target  $t_i$  based on *a priori* selection criterion:
  - (i)  $\max_r(a_r(1))$ ; or
  - (ii)  $\max_r(G_r)$ .
- Step 6. Identify  $j$  that maximizes adjusted benefit  $a_i^j$  for  $t_i$ .

Step 7. For every target  $t_k$  with currently assigned weapon  $w_{k'} \in S_i^j(q(i))$ , do:

- (i)  $s_i := s_i + s_k$ ;
- (ii) reset score  $s_k := 0$ ;
- (iii) unassign assigned minimal set  $S_k$ ;
- (iv) for every weapon  $w_{k''}$  currently assigned to  $t_k$  unassign  $w_{k''}$ .

Step 8. If  $j'$  exists then calculate score for target  $t_i$  as follows:

$$s_i := s_i + b_i^j - \max(0, a_i^{j'}) + \epsilon.$$

Else, calculate score for target  $t_i$  as follows:

$$s_i := s_i + b_i^j + \epsilon.$$

Step 9. Assign minimal set  $S_i^j(q(i))$  to target  $t_i$ .

Step 10. For every weapon  $w_{k'} \in S_i^j(q(i))$  assign  $w_{k'}$  to target  $t_i$ .

Step 11. Go to Step 2.

Algorithm 5 is designed for deconfliction (ie, not destroying things like friendly units or schools). Probably not relevant to our case.

---

**Algorithm 5** Set deconfliction flag  $d_i^j$  for minimal set  $S_i^j$ 

---

**Input:** minimal set:  $S_i^j$ ,  
lethality:  $f(w_1, t_i), f(w_2, t_i), \dots, f(w_m, t_i)$ ,  
friendly units:  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_x$ .

**Output:** Deconfliction flag  $d_i^j$  in matrix  $D$ .

Step 1. Set  $R_i := \text{LARGE}$ .

Step 2. For every friendly unit  $u_k$  do:  
    calculate  $R_i := \min(R_i, g(u_k, t_i))$ .

Step 3. Set  $d_i^j := 0$ .

Step 4. For every weapon  $w_k$  in minimal set  $S_i^j$  do:  
    if  $f(w_k, t_i) > R_i$  then

$$d_i^j := 1.$$

Step 5. STOP.

---

This algorithm is fast enough (for up to 120 weapons/targets) to be usable in military engagements and is noticeably better than a simple greedy algorithm.