

# Assignment 08: Another Way To Sudoku

## Some Computational Complexity Thought Questions

Due : Fri Apr 19 , 2024 11 : 59pm

2. (15 pts) It is possible to do a problem reduction of sorting a set of numbers to the problem of computing the convex hull of points in a plane. We do this by mapping each number  $x$  to a point  $(x, x^2)$ . This maps each integer to a point on the parabola  $y = x^2$ . The parabola is convex so every point must be on the convex hull. Furthermore, neighboring points on the convex hull have neighboring  $x$  values, the convex hull returns points sorted by the  $x$  coordinate. Explain why this problem reduction means that the best we can do performance-wise for a convex-hull algorithm is  $O(n * \log(n))$ .

- Reducing the sorting problem to the convex hull problem establishes a lower bound of  $O(n * \log n)$  for the convex hull algorithm due to the proven lower bound of comparison-based sorting. Mapping suggests that sorting is at least as challenging as convex hull computation. The best-known convex hull algorithms have a time complexity of  $O(n * \log n)$ . That is why sorting also requires at least  $O(n * \log n)$  time complexity.

3. (25 pts) Planar graphs are graphs that can be drawn in such a fashion that no edges cross each other. Propose an algorithm that you might use to reduce the maximum cut problem in a planar graph to the problem of finding a shortest tour in such a graph that visits each edge at least once.

- 
- Algorithm 1: To reduce the maximum cut problem to finding a shortest tour in a planar graph, you could use the following approach
- 

Problem

Input:

A planar graph.

Output:

The edges forming the maximum cut in the original graph.

Steps:

- Convert the planar graph into a dual graph.
  - Find a minimum spanning tree in the dual graph.
  - The edges not in the minimum spanning tree form the maximum cut in the original graph.
  - Use an Eulerian tour algorithm on the original graph to visit each edge at least once.
- 

4. (10 pts) A brute force algorithm for checking to see if some number  $n$  is a composite number iterates through all numbers from 2 to the floor of  $n/2$  and either terminates with a return of "YES" if a number divides  $n$  evenly or "NO" if you reach the floor of  $n/2$ . Explain why this algorithm does not put the composite number problem into the complexity class P.

- The brute force algorithm for checking composite numbers iterates through  $n/2$  numbers that results in a time complexity of  $O(n)$ . The algorithm does not put the composite number problem into P because its worst-case time complexity is  $O(n)$ .  $O(n)$  is linear and not polynomial. Complexity class P can be solved in polynomial time which is typically  $O(n^k)$  for some constant  $k$ . The given algorithm does not work as it scales linearly with the input size  $n$ .