

# 2. Intro: Multi-product formula (MPF)

## ■ Cost of existing algorithms

	$p$ th-order PF	LCU	QSVT
Pros & Cons	Good scaling in $N$ / Bad scaling in $\varepsilon$	Exponentially good scaling in $\varepsilon$ Good scaling in $t$ / Bad scaling in $N$	
Origin	Commutator scaling A. M. Childs, et al., PRX 11, 011020 (2021)	Rapid convergence of polynomial approximation	

## ■ (Well-conditioned) MPF

G. H. Low, et al., arXiv:1907.11679 (2019)

→ Promising candidate simultaneously efficient in  $N, t, \varepsilon$

$$M(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^J c_j [T_p(\tau/k_j)]^{k_j} = e^{-iH\tau} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{m+1}).$$

Linear combination of  $p$ th-order PF

Smaller than  $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{p+1})$   
by Richardson extrapolation

Suppose we have 2 approximations,  $f_j(\tau) = f_{\text{true}} + a_j \tau^2 + \mathcal{O}(\tau^3)$ .

Then, we obtain better approximation  $c_1 f_1(\tau) + c_2 f_2(\tau) = f_{\text{true}} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^3)$  with  $c_j$  s.t.  $c_1 + c_2 = 1, c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 = 0$ .

## Open problem

Does the cost of MPF has good scaling in any of  $N, t, \varepsilon$  ?