Introduction to Information Retrieval

Phrase queries and positional indexes

Phrase queries

- We want to be able to answer queries such as "stanford university" – as a phrase
- Thus the sentence "I went to university at Stanford" is not a match.
 - The concept of phrase queries has proven easily understood by users; one of the few "advanced search" ideas that works
 - Many more queries are implicit phrase queries
- For this, it no longer suffices to store only
 - <term : docs> entries

A first attempt: Biword indexes

- Index every consecutive pair of terms in the text as a phrase
- For example the text "Friends, Romans,
 Countrymen" would generate the biwords
 - friends romans
 - romans countrymen
- Each of these biwords is now a dictionary term
- Two-word phrase query-processing is now immediate.

Longer phrase queries

- Longer phrases can be processed by breaking them down
- stanford university palo alto can be broken into the Boolean query on biwords:

stanford university AND university palo AND palo alto

Without the docs, we cannot verify that the docs matching the above Boolean query do contain the phrase.

Can have false positives!

Sec. 2.4.

Issues for biword indexes

- False positives, as noted before
- Index blowup due to bigger dictionary
 - Infeasible for more than biwords, big even for them

 Biword indexes are not the standard solution (for all biwords) but can be part of a compound strategy

Solution 2: Positional indexes

• In the postings, store, for each *term* the position(s) in which tokens of it appear:

```
<term, number of docs containing term; doc1: position1, position2 ...; doc2: position1, position2 ...; etc.>
```

Positional index example

```
<be: 993427;
1: 7, 18, 33, 72, 86, 231;
2: 3, 149;
4: 17, 191, 291, 430, 434;
5: 363, 367, ...>
Which of docs 1,2,4,5
could contain "to be
or not to be"?
```

- For phrase queries, we use a merge algorithm recursively at the document level
- But we now need to deal with more than just equality

- Extract inverted index entries for each distinct term: *to, be, or, not.*
- Merge their doc:position lists to enumerate all positions with "to be or not to be".
 - to:
 - 2:1,17,74,222,551; 4:8,16,190,429,433; 7:13,23,191; ...
 - *− be:*
 - 1:17,19; 4:17,191,291,430,434; 5:14,19,101; ...
- Same general method for proximity searches

Proximity queries

- LIMIT! /3 STATUTE /3 FEDERAL /2 TORT
 - Again, here, /k means "within k words of".
- Clearly, positional indexes can be used for such queries; biword indexes cannot.
- Exercise: Adapt the linear merge of postings to handle proximity queries. Can you make it work for any value of k?
 - This is a little tricky to do correctly and efficiently
 - See Figure 2.12 of IIR

Sec. 2.4.2

Positional index size

- A positional index expands postings storage substantially
 - Even though indices can be compressed
- Nevertheless, a positional index is now standardly used because of the power and usefulness of phrase and proximity queries ... whether used explicitly or implicitly in a ranking retrieval system.

Positional index size

- Need an entry for each occurrence, not just once per document
- Index size depends on average document size why
 - Average web page has <1000 terms
 - SEC filings, books, even some epic poems ... easily 100,000 terms

Consider a term with frequency 0.1%

Postings Positional postings

1000 1 1

100,000 1 100

Rules of thumb

 A positional index is 2–4 as large as a nonpositional index

 Positional index size 35–50% of volume of original text

 Caveat: all of this holds for "English-like" languages

Combination schemes

- These two approaches can be profitably combined
 - For particular phrases ("Michael Jackson", "Britney Spears") it is inefficient to keep on merging positional postings lists
 - Even more so for phrases like "The Who"
- Williams et al. (2004) evaluate a more sophisticated mixed indexing scheme
 - A typical web query mixture was executed in ¼ of the time of using just a positional index
 - It required 26% more space than having a positional index alone

Introduction to Information Retrieval

Phrase queries and positional indexes