

21



Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

- Linear classifiers at classification time:
 - Linear function from feature sets $\{f_i\}$ to classes $\{c\}$.
 - Assign a weight λ_i to each feature f_i .
 - We consider each class for an observed datum d
 - For a pair (c, d) , features vote with their weights:
 - $\text{vote}(c) = \sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d)$

PERSON
in Québec

LOCATION
in Québec

DRUG
in Québec

- Choose the class c which maximizes $\sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d)$



Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

- Linear classifiers at classification time:
 - Linear function from feature sets $\{f_i\}$ to classes $\{c\}$.
 - Assign a weight λ_i to each feature f_i .
 - We consider each class for an observed datum d
 - For a pair (c, d) , features vote with their weights:
 - $\text{vote}(c) = \sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d)$

PERSON
in Québec

1.8 LOCATION
in Québec -0.6

0.3 DRUG
in Québec

- Choose the class c which maximizes $\sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d) = \text{LOCATION}$



Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

There are many ways to chose weights for features

- Perceptron: find a currently misclassified example, and nudge weights in the direction of its correct classification
- Margin-based methods (Support Vector Machines)



Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

- Exponential (log-linear, maxent, logistic, Gibbs) models:
 - Make a probabilistic model from the linear combination $\sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d)$

$$P(c | d, \lambda) = \frac{\exp \sum_i \lambda_i f_i(c, d)}{\sum_{c'} \exp \sum_i \lambda_i f_i(c', d)}$$

Makes votes positive
Normalizes votes

- $P(\text{LOCATION} | \text{in Québec}) = e^{1.8} e^{-0.6} / (e^{1.8} e^{-0.6} + e^{0.3} + e^0) = 0.586$
 - $P(\text{DRUG} | \text{in Québec}) = e^{0.3} / (e^{1.8} e^{-0.6} + e^{0.3} + e^0) = 0.238$
 - $P(\text{PERSON} | \text{in Québec}) = e^0 / (e^{1.8} e^{-0.6} + e^{0.3} + e^0) = 0.176$
- The **weights** are the **parameters** of the probability model, combined via a “soft max” function



Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

- Exponential (log-linear, maxent, logistic, Gibbs) models:
 - Given this model form, we will choose parameters $\{\lambda_i\}$ that *maximize the conditional likelihood* of the data according to this model.
 - We construct not only classifications, but probability distributions over classifications.
 - There are other (good!) ways of discriminating classes – SVMs, boosting, even perceptrons – but these methods are not as trivial to interpret as distributions over classes.



Aside: logistic regression

- Maxent models in NLP are essentially the same as multiclass logistic regression models in statistics (or machine learning)
 - If you haven't seen these before, don't worry, this presentation is self-contained!
 - If you have seen these before you might think about:
 - The parameterization is slightly different in a way that is advantageous for NLP-style models with tons of sparse features (but statistically inelegant)
 - The key role of feature functions in NLP and in this presentation
 - The features are more general, with f also being a function of the class – when might this be useful?



Quiz Question

- Assuming exactly the same set up (3 class decision: LOCATION, PERSON, or DRUG; 3 features as before, maxent), what are:
 - $P(\text{PERSON} \mid \text{by Goéric}) =$
 - $P(\text{LOCATION} \mid \text{by Goéric}) =$
 - $P(\text{DRUG} \mid \text{by Goéric}) =$
 - $1.8 \quad f_1(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \wedge w_{-1} = \text{"in"} \wedge \text{isCapitalized}(w)]$
 - $-0.6 \quad f_2(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{LOCATION} \wedge \text{hasAccentedLatinChar}(w)]$
 - $0.3 \quad f_3(c, d) \equiv [c = \text{DRUG} \wedge \text{ends}(w, \text{"c"})]$

PERSON
by Goéric

LOCATION
by Goéric

DRUG
by Goéric

$$P(c \mid d, \lambda) = \frac{\exp \sum_i \lambda_i f_i(c, d)}{\sum_{c'} \exp \sum_i \lambda_i f_i(c', d)}$$

[illegible]

29