

# Introduction to **Information Retrieval**

Introducing ranked retrieval

# Ranked retrieval

- Thus far, our queries have all been Boolean.
  - Documents either match or don't.
- Good for expert users with precise understanding of their needs and the collection.
  - Also good for applications: Applications can easily consume 1000s of results.
- Not good for the majority of users.
  - Most users incapable of writing Boolean queries (or they are, but they think it's too much work).
  - Most users don't want to wade through 1000s of results.
    - This is particularly true of web search.

# Problem with Boolean search: feast or famine

- Boolean queries often result in either too few ( $\approx 0$ ) or too many (1000s) results.
  - Query 1: “*standard user dlink 650*”  $\rightarrow$  200,000 hits
  - Query 2: “*standard user dlink 650 no card found*”  $\rightarrow$  0 hits
- It takes a lot of skill to come up with a query that produces a manageable number of hits.
  - AND gives too few; OR gives too many

# Ranked retrieval models

- Rather than a set of documents satisfying a query expression, in **ranked retrieval models**, the system returns an ordering over the (top) documents in the collection with respect to a query
- **Free text queries**: Rather than a query language of operators and expressions, the user's query is just one or more words in a human language
- In principle, there are two separate choices here, but in practice, ranked retrieval models have normally been associated with free text queries and vice versa

# Feast or famine: not a problem in ranked retrieval

- When a system produces a ranked result set, large result sets are not an issue
  - Indeed, the size of the result set is not an issue
  - We just show the top  $k$  ( $\approx 10$ ) results
  - We don't overwhelm the user
  - Premise: the ranking algorithm works

# Scoring as the basis of ranked retrieval

- We wish to return in order the documents most likely to be useful to the searcher
- How can we rank-order the documents in the collection with respect to a query?
- Assign a score – say in  $[0, 1]$  – to each document
- This score measures how well document and query “match”.

# Query-document matching scores

- We need a way of assigning a score to a query/document pair
- Let's start with a one-term query
- If the query term does not occur in the document: score should be 0
- The more frequent the query term in the document, the higher the score (should be)
- We will look at a number of alternatives for this

# Introduction to **Information Retrieval**

Introducing ranked retrieval