# Chapter 4: Determinant of matrices

- Axioms for determinant function.
- 2 Properties of determinant function.
- 3 Existence and uniqueness of determinant function.
- Invertibility of a matrix in terms of determinant.
- Omputation of determinant by Gauss-Jordan Method.
- Inverse of a matrix in terms in terms of the cofactor matrix.
- $\bullet$  Cramer's Rule for solving n linear equations in n unknowns.

# Axiomatic approach for the Determinant Function

Recall the formula for determinants of square matrices.

$$det[a] = a, det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = ad - bc$$

and det 
$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} = aei - ahf - bdi + bgf + cdh - ceg.$$

- We will explain how these formulas and similar formulas for all square matrices can be derived using properties of determinant of matrices.
- Our approach to determinants of square matrices is via their properties rather than via an explicit formulas as above.
- **①** Let  $\mathbb F$  denote either the field  $\mathbb R$  of real numbers or the field  $\mathbb C$  of complex numbers.
- **5** The set of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ .

### Axioms for determinant functions

- **①** Suppose that the columns of  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  are  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ ,
- ② Define  $d: \mathbb{F}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{F}$  by  $d(A) = d(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ .
- The function d is called a **multilinear** function if for each k = 1, 2, ..., n; scalars  $\alpha, \beta$  and column vectors  $A_1, ..., A_{k-1}, A_{k+1}, ..., A_n, B, C \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times 1}$   $d(A_1, ..., A_{k-1}, \alpha B + \beta C, A_{k+1}, ..., A_n) = \alpha \ d(A_1, ..., A_{k-1}, B, A_{k+1}, ..., A_n) + \beta \ d(A_1, ..., A_{k-1}, C, A_{k+1}, ..., A_n).$
- **1** d is called an **alternating** function if for some  $i \neq j$  and  $A_i = A_j$ , then

$$d(A_1,A_2,\ldots,A_n)=0$$

- **1** If  $d(I) = d(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) = 1$  then d is called a **normalized** function.
- **Definition.** A normalized, alternating, and multillinear function d on  $n \times n$  matrices is called a **determinant function** of order n.

### Properties of determinant function

- **Lemma:** Suppose that  $d(A_1, A_2, ..., A_n)$  is a multilinear alternating function on columns of  $n \times n$  matrices. Then
  - (a) If some  $A_k = 0$  then  $d(A_1, A_2, ..., A_n) = 0$ .
  - (b)  $d(A_1, \ldots, A_k, A_{k+1}, \ldots, A_n) = -d(A_1, \ldots, A_{k+1}, A_k, \ldots, A_n).$
  - (c)  $d(A_1, ..., A_i, ..., A_i, ..., A_n) = -d(A_1, ..., A_i, ..., A_i, ..., A_n)$ .
- **Proof:** (a) If  $A_k = 0$  then by multilinearity

$$d(A_1,\ldots,0\cdot A_k,\ldots,A_n)=0\cdot d(A_1,\ldots,A_k,\ldots,A_n)=0.$$

**1** (b) Put  $A_k = B, A_{k+1} = C$ . By the alternating property

$$0 = d(A_1,...,B+C,B+C,...,A_n)$$
  
=  $d(A_1,...,B,B+C,...,A_n)+d(A_1,...,C,B+C,...,A_n)$   
=  $d(A_1,...,B,C,...,A_n)+d(A_1,...,C,B,...,A_n)$ 

- Hence  $d(A_1, ..., B, C, ..., A_n) = -d(A_1, ..., C, B, ..., A_n)$ .
- (c) can be proved similarly.

### Formula for the determinant of a $2 \times 2$ matrix

• Suppose  $d(A_1, A_2)$  is an alternating multilinear normalized function on  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A = (A_1, A_2)$ . Then

$$d\begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & u \end{bmatrix} = xu - yz.$$

- ② Write  $A_1 = xe_1 + ze_2$  and  $A_2 = ye_1 + ue_2$ .
- Then using the axioms for determinant function we get

$$d(A_1, A_2) = d(xe_1 + ze_2, ye_1 + ue_2)$$

$$= d(xe_1 + ze_2, ye_1) + d(xe_1 + ze_2, ue_2)$$

$$= d(xe_1, ye_1) + d(ze_2, ye_1)$$

$$+d(xe_1, ue_2) + d(ze_2, ue_2)$$

$$= yzd(e_2, e_1) + xud(e_1, e_2)$$

$$= (xu - yz)d(e_1, e_2) = xu - yz$$

## Uniqueness of the determinant function

- **4 Vanishing Lemma for multilinear functions:** Suppose f is a multilinear alternating function on  $n \times n$  matrices and  $f(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n) = 0$ . Then f = 0.
- **② Proof:** Let  $A = (a_{ij}) = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Write

$$A_j = a_{1j}e_1 + a_{2j}e_2 + \cdots + a_{nj}e_n.$$

Since f is multilinear we have

$$f(A_1,\ldots,A_n)=\sum a_{h(1)1}a_{h(2)2}\cdots a_{h(n)n} f(e_{h(1)},e_{h(2)},\ldots,e_{h(n)}),$$

- lacktriangle Here the sum is over all functions  $h:\{1,2,\ldots,n\} o \{1,2,\ldots,n\}.$
- Since f is alternating we have

$$f(A_1,\ldots,A_n)=\sum a_{h(1)1}a_{h(2)2}\cdots a_{h(n)n} f(e_{h(1)},e_{h(2)},\ldots,e_{h(n)}),$$

• where the sum is over all bijections  $h: \{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

# Uniqueness of the determinant function

O By using part (c) of the lemma above we see that we can write

$$f(A_1,\ldots,A_n)=\sum_h \pm a_{h(1)1}a_{h(2)2}\cdots a_{h(n)n} f(e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_n),$$

- **②** Here the sum is over all bijections  $h:\{1,\ldots,n\} \to \{1,\ldots,n\}.$
- Therefore f(A) = 0.
- **Theorem:** Let f be an alternating multilinear function on  $\mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$  and d a determinant function on  $\mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$ .

$$f(A_1,\ldots,A_n)=d(A_1,\ldots,A_n)f(e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_n).$$

 $\odot$  In particular, if f is also a determinant function then

$$f(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n) = d(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n).$$

### Proof of uniqueness of determinant function

Proof: Consider the function

$$g(A_1,...,A_n) = f(A_1,...,A_n) - d(A_1,...,A_n)f(e_1,e_2,...,e_n).$$

- ② Since f, d are alternating and multilinear so is g. Since  $g(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) = 0$  the result follows from the previous Lemma.
- **Notation:** We denote the determinant of *A* by det *A*.
- Setting det[a] = a shows existence for n = 1.
- **3** Assume that we have shown existence of determinant function on  $\mathbb{F}^{(n-1)\times(n_1)}$ .
- **○** The determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix A can be computed in terms of  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  determinants.
- Let  $A_{ij} = \text{the } (n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix obtained from A by deleting the ith row and jth column of A.

### Existence of determinant function

**1** Theorem. Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then the function

$$f(A) = a_{11} \det A_{11} - a_{12} \det A_{12} + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} a_{1n} \det A_{1n}.$$

is the determinant function on  $n \times n$  matrices.

- **2 Proof:** Denote the function by  $f(A_1, A_2, ..., A_n)$ .
- **3** Suppose that the columns  $A_j$  and  $A_{j+1}$  of A are equal.
- **1** Then  $A_{1i}$  have equal columns except when i = j or i = j + 1.
- **5** By induction  $f(A_{1i}) = 0$  for  $i \neq j, j + 1$ . Therefore

$$f(A) = a_{1j} [(-1)^{j+1} \det(A_{1j})] + [(-1)^{j+2} \det(A_{1j+1})] a_{1j+1}.$$

- **1** Since  $A_j = A_{j+1}$ ,  $a_{1j} = a_{1j+1}$  and  $A_{1j} = A_{1j+1}$ .
- Therefore f(A) = 0 and hence  $f(A_1, A_2, ..., A_n)$  is alternating.
- Multilinearity of f is left as an exercise. If A = I then by induction  $f(A) = 1 \det(A_{11}) = f(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}) = 1$ .

# Determinant of elementary and upper triangular matrices

- **Theorem:** (i) Let U be an upper triangular or a lower triangular matrix. Then det U is the product of diagonal entries of U.
- ② (ii) If  $E = [e_1, \dots, e_i + me_i, \dots, e_n]$ , for some  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\det E = 1$ .
- lacksquare (iii) If  $F=[e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_j,\ldots,e_i,\ldots,e_n]$ , for some  $i\neq j$ . Then  $\det F=-1$ .
- **1** (iv) If  $G = [e_1, e_2, \dots, me_i, \dots, e_n]$  then  $\det G = m$ .
- **Proof:** (i) Let  $U = (u_{ij})$  be upper triangular. Use induction on n. The case n = 1 is clear. For  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrix U, use the formula

$$f(A) = a_{11} \det A_{11} - a_{12} \det A_{12} + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} a_{1n} \det A_{1n}$$

To see that det  $U = u_{11}u_{22} \dots u_{nn}$ .

- (ii) Follows from part (i).
- ullet (iii) As E is obtained from the identity matrix by exchanging columns i and j and the det is alternating, the result follows.
- (iv) Follows form part (i).

# det(AB) = det A det B

**1 Theorem:** Let A, B be two  $n \times n$  matrices. Then

$$\det(AB) = \det A \det B.$$

- **2 Proof:** Let  $D_i$  be the ith column of a matrix D. Then  $(AB)_i = AB_i$ .
- Therefore we prove that

$$\det(AB_1, AB_2 \dots, AB_n) = \det(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \det(B_1, \dots, B_n)$$

- Keep A fixed and define  $f(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) = \det(AB_1, AB_2, \dots, AB_n)$ .
- $\odot$  We show that f is alternating and multilinear.

## det(AB) = det A det B

**1** Let C be an  $n \times 1$  column vector. For any scalars x, y we get

$$0 = f(B_1, ..., B_i, ..., B_n) = \det(AB_1, ..., AB_i, ..., AB_i, ..., AB_n)$$

$$f(B_1, ..., xB_k + yC, ..., B_n) = \det(AB_1, ..., A(xB_k + yC), ..., AB_n)$$

$$= \det(AB_1, ..., xAB_k + yAC, ..., AB_n)$$

$$= \det(AB_1, ..., xAB_k, ..., AB_n)$$

$$+ \det(AB_1, ..., yAC, ..., AB_n)$$

$$= xf(B_1, ..., B_n) + yf(B_1, ..., C, ..., B_n).$$

- ② Therefore  $f(B_1, B_2, ..., B_n) = \det(B_1, ..., B_n) f(e_1, e_2, ..., e_n)$ .
- **3** As  $f(e_1, e_2, ..., e_n) = \det(Ae_1, ..., Ae_n) = \det(A_1, ..., A_n) = \det A$ ,
- It follows that det(AB) = det A det B.

## Determinant and invertibility

- **9 Proposition:** (i) If A is invertible then  $\det A \neq 0$  and  $\det A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A}$ .
- ② (ii) If  $\det A \neq 0$  then A is invertible.
- (iii) If AB = I then A is invertible and  $B = A^{-1}$ .
- **9 Proof:** (i) Since  $AA^{-1} = I$ , det  $A^{-1}$  det  $A = \det I = 1$ .
- (ii) Suppose *A* is not invertible.
- **1** Then there is a nonzero column vector x such that Ax = 0.
- So some column of A is a linear combination of other columns of A.
- **1** By multilinearity and alternating properties we have  $\det A = 0$ .
- (iii) Let AB = I. Taking determinants we have det  $A \det B = 1$ . So det  $A \neq 0$  and A is invertible. Now  $B = (A^{-1}A)B = A^{-1}(AB) = A^{-1}$ .

# Determinant of transpose of a matrix

**1** Theorem: For any  $n \times n$  matrix A,

$$\det A = \det A^t$$
.

- **2 Proof:** Since  $(A^t)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^t$ , A is invertible  $\iff A^t$  is invertible.
- Therefore if A is not invertible then  $A^t$  is also not invertible and  $\det A = 0 = \det A^t$ .
- **②** So we may assume that A is invertible. Now we write  $A = E_1 E_2 \cdots E_k$ , where  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  are elementary matrices.
- Now transpose of an elementary matrix is also an elementary matrix of the same type and has the same determinant.
- The result follows by multiplicativity of the determinant function.
- **Theorem:** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $1 \le k \le n$ . Then

$$\det A = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{k+i} a_{ik} \det A_{ik}.$$

# Computation of determinant by Gauss-Jordan elimination

- **1** Let E = the  $n \times n$  elementary matrix for the row operation  $A_i + cA_j$
- **3** F =the  $n \times n$  elementary matrix for the row operation  $A_i \sim A_j$
- **3**  $G = \text{the } n \times n \text{ elementary matrix for the row operation } A_i \sim cA_i$ .
- Suppose that A be an  $n \times n$  matrix and U is the RCF of A.
- **3** If  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p$  are the multipliers used for the row operations
- **1**  $A_i \sim cA_i$  and r row exchanges have been used to get U from A then for any alternating multilinear function d,

$$d(U) = (-1)^r c_1 c_2 \dots c_p \ d(A).$$

- Note that d(FA) = -d(A), d(EA) = d(A) and d(GA) = cd(A).
- Suppose that  $u_{11}, u_{22}, \ldots, u_{nn}$  are the diagonal entries of U then  $d(A) = (-1)^r (c_1 c_2, \ldots c_p)^{-1} u_{11} u_{22} \ldots u_{nn} d(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n).$
- Therefore  $\det(A) = (-1)^r (c_1 c_2, \dots c_p)^{-1} u_{11} u_{22} \dots u_{nn}$ .

### Matrix inverse and the cofactor matrix

**Operation:** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. The **cofactor** of  $a_{ij}$ , denoted by cof  $a_{ij}$  is defined as

$$cof a_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ij}.$$

- **②** The **cofactor matrix** of *A* is defined as the matrix cof  $A = (\text{cof } a_{ii})$ .
- **Theorem:** For any  $n \times n$  matrix A,

$$A(\operatorname{cof} A)^t = (\operatorname{det} A)I = (\operatorname{cof} A)^t A.$$

- Therefore if det A is nonzero then  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A}(\operatorname{cof} A)^t$ .
- **9 Proof:** The (i,j) entry of  $(\operatorname{cof} A)^t A$  is :

$$a_{1j} \operatorname{cof} a_{1i} + a_{2j} \operatorname{cof} a_{2i} + \cdots + a_{nj} \operatorname{cof} a_{ni}$$
.

- If i = j, it is easy to see that it is det A. When  $i \neq j$  consider the matrix B obtained by replacing  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of A by  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of A.
- **1** Then B has a repeated column. Therefore det B = 0.
- **1** The other equation  $A(\operatorname{cof} A)^t = (\det A)I$  is proved similarly.

# Cramer's Rule for solving linear equations

be a system of *n* linear equations in *n* unknowns,  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ .

Suppose the coefficient matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is invertible.

Let  $C_j$  be the matrix obtained from A by replacing the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of A by  $b=(b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_n)^t$ . Then for  $j=1,2,\ldots,n,$   $x_j=\frac{\det C_j}{\det A}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  be the columns of A. Write

$$b=x_1A_1+x_2A_2+\cdots+x_nA_n.$$

- **1** Then  $\det(b, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n) = x_1 \det A$  and each  $x_j = \frac{\det C_j}{\det A}$ .
- Cramer's rule gives a compact formula for the solutions. But it requires too many computations. The Gauss-Jordan method is preferred over this method.