

## Runtime Analysis on runtime.js

Timing results for extraLargeArray:

Function	Runtime
doublerAppend	12.303852 ms
doublerInsert	2.5145464840000002 s

Timing results for calling the doublerAppend and doublerInsert functions with all of the differently sized arrays:

Array	doublerAppend Runtime	doublerInsert Runtime
tinyArray (10)	153.815 $\mu$ s	90.693 $\mu$ s
smallArray (100)	328.109 $\mu$ s	85.425 $\mu$ s
mediumArray (1000)	235.986 $\mu$ s	529.464 $\mu$ s
largeArray (10000)	1.61014 ms	21.908076 ms
extraLargeArray (100000)	30.455126 ms	3.846845328 s

Patterns explained:

I am seeing an increase in total runtime as the array size gets larger. Each function scales very incrementally and only slightly increases in time. I can't see any true scale pattern in the runtime. The doublerAppend function has a better scaletime overall.

Extra Credit:

The doublerAppend function uses the `.push()` method adding the numbers to the end of an array while the doublerInsert method uses the `.unshift()` method which shifts the newly added numbers to the front of the array. Given the that `.unshift` has to shift numbers before they are added to an array increase its total runtime. Because of this, `.push` has a constant runtime while `.unshift` has a linear runtime. Because `.push` is constant is is faster