

ecalj details

<https://github.com/tkotani/ecalj>

March 3, 2016

Abstract

This explains details of ecalj on the based on [?] and [?] (See Kotani2114QSGWinPMT.pdf and KotaniKinoAkai2015FormulationPMT.pdf at ecalj/Document/Manual/) on top of developments [?].

Contents

1 Overview of PMT-QSGW algorithm	3
1.1 Crystal structure, notations, and common data in code	3
1.2 Representation of eigenfunctions	5
1.2.1 MTO part	5
1.2.2 APW part	5
1.3 Re-expansion of eigenfunctions: CPHI and GEIG	6
1.4 Overview of GW calculation	7
2 q and G vector generation. qg4gw	8
2.0.1 Make G vectors: getgv2	9
2.0.2 Make G vectors: shortn3	9
3 Mixed Product basis	10
3.1 Product basis (hbasfp0)	10
4 The Coulomb matrix (hvccfp0.m.F)	12
4.1 Spherical Bessel and related functions	12
4.2 Green function	13
4.3 Used formulas	13
4.4 Hankel function and Structure constant	13
4.5 $\langle B v B \rangle$ part	14
4.6 RL expansion of $ P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$	15
4.7 Overlap matrix of PPOVL* files	15
4.8 $\langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} v P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$	16
4.9 $\langle P B \rangle$ part	17
5 Improved offset-Γ method; $W(\mathbf{k}=0)$ averaged in the Γ cell.	17
6 hx0fp0.sc.m.F. $W(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ calculation	19
7 self-energy	19
8 Fourier transformation of non-local quantity	19
9 Interpolation of the self-energy in the Brillouin zone	20
10 Overview of gwsc and other scripts	20
10.1 xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, bz setting, q+G for phi and for vcoul	21

11	Used files	22
11.1	@MNLA.CPHI	22
12	General cautions for developers	22
13	Coding rule and Developer's memo	23
14	Module programming for developers	26
15	Phonon project	29
16	Magnon project	29
17	Wannier project	29
18	Paralellization project	29
A	Harris-Foulkner energy and Kohn-Sham energy	30
B	Block inversion used for dielectric functions and downfolding	30
C	Downfolding	31
D	Causality and analytic property	31
E	Spherical Harmonics and Real harmonics in ecalj	31
F	Expansion of non-local functions, need fixing	32
G	Expansion of a plane wave with the mixed basis, need fixing	32
H	<i>..... under construction xxxx...</i> (Usuda's old note from here)	34
I	<i>..... under construction xxxx...</i> Dielectric function	34
I.1	Dielectric function without local-field correction	34
I.2	Dielectric function with local-field correction	35
J	<i>..... under construction xxxx...</i> memo	36
	•Reference	

1 Overview of PMT-QSGW algorithm

The `ecalj` is based on the PMT method (=Linearized APW+MTO method) [?, ?, ?], which is a mixed basis method. As long as we know, it is only a method using two kinds of augmented waves simultaneously. With `ecalj`, we can do total energy calculation and atomic-position relaxations within LDA, GGA and LDA/GGA+U. We can add spin-orbit coupling and so on (some limitations).

Especially, its uniqueness is in the QSGW calculations (quasiparticle self-consistent GW). Since it is on top of the PMT method, we call the QSGW method in `ecalj` as the PMT-QSGW method [?]. In addition, we can calculate linear responses (dielectric and magnetic), Wannier functions, and so on.

Originally the QSGW had implemented in the LMTO [?], say, the LMTO-QSGW. However, the LMTO-QSGW is very difficult to use. In contrast, PMT-QSGW is rather easier to use.

In addition, we added another developments to the original LMTO-QSGW; some ideas are from papers Ref.[?] by Friedrich, Blügel, and Schindlmayr, and Ref.[?] by Freysoldt et al. In this Sec. 1, we try to explain some details along the line of Ref.[?].

1.1 Crystal structure, notations, and common data in code

We use unit `alat` (measured by a.u.=0.528177Å) to represent length in the code. Thus, to convert quantities in the unit of a.u., we multiply `alat` to the quantities of scales. Here is some basic notations.

- Primitive cell vectors \mathbf{p}_i (in a.u.) are `alat*plat(1:3,i)`, where $i=1,2,3$. For example, see `LATTC`, which appears in `work/si_gwsc` after install test (`plat=PLAT` given in `ctrl` file). $\mathbf{q}_i=\mathbf{qlat}(1:3,i)$ is reciprocal unit vectors such that $\text{sum}(\text{plat}(1:3,i), \text{qlat}(1:3,j))=\delta_{ij}$.
- The centers of MT sites $\{\mathbf{R}\}$ in the primitive cell is given by $\{\mathbf{R}\}=\text{alat*bas}(1:3,\text{ibas}), \text{ibas}=1, \text{nbas}$ (we use `pos,natom` in cases instead of `bas,nbas`). $\{\mathbf{R}\}$ is the position vector measured from a center of primitive cell. `nbas` is number of atoms.
- Thus the MT sites are specified by $\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{T}$, where \mathbf{T} specify centers of primitive cells. $\mathbf{T}(n1, n2, n3) = n_1\mathbf{q}_1 + n_2\mathbf{q}_2 + n_3\mathbf{q}_3$.
- In the followings, we use \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{q} (in cases, mixed up... sorry), both of which means vectors in the BZ. In procedures such as $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}'$, we sometimes need to pull it back to the BZ (then $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}'$ may be written as $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{k}'' + \mathbf{G}$, where \mathbf{G} is a reciprocal vector).
- We specify MTs (atoms) in the cell (SPEC) in `ctrl` file. where the MTs (atoms) belonging to the same SPEC can be divided into some classes (CLASS) (we can use `lmchk` to check how they are classified).
- Note that we specify atoms in the cell (SPEC) in `ctrl` file. The same atoms belonging to the same SPEC can be classified into some classes (CLASS) (we can use `lmchk` to check how they are classified). `NBAS >= NSPEC >= NCLASS`
- `iclass(ibas)` is the id for class. The equivalent MT sites should have the same class id as `iclass(ibas1)=iclass(ibas2)`.
(However, for the convenience of program developments, (historical reason), I assume `ibas=iclass(ibas)`; true class is `iclasst(ibas)`. This is used to find space group operations in call `mptauof`. A little complicated...)

Here is a common list of variables in `ecalj` code. Not all variables shown here.

```
alat: unit in a.u. This is in LATTC or (or call genallc_v3)
plat: primitive vector. this is in LATTC (or call genallc_v3)
qlat: reciprocal primitive vector
      \delta_ij= sum(plat(:,i)*qlat(:,j))
QpGcut_psi: cutoff to determine G vector for eigenfunction
      |q+G|< QpGcut_cou (in a.u.)
      CAUTION: in code, we usually represent q and G in the unit of
      2pi/alat, thus the cutoff is (in the program)
```

```

2*pi/alat*sum((q+G)**2))< QpGcut_cou**2

QpGcut_cou: cutoff to to determine G vector for Coulmb matrix
            |q+G|< QpGcut_psi
symops (or symgg):
    space group, rotational part. This is in SYMOPS
    (or call genallc_v3)
nbas (or natom): number of MTs in the primitive cell.
    corresponding to nbas, we usually use ibas for do loop
    as "do ibas=1,nbas".
bas(1:3,1:natom) (or nbas): MT centers for R within the cell
    Cartesian coordinates in the unit of alat.
ng (or ngrp):number of space group operations.

tiat miat: given by subroutine mptauof. Space group operations.
            (mapping of atoms). (tiat(3,ibas,ig)
            See explanation it it.

-----
hxOfp0.sc.m.F
ixc: control of job, read by fortran read

call getkeyvalue("GWinput","ecut_p",ecut, default=1d10 )
: This read "ecut_p" given in GWinput. Default=1d10
We can read arrays x (real, integer, logical) by the same
getkeyvalue (interface judge type of arguments). Instead of read the
getkeyvalue.F, check how it is used.

call read_BZDATA():
    This allocate and give data related to the BZ.
    After it is called, we have data given in the m_read_bzdata
    as shown in "use m_read_bzdata,only:"
    ngrp: number of space group operation
    nqbz: = n1 x n2 x n3, # of BZ
    nqibz: # of irreducible k points in the BZ.
    qbas: probably the same as qlat
    ginv: inverse of qlat (essentially the same as plat, but transposed).
    dq_: shift vector for qbzreg mode
        This is for qbzreg(). (When qbzreg=F, BZ mesh do not
contain Gamma point). This mechanism should be reconsidered.
    qbz: q point in the BZ
    qibz: qpoints in the irrecucible BZ.
    wbz: weight. 1/(n1*n2*n3)
    wibz: weight for qibz.

qbzreg():
    If F, we use off-Gamma mesh for qbz.

genallcf_v3:
    This allocate and set data for "use m_genallcf_v3,only:".

Radial mesh: hbasfp0
    a,b, rofi,nr (or aa,bb, nrad).
    MT site radial data. Radial integrals are only in
    subroutine basnfp_v2 in hbasfp0.m.F.

```

We assume $\mathbf{r}(\text{ir})=\mathbf{b}*(\exp(\mathbf{aa}*(\text{ir}-1)-1.))$, $\text{ir}=1,\text{nr}$
 rhoMT is read.

...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

1.2 Representation of eigenfunctions

In the PMT method [?], the valence eigenfunctions for a given H^0 are represented in the linear combinations of the Bloch-summed MTOs $\chi_{\mathbf{RL}j}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ and the APWs $\chi_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$;

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}n}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{RL}j} z_{\mathbf{RL}j}^{\mathbf{k}n} \chi_{\mathbf{RL}j}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{\mathbf{G}} z_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}n} \chi_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1)$$

where we use indexes of the wave vector \mathbf{k} , band index n , and reciprocal lattice vector \mathbf{G} . The MTOs in the primitive cell are specified by the index of MT site \mathbf{R} , angular momentum $L = (l, m)$, and j for radial functions. As for core eigenfunctions, we calculate them under the condition that they are restricted within MTs. Then we take into accounts the contributions of the cores to the exchange part defined in Eq. (19) in the following. But not to the correlation part. (caution: we now usally apply “core1 treatment” give in Ref.[?] for all cores. Rarely use core2).

1.2.1 MTO part

Within MTs, the Bloch sum of the MTO, $\chi_{\mathbf{RL}j}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$, is expressed by a linear combination of atomic like orbitals $A_{\mathbf{RL}j}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \{\phi_{\mathbf{RL}j}(r), \dot{\phi}_{\mathbf{RL}j}(r), \phi_{\mathbf{RL}j}^z(r)\} \times Y_L$. (ϕ^z means local orbital). These radial functions are solutions of the radial Schrödinger equations(or their energy derivatives) within \mathbf{R} . The MTO basis is specified by smHankel functions which contains two parameters ($E = -|\kappa|^2, R_{\text{sm}}$).

$A_{\mathbf{RL}j}(\mathbf{r})$ makes orthonormalized basis for each MT \mathbf{R} . Then the MTO including tail part can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\mathbf{RL}j}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{RL}j} C_{\mathbf{RL}j}^{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{RL}j}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{if } \mathbf{r} \in \text{any MT} \\ &= H_{\mathbf{RL}}^{\kappa, R_s, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{otherwise,} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where we use the Bloch sums,

$$A_{\mathbf{RL}j}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{T}} A_{\mathbf{RL}j}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{T}) \exp(i\mathbf{kT}), \quad (3)$$

$$H^{\mathbf{k}s}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{T}} H_s(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{T}) \exp(i\mathbf{kT}). \quad (4)$$

Here the smoothe Hankel functions $H^{\mathbf{k}s}(\mathbf{r})$ are the envelope functions of MTOs.

1.2.2 APW part

The APW $\chi_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ are given as a linear combination of atomic like orbitals $A_{\mathbf{RL}u}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \{\phi_{\mathbf{RL}u}(r)Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{r}}), \dot{\phi}_{\mathbf{RL}u}(r)Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{r}})\}$ within MTs, and just the usual plane waves within the interstitial region. Here $\phi_{\mathbf{RL}u}(r)$ and $\dot{\phi}_{\mathbf{RL}u}(r)$ denote two solutions of the radial Schrödinger equations at an energy \mathbf{enu} for each l (an usual choice of \mathbf{enu} is the center of gravity of occupied PDOS). $\dot{\phi}$ means energy derivatives (or something similar). u is the composite index to diffrenciate ϕ and $\dot{\phi}$. \mathbf{R} is the index to specify MTs in the primitive cell. The APW basis is specified by $s \equiv \mathbf{R}jL$, where $L \equiv (l, m)$ is the angular momentum index, and j is the additional index (principle quantum number or so). $A_{\mathbf{RL}u}(\mathbf{r})$ makes normalized-orthogonal basis in each MT \mathbf{R} . The APW can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_{au} C_{au}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}} A_{au}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{if } \mathbf{r} \in \text{any MT} \\ &= \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{otherwise,} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where we use the Bloch sums,

$$A_{\mathbf{RL}u}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{T}} A_{\mathbf{RL}u}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{T}) \exp(i\mathbf{kT}), \quad (6)$$

The number of \mathbf{G} is limited by the condition $|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}| < \text{QpGcut_psi}$ (IPWpsi). The coefficients α_{au}^{kn} can be calculated as

$$\alpha_{au}^{kn} = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} C_{au}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}} z_n^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}. \quad (7)$$

1.3 Re-expansion of eigenfunctions: CPHI and GEIG

To perform the GW calculation, we first have to prepare all eigenfunctions (and eigenvalues) for given setting of BZ mesh. Then the eigenfunctions are represented as follows; we re-expand $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}n}(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq. (1) as the sum of the augmentation parts in MTs and the PW parts in the interstitial region.

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}n}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}u} \alpha_{\mathbf{R}u}^{kn} \phi_{\mathbf{R}u}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \beta_{\mathbf{G}}^{kn} P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (8)$$

where the interstitial plane wave (IPW) is defined as

$$P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{r} \in \text{any MT} \\ \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{r}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and $\phi_{\mathbf{R}u}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ are Bloch sums of the atomic functions $\varphi_{Ru}(\mathbf{r})$ defined within the MT at R ,

$$\phi_{\mathbf{R}u}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{T}} \varphi_{Ru}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{T}) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{T}). \quad (10)$$

\mathbf{T} and \mathbf{G} are lattice translation vectors in real and reciprocal spaces, respectively. We explain how they can be represented in codes in Sec.??.

We expand the eigenfunctions as the sum of the augmentation parts in MTs and the PW parts in the interstitial region

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} = \sum_{\mathbf{R}u} \alpha_{\mathbf{R}u}^{kn} \phi_{\mathbf{R}u}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \beta_{\mathbf{G}}^{kn} P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (11)$$

This is Eq.(17) in Ref.[?]. Here, Files CPHI contains the information of $\alpha_{\mathbf{R}u}^{kn}$ and GEIG contains $\beta_{\mathbf{G}}^{kn}$. We use subroutines `readcphi` and `readeig` to read them; see `m_zeml.F` for example. (In future, we may start from better representation based on the 3 component formalism in Ref.[?].)

We need $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}n}$ for given \mathbf{q} points (in this text, we mix up \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{k} ... Sorry.). Then $P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ is just specified by \mathbf{G} , which is generated by `qg4gw`. $\phi_{\mathbf{R}u}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ is specified by radial functions. It is contained in PHIVC read in `hbasfp0.m.F`. Number of radial functions are `ncore(ic)+nrad(ic)` (depends on l, n, σ , but not on m). For simplicity, maximum of l is fixed by LMXA in `ctrl` file. It must be the same for all MTs.

In the GW calculation of `ecalj`, important matrix elements related to the eigenfunction is only the matrix element as

$$\langle E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{q}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} | \Psi_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'} \rangle, \quad (12)$$

, where $E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{q}}$ is the MPB (an unitary transformation of MPB). The information of eigenfunctions are used to calculate this matrix elements, which is read by `get_zmel`.

Coefficients of Eq. (8) (here is MTO part only) are calculated as

$$\alpha_{au}^{kn} = \sum_s C_{au}^{ks} z_s^{kn} \quad (13)$$

$$\beta_{\mathbf{G}}^{kn} = \sum_{\mathbf{G}'s} \langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} | P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle^{-1} \langle P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}} | H^{\mathbf{k}s} \rangle z_s^{kn}, \quad (14)$$

where the number of \mathbf{G} is limited by the condition $|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}| < \text{QpGcut_psi}$; \mathbf{G}' is by $|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}'| < \text{QpGcutHakel}$.

————— I think these are too old. Need check ————— `lm7K/fp/sugw.F` called from `lm7K/fp/bndfp.F` is a main part to generate this expansion. Important quantities in `lm7K/fp/sugw.F` are

- $z_s^{kn} = \text{zegf}(i, j)$; $i=1, \text{ndimh}$; $j=1, \text{ndimh}$ (i is for basis, and j is for band index.)

- $\alpha_{au}^{kn} = \text{cphi}$
- $\langle \phi Y_L \text{ or } \phi Y_L | \chi^{ks} \rangle = \text{phichi}$
- **phichi** is constructed from **phihd**, and **bmat** \times **phipkl**.
- **bmat** are generated in **hxp_b1** \in **augm.q**. It is the coefficients for the expansion of $H^{ks}(\mathbf{r})$ at the another MT center.

[**QpGcutHakel** is assumed as $= 1.5 * \text{QpGcut_psi}$ now. But it is not justified enough. You will be able to utilize more reasonable ones which was used in the LDA calculations.]

α_{au}^{kn} is calculated by the subroutine **getcoeffas** in **ng0.m.f**. The subroutine **matgg2** \in **mkppovl2** \in **pplmat2** in **pplmat.f** calculates $\langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} | P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ through

$$\langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} | P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \Omega \delta_{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}'} - \sum_{a, L} \exp(i(\mathbf{G}' - \mathbf{G})\mathbf{R}_a) \times Y_L(\widehat{\mathbf{G}' - \mathbf{G}}) \times \int_a \exp(i(\mathbf{G}' - \mathbf{G})\mathbf{r}) d^3r. \quad (15)$$

$\langle P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}} | H^{\mathbf{k}s} \rangle$ is also calculated in **pplmat2** through the plane wave expansion of $H^{\mathbf{k}s}$ (Eq.(9.4) of Ref.[?]). Then **pplmat2** gives the the coefficients $\beta_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}n}$.

1.4 Overview of GW calculation

In the *GW* calculation, we need not only the basis set for eigenfunctions, but also the basis set for expanding the product of eigenfunctions. The basis is called the mixed product basis (MPB) $\{M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}$ first introduced in Ref.[?] by Kotani. The MPB consists of the product basis (PB) within MTs [?] and the IPW in the interstitial region. Since $\{M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}$ contains IPWs which are not orthogonal, we define dual for $\{M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}$ as

$$|\tilde{M}_I^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle \equiv \sum_{I'} |M_{I'}^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle (O^{\mathbf{k}})_{I'I}^{-1}, \quad (16)$$

$$O_{I'I}^{\mathbf{k}} = \langle M_{I'}^{\mathbf{k}} | M_I^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle. \quad (17)$$

From $v_{IJ}^{\mathbf{k}} = \langle M_I^{\mathbf{k}} | v | M_J^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$, we calculate the eigenfunction for the generalized eigenvalue problem defined by $\sum_J (v_{IJ}^{\mathbf{k}} - v_{\mu}^{\mathbf{k}} O_{IJ}^{\mathbf{k}}) w_{\mu J}^{\mathbf{k}} = 0$, where $v_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})$ are the eigenvalues of the Coulomb interaction matrix. Then we have the Coulomb interaction represented by matrix elements as

$$v(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mu} |E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle v_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) \langle E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}|, \quad (18)$$

where we define a new MPB $|E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\rangle = \sum_J |M_J^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle w_{\mu J}^{\mathbf{k}}$, which is orthonormal and is diagonal to the Coulomb interaction $v(\mathbf{k})$. For the all-electron full-potential *GW* approximation, Eq. (18) is introduced in Ref.[?]. This corresponds to the representation in the plane wave expansion $v(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}') = \frac{4\pi\delta_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}'}}{|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|^2}$. $\mu = 1$ corresponds to the largest eigenvalue of v_{μ} , and $v_{\mu=1}$ is $\sim \frac{4\pi e^2}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}$, which is related to the divergent term discussed in Sec.5.

With the definition of $\langle A | B \rangle = \int d^3r A^*(\mathbf{r}) B(\mathbf{r})$, the exchange part of $\Sigma(\omega)$ is written as

$$\Sigma_{nm}^{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{q}) = \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{q}n} | \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{q}m} \rangle = - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{n'}^{\text{BZ occ}} \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{q}n} | \Psi_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}n'} E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle v_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) \langle E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}n'} | \Psi_{\mathbf{q}m} \rangle. \quad (19)$$

The screened Coulomb interaction $W(\omega)$ is calculated from

$$W = \epsilon^{-1} v = (1 - v\Pi)^{-1} v, \quad (20)$$

where the polarization function $\Pi(\omega)$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_n^{\text{occ}} \sum_{n'}^{\text{unocc}} \frac{\langle E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{q}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} | \Psi_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'} \rangle \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} E_{\nu}^{\mathbf{q}} \rangle}{\omega - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}n}) + i\delta} \\ &+ \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_n^{\text{BZ unocc}} \sum_{n'}^{\text{occ}} \frac{\langle E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{q}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} | \Psi_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'} \rangle \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} E_{\nu}^{\mathbf{q}} \rangle}{-\omega - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}n} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'}) + i\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

When time-reversal symmetry is assumed, $\Pi(\omega)$ can be simplified to read

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_n^{\text{occ}} \sum_{n'}^{\text{unocc}} \langle E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{q}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} | \Psi_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'} \rangle \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} E_{\nu}^{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{\omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}n} + i\delta} - \frac{1}{\omega + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}n'} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}n} - i\delta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

To evaluate Eq. (21) or Eq. (22), we first accumulate the imaginary parts (anti-Hermitian part) of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ along bins of histograms on the real axis ω by the tetrahedron technique [?], and then determine the real part via the Hilbert transformation. The bins are dense near the Fermi energy and coarser at higher energy as described in Ref.[?]. This procedure is not only more efficient but also safer than the methods of calculating the real part directly. We also use the extended irreducible zone (EIBZ) symmetrization procedure described in Ref.[?].

The correlation part of the screened Coulomb interaction $W^c(\omega) = W(\omega) - v$, which is calculated from v and $\Pi(\omega)$, is given as

$$W^c(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \sum_{\mu\nu} |E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle W_{\mu\nu}^c(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \langle E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}|. \quad (23)$$

With this $W^c(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, we have the correlation part of the self-energy as

$$\Sigma_{n,n'}^c(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \sum_{\mu, \nu} \frac{\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{q}n} | \Psi_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}m} E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle W_{\mu\nu}^c(\mathbf{k}, \omega') \langle E_{\nu}^{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}m} | \Psi_{\mathbf{q}n'} \rangle e^{-i\delta\omega'}}{\omega - \omega' - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}m} \pm i\delta}. \quad (24)$$

Here, we use $-i\delta$ for occupied states of $\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}m$, and $+i\delta$ for unoccupied states. In QSGW, we have to calculate the Hermitian part of $\Sigma_{nn'}(\mathbf{q}, \varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}n})$, to obtain $V_{\mathbf{q}}^{\text{xc}}$ using Eq. (??).

There are two key points to handle the GW procedure given above. The first key point, given in Sec.5, is the improved offset- Γ method, which treats the divergence of $W^c(\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0, \omega)$ in Eq. (24). For this purpose, we define the non-divergent effective interaction $\overline{W^c}(\mathbf{k} = 0, \omega)$ instead of $W^c(\mathbf{k} = 0, \omega)$. Then we can take a simple discrete sum for both expressions of Eqs.(19) and (24).

The second point in Sec.9 is how to perform an interpolation to give $V_{\mathbf{q}}^{\text{xc}}$ at any \mathbf{q} in the whole BZ, from $V_{\mathbf{q}}^{\text{xc}}$ calculated only at limited numbers of \mathbf{q} points. This is required in the offset- Γ method shown in Sec.5, that is, we have to calculate eigenfunctions at some \mathbf{q} points near $\mathbf{q} = 0$. For the interpolation, we expand the static nonlocal potential V^{xc} in Eq. (??) in highly localized MTOs in real space. Thus such MTOs are used for two purposes: one as the basis of the eigenfunctions; and two as the basis of expanding V^{xc} . The interpolation procedure of $V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ becomes stabler and simpler than the complicated interpolation procedure in Ref.[?]. This is because we now use highly localized MTOs. In the planewave-based QSGW method by Hamann and Vanderbilt [?], they expand V^{xc} in the maximally localized Wannier functions instead of MTOs.

In practical implementation, the LDA or GGA exchange-correlation potential $V_{\text{LDA}}^{\text{xc}}$ is used to perform efficient numerical calculations. That is, it is used in order to generate core eigenfunctions as well as radial functions within MTs (in this paper, we use the subscript LDA even when we use GGA. ‘‘LDA/GGA’’ means LDA or GGA). The difference $V^{\text{xc}} - V_{\text{LDA}}^{\text{xc}}$ is used for the interpolation in the BZ (explained in Sec.9), because this difference is numerically small as long as $V_{\text{LDA}}^{\text{xc}}$ roughly gives an approximation to V^{xc} . This procedure utilizing $V_{\text{LDA}}^{\text{xc}}$ to perform efficient numerical calculations give a very weak dependence to the final numerical results in practice as seen in Sec.??, although the results formally does not depend on the LDA/GGA exchange-correlation functions.

2 \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{G} vector generation. qg4gw

...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

To see the theory, read Section 3.2 in in [?] \mathbf{k} points are the regular mesh points used for the integration in the BZ. Regular mesh points are given as

$$\mathbf{k}_{i1, i2, i3} = (i1/N1) * \mathbf{P}_1 + (i2/N2) * \mathbf{P}_2 + (i3/N3) * \mathbf{P}_3 \quad (25)$$

, where \mathbf{P}_i is the primitive vector $\frac{2\pi}{\text{alat}} \times$ Quantities $f(\mathbf{k})$ (periodic in BZ) can be integrated just as a sum on the regular mesh points. However, when $f(\mathbf{k} = 0)$ is divergent, we have

careful treatments.

`qlat(:,il)`, where $\mathbf{qlat}=\mathbf{Q}_i$ is the reciprocal vectors. See Sec.3.2 .

`mkqg.F` is the main part of **qg4gw** (`fpgw/main/qg4gw.F`). `iq0pin` is the job control of `mkqg`. `iq0pin=101` is only for backward compatibility. The purpose is generates required \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{G} vectors. Not only regular mesh points, or \mathbf{q} along symmetry lines, but also offset Gamma points.

`iq0pin=1`: normal mode. Generate \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{G} for regular mesh point and Q0P points (offset Gamma points).

`iq0pin`: input to `qg4gw`

`ncindx, lcindx`

`getkeyvalue`

`phi`

`radial mesh`

`CLASS`

`symgg`

`core, radial functions`

2.0.1 Make \mathbf{G} vectors: `getgv2`

To get \mathbf{G} vectors, we use an algorithm in `fpgw/getgv2.F`, whose head is

```

      subroutine getgv2(alat,plat,qlat,q, QpGcut,job,
         o           ng, ngvec)
!! == Set up a list of recip vectors within cutoff  $|\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}| < QpGcut$  a.u. ==
!! job==1 -> return ng (number of  $\mathbf{G}$  ) and imx(as ngvec(1,1));mar2012takao add imx.
!! job==2 -> return ng and ngvec
!! True  $\mathbf{G}$  is given as
!!    $\mathbf{G}(1:3,1:ng) = 2*\pi/\text{alat} * \text{matmul}(\mathbf{qlat} * \mathbf{ngvec}(1:3,1:ng))$ 
!! NOTE: we need some geometorial consideration for this routine.
!!   Consiser ellipsoid. Takao need to give more detailed explanation...
!! -----

```

We can use this to get $\{\mathbf{G}\}$ for given \mathbf{q} . The algorism of `getgv2` is a little complicated. We first gives the upper and lower limits $n1max \leq n_1 \leq n1min$, where $\mathbf{G} = n_1\mathbf{Q}_1 + n_2\mathbf{Q}_2 + n_3\mathbf{Q}_3$, n_2 and n_3 as well.

...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

Algorithm of `getgv2`.

Let us consider the three dimensional space of $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}$. For given \mathbf{q} , allowed \mathbf{G} make a set of regular mesh points $\{\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}\}$. The purpose of `getgv2` is picking up only mesh points satisfying $|\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}| < QpGcut$ among these mesh points.

At first, we can calculate allowed range of n_1 for given maximum of $|\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}| (=QpGcut)$. Note $|\mathbf{x}| = |\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}| = QpGcut$ gives a sphere; we have to pick up mesh points within the sphere. When we spefcify n_1 , we have a plane (allowing n_2, n_3 can take any values). The range is determined by the condition that the sphere $|\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}| = QpGcut$ cross the plane specified by n_1 (exactly speaking, such n_1 is real number). The vector normal to the plane is the external product $\mathbf{Q}_2 \times \mathbf{Q}_3$.

After we get the range of n_1 , as well as n_2, n_3 , we simply test whether $\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}$ for (n_1, n_2, n_3) is allowed or not.

2.0.2 Make \mathbf{G} vectors: `shortn3`

Find shortest vector in modulo of $\{\mathbf{Q}_i\}$. That is, pull back \mathbf{q} in the 1st BZ. Caution; it can be not unique when \mathbf{q} is on the BZ boundary; then we need to know all \mathbf{q} and degeneracy.

3 Mixed Product basis

The mixed product basis consists of two types of basis sets, that is the product basis and the IPW: $\{M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\} \equiv \{P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), B_{\mathbf{R}\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}$, where the index $I \equiv \{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{R}\mu\}$ classifies the members of the basis. The PB $B_{\mathbf{R}\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ is defined as

$$B_{\mathbf{R}\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{T}} B_{\mathbf{R}\mu}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{T}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{T}}, \quad (26)$$

where $B_{\mathbf{R}\mu}(\mathbf{r})$ is made from the products of radial functions. $B_{\mathbf{R}\mu}(\mathbf{r})$ is real and zero for outside of MT, $|\mathbf{r}| > R$ (See Sec.3.1). We set up $\{B_{\mathbf{R}\mu}(\mathbf{r})\}$ so that they are orthonormalized;

$$\int_{|\mathbf{r}| < R} B_{\mathbf{R}\mu}(\mathbf{r}) B_{\mathbf{R}\mu'}(\mathbf{r}) d^3r = \delta_{\mu\mu'}. \quad (27)$$

In addition, it is trivial that $\{B_{\mathbf{R}\mu}(\mathbf{r})\}$ and $\{P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}$ are orthogonal. Thus only the elements of overlap matrix is

$$O_{IJ}^{\mathbf{k}} = \int_{\Omega} \{M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* M_J^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) d^3r, \quad (28)$$

because $\{P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}$ are not orthogonal. Thus it is convenient to define the dual of $M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ as $\tilde{M}_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ in the manner of Eq. (17).

Functions made from the product of eigenfunctions can be virtually completely expanded in the basis of $M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ in this manner;

$$\begin{cases} F^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_I M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) F_I(\mathbf{k}) \\ F_I(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\Omega} \{\tilde{M}_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* F^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) d^3r. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

— NOTE: — Now we use Coulomb matrix orthogonalized basis $\{E_{\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}\}$ as discussed in Eq. (18).

3.1 Product basis (hbasfp0)

We denote the radial function of atom a as

$$u_{apl\sigma}(r) = r\phi_{apl\sigma}(r), \quad (30)$$

where the index p takes 1 for ϕ , 2 for $\dot{\phi}$, 3 for local orbital as well. We do not allow m dependence (m is m of $L = (l, m)$.) for the radial functions. In addition, p can take indexes to specify core functions: we combine core and valence functions (these are stored in PHIVC, which read in hbasfp0.m.F). Here is a part of copy to read PHIVC in hvccfp0.m.F

```

ifphi = iopen('PHIVC', 0,-1,0)! augmentation wave and core
read(ifphi) nbas, nradmx, ncoremx
allocate( ncindx(ncoremx,nbas),
&         lcindx(ncoremx,nbas),
&         nrad(nbas), nindx_r(1:nradmx,1:nbas),
&         lindx_r(1:nradmx,1:nbas),
&         aa(nbas),bb(nbas),zz(nbas), rr(nrx,nbas), nrofi(nbas) ,
&         phitoto(nrx,0:nl-1,nn,nbas,nsp),
&         phitotr(nrx,0:nl-1,nn,nbas,nsp),
&         nc_max(0:nl-1,nbas),ncore(nbas) )
read(ifphi) nrad(1:nbas)
read(ifphi) nindx_r(1:nradmx,1:nbas),lindx_r(1:nradmx,1:nbas)
nc_max=0
do ibas=1,nbas
  write(6,*)' --- read PHIVC of ibas=',ibas
  ic = ibas
  read(ifphi) ncore(ic), ncoremx
  read(ifphi) ncindx(1:ncoremx,ibas),lcindx(1:ncoremx,ibas) !core
  read(ifphi) icx,zz(ic),nrofi(ic),aa(ic),bb(ic)
  if(ic/=icx) then
    write(6,*) 'ic icx=',ic,icx
    call rx( 'hbasfp0: ic/=icx')
  endif
  read(ifphi) rr(1:nrofi(ic),ic)
  do isp = 1, nsp
    write(6,*)'--- isp nrad ncore(ic)=',isp, nrad(ic),ncore(ic)
    do icore = 1, ncore(ic)
      l = lcindx(icore,ic)

```

```

      n = ncindx(icore,ic)
      read(ifphi) phitoto(1:nrofi(ic),l,n, ic,isp)!core orthogonal
      phitotr(1:nrofi(ic),l,n, ic,isp)= !we set core raw= core orthogonal
&      phitoto(1:nrofi(ic),l,n, ic,isp)
      if(n>nc_max(l,ic)) nc_max(l,ic)=n
    enddo
    do irad = 1, nrad(ic)
      l = lindx_r (irad,ic)
      n = nindx_r (irad,ic) + nc_max(l,ic)
      read(ifphi) phitoto(1:nrofi(ic),l,n, ic,isp) !valence orthogonal
      read(ifphi) phitotr(1:nrofi(ic),l,n, ic,isp) !valence raw
    enddo
  enddo
enddo

```

[note: The orthonormalized radial functions $u_{apl\sigma}(r)$ are stored in **phitoto**; we also have the un-orthonormalized ones in **phitotr**.]

Note that the *true* radial function is $\phi_{apl\sigma}(r) = u_{apl\sigma}(r)/r$. Normalization is $1 = \int_0^{R_a} \{u_{apl\sigma}(r)\}^2 dr = \int_0^{R_a} \{\phi_{apl\sigma}(r)\}^2 r^2 dr$. The function $u_{apl\sigma}(r)$ is stored in **phitot**.

When producing the product functions, we use spin-averaged function **phiav** given as

$$u_{apl}(r) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{spin}}} \sum_{\sigma} u_{apl\sigma}(r). \quad (31)$$

(See **subroutine basnfp_v2**). From them, we make the product functions **rprod**

$$\tilde{b}_{al\nu}(r) = \frac{1}{r} u_{apl}(r) u_{ap'l'}(r) = r \phi_{apl}(r) \phi_{ap'l'}(r), \quad (32)$$

where the index l runs $|l-l'| \leq l \leq |l+l'|$; ν is the index of the combination (p, p') . Note the *true* product functions are given as

$$\tilde{B}_{al\nu}(r) = \frac{1}{r} \tilde{b}_{al\nu}(r). \quad (33)$$

This relation is as same as $\phi_{apl}(r) = u_{apl}(r)/r$.

Then we calculate the overlap matrix **ovmt**,

$$O_{\nu_1\nu_2} = \int_0^{R_a} \tilde{B}_{al\nu_1}(r) \tilde{B}_{al\nu_2}(r) r^2 dr = \int_0^{R_a} \phi_{ap_1l_1}(r) \phi_{ap'_1l'_1}(r) \phi_{ap_2l_2}(r) \phi_{ap'_2l'_2}(r) r^2 dr \quad (34)$$

and solve the eigenvalue problem of the overlap matrix, $Oz_{\nu} = \epsilon_{\nu} z_{\nu}$, by **call rs(..)**. (See **basnfp_v2**.)

After neglecting eigenvectors z_{ν} with eigenvalues $\epsilon_{\nu} < \text{tolerance} \sim 10^{-4}$ (given in **GWin**), we finally have the optimal product functions as the linear combinations of the product functions as

$$b_{al\nu}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{\nu}}} \sum_{\nu'} \tilde{b}_{al\nu'}(r) z_{\nu'\nu}, \quad (35)$$

which are stored in **rprodx** and written into **BASFP*** and used in the successive Coulomb matrix routine **hvcfp0.m.f**. Of course, *true* product function is $B_{al\nu}(r) = b_{al\nu}(r)/r$.

We check the normalization of the optimal product function in standard output (See **lbasC** and **lbas** when you did **gw_lmf**):

```

...
Use rs diagonalization for real symmetric
Diag ibx ovv=  1 0.99999999999999930D+00 eb=  0.2716113799D-01 nod=  2
Diag ibx ovv=  2 0.99999999999999980D+00 eb=  0.4993303381D-01 nod=  3
Diag ibx ovv=  3 0.10000000000000001D+01 eb=  0.1467546915D+00 nod=  3
Diag ibx ovv=  4 0.9999999999999996D+00 eb=  0.4415639258D+01 nod=  0

```

In **basnfp**, we calculate all the required radial integrations $\langle \phi\phi B \rangle = \text{ppbrd}$;

$$\langle \phi\phi B \rangle = \int_0^{R_a} \phi_{ap_1l_1}(r) \phi_{ap_2l_2}(r) B_{al\nu}(r) r^2 dr = \int_0^{R_a} \frac{1}{r} u_{ap_1l_1}(r) u_{ap_2l_2}(r) b_{al\nu}(r) dr, \quad (36)$$

which are stored into **PPBRD***. At **call rdpp(... in hx0fp0.m.f hxfp0.m.f**, we allocate and read **ppbrd**.

In addition, we read the "rotated Clebsh-Gordon coefficient" $C(L, L_1, L_2, g)$ **cgr(lm,lm1,lm2,ng)**, where g is the index for space group coefficient (rotated by point group symmetries).

4 The Coulomb matrix (hvccfp0.m.F)

We have to calculate $v_{IJ}^{\mathbf{k}} = \langle M_I^{\mathbf{k}} | v | M_J^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$, which appears right after Eq. (17). Our `hvccfp0.m.F` can handle the case $\frac{\exp(-|\kappa||\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|)}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|}$. The default is the bare Coulomb interaction, that is, $\kappa = 0$. The energy variable E is given by $E = \kappa^2$, where imaginary part of κ is defined to be positive for negative E . This $E = \text{screenfac}()$ in `hvccfp0.m.F`. For example, we can set $|\kappa| = 0.1$ (a.u.) by a line `"TFscreen 0.1"` in `GWinput` if necessary. Look for `TFscreen` in `switches.F`.

The MPB is made of `IPWcou (|q+G|<QpGcut_cou)` and `PB`, that is, $\{M_J^{\mathbf{k}}\} = \{P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), B_{\mathbf{R}l\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}$. For given \mathbf{k} (not explicitly shown in cases for simplicity), `IPWcou` is specified just by the \mathbf{G} vector. `PB` can be specified just by the radial functions for each l . `PB` is generated in the manner of Sec.3. (because we have neither m nor spin dependence). For GW calculation, we need the Coulomb matrix elements $\langle B|v|B \rangle$, $\langle B|v|P_{\mathbf{G}} \rangle$, and $\langle B|v|P \rangle$. `hvccfp0.m.F` \rightarrow `vcoulq_4` handles these calculations.

4.1 Spherical Bessel and related functions

We use a notation such that $X_L(\mathbf{r}) = X_L(r)Y_L(\hat{r})$; note that their radial part is dependent only on l . The ordinary definition of L -dependent spherical Bessel functions $J_L(E, \mathbf{r})$, $H_L(E, \mathbf{r})$ are

$$\begin{aligned} J_L(E, \mathbf{r}) &= j_l(i|\kappa|r)Y_L(\hat{r}) \text{ for } E < 0, = j_l(\kappa r)Y_L(\hat{r}) \text{ for } E > 0 \\ H_L(E, \mathbf{r}) &= h_l(i|\kappa|r)Y_L(\hat{r}) \text{ for } E < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $j_l(z)$ and $h_l(z)$ are usual spherical Bessel and Hankel functions which behaves

$$\begin{aligned} j_l(z) &\sim \frac{z^l}{(2l+1)!!} \\ h_l(z) &\sim \frac{-i(2l-1)!!}{z^{l+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

For convenience, we define the **Methfessel's Bessel functions (convension)** $\bar{J}_L = \bar{J}_l Y_L$ and $\bar{H}_L = \bar{H}_l Y_L$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_l(E, \mathbf{r}) &= j_l(i|\kappa|r)/(i|\kappa|)^l \\ \bar{H}_l(E, \mathbf{r}) &= h_l(i|\kappa|r)i(i|\kappa|)^{l+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

(memo: for example, $7!! = 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1$; `function fac2m(i)`). These are real functions for $E \leq 0$. See note for `genjh` in `mkjp.F`. At $E = 0$, this is reduced to be

$$\bar{J}_l(E = 0, \mathbf{r}) = r^l / (2l+1)!!, \quad (40)$$

$$\bar{H}_l(E = 0, \mathbf{r}) = r^{-l-1} (2l-1)!!. \quad (41)$$

Our default setting is with very small negative E to avoid numerical trouble in cases (see default `screenfac` in `switch.F`).

The source codes to define `bessl` is a little confusing because of some convensions are mixed up...(note at the beginning of `besslr.F`). We have `call bessl(ex2, lx, phi(0:lx), psi(0:lx))` in `mkjp.F` and so on. For given $\text{ex2} = E \times x^2$, this return spherical Bessel functions $j_l(\kappa x)$ as $j_l(\kappa x) = \text{phi}(1)x^l$. $\kappa^2 = E$ (for negative E , imaginary part of κ is positive). lx is the upper limit of l . This is defined in `bessl(ex2, lmax, phi, psi)` in `besslr.F` (it calls `besslr` with `loka=F`). It gives

$$\left. \begin{aligned} j_l(i|\kappa|r) &= \text{phi}(1)r^l \\ h_l(i|\kappa|r) &= \text{psi}(1)\frac{1}{r^{l+1}} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{for } E < 0, \kappa = i|\kappa|, |\kappa| = \sqrt{|E|} \quad (42)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} j_l(\kappa r) &= \text{phi}(1)r^l \\ n_l(\kappa r) &= \text{psi}(1)\frac{1}{r^{l+1}} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{for } E > 0, \kappa = \sqrt{E} \quad (43)$$

for $0 \leq l \leq \text{lmax}$. (This means $\text{phi} = 1/(2l+1)!!$ and $\text{psi} = (2l-1)!!$ at $E \rightarrow 0$). Here $n_l(r)$ is the spherical Neumann functions.

That is, `psi` is for Hankel function for negative E , and for Neumann function for positive E . See `hansmr.F`, for example. `psi` is not used so often. We have `lm7K/subs/besslr.F`, it is similar. We may need to simplify our treatment of `bessel` functions in future...

electron-phonon coupling in MPB To calculate derivative for electron-phonon (EP) coupling, we need

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_i} (\bar{J}_l(E, \mathbf{r}) Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{r}})) \big|_{\mathbf{r}=0} = 1/3, \quad (44)$$

only cases for $L = 1$, zero for other L . For real spherical harmonics, cases are: $i = y$ and $L = (1, -1)$; $i = z$ and $L = (1, 0)$; $i = x$ and $L = (1, 1)$. Because we use MPB, it is necessary to evaluate the bare matrix element of the Coulomb interaction between nucleus and $\langle B \rangle$ as

$$\langle B | \frac{\partial v(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_i} \rangle \quad (45)$$

4.2 Green function

(Readers can skip this subsection). We explain the free-space Green's function $G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', E)$ here. Let us start from $G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', E)$, which satisfies

$$(E + i\delta + \nabla^2)G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', E) = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (46)$$

($+i\delta$ is to specify the boundary condition along time axis. This pick up the retarded Green's function). Roughly speaking, this is $(\omega - H)G = 1$. Its Fourier transform is easily written as $G(\mathbf{k}, E) = 1/(E - |\mathbf{k}|^2 + i\delta)$. We apply back Fourier transformation to this, and get $G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', E)$. It is

$$G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', E) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{i\kappa|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \quad (47)$$

where $\kappa \equiv \sqrt{E}$; Imaginary part of κ is positive for $E < 0$, that is, $\kappa = i|\kappa| = i\sqrt{-E}$ for negative E . Eq. (47) is nothing but the solution of Helmholtz differential equation; it reduces to the usual Poisson equation at $E = 0$. For $E < 0$, we have Thomas-Fermi type function; the numerator of Eq. (47) is $\exp(i\kappa|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) = \exp(-|\kappa||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)$.

4.3 Used formulas

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} = \sum_K \frac{r_{<}^k}{r_{>}^{k+1}} \frac{1}{2k+1} Y_K^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) Y_K(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \quad (48)$$

See Appendix A in Ref.[?]. This is generalized to be

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-|\kappa||\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} = \sum_L \bar{J}_L(E, r_{<}) \bar{H}_L(E, r_{>}), \quad (49)$$

The definition of \bar{J} is in Eq.39. Here $E = -|\kappa|^2 < 0$; thhen Im part of κ is positive.

$$\exp(i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi \sum_L i^l j_l(|\mathbf{k}|r) Y_L^*(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \quad (50)$$

$$\frac{2l+1}{4\pi} P_l(\cos \Theta) = \sum_m Y_L^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1) Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_2) \quad [\cos \Theta = \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_2]. \quad (51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} | P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle &= \Omega \delta_{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}'} - \sum_{a, L} \exp(i(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{G}') \mathbf{R}_a) \times Y_L(\widehat{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}'}) Y_L(\widehat{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}}) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{R_a} j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|r) j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}'|r) 4\pi^2 r^2 dr, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

4.4 Hankel function and Structure constant

We can expand $v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{e^{-|\kappa||\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}$ in the one-center expansion Eq. (49).

For the Hankel function in Eq. (49), we use the off-center expansion theorem of the Hankel function Eq. (53), that is, a Hankel whose center is at $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{T}$, $H_L(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{X})$, can be expanded in the Bessel functions whose center is at \mathbf{X}' ;

$$\bar{H}_L(E, \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{L'} \bar{J}_{L'}(E, \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{X}') S_{\mathbf{X}'L', \mathbf{X}L}, \quad (53)$$

where the Hankel function for negative energy E . Here E -dependence of $S_{\mathbf{x}'L, \mathbf{x}L'}$ is not explicitly shown. Note the difference between \bar{J}_L and J_L (\bar{H}_L , as well).

Thus, for $(\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{T}') \neq (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T})$, we have two-center expansion;

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-|\kappa||\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{T}-(\mathbf{r}'+\mathbf{R}'+\mathbf{T}')|}}{|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{T}-(\mathbf{r}'+\mathbf{R}'+\mathbf{T}')|} = \sum_L \sum_{L'} \bar{J}_L(E, \mathbf{r}) S_{\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{T}L, \mathbf{R}'+\mathbf{T}'L'} \bar{J}_{L'}(E, \mathbf{r}') \quad (54)$$

for $(\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{T}') \neq (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T})$.

The Bloch sum of $S_{L, \mathbf{x}L'}$ gives the structure constant of \mathbf{k} as

$$S_{\mathbf{R}L, \mathbf{R}'L'}^{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{T}} S_{\mathbf{R}L, \mathbf{R}'+\mathbf{T}'L'} \exp(i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{T}), \quad (55)$$

Usually we use bare Coulomb at $E = 0$.

See the top of `strxq` defined in `strxq.F` (called in `hvcfp0.m.F`). This routine is for the one-center expansion of usual Bloch summed Hankels. (not for smooth Hankels). This result is finally converted to be the Bloch sum of the structure constant $S_{\mathbf{R}L, \mathbf{R}'L'}^{\mathbf{k}}$ used in Eq. (56).

```
=====
NOTE in strxq.F; it says

-----

Cr Expansion Theorem: H_{RL}(r) = H_L(r-R)
Cr H_{RL}(E, r) = J_{L'}(E, r) * S_{L', RL}
Cr S_{L', RL} = 4 pi Sum_L C_{LL'} (-1)^L (-E)^(L+L'-1)/2 H_L(E, R-R')
-----

CAUTION!: We use R to denote MT position in the primitive cell;
thus this R is R+T in our notation.
-----
```

4.5 $\langle B|v|B \rangle$ part

Let us start from $\langle B|v|B \rangle$ part. For this calculation, we need structure constant, and a few types of radial integrals. With the Bloch-summed structure constant $\mathbf{strx} = 4\pi S_{\mathbf{R}L, \mathbf{R}'L'}^{\mathbf{k}}$, we have

$$v^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_{\mathbf{T}} v(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{r}') e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{T}} = e^2 \sum_{L, L'} \bar{J}_L(E, \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) 4\pi S_{\mathbf{R}L, \mathbf{R}'L'}^{\mathbf{k}} \bar{J}_{L'}(E, \mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{R}'), \quad (56)$$

for $\mathbf{R} \neq \mathbf{R}'$. Note that we use $e^2 = 1$ (a.u.) in `hvcfp0.m.F`. (See note at the beginning of `mkjp.F`. And note the normalization check at the end of `hvcfp0.m.F`; $\langle \exp(i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r}) | v | \exp(i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r}) \rangle = 4\pi\Omega/|\mathbf{q}|^2$, where Ω is the cell volume.)

`strx` calculated by "call `strxq@L806:hvcfp0.m.F`" means $4\pi S_{\mathbf{R}L, \mathbf{R}'L'}^{\mathbf{k}}$. This `strx` is used in `call vcoulq_4.nlx1` means $(1+1)**2$ for R (`=ibas1`), `nlx2` as well.

Except the contribution for $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T}) = (\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{T}')$, we can evaluate $\langle B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) | v^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') | B_{\mathbf{R}'L'\mu'}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle$, from the

`rojb` integrals $\rho^l(B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu})$ as

$$\rho^l(B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}) = \int_0^R r \bar{J}_l(E, r) r B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}(r) dr = \frac{1}{(2l+1)!!} \int_0^R \mathbf{rkpr}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{rprod}(\mathbf{r}) dr \quad (57)$$

Here $B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}(r)$ is the radial part of $B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}(\mathbf{r})$. This `rojb` integrals are calculated in the subrouitne `mkjb_4` in `mkjp.F`. We use radial functions $\mathbf{rprod} = r B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}(r)$, $\mathbf{rkpr} = r \bar{J}_l(E, r) (2l+1)!!$, and $\mathbf{rkmr} = r \bar{H}_l(E, r) / (2l-1)!!$. (Here \mathbf{rkpr} and \mathbf{rkmr} are propotional to r^l and r^{-l-1} for $E = 0$.)

The contribution from $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T}) = (\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{T}')$ should be added. This is \mathbf{k} -independent, and given by the

`sgbb` integral, which is also calculated in `mkjb_4`.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^l(B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}, B_{\mathbf{R}L\nu}) &= 4\pi \int_0^R \int_0^R (r_{<}) \bar{J}_l(E, r_{<}) (r_{>}) \bar{H}_l(E, r_{>}) r B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}(r) r' B_{\mathbf{R}L\nu}(r') dr dr' \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \int_0^R \int_0^R \mathbf{rkpr}(r_{<}) \mathbf{rkmr}(r_{>}) \mathbf{rprod}(n1, r) \mathbf{rprod}(n2, r') dr dr'. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

With the integrals `rojb` and `sgbb`, we can calculate $\langle B|v|B \rangle$ in `vcoulq_4` as follows (`nbloch` means the total number of PB);

```

do ibl1= 1, nbloch
  ibas1= ibasbl(ibl1)
  n1   = nbl (ibl1)
  l1   = lbl (ibl1)
  m1   = mbl (ibl1)
  lm1  = lmb1(ibl1)
  do ibl2= 1, ibl1
    ibas2= ibasbl(ibl2)
    n2   = nbl (ibl2)
    l2   = lbl (ibl2)
    m2   = mbl (ibl2)
    lm2  = lmb1(ibl2)
    vcoul(ibl1,ibl2) =
&    rojb(n1, l1, ibas1) *strx(lm1,ibas1,lm2,ibas2)
&    *rojb(n2, l2, ibas2)
    if (ibas1==ibas2 .and. lm1==lm2) then
      vcoul(ibl1,ibl2) = vcoul(ibl1,ibl2) + sgbb(n1,n2,l1, ibas1)
      ! sigma-type contribution. onsite coulomb
    endif
  enddo
enddo

```

4.6 RL expansion of $|P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$

To evaluate $\langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} | v | P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$, we can use

$$\bar{P}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \left(1 - \sum_{\mathbf{RL}}^{l \leq l_{\text{Pmax}}} P_{\mathbf{RL}} \right) e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})\mathbf{r}}, \quad (59)$$

in the place of $P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}$ as long as we use large enough l_{Pmax} . Here $P_{\mathbf{RL}}$ denotes the projection operator to extract the component of \mathbf{RL} contribution. In fact, we use large enough l_{Pmax} ; $l_{\text{Pmax}} = 2 \times l_{\text{max}} = 2 * \text{LMXA}$, where l_{max} denotes the maximum angular momentum for the expansion of eigenfunctions within MT (maximum l cutoff for $\alpha_{\mathbf{Ru}}^{\mathbf{k}n}$ in Eq. (1)). In the default setting, we use $l_{\text{Pmax}} = 8$ since we use $l_{\text{max}} = 4$.

The matrix elements $\langle P_1 | v | P_2 \rangle$ can be calculated as

$$\langle P_1(\text{phiphi}) | v | P_2(\text{phiphi}) \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_1', \mathbf{G}_2, \mathbf{G}_2'} \langle P_1(\text{phiphi}) | P_1' \rangle \langle P_1' | P_1'' \rangle^{-1} \langle P_1'' | v | P_2'' \rangle \langle P_2'' | P_2' \rangle^{-1} \langle P_2' | P_2(\text{phiphi}) \rangle, \quad (60)$$

where $1 \equiv (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{G}_1)$ and so on. Here $P_1(\text{phiphi})$ indicates that an IPW made from a product of IPWs. The matrix elements $\langle P_2'' | P_2' \rangle^{-1}$ (stored into PPOVLG, PPOVLI) and $\langle P_2' | P_2(\text{phiphi}) \rangle$ (stored into PPOVLGG) are given at `rdata4gw`.

4.7 Overlap matrix of PPOVL* files

PPOVL* files contains the overlap matrix of IPWs $\langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} | P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$. We have two types of $\langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} | P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$. One is for the Coulomb matrix (PPOVLG, PPOVLI). The other is for generating $\langle G(\text{eigenfun.}) | G(\text{eigenfun.}) | G(\text{cou}) \rangle$. (a product of IPWs of eigenfunctions can be expanded by IPWs for Coulomb matrix).

The overlap matrix elements $\langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} | P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ are generated in `rdata4gw`. These are read and allocated in the module `m_read_ppovl` (`rppovl.F`) when we call `getppx2`. We have "call `getppx2`" in the subroutine `melpln2t` in `ppbafp.fal.F`. The `melpln2t` is for generating the matrix element of $\langle \text{IPW } \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle$.

(1) PPOVLG + PPOVLI:

```

For q in qibze(1:3,1:nqnumt) (=IBZ + QQP points),
number of IPWcou =ngc can be dependent of q.
We have <k+G|k+G'>= ppovl(ngc,ngc)
PPOVLG: G vectors as ngvecc(1:ngc).
PPOVLI: ppovl^-1(ngc,ngc). Inverse of PPOVLO
(PPOVLO is unused now. It is divided into PPOVLG and PPOVLI).

```

In principle the matrix element itself is \mathbf{k} -independent,
(just the difference of \mathbf{G} vectors due to periodicity).
But, for convenience, we generate them separately for each \mathbf{k} .

(2)PPOVLGG:

This is used for <Gphi Gphi|Gc>.
 ppovl(nggg,ngcgp) for nvggg,nvgcgp
 Range of G for nvggg is |Gc+Gp+Gp|< |Gcou|+ |Gphi|+ |Gphi|
 (triangle inequality.)
 This is only for k=0 (Thus we remove k-dependence).
 ngcgp
 QpGcutggg = (2d0+1d-2)*QpGcut_psi+QpGcut_cou+ 2d0*pi/alat*dQpG
 QpGcutgcgp= (1d0+1d-2)*QpGcut_psi+QpGcut_cou+ 2d0* 2d0*pi/alat*dQQ
 dQpG, dQQ is to enlarge range related to QOP points.

4.8 $\langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}|v|P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$

To evaluate Eq. (60), we need to know its main part $\langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}|v|P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$. It is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}|v|P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle &\approx \langle \bar{P}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}|v|\bar{P}_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \langle \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{r})|v|\exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}')\mathbf{r})\rangle - \sum_{\mathbf{RL}} \langle P_{\mathbf{RL}}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}|v|\exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}')\mathbf{r})\rangle \\ &- \sum_{\mathbf{R}'L'} \langle \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}')\mathbf{r})|v|P_{\mathbf{R}'L'}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}'}\rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{RL}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}'L'} \langle P_{\mathbf{RL}}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}|v|P_{\mathbf{R}'L'}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}'}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where $P_{\mathbf{RL}}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}$ denotes the projection of PW to \mathbf{RL} , That is, $P_{\mathbf{RL}}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}} \equiv P_{\mathbf{RL}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})\mathbf{r}}$.

The first term The first term in the right-hand side of Eq. (61) is

$$\langle \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{r})|v|\exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}')\mathbf{r})\rangle = \frac{4\pi\Omega}{|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|^2 + |E|} \delta_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}'}, \quad (62)$$

because we simply use $\exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{r})$ (no prefactor for normalization) for IPW. Here $E=eee$ is negative (or (almost) zero). This is coded by a line

```
if(ig1==ig2) vcoul(ip11,ip12) = fpivol/(absqg2(ig1) -eee)
@subr:vroulq_4@L281:mkjp.F.
```

The second and third term In the second term, we can replace v with $\frac{4\pi\Omega}{|\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}'|^2+|E|}$ since v is diagonal for $|\exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}')\mathbf{r})\rangle$, the third term as well. Without v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{r})|P_{\mathbf{R}'L'}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}'}\rangle &= \sum_{\mathbf{RL}} \langle P_{\mathbf{RL}}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}|P_{\mathbf{R}'L'}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}'}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{RL}} (\text{pjyl}-(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}, L) \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{R}))^* \times R^{JJ}(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|, |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}'|, l) \\ &\times \text{pjyl}-(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}', L) \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}')\mathbf{R}), \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where we use

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathbf{RL}}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}) &= 4\pi i^l j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|r) Y_L(\widehat{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}}) Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{R}) \\ &= \text{pjyl}-(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}, L) \bar{J}_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|r) Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{R}), \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

where \mathbf{r} is measured from the center \mathbf{R} . Here we use pjyl_- defined as

$$\text{pjyl}-(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}, L) = 4\pi i^l |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|^L Y_L(\widehat{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}}) \quad (65)$$

(recall the definition of \bar{J}_l . In codes, $\text{cy}(1\mathbf{m}) * \text{yl}(1\mathbf{m}) = Y_L(\widehat{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}})$.) Search pjyl_- in `mkjp.F`. The Bessel functions appear here in the expansion of PW; see Eq. (50). $R^{JJ}(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|, |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}'|, l)$ is given as

$$R^{JJ}(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|, |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}'|, l) = \int_0^R r^2 \bar{J}_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|r) \bar{J}_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}'|r) dr, \quad (66)$$

which can be calculated by the wronskian (`wronskj`) by the formula

$$R^{JJ}(\kappa_A, \kappa_B, l) = \int_0^R r^2 \bar{J}_l(\kappa_A r) \bar{J}_l(\kappa_B r) dr = R^2 \frac{\bar{J}_l(\kappa_A R) \frac{d\bar{J}_l(\kappa_B R)}{dr} - \frac{d\bar{J}_l(\kappa_A R)}{dr} \bar{J}_l(\kappa_B R)}{\kappa_A^2 - \kappa_B^2} \Big|_{r=R} = -\text{fjj} \quad (67)$$

(`-fjj` is used in `mkjp.F`. In codes, the contributions to the second and third terms of Eq. (61) due to \mathbf{RL} components are given as (simplified for illustration)


```

fouvvp_ig1_ig2 = fpi/(absqg2(ig1)-eee)          &
               * dconjg(pjyl_(lm2,ig1)*phase(ig1,ibas2))  &
               * (-fjj(1)) * pjyl_(lm2,ig2)*phase(ig2,ibas2)
fouvvp_ig2_ig1 = fpi/(absqg2(ig2)-eee)          &
               * dconjg(pjyl_(lm2,ig2)*phase(ig2,ibas2))  &
               * (-fjj(1)) * pjyl_(lm2,ig1)*phase(ig1,ibas2)

```

Look for the keyword `fourvp` in `mkjp.F`. Correspondences are

```

fpi --> 4 pi
absqg2(ig1) --> |q+G1|**2
-eee      --> |E|
lm2       --> L
ibas2     --> R

```

The forth term The last term of Eq. (61) can be calculated essentially the same manner with $\langle B|v|B \rangle$, where we use the Bessel function instead of $B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}(r)$ appeared in Sec.4.5. Then we define integrals `rojpb` and `sgpp` defined as (in `fpgw/gwsrsrc/mkjp.F`);

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{rojpb}(\mathbf{R}L) &= \text{pjyl_} \exp(i(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{R}) \rho^l(\bar{J}_l) \\
\text{sgpp}(\mathbf{R}L, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}') &= \text{pjyl_}^*(\text{ig1}) \exp(-i(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{R}) \text{pjyl_}(\text{ig2}) \exp(i(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}')\mathbf{R}) \\
&\quad \times \text{radsig}, \\
&\quad \text{where } \text{radsig} = \sigma^l(\bar{J}_l(|\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}|r), \bar{J}_l(|\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}'|r)).
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Thus, `rojpb` and `sgpp` made of coefficients for expansion and radial integral. Search `sgpp_ig1_ig2` in `mkjp.F`.

4.9 $\langle P|B \rangle$ part

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}} | v | B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle &= \langle \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{r}) | v | B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle - \sum_{\mathbf{R}'L'} \langle P_{\mathbf{R}L}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}} | v | B_{\mathbf{R}L\mu}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \\
&= \text{fouv}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{R}L\mu) - \sum_{\mathbf{R}'L'} \text{rojpb} * \text{strx} * \text{rojpb}
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

The first term is stored in `fouv`(`ngc,nxx,nlxx,nbas`) allocated at `L824:hvccfp0.m.F`. Search `fouv` in `mkjp.F`. Since v is diagonal to PWs, we can evaluate this in the similar manner of Eq. (63). The second term can be evaluated from `rojpb` and `sgpb` in the same manner of last section.

...xxxxx under construction xxxxxx...

(we will detail a little more...)

5 Improved offset- Γ method; $W(\mathbf{k}=0)$ averaged in the Γ cell.

The offset- Γ method, originally invented for Ref.[?] by Kotani (it is described in Ref.[?]), was a key to perform accurate GW calculation in our papers. It is for the integration of \mathbf{k} in Eqs.(19) and (24), where we have the integrands that diverge at $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$. The original offset Γ method works well for highly symmetric systems; however, it may be problematic to apply to less symmetric systems, because the anisotropic divergence of the integrands may not be treated accurately.

Here we show an improved offset- Γ method, which treats the anisotropy of $W(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ accurately. In the followings, we use expression $W(\mathbf{k})$ for simplicity (omit subscripts and ω) instead of $W_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, since we are concerned with the \mathbf{k} integral here.

Let us give a formula for calculating $\int_{\text{BZ}} f(\mathbf{k}) d^3k$ using a discrete sum on \mathbf{k} -mesh, where $f(\mathbf{k}) = G(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}) \times W(\mathbf{k})$. For the \mathbf{k} -mesh, we use

$$\mathbf{k}(i_1, i_2, i_3) = 2\pi \left(\frac{i_1}{N_1} \mathbf{b}_1 + \frac{i_2}{N_2} \mathbf{b}_2 + \frac{i_3}{N_3} \mathbf{b}_3 \right),$$

where $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2$, and \mathbf{b}_3 are the primitive reciprocal vectors (the same as the Eq.(47) in Ref.[?]). The 1st BZ is divided into $N = N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3$ microcells ($i_1 = 0, 1, \dots, N_1 - 1$, and also the same for i_2 and i_3). The microcell including the Γ point is called the Γ cell [?]. The main problem is how to evaluate the contribution of the Γ cell. The divergent part of $f(\mathbf{k})$ behaves \approx (analytic function of \mathbf{k}) $/(\mathbf{k}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{k})$, where \mathbf{k}^T denotes the transpose of \mathbf{k} ; \mathbf{L} is a 3×3 Hermitian matrix [?]. We neglect an odd part of \mathbf{k} in the above (analytic function of \mathbf{k}) because it has no contribution to the integral around $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Thus it is sufficient to consider the integral for $f(\mathbf{k})$ whose divergent parts behave as $f(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_L \frac{f_L Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{k}})}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}$ at $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$, where l of $L \equiv (l, m)$ is restricted to be even numbers. We evaluate the integral using the formula

$$\int_{\text{BZ}} f(\mathbf{k}) d^3 k \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq 0} f(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_L f_L w_L + \frac{1}{N} \tilde{f}, \quad (71)$$

which is introduced in Ref.[?]. Here the weight w_L is determined in a manner as follows, so as to take into account the contributions of the divergent part of $f(\mathbf{k})$ at $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ in the Γ cell. \tilde{f} is the constant part of $f(\mathbf{k})$ at $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$.

To determine w_L , we can use the following procedure instead of that given in Ref.[?]. We first introduce the auxiliary function

$$F_L(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \frac{\exp(-\alpha |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{G}|^2) Y_L(\widehat{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{G}})}{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{G}|^2}. \quad (72)$$

This is a generalization of an auxiliary function used in the offset- Γ method (then we only used F_{00} [?]). We usually take the $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ limit, or a sufficiently small α instead. Let us apply Eq. (71) to $F_L(\mathbf{k})$. Then we can evaluate the left-hand side of Eq. (71) exactly (the exact values are zero except for $L = (0, 0)$). On the other hand, the first and third terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (71) can be evaluated numerically. In addition, we know that $f_{L'}$ for $F_L(\mathbf{k})$ is unity for $L' = L$, and zero otherwise. Thus we can determine w_L in Eq. (71) so that Eq. (71) is exactly satisfied for $F_L(\mathbf{k})$ for any L .

Let us apply Eq. (71) to $f(\mathbf{k}) = G(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}) \times W(\mathbf{k})$. Then we perform an approximation taking only the most divergent term in $W(\mathbf{k})$ in addition to its analytic part. That is, we use

$$W_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \sim \widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{0}) + \frac{4\pi}{\mathbf{k}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{k}} \delta_{1\mu} \delta_{1\nu} \quad (73)$$

at $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$. $\widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for $\mu = 1$ or $\nu = 1$. See Eq.(36) in Ref.[?] to know what is neglected in the approximation of Eq. (73).

Then we finally obtain

$$\int_{\text{BZ}} d^3 k G(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}) W(\mathbf{k}) \approx \overline{\sum G(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}) W(\mathbf{k})}, \quad (74)$$

where its right-hand side is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\sum G(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}) W(\mathbf{k})} \\ & \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq 0} G(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}) W(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{N} G(\mathbf{q}) \overline{W}(\mathbf{0}), \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

$$\overline{W}(\mathbf{0}) \equiv N \sum w_L W_L + \widetilde{W}(\mathbf{0}). \quad (76)$$

Here $\overline{W}(\mathbf{0})$ is an average of W in the Γ cell. With this $\overline{W}(\mathbf{0})$, we can evaluate integrals just as the sum on the discrete \mathbf{k} -mesh. When the matrix \mathbf{L} is given (a method of calculating \mathbf{L} is given in the next paragraph), the non-analytic (but non-divergent) function $\mathbf{k}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{k} / |\mathbf{k}|^2$ is expanded in the spherical harmonics. Then W_L is calculated for a given \mathbf{L} in the manner shown in Ref.[?]. We can evaluate the accuracy of integrals with a discrete \mathbf{k} -mesh in combination with the approximation of Eq. (73) by calculations while changing the size of the \mathbf{k} -mesh.

The remaining problem is how to calculate the matrix \mathbf{L} in Eq. (73); there are two possible ways. One is the $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ method (perturbation) used in Ref.[?]; the other is the numerical method to calculate \mathbf{L} at some \mathbf{k} points near $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Here we use the latter method. Because of the point-group symmetry of the system, \mathbf{L} can be expressed by the linear combination of

invariant tensors μ_{ij}^g for the symmetry of the unit cell,

$$L_{ij}(\omega) = \sum_{g=1}^{N_g} a_g(\omega) \mu_{ij}^g, \quad (77)$$

where g is the index of the invariant tensor. The number of g 's N_g , can be from one (cubic symmetry) through six (no symmetry). It is possible to determine the coefficient $a_g(\omega)$ from the dielectric functions $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0i}^T \mathbf{L} \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0i}$ calculated at $\{\mathbf{k}_{0i}\}$ points around $\mathbf{k} = 0$, where $\{\mathbf{k}_{0i}; i = 1, N_g\}$ is a set of the offset- Γ points. The offset- Γ points are chosen so that the conversion matrix from $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0i}^T \mathbf{L}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0i}$ to $a_g(\omega)$ is not numerically degenerated. The length $|\mathbf{k}^{0i}|$ can be chosen to be sufficiently enough, but avoiding numerical error as the average of $W(\mathbf{k})$ in the Γ cell. The improved offset- Γ method shown here can be applicable even to metal cases, as long as $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0i}^T \mathbf{L}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{0i}$ contains the contribution of intraband transition.

6 hx0fp0.sc.m.F. $W(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ calculation

. . .xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

7 self-energy

. . .xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

8 Fourier transformation of non-local quantity

We have "call `bloch`" in `lm7K/fp/bndfp.F`. This is for the three dimensional FFT. The usual FT is by

$$f(\mathbf{T}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f(\mathbf{k}) \exp(i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{T}), \quad (78)$$

where $\{\mathbf{k}\}$ is are on the regular mesh points. The total number of its members is $N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3$. (the number is the same as that of $\{\mathbf{T}\}$). Note that we have periodicity both in \mathbf{k} points and in \mathbf{T} points. Because of the periodicity, the range of $\{\mathbf{k}\}$ is not unique, the range of $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ as well.

Let us think about non-local quantity which is dependent on $\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}'$. Then we have

$$f(\mathbf{RT}, \mathbf{R}'\mathbf{T}') = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{RR}'}(\mathbf{k}) \exp(i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}')), \quad (79)$$

In practical calculations (static version of self-energy treated by `bloch` called in `fp/bndfp.F`), we first calculate $f_{\mathbf{RR}'}(\mathbf{k})$ on \mathbf{k} of regular mesh points. Then we need to obtain its real-space representation $f(\mathbf{RT}, \mathbf{R}'\mathbf{T}')$. Because of the periodicity, we have ambiguity for the choice of possible $|\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}'|$. If we introduce $\bar{\mathbf{T}} = \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}'$, Eq. (79) is written as $f(\mathbf{R}\bar{\mathbf{T}}, \mathbf{R}'0) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{RR}'}(\mathbf{k}) \exp(i\mathbf{k}\bar{\mathbf{T}})$ because of translational symmetry.

A reasonable choice is that we allow $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ which satisfy $|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}' + \bar{\mathbf{T}}| \leq \eta_{\text{FTmax}}$. Here we should choose η_{FTmax} so that the number of allowed $\{\bar{\mathbf{T}}\}$ is $N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3$. However, it can be not possible, because of deneneracy; for the largest value of $|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}' + \bar{\mathbf{T}}|$ in the allowed $\{\bar{\mathbf{T}}\}$, we may have some of $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ (we say degenerated). Then we need to give fractional weight for such $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$.

To get a list of $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$, we need to collect them satisfying $|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}' + \bar{\mathbf{T}}| < \eta_{\text{FTmax}}$. η_{FTmax} should be automatically chosen. However, in the "bloch" subroutine, this is too primitive yet(aug2015); we need to specify possible upper limit of "range of allowed pairs" ($\mathbf{R}\bar{\mathbf{T}}, \mathbf{R}'0$) by hand. (RSRNGE in `ctrl` file). This should be fixed in future. In the current version `iaxs (=sham%iv_a_oiaxs)` contains such pair table. It is generated by `call hft2rs` in `call seneinterp` in `bndfp.F`, I think. We will have to replace "bloch" with better version. Pair table must be generated in a simple manner(with the technique of `getgv2` (`getgv2` is given in `fpgw/gwsrvc/getgv2.F` and `lm7K/subs/pairs.F`).

9 Interpolation of the self-energy in the Brillouin zone

Here we show an interpolation procedure for giving $V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}}$ at any \mathbf{k} , from $V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}}$ calculated only at the regular mesh points $\mathbf{k}(i_1, i_2, i_3)$. This interpolation is used for the offset- Γ method that requires $W(\omega)$ at $\{\mathbf{k}_{0i}\}$; to calculate this $W(\omega)$, we need eigenfunctions and eigenvalues not only at the regular mesh points $\mathbf{k}(i_1, i_2, i_3)$ but also at $\mathbf{k}(i_1, i_2, i_3) + \mathbf{k}_{0i}$. This interpolation is also useful for plotting energy bands. A key point of the interpolation is that V^{xc} is expanded in real space in highly localized MTOs as follows.

At the end of step (IV) in Sec.??, we obtain the matrix elements $\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} | \Delta V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}m} \rangle$ on the regular mesh points of \mathbf{k} , where $\Delta V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}} = V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}} - V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc,LDA}}$. Then it is converted to the representation in the APW and MTO bases as

$$\langle \chi_a^{\mathbf{k}} | \Delta V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}} | \chi_b^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \sum_{n,m} (z^{-1})_{an}^* \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} | \Delta V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}m} \rangle z_{bm}^{-1}, \quad (80)$$

where we use the simplified basis index a , which is the index for specifying a basis ($\mathbf{R}Lj$ for MTO or \mathbf{G} for APW). Thus $\chi_a^{\mathbf{k}}$ denotes the APWs or MTOs in Eq. (1); z_{na} (\mathbf{k} is omitted for simplicity) denotes the coefficients of the eigenfunctions at \mathbf{k} , that is, $z_{\mathbf{R}Lj}^{\mathbf{k}n}$ and $z_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}n}$ in Eq. (1) together. This z_{an} is identified as a conversion matrix that connects eigenfunctions (band index n) and the APW and MTO bases (basis index a).

To obtain real-space representation of ΔV^{xc} , we need a representation expanded in the basis that consist of the Bloch-summed localized orbitals, which are periodic for \mathbf{k} in the BZ. However, this is not the case for the APWs in Eq. (80). To overcome this problem, we use an approximation in which we only take the matrix elements related to MTOs, that is, the elements $\langle \chi_a^{\mathbf{k}} | \Delta V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}} | \chi_b^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ where a and b specify MTOs. This means that the part of ΔV^{xc} related to APWs is projected onto the basis of MTOs. This approximation can be reasonable as long as the main part of ΔV^{xc} can be well expanded in MTOs, although we need numerical tests to confirm the accuracy as shown in Sec.???. Then we obtain a real-space representation of ΔV^{xc} expanded in MTOs from the MTO part of $\langle \chi_a^{\mathbf{k}} | \Delta V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}} | \chi_b^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ by Fourier transformation. Then we can have interpolated ΔV^{xc} at any \mathbf{k} by inverse Fourier transformation. Since we use highly localized MTOs, this interpolation is more stable than the previous one in FP-LMTO-QSGW [?]. The complicated interpolation procedure given in Sec.II-G in Ref.[?] is no longer necessary.

To reduce the computational time, we calculate the matrix elements $\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}n} | \Delta V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}m} \rangle$ only up to the states whose eigenvalues are less than E_{MAX}^{Σ} . Then the high energy parts of the matrix elements are assumed to be diagonal, where their values are given by a simple average of calculated diagonal elements.

10 Overview of gwsc and other scripts

The `fpgw/exec/gwsc` is the main script to run QSGW. After we finish one-body self-consistent calculation, we run **echo 0|lmfgw**, resulting small files. See Sec.???. Then we run `qg4gw` to generate `q+G` vectors stored in `QGpsi`, `QGcou`, `Q0P` files, in addition to `EPSwklm`, which is for offset-Gamma method ???. Then we run `lmfgw-MPI` which is to calculate eigenfunctions and eigenvalues (and some quantities) required for successive main part of QSGW calculation. We recommend you to examine this first.

For the one-shot GW, we have another script **gw_lmfh**. For dielectric functions (and for χ^0_{+-} , we have **eps***. These are slightly different from gWSC, calling slightly different version of Fortran programs. Wannier function calculations can be done by **genMLWF**, which not only generates Wannier functions (tight-binding parameters), but also W and U between Wannier functions (RPA and cRPA) together. It is in **fpgw/Wannier** directory.

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

In Sec. 5, we show a new improvement in the offset- Γ method, which is made in order to treat the $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ divergence of the integrand for the self-energy calculation. This improvement

can correctly capture the anisotropy of the screened Coulomb interaction, although the previous offset- Γ method in FP-LMTO-QSGW [?] can be problematic for treating anisotropic systems.

In Sec. 9, we explain the interpolation procedure of $V_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{xc}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$. The procedure is simplified in comparison with that used in FP-LMTO-QSGW.

10.1 xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, bz setting, q+G for phi and for vcoul

qg4gw-mkqg routines. QIBZ,QBZ
qibz nqbz,qibz wibz, nqibze Q0P
iq0pin mode: generate q0p: algorism

11 Used files

11.1 @MNLA_CPHI

m	n	l	ib	as	
0	1	0	1	1	1
0	2	0	1	2	2
-1	1	1	1	3	3
0	1	1	1	4	3
1	1	1	1	5	3
-1	2	1	1	6	4
0	2	1	1	7	4
1	2	1	1	8	4
-2	1	2	1	9	5
-1	1	2	1	10	5
0	1	2	1	11	5

m is a magnetic quantum number, n is the degree of freedom which means 1 : ϕ , 2 : $\dot{\phi}$, and 3 : local orbital. l is the orbital angular quantum number. The match of orbitals and m number is shown by **job_pdos** command. The following number is the number of atom. And the next is the numerating number. It corresponds to the number in GWinput which is the most left one in the initial conditions.

12 General cautions for developers

gwsc is the main script to perform QSGW. Sec.?? gives an overview. Sec.?? explain main output files. Sec. ?? explains all i/o files.

At first, note that one-body part **lmv7** and **fpgw** are divided, mainly because of historical reasons. Make procedure is complicated, but automatic by **ecalj/InstallAll.*** (See. **ecalj/README.md**).

Main make system of **ecalj** is in **ecalj/InstallAll.***. As you see in it, makefile for **fpgw** (GW part) is located at **fpgw/gwsrc/exec/makefile**. (memo: apr2015. A little too much complicated because of duplicated definition of subroutines... We need to simplify variables...).

Cautions are;

- Integrated Make system; **ecalj/InstallAll.***
For development, see **ecalj/InstallAll.ifort** (.gfortran) This let you know how to invoke make. The **ecalj** consists of three make procedure. **lmv7**, **fpgw/exec/**, **fpgw/Wannier**.
- Install test
At the end of **InstallAll.***, we have **make mpi_size=4 all** at **ecalj/TestInstall**. This is an unique way to run a series of installation tests.
- Machine dependence
For **fpgw/exec/**, Machine-dependent part is given by a file such as **make.inc.gfortran**, which is included in the makefile by the variable **PLATFORM**. For **lmv7**, we have **lmv7/MAKEINC/**, where we have files which describes machine-dependences.
- CPU time and Memory measurements
At the bottom of makefile, we have a mechanism to insert clock routines in source code. For example, **hsfp0.sc.m.F** is converted to **time_hsf0.sc.m.F**, and then compiled. Time measurement is specified directive lines

```
!TIME0\_number memo
...
!TIME1\_number 'LABEL'
```

Here memo is just comment line, LABEL is a label to identify the block. For example, see **sxcf_fa12.sc.F**. The computational time for codes sandwiched by these **!TIME0_number** and **!TIME1_number** are measure, and shown at the bottom of console output file **lx0** (see **gwsc** script).

See `fpgw/exec/makefile` to understand how to make binaries for gw part. We can make binaries by `make PLATFORM=ifort LIBMATH=-mkl` at the directory.

Other cautions for computer codes;

- We often use modules. A typical example is `use m_genallcf_v3,only: ...`. For example, see `hsfp0.sc.m.F`, which is for the calculation of $W - v$. For `call genallcf_v3` in it, all data for the `use m_genallcf_v3,only:` are allocated. Thus we can use these data after `call genallcf_v3` in the code `hsfp0.sc.m.F`.
- Methods(functions) in modules are keys to learn `fpgw/` codes. For example, we have `geteval`(eigenvalues), `readcphi`(coefficient of eigenfunction for MTO part), `readgeig`(coefficient of APW part), `get_zmel` (<phi|phi MPB>). In cases, we have initialization routines such as `readqgcou()` defined in `readeigen.F`. After it is called, we can access to all data in module `m_readqgcou`. In principle, this kind of initialization routines must be called at the top of main programs... But not organized yet. In addition, it may be better to allocate even scalar in fortran2003. But such new features in fortran2003 is still buggy (at least in ifort15) as long as I tested.
- A possible mechanism to make things safer is given by a variable `done_genallcf_v3` defined in `genallcf_mod.F`. Observe how it work in this routine. This ensures that `genallcf_v3` is called only once in a program. Thus variables in `m_genallcf_v3` has uniqueness (But we have no simple way to make write protections for them. You know a way?) In my opinion, fortran is not suitable to write long computer codes. It is better to use glue languages such as python or bash, as I did in `gwsc...`
- `nbas` is the number of MT sites in the primitive cell. We use `ibas` for a loop of `do ibas=1,nbas`. This is a general rule; another example is `iqbz=1,nqibz` where `nqibz` is the number of irreducible q points.
- `getkeyvalue` defined in `fpgw/gwsrc/keyvalue.F` is an universal i/o routine for GWinput. Its arguments can be one of types among "logical, int, real, int array, real array". Do `grep 'call getkeyvalue' for fpgw/*/*.F` to find out how to use it.
- Recently the definition of POSCAR of VASP changes. Now its CARTESIAN case is essentially the same as the standard input of ctrl file. We changed `structuretools` on Feb.12. 2016, following the new definition.

13 Coding rule and Developer's memo

Here is my current rule for coding. But `ecalj` codes already have long history, thus not unified in a manner. Here is my recommendations. We don't like dirty code, but simultaneously, not spend too much time for cleaning up computer code, but not too dirty logic.

- `fpgw/` directory:

```
main routines are in main/*.m.F
subroutines are in gwsrc/
makefile, shell scripts are in exec/
```

Wannier routines (main and sub) are in Wannier/

We use fixed format f90 (or more in future).

- How to add new fortran file ? (dependency checker)

(for the case of `fpgw/` code. Essentially similar for `lm7K/` part).

Because of modules of f90, we need `moduledependes.inc`

which describe dependency of source files given in `makefile`.

We have a system automatically making it by 'make init'.

Steps are:

1. Make a *.F file in `gwsrc/` or `main/` or `Wannier/`

2. Add *.o in fpgw/exec/makefile
3. Run 'make init' at fpgw/exec (or at fpgw/Wannier/ or)
This check dependecny and moduledependes.inc,
which is included when you run make.
You may need to removed ..//*.mod and/or ..//*.o files if some error occurs.
4. make

moduledependes.inc is automatically generated by TOOLS/checkmodule (python code; I sometimes need to do make init). But TOOLS/checkmodule is not well written.

- TIME directive and makefile

We have

```
'TIME_00010 QOP
'TIME_00010 'QOP'
```

in some files such as ../main/hx0fp0.sc.m.F.

How many times and how many clock time used

is reported at the end of console output.

This reports computational time at the end of output from each node.

(see STDOUT/stdout.{rankID=0000}.* files) when you run gwsc.

At the bottom of makefile, we have conversion from

.F to time.F.

For example, hx0fp0.sc.F is converted

to time_hx0fp0.sc.F and compiled.

Here we replace directions "!TIME0" and "!TIME1..."

by a timing-measurement routine by awk.

Thus, be careful.

When you compile hx0fp0.sc.F with -g

option, it shows the error stop (such as segmentation error)

in the line number of time_hx0fp0.sc.F

If you have make error such as

```
> ERROR: inconsistent key, key= __x0kf_sym
, it means error when the conversion find syntax error.
```

You can see

```
>make
```

```
gawk -f script/addtime.awk -vSTART=1 ../main/hx0fp0.sc.m.F | gawk -f script/then_separate.awk
```

```
...
```

This shows fpgw/exec/script/addtime.awk is used for the conversion.

- MPI is not so efficient yet.

We like to make simple MPI procedure, not nested.

For this purpose, it may be better to divide matrix elements generator

and core of GW part.

When you run gwsc or so,

```
STDOUT/stdout.0000.hx0fp0_sc
```

contains output of hx0fp0_sc due to rank=0000.

- double path formalism in lmf (a problem to be cleaned up)

For eigenvalues, we show twice a iteration.

This is historical reason.

We will improve it.

- emacs(vim) skills


```

multi window mode, compare files,
emacs git mode
emacs ediff mode
emacs etags
git rebase -i ==> See http://liginc.co.jp/web/tool/79390
gitk --all
python

```

- Doxygen: At ecalj/fpgw, run doxygen. Because we have Doxyfile there, we can have doxygen html and pdfs. Doxygen is not so good but not so bad for fortran. We will use doxygen for a while. But not believe doxygen too much.

module at the beginning of x0kf_v4h.F.

1. Not allow comment line in declaration of subrouitne.
2. "doubleprecision" is not allowed.
3. comments lines outside of subroutine.
4. To overliad doxygen bug, dummy declare needed.
integer:: dummy4doxygen at the begining.

- callcaller tree generator

```

We can make a table callcaller.dat by
>make dep
at fpgw/exec/ and lm7K/ (may take one minute).
Not believe it so much...
Need to check it in other manner.

```

- Test system is at ecalj/TestInstall/. We can say test system is very important. We usually include new bug when you add new functionality in a code. Test system is very critical to develop ocmputer programs quickly. Current test system is a little complicated; but we will use it for a while.

At ecalj/TestInstall/
We have

```

-----
./Makefile
./Makefile.inc (this is called from test directories as si_gwsc).
./si_gwsc/Makefile and data for test
./crn/Makefile and data for test.
-----

```

To add a test,
we keep input and output files in xxx/ directory,
and make Makefile as in the case of si_gwsc/.
In addition, you have to add the name of test in Makefile.

- Line length for fortran; Add .emacs the following three lines.

```

(add-hook 'fortran-mode-hook
'(lambda ()
  (setq fortran-line-length 132)))

```

- Use module. In the PMT part (one-body part)) ecalj/lm7K/, we use strucrue. But no structures in the GW part ecalj/fpgw part. I recommned to use modules.
- Module names are m_foobar. Use 'only' option when you use a module.
- An exmple is

```

module m_get_bzdata1 in getbzdata1.F
This is related to reading BZDATA file.
All public data defined at the head part of this module are output.
These are set by a call as
"call getbzdata(... arguments list ...)",
where arguments list are all inputs.

```

Thus we can have all the public data, suddenly appear right after "call getbzddata".

To make the data flow clear as possible, we have to declare "only" option when we use a module.

Here is an example of hx0fp0.sc.m.F, which uses a module m_genallcf_v3.

```
use m_genallcf_v3,only: genallcf_v3,
&    nclass,natom,nspin,nl,nm,ngroup,
&    nlmtol,nlmax, nctot,niw,nw_input=>nw,
&    alat,ef, diw,dw,delta,deltaw,esmr,symgrp,clabl,iclass,
&    invg, il, in, im, nlm,
&    plat, pos, ecore, symgg
```

In the main routine, we call genallcf_v3.

Then all following variables are set.

- Module example.

To get the matrix element: $z_{mel} = \langle E_{nu} \phi | \phi \rangle$, which is the parts of numerator of equations in the steps of GW calculations, we use "readeigen mechanism". Let me explain this.

At first, we call

```
call init_readeigen(ginv,nspin,nband,mrece)!EVU EVD are read in
call init_readeigen2(mrecb,nlmtol,mrecg)
```

. These are needed for initialization.

Then we do

```
call get_zmelt2(exchange, ... (matrix elements generator)
```

in a subroutine x0kf_v4hz (which is called from main routine hx0fp0.m.F).

Then we have the matrix elements zmeltt after this call.

Because of historical reason exchange=T,F gives different names of

```
zmel, zmelt or zmeltt, which are suitable exchange calculation or
correlation calculation.
```

NOTE:

get_zmelt2 internally call function readeigen (to get eigenfunctions).

- Be careful about how to clarify the i/o of modules.
- Use fixed format of fortran. Use -132 line option. Give a line number for long do loop (not do end do)). And respect the do loop number (not delete line numbers without a reason.)
- We use

```
integer::
real(8)::
complex(8)::
```
- Supplemental documents embedded in codes should be very minimum.

14 Module programming for developers

Dividing a long complex code into a main program and separate modules is convenient to manage the code. By doing so,

- We can easily understand, handle and modify the code without making complicated problems.
- We don't have to be careful about the order of data.

- We can easily divide a job for cooperation.

Therefore, developers are strongly recommended to make codes with modules.

A very simple example of such module programming is contained in `ecalj/TOOLS/ModuleCodingSample/`. One can see a file named `m_test.F`. To execute the `m_test.F`, type in

```
gfortran m_test.F -I. -J. -g -ffixed-line-length-132
```

in your command line. This code is designed to read and print the file named `sample.dat` contained in the same directory. `sample.dat` is written as follows:

```
1 Ndup 3 4 8
2 Nddn 5 6 7 8
3 Cu 2 3 4 123 556 45
```

We would like to emphasize several points contained in the `m_test.F` as an example. Developers are urged to follow these points.

- Use `protected` and `private` option when declaring variables in a module to avoid the same variable names being used outside of the module. :

```
module m_readline
  integer,protected:: nclass,nbasclassMax
  integer,protected,allocatable:: cbas(:,,:),nbasclass(:)
  character(20),protected,allocatable:: classname(:)
  integer,parameter,private::maxdat=1024

  contains
  subroutine s_readclass()
  ...
```

- Use *labels* for loops to avoid confusion. :

```
...
do
  read(ifix,"(a)",end=999) aaa
  iline=iline+1
end do
999 continue
nclass=iline
allocate(iclassin(nclass),cbastemp(maxdat,nclass),nbasclass(nclass),classname(nclass))

rewind(ifix)
cbastemp=-999

do 1001, iclass=1,nclass
  read(ifix,"(a)") aaa
  read(aaa,*,end=1201) iclassin(iclass),a,(cbastemp(i,iclass),i=1,maxdat)
1201 continue
  if(iclassin(iclass)/=iclass) call rx('iclass is not i')
  classname(iclass)=trim(a)
  do i=1,maxdat
    if(cbastemp(i,iclass)==-999) then
      nbasclass(iclass)=i-1
      exit
    endif
  enddo
1001 continue
...
```

The module can be used in the main program as in this way:

```

...
program test
  use m_readline,only: s_readclass, nbaclass, nclass, cbas, classname, nbaclassmax
  integer:: i,ix,iclass
  call s_readclass()

  write(*,*) '=== Read lines nclass=',nclass
  do iclass=1,nclass
    write(*,*)'output:',iclass,trim(classname(iclass)),cbas(1:nbaclass(iclass),iclass)
  enddo
end

```

Check the output by yourselves.

15 Phonon project

Key papers of phonon theories are [?] [?] [?] [?]. Pratical implementations are in [?] [?].

1. The poralization function

$$\bar{\Pi} = \Pi \sqrt{v} \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{v} \Pi \sqrt{v}} \sqrt{v} \Pi \quad (81)$$

are calculated on the regular \mathbf{k} mesh points (except $\mathbf{k} = 0$) and on the offset-Gamma points (=Q0P points). Expanded in the Coulomb-diagonalized MPB set $\{|E_\nu^{\mathbf{k}}\rangle\}$ as $\langle E_\mu^{\mathbf{k}} | \bar{\Pi}^{\mathbf{k}} | E_\nu^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$.

2. $\langle E_\mu^{\mathbf{k}} | \bar{\Pi}^{\mathbf{k}} | E_\nu^{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ for Q0P is treated as the expansion near $\mathbf{k} = 0$.
(Need further explanation...)

3. Calculate $\frac{\partial^2 v^{\mathbf{k}}(R_\alpha - R'_\beta)}{\partial R_\alpha \partial R'_\beta}$,
4. Calculate $\langle \frac{\partial v^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})}{\partial R_\alpha} | E_\nu^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \equiv \int d^3r \frac{\partial v^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})}{\partial R_\alpha} E_\nu^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$,
5. We have analytic part

$$\bar{C}^{\text{N}} = \frac{\partial^2 W^{\mathbf{k}}(R_\alpha - R'_\beta)}{\partial R_\alpha \partial R'_\beta} \quad (82)$$

on regular mesh points. And non-analytic part \bar{C}^{NA} .

6. Sum rule correction (sum of born effective charge, translational symmetry) may be needed.
7. Interpolation in the whole BZ (non-analytic part and analytic part).
8. Then we can calculate phonons.
9. Calculate electron phonon coupling in the same manner.
10. Mobility calculation and so on.

16 Magnon project

When we treat a model Hamiltonian by TDHF for spin susceptibility, we have to use “Screened Model Hamiltonian” H_{SM} instead of Eq. (??). When we calculate the ladder diagram for spin susceptibility, we should use the screened interaction by the polarization. In fact, usual first-principles calculations use W_{M} for the calculation of spin susceptibilities [?]. The screened model Hamiltonian is given as

$$H_{\text{SM}} = H_{\text{M}}^0 + U_{\text{SM}} - \bar{U}_{\text{SM}}, \quad (83)$$

where we use the screened Coulomb interaction U_{SM} instead of U_{M} in Eq. (??). If we use the usual RPA, we have $U_{\text{SM}} = W^{\text{D}}$. This is different from Eq. (??). We re-calculate the ground state by HF within the model, and the linear response for the system in the TDHF. Thus the ground state and the linear response are consistent.

Wannier modeling, Tetrahedron method, Hilbert transformation.

1. tetrahedron method
2. wannier matrix elements

17 Wannier project

1. Non orthgonalized basis
2. Acurately remove double counting.
3. Limitation of FLEX, TPSC

18 Paralellization project

Appendix.

Some of them might be obsolete now...

A Harris-Foulkner energy and Kohn-Sham energy

In LDA/GGA, on the way to self-consistency, input density and output density is not self-consistent. For given input density n^{in} , we define two total energy, the Harris-Foulkner energy E_{Harris} and the Hohenberg-Kohn energy E_{HoKohn} as (See Ref.[?])

$$E_{\text{Harris}} = E_{\text{k}}^{\text{core}} + E_{\text{B}} - V[n^{\text{Zc}} + n^{\text{in}}, \mathbf{R}_a] \cdot n^{\text{in}} + E_{\text{es}}[n^{\text{Zc}} + n^{\text{in}}, \mathbf{R}_a] + E_{\text{xc}}[n^{\text{Zc}} + n^{\text{in}}, \mathbf{R}_a] \quad (84)$$

$$E_{\text{B}} = \sum_p^{\text{occupied}} \alpha_p^{i*} \langle F_i | H^{\text{in}} | F_j \rangle \alpha_p^j, \quad (85)$$

Search **ehar** generated by “call mkehkf(1,...) in ecalj/lm7K/fp/bndfp.F:L1661”. (nov2015: mkehkf is too complicated because data is passed through **sham%eterms**). E_{HoKohn} is shown in **save.*** file as **ehk=**.

$$E_{\text{HoKohn}} = E_{\text{k}}^{\text{core}} + E_{\text{B}} - V[n^{\text{Zc}} + n^{\text{in}}, \mathbf{R}_a] \cdot n^{\text{out}} + E_{\text{es}}[n^{\text{Zc}} + n^{\text{out}}, \mathbf{R}_a] + E_{\text{xc}}[n^{\text{Zc}} + n^{\text{out}}, \mathbf{R}_a] \quad (86)$$

$$E_{\text{B}} = \sum_p^{\text{occupied}} \alpha_p^{i*} \langle F_i | H^{\text{in}} | F_j \rangle \alpha_p^j, \quad (87)$$

The kinetic energy is $E_{\text{k}}^{\text{core}} + E_{\text{B}} - V[n^{\text{Zc}} + n^{\text{in}}, \mathbf{R}_a] \cdot n^{\text{out}}$ in the E_{HoKohn} , which is calculated in bndfp.F-mekin.F (see document in mkein.F). This is exactly the kinetic energy for the input potential $V[n^{\text{Zc}} + n^{\text{in}}, \mathbf{R}_a]$. Search **eks** generated by “call mkehkf(2,...) in ecalj/lm7K/fp/bndfp.F:L2716”. E_{HoKohn} is shown in **save.*** file as **eks=**. (kohn-sham and Hohenberg-kohn is mixed up...)

In LDA/GGA calculations by lmf, **save.*** file contains a line per iteration.

c mmom=1 ehf=-14.7470788 ehk=-14.7470794

shows $E_{\text{Harris}} = -14.7470788$ Ry and $E_{\text{HoKohn}} = -14.7470794$ Ry. In principle, both should be exactly the same; the difference is the numerical error. **c** at the beginning of line means “converged”. **h** means the 1st iteration from **atm.*** file (superposition of atomic density).

B Block inversion used for dielectric functions and downfolding

See Christoph’s and Pick’s paper

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W & -WQS^{-1} \\ -S^{-1}RW & S^{-1} + S^{-1}RWQS^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (88)$$

where P and S are square matrices, and

$$W = (P - QS^{-1}R)^{-1}. \quad (89)$$

We refer $X = -WQS^{-1}$ and $Y = -S^{-1}RW$.

Proof:

$$(1, 1) \text{ component} = PW - QS^{-1}RW = (P - QS^{-1}R)W = 1, \quad (90)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 2) \text{ component} &= -PWQS^{-1} + QS^{-1} + QS^{-1}RWQS^{-1} \\ &= (-PW + 1 + QS^{-1}RW)QS^{-1} = (- (P - QS^{-1}R)W + 1)QS^{-1} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

$$(2, 1) \text{ component} = RW - SS^{-1}RW = 0, \quad (92)$$

$$(2, 2) \text{ component} = -RWQS^{-1} + SS^{-1} + SS^{-1}RWQS^{-1} = 1 \quad (93)$$

C Downfolding

Downfolding is a general concept which often appears in physics of varieties of contexts. It is based on the Block inversion Eq.88. This appears for the inversion of one-body problem, or divide the Fock space for many-body theory. (divide the Fock space into two Hilbert spaces; one-particle excited states and states with more than one-particles).

For exaple, the Green function is the inversion of the matrix $\omega - H$, where H is divided into to

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (94)$$

If we have $H_{12} = H_{21} = 0$, we have $G_{11}^0 = 1/(\omega - H_{11})$ as the main part of Green function. This is completely separated from the residual part $G_{22}^0 = 1/(\omega - H_{22})$.

When H_{12} and H_{21} are non-zero, we have to take into their effect by perturbation, or by the block inversion Eq.88. Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & -G_{11}H_{12}G_{22}^0 \\ -G_{22}^0H_{21}G_{11} & G_{22}^0 + G_{22}^0H_{21}G_{11}H_{12}G_{22}^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (95)$$

where W in Eq. (88) is G_{11} . Note that the ω dependence is in G_{11}^0 and G_{22}^0 . Here G_{11} given as (See Eq. (89)).

$$G_{11} = \frac{1}{\omega - H_{11} - H_{12}G_{22}^0H_{21}}. \quad (96)$$

Then $H_{12}G_{22}^0H_{21}$ is identified as the self-energy.

There are possible cases about how to choose Hilbert space Ω_1 and Ω_2 corresponding to the division Eq. (94).

- In the one-body problem, for example, we take Ω_1 as low energy part and Ω_2 as high energy part. or 3d parts and others.
- In a case of many-body theory, Ω_1 is the one-particle Fock space. Then G_{11} is the one-body propagator, and G_{22} is many-body (two- and more particles-) propagator.
- We may take Ω_1 as the model space, Ω_2 as the residual space. Then we make like to identify H_{11} as the Hubbard Hamiltonian. However, it is not so simple since H_{11} contains screening effect due to the degree of freedom of Ω_2 . We have to consider a little more complicated downfolding procedure.

Warn: not be confused with the division of many-body Hamiltonian H into $H_0 + (H - H_0)$ for perturbation.

D Causality and analytic property

Causality means "cause gives result". This is represented by the step function, for example, as $\theta(t - t') \exp(i\omega_0(t - t'))$, whose FT gives $1/(\omega - \omega_0 - i\delta)$. Thus the position of pole (upper or lower plane) is important to determine the direction of time (real time representation).

Sum rule is related but a little different. For example, sum rule for Imaginary part of G_{11} is controlled only by the behavior $G_{11}(\omega)$ at $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, as long as $H_{12}G_{22}^0H_{21} \propto 0$ for $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$, the sum rule is satisfied.

E Spherical Harmonics and Real harmonics in ecalj

In our GW code, we user real harmonics $y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$, instead of the usual sperical (complex) harmonics $Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ in the real implimentation. The coefficients of eigenfunctions and so on are ordered as, e.g. ($m = -2, m = -1, m = 0, m = 1, m = 2$) for $l = 2$. For example, **LMXA=4**, we have **(4+1)**2=25** harmonics, ordered as $y_{00}, y_{-11}, y_{01}, y_{11}, y_{-22}, y_{-12}, \dots, y_{22}, y_{-33}, \dots, y_{33}, y_{-44}, \dots, y_{44}$.

$y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ is defined from $Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$. (Note $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = (\theta, \phi)$). The definition of the real harmonics is the same as what is used in lmf.

$$y_{l0}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \equiv Y_{l0}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}). \quad (97)$$

$$y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[(-1)^m Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) + Y_{l-m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})]. \quad (98)$$

$$y_{l-m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i}[(-1)^m Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) - Y_{l-m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})]. \quad (99)$$

, where $m > 0$. Or Equivalently,

$$Y_{l0}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \equiv y_{l0}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}). \quad (100)$$

$$Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \equiv \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{2}}[y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) + iy_{l-m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})]. \quad (101)$$

$$Y_{l-m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) - iy_{l-m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})]. \quad (102)$$

The definition of $Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ are

$$Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^m \left[\frac{(2l+1)(l-m)!}{4\pi(l+m)!} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} P_l^m(\cos(\theta)) e^{im\phi}, \quad (103)$$

$$P_l^m(x) = \frac{(1-x^2)^{m/2}}{2^l l!} \frac{d^{l+m}}{dx^{l+m}} (x^2-1)^l \quad (104)$$

We take these definitions from

(1) A.R. Edmonds, Angular Momentum in quantum Mechanics, Princeton University Press, 1960,

(2) M.E. Rose, Elementary Theory of angular Momentum, John Wiley & Sons, INC. 1957, if necessary. The definition of spherical harmonics are the same in these books.

F Expansion of non-local functions, need fixing

...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

We expand the Coulomb interaction $v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = e^2/|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$ as

$$\begin{cases} v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{IJ} \tilde{M}_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) v_{IJ}(\mathbf{k}) \{\tilde{M}_J^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}')\}^* \\ v_{IJ}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{N_c} \int_V d^3 r \int_V d^3 r' \{M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') M_J^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}') \end{cases} \quad (105)$$

This expansion is general for the two-point non-local functions. However, for convenience, we expand the polarization function D as

$$\begin{cases} D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{IJ} M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) D_{IJ}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \{M_J^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}')\}^* \\ D_{IJ}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{N_c} \int_V d^3 r \int_V d^3 r' \{\tilde{M}_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) \tilde{M}_J^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}') \end{cases} \quad (106)$$

and the dielectric function ϵ (and also the inverse dielectric function ϵ^{-1}) as

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{IJ} \tilde{M}_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \epsilon_{IJ}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \{M_J^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}')\}^* \\ \epsilon_{IJ}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{N_c} \int_V d^3 r \int_V d^3 r' \{M_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* \epsilon(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) \tilde{M}_J^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}'). \end{cases} \quad (107)$$

G Expansion of a plane wave with the mixed basis, need fixing

...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

If we substitute a plane wave $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}/\sqrt{\Omega}$ for $F^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq.(29), we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = \sum J M_J^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\tilde{C}_J^{\mathbf{k}0} \\ \tilde{C}_J^{\mathbf{k}0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} \{\tilde{M}_J^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} d^3r. \end{cases} \quad (108)$$

For small \mathbf{k} , the maximum eigenvalue of the Coulomb matrix should be $v(\mathbf{k}) \equiv 4\pi e^2/|\mathbf{k}|^2$ and the corresponding eigenvector should be equal to $\tilde{C}_J^{\mathbf{k}0}$. So we can get $\tilde{C}_J^{\mathbf{k}0}$ from the eigenvalue problem instead of evaluating the integral of Eq.(108).

In `hvcfcfp0.m.f`, we get the maximum eigenvalue $\epsilon^0(\mathbf{k})$ and corresponding eigenvector $\tilde{C}_J^{\mathbf{k}0}$ from

$$\sum_J [v_{IJ}(\mathbf{k}) - \epsilon^0(\mathbf{k})O_{IJ}^{\mathbf{k}}]\tilde{C}_J^{\mathbf{k}0} = 0. \quad (109)$$

Then we check the normalization

$$\sum_{IJ} (\tilde{C}_I^{\mathbf{k}0})^* O_{IJ}^{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{C}_J^{\mathbf{k}0} = 1 \quad (110)$$

and calculate the two quantities

$$v(\text{exact}) = \Omega \frac{4\pi e^2}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}, \quad (111)$$

$$v(\text{cal}) = \Omega \sum_{IJ} (\tilde{C}_I^{\mathbf{k}0})^* v_{IJ}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{C}_J^{\mathbf{k}0} = \Omega \epsilon^0(\mathbf{k}), \quad (112)$$

which are shown in the end of the output of `hvcfcfp0.m.f` (lvcc by the script `gw_lmf` or `eps_lmf`) such as follows.

```
--- vcoul(exact)= 0.166657D+05 absq2= 0.5565111898526868D-01
--- vcoul(cal ) = 0.166587D+05 -0.484112D-19
```

You can see the agreement is good enough! The quantity $\tilde{C}_J^{\mathbf{k}0}$ is stored into `Mix0vec`. It is read into the variable `gbvec` in `hx0fp0.m.f`. We also store the next quantity;

$$\begin{aligned} C_J^{\mathbf{k}0} &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} \{M_J^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} d^3r \\ &= \sum_I \{O_{IJ}\}^* \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} \{\tilde{M}_I^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} d^3r \\ &= \sum_I O_{JI} \tilde{C}_I^{\mathbf{k}0}. \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

It is read into the variable `zzr` in `hx0fp0.m.f`.

H ...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

(Usuda's old note from here)

...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

In this note, we denote the primitive lattice vector as $\{\mathbf{a}_i | i = 1, 2, 3\}$ (`=alat*plat(1:3,i)`), the volume of unit cell as $\Omega = |\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3|$, and the reciprocal lattice vector as $\{\mathbf{b}_i | i = 1, 2, 3\}$ (`=2*pi*qlat(1:3,i)/alat`).

We assume the periodic boundary condition for quantities as $\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \Psi(\mathbf{r} + N_1 \mathbf{a}_1) = \Psi(\mathbf{r} + N_2 \mathbf{a}_2) = \Psi(\mathbf{r} + N_3 \mathbf{a}_3)$. Correspondingly, we use a Brillouin zone (BZ) discrete mesh, which is given as

$$\mathbf{k}(i_1, i_2, i_3) = 2\pi \left(\frac{i_1}{N_1} \mathbf{b}_1 + \frac{i_2}{N_2} \mathbf{b}_2 + \frac{i_3}{N_3} \mathbf{b}_3 \right) \quad (114)$$

for $i_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N_1 - 1$ and so on. Within the volume $V = \Omega N_c = \Omega N_1 N_2 N_3$, we normalize eigenfunctions and so on. However, it is rather convenient to use the normalization within a unit cell Ω because we know the property

$$\int_V F^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) G^{\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{r}) d^3 r = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} N_c \int_{\Omega} F^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) G^{\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{r}) d^3 r \quad (115)$$

for any functions $F^{\mathbf{k}}$ and $G^{\mathbf{k}'}$ with the Bloch periodicity specified by \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{k}' . In the GW code, we store the cell-normalized eigenfunction $\tilde{\Psi}^{\mathbf{k}n}(\mathbf{r})$ to DATA4GW;

$$\tilde{\Psi}^{\mathbf{k}n}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sqrt{N_c} \Psi^{\mathbf{k}n}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (116)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\tilde{\Psi}^{\mathbf{k}n}(\mathbf{r})|^2 d^3 r = 1. \quad (117)$$

This $\tilde{\Psi}^{\mathbf{k}n}(\mathbf{r})$ is expanded as

$$\tilde{\Psi}^{\mathbf{k}n}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{au} \alpha_{au}^{\mathbf{k}n} A_{au}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \beta_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}n} P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (118)$$

$$A_{au}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{T}} A_{au}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_a - \mathbf{T}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{T}}, \quad (119)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) &\equiv 0 \quad \text{if } \mathbf{r} \in \text{any MT} \\ &\equiv e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad \text{otherwise,} \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

where $A_{au}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ is the Bloch sum of the atomic function $A_{au}(\mathbf{r})$ in the a -site muffin-tin (MT) sphere. $P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ denotes the interstitial plane wave (IPW). Here \mathbf{T} is the lattice translation vector; \mathbf{R}_a is the position of the a -site in the cell; \mathbf{G} denotes the reciprocal vector; u denotes the index to specify the argument basis. $A_{au}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ is orthonormalized as

$$\int_{|\mathbf{r}| < V_a} A_{au}(\mathbf{r}) A_{au'}(\mathbf{r}) d^3 r = \delta_{uu'}, \quad (121)$$

where V_a is the size of the a -site MT. The normalization is

$$\frac{1}{N_c} \int_V \{A_{au}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* A_{a'u'}^{\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{r}) d^3 r = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{uu'} \int_{\Omega} |A_{au}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})|^2 d^3 r = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{uu'} \quad (122)$$

$$\frac{1}{N_c} \int_V \{P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{r}) d^3 r = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \int_{\Omega} \{P_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\}^* P_{\mathbf{G}'}^{\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{r}) d^3 r = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \int_{\Omega} P_{\mathbf{G}' - \mathbf{G}}^0(\mathbf{r}) d^3 r \quad (123)$$

I ...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

Dielectric function

I.1 Dielectric function without local-field correction

...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

Approximating $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ as $1/\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ corresponds to neglecting the local-field correction.

$\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega) &= \frac{1}{V} \int_V d^3r \int_V d^3r' e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \epsilon(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}'} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{V} \int_V d^3r \int_V d^3r' \int_V d^3r'' e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}'} v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'') D(\mathbf{r}'', \mathbf{r}', \omega) \\ &= 1 - v(\mathbf{q}) D(\mathbf{q}, \omega),\end{aligned}\tag{124}$$

where the relation

$$\int_V v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'') e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} d^3r = v(\mathbf{q}) e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}''}\tag{125}$$

is used and

$$v(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{IJ} (\tilde{C}_I^{\mathbf{q}0})^* v_{IJ}(\mathbf{q}) \tilde{C}_J^{\mathbf{q}0},\tag{126}$$

$$D(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \sum_{IJ} (C_I^{\mathbf{q}0})^* D_{IJ}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) C_J^{\mathbf{q}0}.\tag{127}$$

In `hx0fp0.m.f`, we calculate $v(\mathbf{q})$, $D(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ and $\epsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ by

```
vcmean = sum( dconjg(gbvec) * matmul(vcoul,gbvec) )
x0mean = sum( dconjg(zzr) * matmul(zxq(:, :, iw), zzr))
eps(iw, iqixc2) = 1- vcmean * x0mean
```

and the inverse dielectric function is given by $1/\text{eps}(iw, iqixc2)$. The matrix element of the polarization, $D_{IJ}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \mathbf{zxq}$, is obtained from the subroutine `x0kf`. The results of $\text{Re}(\epsilon)$, $\text{Im}(\epsilon)$, $\text{Re}(\epsilon^{-1})$ and $\text{Im}(\epsilon^{-1})$ are stored in `EPS01.nolfc.dat`.

I.2 Dielectric function with local-field correction

...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...

The inverse dielectric function $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) &= \frac{1}{V} \int_V d^3r \int_V d^3r' e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \epsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}'} \\ &= \sum_{IJ} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{M}_I^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} d^3r \right\} \epsilon_{IJ}^{-1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} \{M_J^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}')\}^* e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}'} d^3r' \right\} \\ &= \sum_{IJ} (\tilde{C}_I^{\mathbf{q}0})^* \epsilon_{IJ}^{-1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) C_J^{\mathbf{q}0}.\end{aligned}\tag{128}$$

In `hx0fp0.m.f`, we calculate $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ by

```
epsi(iw, iqixc2) = sum( dconjg(gbvec) * matmul(zw0, zzr) )
```

and the dielectric function is given by $1/\text{epsi}(iw, iqixc2)$. The matrix element of $\epsilon_{IJ}^{-1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \mathbf{zw0}$ is obtained from the subroutine `wcf`. The results of $\text{Re}(\epsilon)$, $\text{Im}(\epsilon)$, $\text{Re}(\epsilon^{-1})$ and $\text{Im}(\epsilon^{-1})$ are stored in `EPS01.dat`.

J *...xxxxx under construction xxxxx...*

memo

ESEAVR (average of σ at high energy)

Rotation of q by space group (not unique if q is on the BZ boundary).

Discontinuity of bands at BZ boundary

Mechanism of GW calculation for Metal. Drude weight.

Tetrahedron method. Accumulation of imaginary part, and Hilbert transformation. No time-reversal sym

Rseq,Broryden mixing,Anderson mixing (Yellow note by okuda).

zmelt: unified matrix elements generator m_zmel.F

structure constant:

conversion between spherical harmonics and real harmonics

New offset Gamma procedure. Invariant tensor expansion.

Anisotropy problem.

Wave function and MPB rotation

EIBZ symmetrization

bloch: FFT of σ .

Calculate effective mass:

hvccfp0: $v(\text{exact})$ vs. $v(\text{cal})$ (eigenvalue of v matrix).

Spectrum function mode:

lmfa:

alagr3z: efficient? We may need improvement.

PFLOAT:

(not now?) ropbes.f ropyln.f had a problem due to compilar option.

FTMESH: denser gives better? ehk=ehf?

Atomic position relaxation:

epsPP mode:

Need to check it.

$q=0$ limit.

With FSMOM, Efermi is not uniquely given in job_band_nspin2*.

It is given by a bndfp-bzwtsf-bzwsf L300 block

if ((.not. lfill) .or. (metal .and. (nkp .eq. 1))) then

(bisection method to determine a middle of LUMO and HOMO).

It can give some energy between LUMO and HOMO.
Small changes of computational condition can give large change.
But no problem.

=====

PDOS: sigm_fbz is required.
(when cp sigm,rst,GWinput ->LDA-like result.
Then cp sigm_fbz ->it fails.
Need to make new directory, and copy rst,sigm_fbz.)
And how to check it. (whether

=====

mixbeta:
takao@TT4:~/ecalj/fpgw\$ grep mixbeta */*.F
main/hqpe.sc.m.F: call getkeyvalue("GWinput","mixbeta",beta,default=1d0,status=ret)
mixing parameter on sigm file.
As the default beta is unitiy, mixsigm and mixsigma files are

=====

Check convergece on QSGW.
grep rms lqpe*