



# OPEN DAYS University Master Class

Proceedings













## **Table of Contents**

Introduction	1
Welcome	1
Thematic discussion 1	2
OPEN DAYS opening session	2
EU Cohesion Policy 2014-2020: the state of play	2
Thematic discussion 2	4
Current and future research on EU Cohesion Policy	4
OPEN DAYS official reception	5
Smart (city) governance	5
Reflecting on the reform of cohesion policy for 2014-2020	6
World Café session	7
Feedback and evaluation	9
List of Master Class 2015 participants	10
List of Master Class 2015 speakers, moderators and organisers	13



# Introduction

From 12 to 15 October 2015, the OPEN DAYS was accompanied for the third time by a Master Class aiming to improve the understanding of EU Cohesion Policy and its research potential. Organised by the European Commission's DG for Regional and Urban Policy (DG REGIO), the Committee of the Regions (CoR) and the Regional Studies Association (RSA), together with the European Regional Science Association (ERSA) and the Association of European Schools of Planning (AESOP), the Master Class focused on PhD students and early career researchers from all over Europe and aimed at:

- y present recent research on European regional and urban development and EU Cohesion Policy;
- u enable PhD students and early-career researchers to exchange views with EU politicians, officials and senior academics;
- Specification and academic countries and with the wider EU policy and academic communities; and
- z raise awareness and understanding of the research potential in the field of EU Cohesion Policy.

Based on a call for paper proposals, 24 participants from universities in 15 EU Member States and three non-EU countries attended the Master Class and discuss three themes in particular:

- ☐ EU Cohesion Policy and the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;
- Institutional relations, governance and EU Cohesion Policy;
- Places and spaces: the contribution of EU Cohesion Policy to integrated urban, rural and territorial development.

30 speakers and moderators from the partnering institutions were involved and the selected participants attended the different modules of the Master Class: lectures and networking sessions, OPEN DAYS opening session, in the European Commission, interactive debates, world café session and social events. This report presents short accounts of these sessions in chronological order, together with the results of a feedback exercise and an online evaluation.

The organisers would like to thank all participants and speakers for their active involvement.

Brussels, January 2016

# Welcome

The Master Class started on the morning of Monday, 12 October 2015, with a two-hour city walk, guiding by **Stefan De Corte**, academic coordinator of the Master's Programme on "Urban and spatial planning" and associate director of Cosmopolis, the Centre for Urban research – Free University of Brussels. Participants were given the opportunity to walk around and view some of the main sights in the centre of Brussels and to understand them from a spatial and urban development perspective, taking the city's history into account.

The tour ended at the Committee of the Regions, where the participants were welcomed by the organisers. **Professor John Bachtler** from the Regional Studies Association (RSA) highlighted

the increasing importance of the OPEN DAYS as a meeting point for leading academics. **Richard Kelly**, European Regional Science Association (ERSA), and **Izabela Mironowicz**, Association of European Schools of Planning (AESOP), both appreciated the good cooperation during the preparation of the Master Class and confirmed their interest in deepening it in the future. **Tony Lockett**, European Commission, DG for Regional and Urban Policy (DG REGIO), mentioned the DG's interest in a close link to researchers in order to gain a better understanding of the impact of EU Cohesion Policy. Finally, **Wolfgang Petzold**, European Committee of the Regions (CoR), spoke briefly on the lessons learned from the 2013 and 2014 Master Classes and thanked RSA, ERSA, AESOP, and DG REGIO for their support.

Master Class Proceedings 1



# Thematic discussion 1

**Professor John Bachtler** chaired the first session of presentation of students' research projects, during which selected papers were discussed with **Peter Berkowitz**, European Commission, DG Regional and Urban Policy; **Daniel Mouque**, European Commission, DG Regional and Urban Policy and **Thomas Wobben**, European Committee of the Regions.

The three papers selected under the theme **EU Cohesion Policy and the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth** was presented. **Niall Crosbie**, Waterford
Institute of Technology, Department of Management and
Organisation, Ireland, presented his paper called *Investigation of the regional innovation paradox*. **Marta Dobrzycka**, Warsaw School
of Economics, Collegium of Socio Economics, Poland, discussed

about Smart specialisation strategies in Poland. Smart specialisation and the public sector: the challenge of institutional learning in Puglia and Sicily was the topic for debate of **Giulia Lazzeri**, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Institute of Law, Politics and Development.

It was followed by the discussion about two papers of the theme **Institutional relations, governance and EU Cohesion Policy**: The dynamics of the regional development agencies in post accession Romania from **Alina Dragos**, West University of Timisoara, Department of Political Science, Romania; and *Institutional relations in the governance of Cohesion Policy: a principal-agent analysis of the implementation of financial instruments* from **Claudia Gloazzo**, University of Strathclyde, School of Government and Public Policy, European Policies Research Centre, United Kingdom.

# OPEN DAYS opening session

The second module of the Master Class was attending the OPEN DAYS Opening. Under the title "Europe's regions and cities: partners for investment and growth", the opening session took place in the afternoon in the Charlemagne Hemicycle and was attended by some 800 participants. Commissioners **Corina Creţu**, responsible for Regional Policy, and **Carlos Moedas**, responsible for Research, Science and Innovation, launched the Seal of Excellence - a new initiative to ensure that taxpayer money is spent efficiently by improving the synergies between EU funding for regional policy and for research. **Markku Markkula**, President of the European Committee of the Regions, backed the efforts of boosting synergies between Horizon 2020 and the European Structural and Investment Funds, referring to a Knowledge Exchange Platform

for local and regional authorities, which would be established by the European Committee of the Regions together with the Commission. The audience was also addressed by **Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso**, Vice-President of the European Parliament and NAO, the robot developed in the frame of ERDF-funded project "JULIETTE", joined on stage for this innovative opening session. The session concluded with Roberta Capello, Professor of Regional Economics, Politecnico of Milan; Philip McCann, Professor of Economic Geography, University of Groningen; and Slavo Radošević, Professor of Industry and Innovation Studies, University College London who debated on the challenges for EU Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 and its move towards smart regional specialisation strategies and "smart implementation" of the policy.

# EU Cohesion Policy 2014-2020: the state of play

On Tuesday, 13 October 2014, the Master Class continued in the morning with module 3, which offered insights the results of the programming of EU Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 including aspects of its implementation, monitoring and evaluation. A first discussion with **Eric von Breska**, European Commission, DG Regional and Urban Policy, and **Manuela Geleng**, European Commission, DG

Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion was followed by a speech and discussion with **Walter Deffaa**, European Commission, Director-General for Regional and Urban Policy

Manuela Geleng and Eric von Breska gave a joint presentation on



the central elements of cohesion policy reform. First, the different linkages between the European semester and the cohesion policy programming were presented: stronger link to economic governance and European semester processes by linking policy and funding; stronger linkages between CSRs and cohesion policy investments. Then other driving principles of the cohesion policy were highlighted: the Ex ante conditionalities and the stronger result orientation.

The speakers highlighted that monitoring performance with common indicators and performance frameworks ensure accurate reporting and a programme implementation focused on results. They also analysed the allocation of European structural funds with regards to the thematic objectives before presented the measures linking effectiveness of ESI Funds to sound economic governance. The importance of integrated delivery modes for territorial development (especially sustainable urban development and ITIs) and the strong expectations towards financial instruments that improve the leverage effect of cohesion policy were emphasized.

The programme evaluation for which each Member States has the main responsibility was the last point of the presentation and the discussion with participants was opened.

Walter Deffaa began his speech by reminding that the political priority attached to the reduction of geographical disparities was present right from the foundation of what is today known as the European Union (initially the European Economic Community), in the original Treaty of Rome of 1957. In the integration process that has unfolded since the Treaty of Rome, involving both deepening – more sharing of sovereignty – and widening - expansion from 6 to 28 member states - the idea of fiscal federalism, and the creation of a fiscal equalization system, was declined opting instead for a system – proposed by President Jacques Delors (1985-1994) - based on supporting the economic growth and development of the weaker member states and regions through the channelling to them of investment funds from the central EU budget, so that they could share in the results of (or at the very least not unduly suffer from) economic and monetary union.

In the early phases, from 1989 to the beginning of this century, it could be said that the emphasis was placed on the redistributive role of EU regional policy dimension, so that there was perhaps too much effort devoted to securing resources under cohesion and regional policy, and perhaps insufficient attention paid to making the most successful use of those resources at a later stage. It is this that changed in order to create the modern paradigm. The rationale of the policy became one of a source of investment to help in the realisation of the succession of (related) competitiveness strategies guiding the whole of the Union after 2000 (the Lisbon Strategy (2000), the Growth and Jobs Strategy (2005), and the Europe 2020 strategy (2010)).

Indeed, out of the 10 priorities set by President Juncker for the new Commission that took office last year, the cohesion policy contributes to 8 of them:

- 1. A new boost for jobs, growth and investment
- 2. A connected Digital Single Market
- 3. A resilient Energy Union with a forward-looking climate change policy
- 4. A deeper and fairer Internal Market with a strengthened industrial base
- 5. Economic & Monetary Union
- 6. Migration (including refugee crisis) and Integration
- 7. Global actor (13 agreements formalized on international cooperation through the world)
- 8. Democratic change (supporting efforts to raise activity rates)

Cohesion policy has 4 different ways to contribute:

- →through our regional and urban investment programmes with our restricted number of key thematic priorities;
- ▶ •through the implementation of ex-ante conditionalities;
- → through the link with the Stability & Growth Pact where the Member States are helped in maintaining investment without adding to the internal deficit;
- ▶ •through the support providing for the structural reform process in the EU, for example, by improving capital financial instruments and labour mobility.

In order to deliver the European investment to contribute to the realisation of these strategies, changes to the delivery system have been essential so as to translate European priorities into the real investment decisions taken at the regional and local level. This has led to introduce a major strengthening of the conditions accompanying cohesion and regional policy programmes beginning with the preferential earmarking of key investment fields in the requirements for the drawing up the strategic programmes for 2007-2013. These conditions have been reinforced, at least in legislative terms, for the period just beginning, 2014-2020.

Moreover, not only is the policy now guided or conditioned by the need to contribute to achieving the objectives of the current version of the EU's competitiveness strategy – Europe 2020 – it has also become, in post-crisis Europe, an instrument to help to ensure the stability of the economic and monetary union as a whole with sanctions possible (transfers suspended) for the non-respect of the Union's limits for national macro-economic magnitudes.



The result of this new paradigm is that more than ever our economic and monetary union will need cohesion and regional policy in the years ahead as an instrument to underpin its success.

Research is an essential element of this process. Exchanges between practitioners and academics on Cohesion policy are key both for the effective implementation and for the further development of the policy. It is essential to make policy officials and practitioners aware of the research being conducted on

Cohesion policy, and to give academics a better understanding of the concerns and priorities of the policy Communities at EU and national levels. **Walter Deffaa** concluded in saying this is a big challenge that requires continuous efforts from both sides.

In the afternoon of 13 October, two sessions were held: the presentation of research projects and thematic discussions was followed by a round table discussion with senior researchers on current and future research on EU Cohesion Policy.

# Thematic discussion 2

**Chaired by Phillipe Monfort**, European Commission, DG Regional and Urban Policy, the session involved a discussion between the selected students and discussants **Peter Berkowitz**, European Commission, DG Regional and Urban Policy; **Daniel Mouque**, European Commission, DG Regional and Urban Policy; and **Thomas Wobben**, European Committee of the Regions

In continuity with the presentations of the 12th and linked to the theme Institutional relations, governance and EU Cohesion Policy, the two following papers were presented:

- ☑ Changing modes of EU governance. Implications for Cohesion Policy Telle, Stefan, Slovak University of Technology, Institute of Management, Slovakia
- Mapping of interest groups mobilization in cross-border cooperation programmes, Marsida Bandilli, University of Antwerp, Antwerp Centre for Institutions and Multilevel Politics (ACIM), Department of Political Science, Belgium

Then, discussants and students debated on four other papers

selected under the theme **Places and spaces: the contribution** of EU Cohesion Policy to integrated urban, rural and territorial development

- Spatialities of labour policies in Hungary, Márton Czirfusz, Centre for Economic and Regional Studies, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary
- Investing in lagging regions is efficient: a local multipliers analysis of European regions, Jasper J. Van Dijk, Oxford University, New College, United Kingdom
- Measuring demographic impact of the regional and cohesion policy actions: example of Latvia, Aleksandrs Dahs, University of Latvia, Centre for European and Transition Studies, Latvia
- How does the net impact of the EU Regional Policy differ across countries? Riccardo Crescenzi, London School of Economics, Department of Geography and Environment, United Kingdom and Mara Giua, Roma Tre University, Department of Economics, Italy.

# Current and future research on EU Cohesion Policy

The second session during the afternoon of 13 October addressed the issue of current research trends and on recent regional and urban policy developments at EU level. Moderated by Professor Anna Geppert, University Paris IV Sorbonne, France, a roundtable discussion included the following academics:

- ☑ Prof Kevin Morgan, Cardiff University, United Kingdom, Governance and Development, School of Planning,
- Prof Lisa De Propris, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom
- Prof Andrés Rodríguez-Pose, Visiting Professor at the College of Europe, Professor of Economic Geography at the London School of Economics

Prof Andrés Rodríguez-Pose introduced the debate presenting



the challenge for the research on EU cohesion policy. The first challenge is about governance and development. A balance between the strategies and the institutions is essential. However governance is often overlooked. Measuring regional governance is the second identified challenge: the importance of knowing the quality of government and the within- and cross-country differences was highlighted. Other highlighted challenges are:

- y poor governance in lagging regions and infrastructure investment;
- y poor governance in lagging regions and innovation;
- Door governance and the returns of regional development policies: unequal distribution of Cohesion expenditure. In order for greater cohesion investment to overtake the advantages of quality of government, EU cohesion budget would have to be multiplied 2.7 times
- u rethinking development strategies.

# OPEN DAYS official reception

On the evening of 13 October, Master Class participants and lecturers, together with about 1,500 OPEN DAYS participants, were invited to attend the official reception at BOZAR, Brussels' Centre for Fine Arts. This year, for the first time, the European Commission's RegioStars Award Ceremony was included in the Open Days evening programme. During the ceremony, European

Commissioner Corina Creţu and MEP Lambert van Nistelrooij, President of the RegioStars panel of judges, announced the winners of the prestigious RegioStars Awards. These awards aimed to celebrate the most inspiring and innovative projects supported by European cohesion policy funds.

# Smart (city) governance

On Wednesday 14 morning, participants were free to either attend other OPEN DAYS seminars and/or to organise meetings. Then, in the afternoon, they met Dr Tassilo Herrschel, University Westminster, London, during a session organised the Free University of Brussels/VUB and Cosmopolis, Centre for Urban Research.

Tassilo Herrschel introduced the session about smart (city) governance. Everyone seems to be claiming to do 'smart' things or being 'smart' when it comes to presenting and discussing policy making or political processes. This applies in particular to governance, because 'who wants to be dumb', as a referee commented on a recent paper draft of mine on 'smartness'. The speaker wondered about the meaning of this term actually. Indeed,: signs and, especially, websites, advocating 'smart cities', 'smart regions', 'smart policies', etc., seem to pop up ever more frequently. But while this may suggest that 'smartness' has become the new paradigm de rigueur to characterise 'new' ways of doing things in urban policy and governance, the actual meaning is none the clearer. Rather, it may be viewed as a sign of 'jumping on a bandwagon' in order to be considered 'trendy', 'on message' and part of the innovative crowd.

Yet, there remains a distinct impression of a generally rather 'fuzzy' understanding of what 'smart' may mean, as it tries to be 'all things to all men'. Thus, while the website of 'Smart Brussels Capital Region' clearly focuses on efficient transport management as a sign of 'smartness' in making things work, 'Smart Berlin' seems to see smartness as engaging internationally and use that as a way of 'doing better' as a city. Here, it is this latter, broader, understanding that is adopted. 'Smartness' is being taken to mean searching for new ways of doing things. This includes stepping back from routines and engrained practices and rationales, and looking for different, novel, even 'radical', ways of doing things – with the tools one has: just using them in a different way. Throwing away old tools and demanding spanking new ones as an automatic key to success is the easy thing: No new tools - no new policies. Yet, using old tools imaginatively for a new purpose and in a new way may well enable the formulation of effective answers to novel challenges. It also means that actors cannot simply hide behind the claim oh, we do not have the 'right' tools to do anything about that.

So, it is innovation, enterprise, risk-taking and imagination that may go under the banner of 'smartness' as a sign of shifts in policy-



making and governance. In other words, 'smartness' is taken here to stand for 'policy innovation' or, at a more fundamental, structural level, - 'political innovation'. This involves critical reflection and more 'outside-the-box' thinking, possibly redefining societal and political norms and paradigms. But it also means for actors to take political, as they leave behind established 'safe' practices and formulas, and venture instead to try out novel perspectives and

ways of doing things. The often seeming gap between application-oriented IT approaches and discursive-conceptual imaginations (whether realistic or not) in social sciences, marks out one such case of going beyond established mindsets and practices, while being shaped by particular local conditions and political milieux. The outcome may be new types of policies and ways of doing them, as will be illustrated with some examples.

# A 'SMART' EXERCISE

Students were divided into five groups. They had about 20-25 minutes to prepare a 1-slide presentation (akin to a 'poster') on the concept of 'smart cities', in response to the introduction provided by Tassilo Herrschel. They were encouraged to use their smart phones, tablets and other such devices to find information online to help them formulate their own 'take' on this term. This included the use of an example they know. For the presentation, any format was fine as long as it respects the limit of 1 slide in PowerPoint including: images, texts, graphs, embedded short video, etc ...

In the second part of the workshop, each group of students presented their works that will be discussed collectively with Tassilo Herrschel, Dirk van de Putte from ADT/ATO (the Brussels regional development agency), and Shenja van der Graaf (iMinds, VUB).

They suggested different ways of looking at the Smart City concept:

- as common denominator is the need to make sense to inhabitants and to include the aspect of risk management
- importance to take into consideration the capacities of the citizens; of level of digital literacy; of youngold generations;
- uneed to train citizens for the future: to continue to discuss the

interpretation of 'smart' (Smart is more than 'tech'); society as a group of individuals vs civil society groups;

The results also included:

- an idea for "Smart Neighbourhood" app (connect and help your neighbour);
- 2 definitions: smart city is hard to manage but easy to live, including elements of governance (engage, react, decide) of resources and ICT; Smart City matches the existing needs (applicable, flexible, easily maintained, inclusive), is open (accessible and participatory) and includes a long term plan (which is manageable).

# Reflecting on the reform of cohesion policy for 2014-2020

On the evening of the Wednesday 14, Masterclass students attended an informal conversation reflecting on the process of the reform of Cohesion policy, focusing on the cycle of debate and negotiations that produced the budget and policy reforms agreed in 2013 for the 2014-2020 period. This is a subject that is not well understood by many academics working in the Cohesion policy field, in particular the PhD students and early career researchers (ECRs) involved in the Open Days University Master Class. The panellists were Professor John Bachtler (moderator); Eric von Breska, European Commission, DG Regional and Urban Policy; Krzysztof Kasprzyk, Ministry of Regional Development, Poland;

Marc Lemaitre, European Commission, Office of Administration and Individual Entitlements; Nicholas Martyn, European Commission, DG Regional and Urban Policy and Lynsey Moore, European Commission, DG Regional and Urban Policy.

The key issues, milestones and experiences in the reform process - both in the preparatory period of policy development (2007-11) and the negotiations (2011-13) were discussed.



# World Café session

Following a welcome by European Committee of the Regions' Secretary-General, **Jiří Buriánek**, and an introduction by Professor **John Bachtler**, the final module was presented in the form of a World Café session focusing on the three themes of the 2015 OPEN DAYS. The session took the form of managed discussions in six small groups (two per theme). At each table, a table manager and a facilitator, European Commission and CoR officials, helped in the discussions according to their areas of expertise. Participants changed three times and finally returned to the table of their initial field of interest in order to have an exchange on lessons learned. The exercise was closed with short presentations by each table and a final wrap-up by the coordinator.

The exercise closed with short presentations from each table and a final wrap-up by John Bachtler.

The sessions at tables 1 and 2 focused on the EU Cohesion Policy and the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth. Between 2014 and 2020, EU Cohesion Policy will invest around a third of the EU budget in key areas in line with the Europe 2020 strategy through concentration on thematic objectives. Earlier in 2015, Eurostat has presented progress made towards the Europe 2020 indicators at national level, achievements at regional and local level were presented in a recent publication of DG REGIO, and the Committee of the Regions follows runs a Europe 2020 Monitoring Platform. Discussions were guided by three main questions:

- **Y** EU Cohesion Policy, Europe 2020 and economic governance: an ever-closer relationship?
- **Y** Europe 2020 and/or economic governance: how will thematic concentration be followed up?
- What's next in research on the relationship between EU economic governance and economic, social and territorial cohesion?

Debates at tables 1 and 2 were facilitated by **Peter Berkowitz** (DG REGIO), **Manuela Geleng** (DG EMPL), **Amélie Cousin** and **Pauliina Mäkäräinen** (both CoR).

About the first question, participants highlighted the need for a strong link between cohesion policy and broader economic governance: the economic governance should be linked closer with fiscal policy and ECB and rescaled. They also discussed about better links between the Cohesion Policy and other EU funds and instruments; on certain cases the integration of funds could be a constraint. They also raised wider issues about governance, e.g.

ability to foster networking and collaboration for innovation; and about the urban-rural divide - different regions, different needs. They finally wondered if a EU-wide approach is possible and desirable.

About the Europe 2020, economic governance and the follow-up of thematic concentration, Master Class students debated on the thematic concentration already applied in some Member State that may be constraining short-term priorities in some MS, about the issue urban vs rural areas. They also asked if the thematic concentration is even feasible or right.

About the future in research on the relationship between EU economic governance and economic, social and territorial cohesion, the participants answered:

- ☑ Research on demographic changes (ageing etc. and implications to CP)
- Nesearch about what territorial level certain instruments should be implemented at
- Nesearch on the use and effectiveness of financial instruments
- ☑ Research on the scale of (urban) planning
- More comparative (deep) case studies needed
- More research for the setting up the "right" indicators for 2021-27

Tables 3 and 4 focussed on Institutional relations, governance and EU Cohesion Policy. The recent reform of EU Cohesion Policy has recognised the importance of good governance as crucial for the success of regional development policies. This has been reflected in a number of studies presented by the OECD, DG REGIO and the European Parliament. Strengthening administrative capacity has become a priority and "thematic objective" for a number of countries and significant resources have been set aside in this respect. They addressed key questions such as:

- What constitutes 'administrative capacity' for managing and implementing Cohesion Policy and how can it be measured?
- **Y** How can national/regional variations in administrative capacity be explained?
- Y What are the priorities for research on governance and management of EU Cohesion Policy and how could such research best be organised?

Facilitators and moderators of tables 3 and 4 were: Pascal



**Boijmans** (DG REGIO), **Agnieszka Widuto** (European Parliament Research Service), **Florian Hauser** (DG EMPL), **Milica Neacsu** and **Bert Kuby** (both CoR).

PB spoke in the introduction about the Competence Centre for Administrative Capacity Building, which was established 2.5 years ago, with the aim of helping regions and cities facing difficulties with absorption rates. He referred to question no.1 as the "million dollar question":

About the first question, one of the main challenges highlighted was to find good indicators. The EC relies mostly on the World Bank for that. EPRS representative emphasized that the EP was advocating for a bottom-up approach and called for introducing the regional dimension into European strategies. The Mid-Term review of the Europe 2020 strategy found that the ownership at regional level was quite low. The EP was preparing a resolution on Europe 2020, pushing for regional based indicators. EP also supported the shifting from compliance orientation to result orientation in the implementation of policies. The OECD definition on administrative capacity was limited to planning and delivering infrastructure and public services – was that it, or was there more to administrative capacity? It is a waste of time to focus on changing the approach (e.g. centralized versus organic, more dialog-based), because of the factors determining it (history, culture, etc.) – there are ways in both approaches to engage the same actors. The key to strengthening regional administration were discussed: more power; more people; better planning? The Simple structures perform better – not more people in the administration, but more skilled people (good training and motivation fighting turn-over), together with the involvement of more stakeholders. Strategic planning should not be done for the sake of it (because the EC is asking), but a strategic approach needs to be kept throughout the implementation process. A good monitoring system needs to be put in place, both focused on finance and result orientation.

The pillars to success are: structure, skills, systems & tools, good governance (operate in a context in which politics play a role). An interface should exist to promote increased input from research.

About the national/regional variations in administrative capacity, participants said that local and regional authorities are many times confined to follow guidelines from the national level, it is thus a question of competences as much as it is of budget. They also wondered if all Member States are ready for financial instruments.

On the priorities for research on governance and management of EU Cohesion Policy and how such research could best be organised, the following elements were highlighted:

- ☑ The unit of analysis is important.
- 2 Priorities are different for academics and applied research.
- ☑ DG REGIO should have a research unit.
- Research and policy –makers need to meet half way in the question of timing. Research often takes too much time and the European Commission is under political pressure to deliver. What could help: more operational conclusion from research.

The pillars to success are: structure, skills, systems & tools, good governance (operate in a context in which politics play a role). An interface should exist to promote increased input from research.

Tables 5 and 6 discussed the issues of places and spaces and of the contribution of EU Cohesion Policy to integrated urban, rural and territorial development. The recent reform of EU Cohesion Policy has been underpinned by a "territorial discourse" surrounding the "Barca Report". Related debates have been both influenced and influential with regard to controversies on "place-based" vs. "space-blind" economic development models. Concerning EU Cohesion Policy, such discussions will be continued in the context of the EU's Territorial Agenda 2020, the Urban Agenda and the ESPON 2020 programme. The questions for debate were the following:

- How influential has the territorial discourse been for the reform of EU Cohesion Policy and what's left of it when it comes to implementation?
- Convergence, competitiveness, well-being: what's the state of debate on region? development indicators?
- What are themes for academic research with regard to the territorial dimension of EU policies and how could such research best be organised?.

**Prof Lisa De Propris** (University of Birmingham, United Kingdom), **Fiona Wieland** (DG REGIO), **Christiaan Van Lierop** (European Parliament Research Service), **Gordon Modro** and **Marc Kiwitt** (both CoR) moderated the discussion at tables 5 and 6.



# Feedback and evaluation

Moderated by **Giulia Amaducci** (DG REGIO) and **Wolfgang Petzold** (CoR), Master Class participants were asked immediately after the World Café session to summarise their first impressions of the event in one word, reflecting on both positive memories and possible improvements to the format. The word clouds below indicate that "networking" and "knowledge-exchange" was ranked as most memorable while "feed-back" and "more interaction" notably during the students' presentations and "informal moments with EU officials" were suggested several times among the areas to be changed.

After the Master Class, participants and lecturers were invited to take part in an online evaluation, to which 15 of the 24 participants responded. For 80% of them, Master Class is a real opportunity to meet EU institutions representatives and to network with their peers. Participants' main expectation was to learn more about EU

Cohesion Policy. This expectation was met in some 86% of cases. The session about current and future research on EU Cohesion policy, the informal discussion at the Brussels University Club and the World Café session were the most appreciated module, with 73.3% rating it 'excellent'. The academic programme was perceived as 'excellent' by 66.6% and 'good' by 33.4% (as compared to 38.5% and 61.5% in 2014). About communication tools, the OPEN DAYS website was the most useful tool (66.6% finds it "very useful"), while the feedback social media platforms and social media platform was very mixed. The sessions of presentation of PhD students' research will be rethought as it was the main recommendations for future events: 'more opportunity for feedback on all participants' research papers', 'more time for discussing PhD students' research', 'everybody [should] get the chance to present their paper'. The European Parliament involvement and the informal discussions (on World Café format for instance) are other suggestions.

# List of Master Class 2015 participants

(in alphabetical order)

### Marsida Bandilli

Paper: Mapping of interest groups mobilization in cross-border cooperation programmes

PhD Student

University of Antwerp

Department of Political Science Research Group ACIM (Antwerp Centre for Institutions and Multilevel Politics)

Sint-Jacobstraat 2, 2000 Antwerpen, België

marsida.bandilli@uantwerpen.be

Ph +32 32655730, mobile: +32 487711569

### **Niall Crosbie**

Paper: Investigation of the regional innovation paradox

PhD Student

Waterford Institute of Technology

Centre for Enterprise Development and Regional Economy, Department of Management and Organisation, School of Business

Arclabs Research and Innovation Centre, WIT West Campus, Carriganore,

Waterford, Ireland

niallcrosbie@hotmail.com

Ph. mobile: +353 868587280

### Dr Márton Czirfusz

Paper: Spatialities of labour policies in Hungary

Research Fellow

Centre for Economic and Regional Studies, Hungarian Academy of Sciences

Institute for Regional Studies

Budaörsi út 45., 1112 Budapest, Hungary

czirfusz@rkk.hu

Ph. +36 13092684, mobile: +36 205759337

mobile: +48 668048333

### Jasper Jacob van Dijk

Paper: Investing in lagging regions is efficient: a local multipliers analysis of European regions

PhD Student

University of Oxford, New College

80 Empress Court, Woodin's Way, Oxford, OX1 1HG, United Kingdom

jasper.vandijk@economics.ox.ac.uk

Ph. mobile: +44 7450281727

### Marta Dobrzycka

Paper: Smart specialisation strategies in Poland

PhD Student

Warsaw School of Economics, Collegium of Socio Economics

Ul. Wiśniowa 41, 02-520 Warsaw, Poland marta.dobrzycka@doktorant.sqh.waw.pl

Ph. +48 22 8492786

### **Alina Dragos**

Paper: The dynamics of the Regional Development Agencies in post-accession Romania

PhD Student

West University of Timisoara, Department of Political Science

Blvd. V. Parvan 4, Timisoara 3002243, Timis, Romania

Alina.dragoss@yahoo.com

Ph. mobile +4(0) 745862120

### Claudia Gloazzo

Paper: Institutional relations in the governance of Cohesion Policy: a principal-agent analysis of the implementation of financial instruments

PhD Student

University of Strathclyde, European Policies Research Centre, School of Government and Public Policy 2/3, 34 Thornwood avenue, G117QY Glasgow, United Kingdom

claudia.gloazzo@strath.ac.uk

Ph. +44 (0)141 5483910, mobile: +44 (0) 7448611051

### Milán Husar

Paper: Respond on fuzzy responsibilities in the cross-border management of Alps-Carpathian eco-biocorridor

PhD Student

Slovak University of Technology, Institute of Management

Semenárska 15, 85110 Bratislava, Slovakia

Husar.milan@gmail.com

Ph. mobile +421 907 321 242

### Maria Karanika

Paper: Places and spaces: urban and rural development; urbanrural integration

PhD Student

University of Thessaly

Department of Planning and Regional Development

Viopolis, 41500 Larissa, Greece

mkaranik@uth.gr

Ph. +30 2410685727, mobile: +30 6932752760

### Giulia Lazzeri

Paper: Smart specialisation and the public sector: the challenge of institutional learning in Puglia and Sicily

PhD Student

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Institute of Law, Politics and Development (DIRPOLIS Institute)

Via Santa Cecilia, n. 3, 56127 Pisa, Italy

giulia.lazzeri@sssup.it

Ph. mobile: +39 3382855385

### Laura Lopez-Gomez

Paper: Do institutions of the euro area converge?

PhD Student

University of Murcia, Department of Quantitative Methods

Faculty of Economics and Business, Campus of Espinardo, 30100 Murcia, Spain

Laura.l.g@um.es

Ph. +34 868889412, mobile: +34 625435472

### **Ana Isabel Matias Louro Martins**

Paper: An integrated approach of territorial development in EU Cohesion Policy 2014-2020 – new instruments for old challenges

PhD Student/Research Fellow

University of Lisbon (CEG-UL), Centre for Geographical Studies, Research Group of Modelling, Urban and Regional Planning (MOPT)

Edifício da Faculdade de Letras, Alameda da Universidade, 1600-214 Lisboa,

Portugal

analouro@campus.ul.pt

Ph. + 351 217940218 / 217965469, mobile: + 351 963737335

### Mandy Lalrindiki

Paper: The influence of regional level institutional frameworks in the evolution of an inter-regional innovation system: a conceptual paper

PhD Student

Waterford Institute of Technology

Department of Management and Organisation

Arclabs Research and Innovation Centre, WIT West Campus, Carriganore,

Waterford, Ireland

mandyhmar@gmail.com

Ph. mobile: +353 857304634

### **Dr Jorge Tiago Mira Canhoto Duraes Martins**

Paper: Relational capabilities to leverage new knowledge – an empirical analysis of firms embedded in UK and Portugal old industrial regions

Lecturer

The University of Sheffield, Information School

Regent Court, 211 Portobello Street, S1 4DP Sheffield, United Kingdom

jorge.martins@sheffield.ac.uk

Ph. +44 1142222667, mobile: +44 7595939844

### Gergő Medve-Bálint

Paper: Economic and political bias in the distribution of EU funds in East Central Europe

PhD Student/Junior Research Fellow

Center for Social Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences

Department of Government and Public Policy

Országház utca 30., 1014 Budapest, Hungary

Medve-Balint.Gergo@tk.mta.hu

Ph. +36 1 2246700/230, mobile: +36 203959756

### **Javier Gomez Prieto**

Paper: European Territorial Cooperation effects on the promotion and use of solar energy in the Mediterranean area

PhD Student/Project monitoring and evaluation officer

Joint Technical Secretariat, European Territorial Cooperation Programme for the Mediterranean: MED Programme

39 Rue Jean Martin, 13005 Marseille, France

jgomezpri@gmail.com

Ph. mobile: +33 0787954430

### **Eva Purkarthofer**

Paper: When 'soft planning' and 'hard planning' meet: the encounter of European and national spatial planning

PhD Student

Aalto University, YTK Land Use and Urban Studies Group, Department for Real Estate, Planning and Geoinformatics

Rakentajanaukio 2C, 02150 Espoo, Finland

eva.purkarthofer@aalto.fi

Ph. mobile: +358 504319196

### Alys Solly

Paper: Place-based innovation in EU Cohesion Policy

PhD Student

Politecnico di Torino, DIST – Dipartimento Interateneo di Scienze, Progetto e Politiche del Territorio

Viale Pier Andrea Mattioli 39, 10126 Torino, Italy

Alys.solly@polito.it

Ph. mobile: +39 3408600554

### Stefan Telle

Paper: Changing modes of EU governance. Implications for Cohesion Policy

PhD Student

Slovak University of Technology, Institute of Management

Vazovova 5, 81243 Bratislava, Slovakia

stefantelle@gmail.com

Ph. mobile: +421 918 669 051

### Sebastian Schulz

Paper: Examining the link between innovation as a key concept in EU Cohesion Policy and socio-spatial polarisation in CEE regions

PhD Student/Junior Research Fellow

University of Tartu

Faculty of Economic and Business Administration

Riia 19-6, 51010 Tartu, Estonia

sebastian.schulz@ut.ee

Ph mobile: +372 59049180

### Dr Tamara Edyta West

Paper: Cultural heritage, digital innovation and SmartCulture Regional Clusters: addressing the distinctiveness of the spaces and places of EU Cohesion Policy

Research Fellow

The University of Birmingham, Birmingham Business School and Digital Humanities Hub

Edgbaston Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

t.e.west@bham.ac.uk

Ph. mobile: +44 (0)7432056792

### "Best paper" winners

In the context of the conference "Challenges for the New Cohesion Policy in 2014-2020: An Academic and Policy Debate", held by DG REGIO, the RSA and the Latvian EU Presidency on 4-6 February 2015 in Riga, three researchers won a best paper award, which includes participation in the OPEN DAYS 2015 Master Class.

### Dr Riccardo Crescenzi

Paper: How does the net impact of EU regional policy differ across countries? (together with Mara Giua)

Associate Professor of Economic Geography

London School of Economics & Harvard University (Visiting Scholar)

Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, United Kingdom

r.crescenzi@lse.ac.uk

Ph. +44 (0)20 7955 6720

### **Aleksandrs Dahs**

Paper: Measuring demographic impact of the regional and cohesion policy actions: example of Latvia"

PhD Student University of Latvia, Demography Doctoral Study Programme

Maskavas Str. 243-90, LV-1019 Riga, Latvia - Alexandrs.dahs@lu.lv Ph. +371 67034374

### Dr Mara Giua

Paper: How does the net impact of EU regional policy differ across countries? (together with Ríccardo Crescenzi)

Post-doc researcher

Roma Tre University, Department Economics

Via S. D'Amico, 00145 Rome, Italy

Mara.giua@uniroma3.it

Ph. mobile: +39 3291471575

# List of Master Class 2015 speakers, moderators and organisers

(in alphabetical order)

### Giulia Amaducci

European Commission DG Regional and Urban Policy Avenue de Beaulieu 29/Beaulieulaan 29 1160 Brussels

Giulia.Amaducci@ec.europa.eu

### John Bachtler

Director, European Policies Research Centre 16 Richmond Street Glasgow G1 1XQ. Scotland United Kingdom john.bachtler@strath.ac.uk

### **Peter Berkowitz**

Head of Unit

European Commission

Avenue de Beaulieu 29/Beaulieulaan 29

1160 Brussels

B-1049 Brussels

Peter.Berkowitz@ec.europa.eu

### **Pascal Boijmans**

Head of Unit

European Commission

DG Regional and Urban Policy

Avenue de Beaulieu 29/Beaulieulaan 29

1160 Brussels

Pascal.Boijmans@ec.europa.eu

### Eric von Breska

Head of Unit

**European Commission** 

DG Regional and Urban Policy

Avenue de Beaulieu 29/Beaulieulaan 29

1160 Brussels

Eric.Von-Breska@ec.europa.eu

### Jiří Buriánek

Secretary-General

Committee of the Regions

rue Belliard/Belliardstraat 99-101

B-1040 Brussels

Jiri.burianek@ec.europa.eu

### Daniela Carl

Regional Studies Association 25 Clinton Place, Seaford East Sussex BN25 1NP United Kingdom

daniela.carl@regionalstudies.org

### Stefan De Corte

Academic Coordinator of the Master's Programme on "Urban and Spatial Planning"

Associate Director of Cosmopolis, Centre for Urban Research

Vrije Universiteit Brussel - DGGF

Pleinlaan 2 Bld de la Plaine

B-1050 Brussels

sdecorte@vub.ac.be

### **Amélie Cousin**

Committee of the Regions rue Belliard/Belliardstraat 99-101

B-1040 Brussels

Amelie.cousin@cor.europa.eu

### Lisa De Propris

University of Birmingham

University House

Birmingham

B15 2TT

United Kingdom

l.de\_propris@bham.ac.uk

### **Walter Deffaa**

Director-General

European Commission

DG for Regional and Urban Policy

Avenue de Beaulieu 29/Beaulieulaan 29

1160 Brussels

Walter.Deffaa@ec.europa.eu

### Nicola Francesco Dotti

Cosmopolis – Centre for Urban Research

Vrije Universiteit Brussel - DGGF

Pleinlaan 2 Bld de la Plaine

B-1050 Brussels

Nicola.Dotti@vub.ac.be

### Manuela Geleng

Head of Unit

European Commission

Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion DG

Avenue de Beaulieu 29/Beaulieulaan 29

1160 Brussels

Manuela.geleng@ec.europa.eu

Monika Gerykova

Regional Studies Association

25 Clinton Place, Seaford East Sussex BN25 1NP

United Kingdom

monika.gerykova@regionalstudies.org

### Sally Hardy

Chief Executive

Regional Studies Association

25 Clinton Place, Seaford East Sussex BN25 1NP

United Kingdom

Sally@regionalstudies.org

### Florian Hauser

European Commission

Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion DG

Avenue de Beaulieu 29/Beaulieulaan 29

1160 Brussels

florian.hauser@ec.europa.eu

### **Tassilo Herrschel**

University Westminster, London

& VUB

309 Regent Street,

London W1B 2HW

United Kingdom

t.a.herrschel@westminster.ac.uk

### Krzysztof Kasprzyk

Counsellor

Head of Regional and Cohesion Policy Unit Permanent

Representation of the Republic of Poland to the EU

Rue Stevin 139

1000 Brussels

Belgium

krzysztof.kasprzyk2@msz.gov.pl

### **Richard Kelly**

**ERSA** 

Voie du Roman Pays 34, L1.03.01

B - 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve

richard.kelly@ersa.org

### **Marc Kiwitt**

Committee of the Regions

rue Belliard/Belliardstraat 99-101

B-1040 Brussels

Marc.kiwitt@cor.europa.eu

### **Bert Kuby**

Head of Unit

Committee of the Regions

99, rue Belliard/Belliardstraat

B-1040 Brussels

bert.kuby@cor.europa.eu

### **Marc Lemaitre**

Director

**European Commission** 

Office of Administration and Individual Entitlements

Rue de la Science 27/Wetenschapsstraat 27

1049 Brussels

Marc.LEMAITRE@ec.europa.eu

Anthony Lockett

Acting Head of Unit

**European Commission** 

DG for Regional and Urban Policy

Avenue de Beaulieu 29/Beaulieulaan 29

1160 Brussels

anthony.lockett@ec.europa.eu

### Pauliina Mäkäräinen

Committee of the Regions rue Belliard/Belliardstraat 99-101 B-1040 Brussels paulliina.makarainen@cor.europa.eu

### **Nicholas Martyn**

Deputy director general
European Commission
DG Regional and Urban Policy
Avenue de Beaulieu 5/Beaulieulaan 5
1160 Brussels
Nicholas.MARTYN@ec.europa.eu

### Izabela Mironowicz

AESOP 53/55 Boleslawa Prusa Street 50-370 Wroclaw Poland izabela.mironowicz@pwr.edu.pl

### **Wolfgang Petzold**

Head of Unit Committee of the Regions rue Belliard/Belliardstraat 99-101 B-1040 Brussels Wolfgang.Petzold@cor.europa.eu

### Andrés Rodríguez-Pose

Visiting Professor at the College of Europe, Professor of Economic Geography Dept of Geography & Environment LSE

Houghton Street London WC2A 2AE United Kingdom

A.Rodriguez-Pose@lse.ac.uk

### Dirk Van De Putte

Deputy Director ADT/ATO (Brussels regional development agency) BIP

Rue Royale 2-4 B-1000 Brussels

dvandeputte@ato.irisnet.be

### Shenja Van Der Graaf

Senior researcher iMinds, Vrije Universiteit Brussel Pleinlaan 9 1050 Brussels shenja.vandergraaf@iminds.be

### Fiona Wieland

European Commission DG Regional and Urban Policy Avenue de Beaulieu 29/Beaulieulaan 29 1160 Brussels Fiona.wieland@ec.europa.eu

### Agnieszka Widuto

European Parliament Research Service Rue Wiertz 60 B-1047 Brussels agnieszka.widuto@europarl.europa.eu

### **Thomas Wobben**

Director

Committee of the Regions
rue Belliard/Belliardstraat 99-101
B-1040 Brussels
Thomas.wobben@cor.europa.eu





13<sup>th</sup> European Week of Regions and Cities Brussels 12-15 October 2015



