



## Retweets and Favorites count



We can see that most of the tweets are below 20000 retweets and 50000 favorites, with the exception of some outliers. The highest tweet is ~80000 retweets and more than 100000 favorites.

## Retweets and Favorites over time



We can see that the number of favorites and retweets increase over time. However, favorites significantly increases when compared to retweets.

	count	mean	std	min	25%	50%	75%	max
stage								
doggo	71.0	1.164789	0.164836	0.5	1.10	1.20	1.3	1.4
floofer	3.0	1.266667	0.057735	1.2	1.25	1.30	1.3	1.3
pupper	220.0	1.064091	0.209438	0.3	1.00	1.10	1.2	2.6
puppo	28.0	1.214286	0.120844	0.9	1.20	1.25	1.3	1.4

Our analysis here is calculating ratings grouped by the dog stage. We can see that a dog at the stage of “floofer” has, on average, the highest ratings compared to being at a different stage.

stage	retweet_count								favorite_count						
	count	mean	std	min	25%	50%	75%	max	count	mean	std	min	25%	50%	75%
doggo	71.0	5994.521127	11365.436961	725.0	1994.00	3084.0	4783.50	79515.0	71.0	16823.816901	18980.525318	2593.0	8032.50	11694.0	17690.5
floofer	3.0	8529.000000	8637.080988	3265.0	3545.00	3825.0	11161.00	18497.0	3.0	22929.000000	10444.616652	12456.0	17721.00	22986.0	28165.5
pupper	220.0	2328.059091	3523.194498	92.0	687.50	1158.5	2437.25	32883.0	220.0	7135.213636	10791.243528	344.0	2391.50	3222.0	7474.2
puppo	28.0	6777.142857	9524.600276	716.0	1753.75	3483.5	7044.25	48265.0	28.0	22758.928571	25633.892873	3277.0	7279.75	16529.5	25266.5

Here, we can also see that floofers get the highest retweets and favorites than all other dog stages.

I can't blame them...



## Conclusion

That summarizes my findings and how I utilized the cleaned data to assist me in my analyses.  
I believe that gathering more data and cleaning them would've generated more hidden insights.