

TD 1

Proofs, computability and distances

Version du September 20, 2020

Exercise 1 – Inductive proofs

1. **(Easy induction to warm you up)** Prove by induction that splitting the n squares of a chocolate bar requires to break up the bar $n - 1$ times (whatever the order you choose for breaking the grooves).
2. **(Be careful with the baseline cases)** Show that any natural number greater than or equal to 8 can be written as $3a + 5b$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ (e.g., $19 = 3 + 3 + 3 + 5 + 5$).
3. **(Structural induction)** Let a tree be defined as follows:
 - An isolated *node* is a tree, and is its own root. The degree of this node is 0.
 - A new tree can be constructed from a list A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n as follows: we create a new node N that will be the root of the new tree, and we link it with edges to the root of each A_i . The degree of N is n .
 - a) Show that each tree has one node more than its number of edges.
 - b) We consider a tree, all of whose nodes have degree 0 or 2. Show that such a tree contains k nodes of degree 2 if it contains $k + 1$ nodes of degree 0.
4. What is the link between question 1 and question 3?

Exercise 2 – Computability

1. Are the following sets (a) recursively enumerable, (b) recursive?
 - The set of all prime numbers.
 - The set of polynomials with natural number coefficients.
 - The empty set.
 - The set of all programs which do not loop forever.
 - The set of all programs which end in less than 10 seconds.
2. **(A non recursively enumerable language)** Let Σ be some alphabet, and let m_0, m_1, m_2, \dots be the sequence of words of Σ^* sorted in alphabetical order. If $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ for instance, the alphabetical order is $\epsilon, a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, \dots$.
Also, let A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots be the set of algorithms that recognize the recursively enumerable languages of Σ^* similarly sorted (their code (in your favorite programming language) is sorted in alphabetical order).

Prove (by contradiction) that the language L defined as

$$L = \{m_i \mid A_i \text{ does not recognize } m_i\}$$

is not recursively enumerable.

Exercise 3 – Prefix distance

Let u, v and w be three words using the alphabet Σ . We remind the reader that $\text{lcp}(u, v)$ stands for the longest common prefix of u and v .

1. Justify that $|\text{lcp}(\text{lcp}(u, v), \text{lcp}(v, w))| \leq |\text{lcp}(u, w)|$.
2. Justify that $|\text{lcp}(u, v)| + |\text{lcp}(v, w)| \leq \min(|\text{lcp}(u, v)|, |\text{lcp}(v, w)|) + |v|$
3. Justify that $|\text{lcp}(\text{lcp}(u, v), \text{lcp}(v, w))| = \min(|\text{lcp}(u, v)|, |\text{lcp}(v, w)|)$.
4. Deduce that $|\text{lcp}(u, v)| + |\text{lcp}(v, w)| \leq |\text{lcp}(u, w)| + |v|$.
5. Show that $d_p(u, v) = |uv| - 2 |\text{lcp}(u, v)|$ is a distance.
(previous questions will be useful to prove the triangular inequality).

Exercise 4 – Edit distance

The edit distance (also called Levenshtein distance) between u and v is the smallest number of elementary edit operations (insertion or deletion of a symbol) allowing to transform u into v .

1. Propose a recursive definition of this distance.

$$d_L(u, v) = ?$$

2. Prove that it is indeed a distance.