

# Chapter 2

## Vector spaces

$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

### 2.1 Definitions

#### 2.1.1 Vector spaces

##### Definition 1

$E$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space if  $E$  is equipped with a law of internal product denoted  $+$  and of a law of external product (of  $\mathbb{K} \times E$  in  $E$ ) denoted  $.$  such that

- $(E, +)$  is a commutative group

- $\forall (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{K}^2, \forall (x, y) \in E^2$

- $\cdot (\lambda + \mu).x = \lambda.x + \mu.x$

- $\cdot \lambda.(x + y) = \lambda.x + \lambda.y$

- $\cdot \lambda.(\mu.x) = (\lambda\mu).x$

- $\cdot 1.x = x$

#### Examples

1. For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.

2. The space of polynomials with real coefficients  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.
3. The space of functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.
4. The space of real sequences  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.

### 2.1.2 Vector subspaces

#### Definition 2

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space.  $F$  is a vector subspace of  $E$  if

- $F \subset E$
- $F \neq \emptyset$
- $\forall (x, y) \in F^2, \forall (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{K}^2, \lambda x + \mu y \in F$

#### Remark

To prove that a set is vectorial, we show in almost all the cases that it is a vector subspace of a classical vector space such as one of the examples above.

#### Examples

1. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The space of polynomials of degree less than or equal to  $n$  with real coefficients is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space as it is a vector subspace of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ .
2. The set of functions even from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space as it is a vector subspace of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .
3. The set of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space as it is a vector subspace of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

## 2.2 Operations

### 2.2.1 Intersection of vector subspaces

#### Proposition 1

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space,  $F$  and  $G$  be two vector subspaces of  $E$ . Then  $F \cap G$  is a vector subspace of  $E$ . More generally, if  $(F_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of vector subspaces of  $E$ , then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i$  is a vector subspace of  $E$ .

#### Remark

This proposition is essential for the notion of spanned vector subspace.

### 2.2.2 Sum of vector subspaces

#### Definition 3

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space,  $F$  and  $G$  be two vector subspaces of  $E$ .

We define the set  $F + G$  by

$$F + G = \{z \in E, \exists (x, y) \in F \times G, z = x + y\}$$

#### Proposition 2

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space,  $F$  and  $G$  two vector subspaces of  $E$ . Then  $F + G$  is a vector subspace of  $E$ .

## 2.3 Supplementary subspaces

### 2.3.1 Vector subspaces in direct sum

#### Definition 4

Let  $F$  and  $G$  be two vector subspaces of a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space  $E$ . We say that  $F$  and  $G$  are in direct sum if

$$\forall (x, y) \in F \times G : x + y = 0 \implies x = y = 0$$

#### Example

In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the vector subspaces  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$  and  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  are in direct sum.

**Proposition 3**

The following assertions are equivalent :

- (i)  $F$  and  $G$  are in direct sum
- (ii)  $F \cap G = \{0\}$
- (iii)  $\forall x \in F + G, \exists!(y, z) \in F \times G, x = y + z$

**2.3.2 Supplementary subspaces****Definition 5**

Let  $F$  and  $G$  be two vector subspaces of a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space  $E$ . We say that  $F$  and  $G$  are supplementary in  $E$  and we write  $E = F \oplus G$  if

$$F \cap G = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad E = F + G$$

**Proposition 4**

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space,  $F$  and  $G$  two vector subspaces of  $E$ . Then

$$E = F \oplus G \iff \forall x \in E, \exists!(y, z) \in F \times G, x = y + z$$

**Example**

Let  $E = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $P = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}, f \text{ even}\}$  and  $I = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}, f \text{ odd}\}$ . Then  $P$  and  $I$  are supplementary in  $E$ .

**2.4 Spanned vector subspace****Definition 6**

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space and  $X \subset E$ . there exists a smallest vector subspace of  $E$  containing  $X$ . It is the intersection of all the vector subspaces of  $E$  containing  $X$ . It is called vector subspace of  $E$  spanned by  $X$  and noted  $\text{Span}(X)$ .

**Proposition 5**

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space and  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset E$ . Then

$$\text{Span}(X) = \{\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n ; (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n\}$$

## Examples

1. In the plan  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ , the vector subspace spanned by a non null vector  $x$  of  $E$  is

$$\text{Span}(\{x\}) = \mathbb{R}x \text{ i.e. the vectorial line spanned par } x.$$

2. In the plan  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ , the vector subspace spanned by two non null and non collinear vectors of  $E$  is whole  $E$ .

## 2.5 Linear map

### 2.5.1 Definition

#### Definition 7

Let  $E, F$  be two  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces and  $f : E \rightarrow F$ . We say that  $f$  is linear (and we write  $f \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ ) if

$$\forall(x, y) \in E^2, \forall(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{K}^2, \quad f(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda f(x) + \mu f(y)$$

## Examples

$$1. I : \begin{cases} C^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ f & \longmapsto \int_a^b f(x)dx \end{cases} \text{ is linear.}$$

$$2. D : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}[X] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}[X] \\ P & \longmapsto P' \end{cases} \text{ is linear.}$$

## Proposition 6

1.  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space

2. Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ . Then

a.  $f(0) = 0$

b.  $\forall(x, y) \in E^2, \quad f(x - y) = f(x) - f(y)$

### 2.5.2 Kernel and image

#### Definition 8

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ .

We call kernel (resp. image) of  $f$  the set denoted  $\text{Ker}(f) = \{x \in E, f(x) = 0\}$   
 $(\text{resp. } \text{Im}(f) = \{f(x); x \in E\})$ .

#### Proposition 7

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ .

1.  $\text{Ker}(f)$  and  $\text{Im}(f)$  are respectively vector subspaces of  $E$  and  $F$ .
2.  $f$  injective  $\iff \text{Ker}(f) = \{0\}$ .
3.  $f$  surjective  $\iff \text{Im}(f) = F$ .

#### Example

Let us consider the linear map  $f : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}_n[X] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_n[X] \\ P & \longmapsto P' \end{cases}$

then  $\text{Ker}(f) = \{\text{constant polynomials}\}$  and  $\text{Im}(f) = \mathbb{R}_{n-1}[X]$ .

## 2.6 Basis and dimension

### 2.6.1 Linearly independent family, spanning family, basis

#### Definition 9

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space and  $L = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset E$ . We say that  $L$  is a linearly independent family if

$$\forall (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n : \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n = 0 \implies (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (0, \dots, 0)$$

**Definition 10**

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space and  $G = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset E$ . We say that  $G$  spans  $E$  (or that  $E$  is spanned by  $G$  or that  $G$  is a spanning part of  $E$ ) if  $E = \text{Vect}(G)$ .

**Definition 11**

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space and  $B = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset E$ . We say that  $B$  is a basis of  $E$  if  $B$  is linearly independent and spans  $E$ .

**Example**

$B = (1, X - 1, (X + 1)^2)$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}_2[X]$ .

**2.6.2 Dimension****Definition 12**

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space. We say that  $E$  is of finite dimension if it is spanned by a finite family of vectors of  $E$ .

**Example**

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}_n[X]$  is of finite dimension as  $\mathbb{R}_n[X] = \text{Vect}(1, X, X^2, \dots, X^n)$ .

**Theorem 1**

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of finite dimension. All the basis of  $E$  have same cardinal. This number is called dimension of  $E$  and denoted  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(E)$  yet  $\dim(E)$ .

**Examples**

1. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}_n[X]$  is of dimension  $n + 1$  as  $B = (1, X, X^2, \dots, X^n)$  is a basis of  $E$  and  $\text{Card}(B) = n + 1$ .
2.  $E = \mathbb{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension 1 as  $B = \{1\}$  is a basis of  $E$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.
3.  $F = \mathbb{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of dimension 2 as  $B = \{1, i\}$  is a basis of  $F$  as a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.

### 2.6.3 The incomplete basis theorem and its corollaries

#### Theorem 2

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of finite dimension spanned by  $G$ . Let  $L$  be a linearly independent family of  $E$ . Then we can complete the family  $L$  by vectors taken in  $G$  to obtain a basis of  $E$ .

#### Proposition 8

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of finite dimension such that  $E \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $E$  admits at least one basis.

#### Proposition 9

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of finite dimension and  $F$  be a vector subspace of  $E$ .

Then  $F$  admits at least one supplementary subspace in  $E$ .

#### Proposition 10

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of finite dimension,  $F$  and  $G$  two vector subspaces supplementary in  $E$ ,  $B$  a basis of  $F$  and  $B'$  a basis of  $G$ .

then  $B \sqcup B'$  is a basis of  $E$ .

#### Proposition 11

$$E = F \oplus G \implies \dim(E) = \dim(F) + \dim(G)$$

#### Proposition 12

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of finite dimension,  $F$  and  $G$  be two vector subspaces of  $E$  such that

$$F \subset G \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(F) = \dim(G)$$

Then  $F = G$

#### Proposition 13

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces of finite dimension. Then

$$f \in \mathcal{L}(E, F) \quad \text{bijective} \implies \dim(E) = \dim(F)$$

### 2.6.4 Rank-nullity theorem

#### Theorem 3

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  where  $E$  is of finite dimension. Then

$$\dim(E) = \dim(\text{Ker}(f)) + \dim(\text{Im}(f))$$

#### Proposition 14

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of finite dimension,  $F$  and  $G$  be two vector subspaces of  $E$ . Then

$$\dim(F + G) = \dim(F) + \dim(G) - \dim(F \cap G)$$

## 2.7 Standard exercises

### Exercise 1

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of finite dimension  $n$  which is even and  $f \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ . Prove that

$$\left( f^2 = 0 \text{ and } \dim(\text{Im}(f)) = \frac{n}{2} \right) \iff (\text{Im}(f) = \text{Ker}(f))$$

#### Solution

$\Rightarrow$  Let us prove that  $\text{Im}(f) \subset \text{Ker}(f)$ .

Let  $y \in \text{Im}(f)$ . Then there exists  $x \in E$  such that  $y = f(x)$ . Yet  $f^2 = 0$  so  $f(y) = f^2(x) = 0$  so  $y \in \text{Ker}(f)$ .

On the other hand, using the Rank-nullity theorem,  $\dim(\text{Ker}(f)) + \dim(\text{Im}(f)) = n$  or  $\dim(\text{Im}(f)) = \frac{n}{2}$  thus  $\dim(\text{Ker}(f)) = \frac{n}{2}$ .  
Hence  $\dim(\text{Im}(f)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(f))$ .

As  $\text{Im}(f) \subset \text{Ker}(f)$ , we deduce that  $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Ker}(f)$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Let us prove that  $f^2 = 0$ .

Let  $x \in E$ . Then  $f(x) \in \text{Im}(f)$  yet  $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Ker}(f)$  so  $f(x) \in \text{Ker}(f)$  i.e.  $f^2(x) = 0$ .

On the other hand, using the Rank-nullity theorem, we have  $\dim(\text{Ker}(f)) + \dim(\text{Im}(f)) = n$  yet  $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Ker}(f)$

so  $\dim(\text{Im}(f)) = \frac{n}{2}$

**Exercise 2**

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space and  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{L}(E) \times \mathcal{L}(E)$ .

1. Prove that  $(\text{Ker}(g \circ f) = \text{Ker}(f)) \iff (\text{Ker}(g) \cap \text{Im}(f) = \{0\})$
2. Prove that  $(\text{Im}(g \circ f) = \text{Im}(g)) \iff (\text{Ker}(g) + \text{Im}(f) = E)$

**Solution**

1.  $\boxed{\implies}$

Let us assume  $\text{Ker}(g \circ f) = \text{Ker}(f)$ .

Let  $y \in \text{Ker}(g) \cap \text{Im}(f)$ . Then there exists  $x \in E$  such that  $y = f(x)$  and  $g(y) = 0$ . Hence  $g(f(x)) = 0$  i.e.  $x \in \text{Ker}(g \circ f)$ . Yet

$$\text{Ker}(g \circ f) = \text{Ker}(f)$$

So  $x \in \text{Ker}(f)$  so  $y = f(x) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Ker}(g) \cap \text{Im}(f) = \{0\}$  (the other inclusion being trivial).

$\boxed{\iff}$

Let us assume  $\text{Ker}(g) \cap \text{Im}(f) = \{0\}$ .

Let us prove first that  $\text{Ker}(g \circ f) \subset \text{Ker}(f)$ .

Let  $x \in \text{Ker}(g \circ f)$ . Then  $g(f(x)) = 0$ . So  $f(x) \in \text{Ker}(g)$  and  $f(x) \in \text{Im}(f)$ .

Thus  $f(x) \in \text{Ker}(g) \cap \text{Im}(f)$ . Yet

$$\text{Ker}(g) \cap \text{Im}(f) = \{0\}$$

so  $f(x) = 0$  i.e.  $x \in \text{Ker}(f)$ .

Let us prove now that  $\text{Ker}(f) \subset \text{Ker}(g \circ f)$ .

Let  $x \in \text{Ker}(f)$ . Then  $f(x) = 0$ . So  $g(f(x)) = g(0) = 0$  so  $x \in \text{Ker}(g \circ f)$ .

2.  $\boxed{\implies}$

Let us assume  $\text{Im}(g \circ f) = \text{Im}(g)$ .

Let us prove that  $E \subset \text{Ker}(g) + \text{Im}(f)$ .

Let  $x \in E$ . Then  $g(x) \in \text{Im}(g) = \text{Im}(g \circ f)$ . So there exists  $z \in E$  such that  $g(x) = g(f(z))$ . Hence  $x = x - f(z) + f(z)$  where  $f(z) \in \text{Im}(f)$  and  $x - f(z) \in \text{Ker}(g)$  as

$$g(x - f(z)) = g(x) - g(f(z)) = 0$$

So  $x \in \text{Ker}(g) + \text{Im}(f)$ . Hence  $E = \text{Ker}(g) + \text{Im}(f)$  (the other inclusion being trivial).



Let us assume  $E = \text{Ker}(g) + \text{Im}(f)$ .

The inclusion  $\text{Im}(g \circ f) \subset \text{Im}(g)$  is trivial.

Let us prove that  $\text{Im}(g) \subset \text{Im}(g \circ f)$ .

Let  $y \in \text{Im}(g)$ . Then there exists  $x \in E$  such that  $y = g(x)$ . Yet

$$E = \text{Ker}(g) + \text{Im}(f)$$

so  $x = x_1 + x_2$  where  $(x_1, x_2) \in \text{Ker}(g) \times \text{Im}(f)$ . Hence

$$y = g(x) = g(x_1 + x_2) = g(x_2)$$

Yet,  $x_2 \in \text{Im}(f)$  so there exists  $z \in E$  such that  $x_2 = f(z)$ . Hence

$$y = g(f(z))$$

So  $y \in \text{Im}(g \circ f)$ . Thus  $\text{Im}(g) \subset \text{Im}(g \circ f)$ .

### Exercise 3

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space,  $(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{L}(E) \times \mathcal{L}(E) \times \mathcal{L}(E)$ . Prove that

$$\text{Im}(h \circ f) \subset \text{Im}(h \circ g) \iff \text{Im}(f) + \text{Ker}(h) \subset \text{Im}(g) + \text{Ker}(h)$$

### Solution



Let us assume  $\text{Im}(h \circ f) \subset \text{Im}(h \circ g)$ .

Let  $y \in \text{Im}(f) + \text{Ker}(h)$ . Then there exists  $(x, z) \in E \times \text{Ker}(h)$  such that  $y = f(x) + z$ . So

$$h(y) = h(f(x) + z) = h(f(x)) \in \text{Im}(h \circ f) \subset \text{Im}(h \circ g)$$

Hence there exists  $t \in E$  such that  $h(y) = h(g(t))$ . So

$$y = g(t) + y - g(t) \in \text{Im}(g) + \text{Ker}(h)$$

as

$$h(y - g(t)) = h(y) - h(g(t)) = 0$$

Hence  $\text{Im}(f) + \text{Ker}(h) \subset \text{Im}(g) + \text{Ker}(h)$ .



Let us assume  $\text{Im}(f) + \text{Ker}(h) \subset \text{Im}(g) + \text{Ker}(h)$ .

Let  $y \in \text{Im}(h \circ f)$ . Then there exists  $x \in E$  such that  $y = h(f(x))$ . Yet

$$f(x) \in \text{Im}(f) \subset \text{Im}(f) + \text{Ker}(h) \subset \text{Im}(g) + \text{Ker}(h)$$

Thus there exists  $(u, v) \in E \times \text{Ker}(h)$  such that  $f(x) = g(u) + v$ . Hence

$$y = h(g(u) + v) = h(g(u)) \in \text{Im}(h \circ g)$$

Thus  $\text{Im}(h \circ f) \subset \text{Im}(h \circ g)$ .

#### Exercise 4

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space and  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{L}(E) \times \mathcal{L}(E)$  be such that  $p^2 = p$  and  $q^2 = q$  (i.e.  $p$  and  $q$  are projectors). We assume  $p \neq 0, q \neq 0$  and  $p \neq q$ .

1. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that  $q = \alpha p \Rightarrow \alpha p = \alpha^2 p$ .
2. Prove that  $(p, q)$  forms a linearly independent family in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ .

#### Solution

1. Let us assume  $q = \alpha p$ . Then  $\alpha p = q^2$  (as  $q = q^2$ ) so  $\alpha p = (\alpha p)^2$  (as  $q = \alpha p$ ) i.e.  $\alpha p = \alpha^2 p^2$   
Let  $\alpha p = \alpha^2 p$  (as  $p^2 = p$ ).
2. We use a proof by contradiction. We assume that  $(p, q)$  are linearly dependent i.e.  $p$  and  $q$  are collinear.  
Then  $q = \alpha p$  where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Using question 1., we have  $\alpha p = \alpha^2 p$ . Let  $(\alpha^2 - \alpha)p = 0$  i.e.  $\alpha(\alpha - 1)p = 0$  then  $\alpha(\alpha - 1) = 0$  as  $p \neq 0$ . So  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\alpha = 1$ .

Yet, if  $\alpha = 0$  then  $q = 0$  which contradicts the hypothesis. Similarly, if  $\alpha = 1$  then  $q = p$  which also contradicts the hypothesis.