

Name: Kyle Salitrik

ID: 997543474

Due: 7/27/2013 11:55PM

Last Modified: 7/27/2013 7:48 PM

CMPSC 122 – Project 8: Algorithm Analysis

a) project08algorithm1.cpp

- I. The inner loop runs a total of 5 commands N times, and the outer loop runs $3N$ times excluding the inner loop. When the algorithms are run together there is a total of cN^2 runs where c is a constant coefficient dropped in Big-O notation. The Big-O notation I obtained was: $O(N^2)$. This algorithm is in the middle of the given algorithms for calculation time.

b) project08algorithm2.cpp

- I. The innermost loop runs a set of statements $\frac{N(N+1)}{2}$ times, and the two outermost loops run sets of statements n times each. Putting all of the information together, $\frac{N(N+1)}{2} * N * N$ gives the Big-O notation of: $O(N^4)$ meaning that this algorithm will take the longest out of the three given and shouldn't be used.

c) project08algorithm3.cpp

- I. The worst case scenario of the inner else-if statements is to run n times for either statement. The for loop runs a total of $2N$ times and the inner statements also run a total of $2N$ times. This gives a total $T(N) = 2N + 2N + 1 = 4N + 1$ and results in a Big-O notation of $O(N)$, which is the fastest of the given algorithms.

d) Machine Specifications

- I. Lenovo Ideapad Y580

System Information

Time of this report: 7/27/2013, 18:25:19

Machine name: KYLE-LAPTOP

Operating System: Windows 8 64-bit (6.2, Build 9200) (9200.win8_gdr.130410-1505)

Language: English (Regional Setting: English)

System Manufacturer: LENOVO

System Model: 20132

BIOS: 5DCN90WW(V8.01)

Processor: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-3630QM CPU @ 2.40GHz (8 CPUs), ~2.4GHz

Memory: 8192MB RAM

Available OS Memory: 8058MB RAM

Page File: 3128MB used, 9536MB available

DirectX Version: DirectX 11

DxDiag Version: 6.02.9200.16384 64bit Unicode

e) BLUE (Algorithm 1) Output

I. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 105

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

II. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 235

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

III. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 457

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

IV. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 669

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

V. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 925

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

VI. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 1260

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.007s

VII. Average Time: 0.002s

f) GREEN (Algorithm 2) Output

I. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 501

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

II. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 385

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.002s

III. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 567

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.009s

IV. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 697

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.057s

V. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 603

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.433s

VI. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 1067

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 3.443s

VII. Average Time: 0.6575s

g) RED (Algorithm 3) Output

I. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 234

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

II. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 529

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

III. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 529

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

IV. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 812

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

V. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 812

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

VI. Maximum contiguous subsequence sum: 1025

Process Timer

Elapsed Time : 0.001s

VII. Average Time: 0.001s

h) Summary:

I. BLUE ALGORITHM (project08algorithm1.cpp): Avg time: 0.002s

II. GREEN ALGORITHM (project08algorithm2.cpp): Avg time: 0.6575s

III. RED ALGORITHM (project08algorithm3.cpp): Avg time: 0.001s

IV. The conclusions from running the three algorithms is – in fact – that the third algorithm is the fastest, the first is in the middle and the second algorithm will take the longest out of any of them to compute as was predicted by the Big-O notations. In algorithm 2 vs algorithm 3, if n is greater than some value between 64 and 128, then algorithm 3 will take longer. However comparing algorithm 1 to algorithm 3 shows that when n is greater than some value between 1024 and 2048 then algorithm 3 will be quicker to compute.