
STAT 461: Homework 5

Name: Kyle Salitrik | **ID#:** 997543474 | **PSU ID:** *kps168*

October 1, 2018

PROBLEM 1

a)

$$Y_{it} = \mu + \tau_i + \epsilon_{it}, \quad \epsilon_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$i = A, B, C$$

$$t = 1, \dots, r_i; \quad r_A = r_B = r_C = 2$$

b)

$$\bar{Y}_{B\cdot} = \frac{5-1}{2} = 2$$

$$\bar{Y}_{B\cdot} \sim N\left(2, \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)$$

c)

$$\bar{Y}_{A\cdot} = \frac{-14-4}{2} = -9$$

$$\bar{Y}_{B\cdot} = \frac{5-1}{2} = 2$$

$$\bar{Y}_{C\cdot} = \frac{-2+6}{2} = 2$$

$$\bar{Y}_{..} = \frac{-14-4+5-1-2+6}{6} = \frac{-5}{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSE} &= \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{t=1}^{r_i} \left(\bar{Y}_{it} - \bar{Y}_{i\cdot} \right)^2 \\ &= (-14+9)^2 + (-4+9)^2 + (5-2)^2 + (-1-2)^2 + (-2-2)^2 + (6-2)^2 \\ &= 100 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SST} &= \sum_{i=1}^v r_i \left(\bar{Y}_{i\cdot} - \bar{Y}_{..} \right)^2 \\ &= 2 \left(-9 - \frac{-5}{3} \right)^2 + 2 \left(2 - \frac{-5}{3} \right)^2 + 2 \left(2 - \frac{-5}{3} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{484}{3} \approx 161.333 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSTOT} &= \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{t=1}^{r_i} \left(\bar{Y}_{it} - \bar{Y}_{..} \right)^2 \\ &= \left(-14 - \frac{-5}{3} \right)^2 + \left(-4 - \frac{-5}{3} \right)^2 + \left(5 - \frac{-5}{3} \right)^2 + \left(-1 - \frac{-5}{3} \right)^2 + \left(-2 - \frac{-5}{3} \right)^2 + \left(6 - \frac{-5}{3} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{784}{3} \approx 261.333 \end{aligned}$$

d)

$$\widehat{\sigma^2} \approx \frac{\text{SSE}}{n-v} = \frac{756}{6-3} = 252$$

e)

$$\widehat{\Delta}_{AC} = \bar{Y}_{A\cdot} - \bar{Y}_{C\cdot} = -9 - 2 = -11$$

f)

$$\bar{Y}_A - \bar{Y}_C \sim N(\mu + \tau_A, \sigma^2) + N(-\mu - \tau_C, \sigma^2) = N(0, 2\sigma^2)$$

g)

$$\frac{\bar{Y}_A - \bar{Y}_C}{K(\sigma)} \sim N\left(0, 2 \left(\frac{1}{K(\sigma)}\right)^2 \sigma^2\right)$$

$$K(\sigma) = \sqrt{2}\sigma$$

h)

$$\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-v}^2$$

i)

$$\frac{(\bar{Y}_A - \bar{Y}_C)^2 / (K(\sigma))^2}{SSE / [(n-v)\sigma^2]} \sim F_{1, (n-v)}$$

j)

Under the null hypothesis of $H_0: \tau_A = \tau_B = \tau_C$, we use the test statistic $T^* = \frac{SST/(v-1)}{SSE/(n-v)}$ where $T^* \sim F_{(v-1), (n-v)}$.

In general:

	DF	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F-Value
Treatment	v-1	SST	$SST/(v-1)$	$\frac{SST/(v-1)}{SSE/(n-v)}$
Error	n-v	SSE	$SSE/(n-v)$	NA
Total	n-1	SSTOT	NA	NA

For our case:

	DF	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F-Value
Treatment	2	$\frac{484}{3}$	$\frac{242}{3}$	$\frac{121}{50}$
Error	3	100	$\frac{100}{3}$	
Total	5	$\frac{784}{3}$	NA	NA

k)

Based on the below output from R, we can conclude that there is no significant difference in the response of the three populations. In this case, H_0 should not be rejected.

```

1 Analysis of Variance Table
2
3 Response: delta
4   Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
5 meds    2  161.33   80.667    2.42  0.2367
```

l)

Examining the pairwise comparisons for the variables, we can see that none of the p-values are significant for any of the contrasts. This confirms that there is no significant difference between the treatments of the 3 populations.

$$H_0: \tau_A = \tau_B \quad H_A: \tau_A \neq \tau_B$$

$$H_0: \tau_A = \tau_C \quad H_A: \tau_A \neq \tau_C$$

$$H_0: \tau_B = \tau_C \quad H_A: \tau_B \neq \tau_C$$

$$T^* = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{r_i + r_j}{r_i r_j}} (\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_j)}{\sqrt{SSE/(n-v)}}$$

$$T^* \sim t_{n-v} = t_3$$

	contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
2	A - B	-1.100000e+01	5.773503	3	-1.905	0.2817
3	A - Control	-1.100000e+01	5.773503	3	-1.905	0.2817
4	B - Control	-1.776357e-15	5.773503	3	0.000	1.0000

PROBLEM 2

$$H_0: \tau_{reg} = \tau_{deo} \quad H_A: \tau_{reg} \neq \tau_{deo}$$

$$H_0: \tau_{reg} = \tau_{moi} \quad H_A: \tau_{reg} \neq \tau_{moi}$$

$$H_0: \tau_{deo} = \tau_{moi} \quad H_A: \tau_{deo} \neq \tau_{moi}$$

$$T^* = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{r_i + r_j}{r_i r_j}} (\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_j)}{\sqrt{SSE/(n-v)}}$$

$$T^* \sim t_{n-v} = t_9$$

Examining the ANOVA table, one can see that there is a significant difference between at least one pair of treatment. Therefore, we reject H_0 and must examine further to determine which treatments are significant.

Looking at the pairwise comparisons, we can observe that the deodorant soap lost less than the regular soap, and that the moisturizing soap also lost less than the regular soap. However, there was no significant difference between the moisturizing and deodorant soaps.

1	Analysis of Variance Table						
2							
3	Response: loss						
4		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)	
5	type	2	16.1220	8.0610	104.45	5.914e-07	***
6	Residuals	9	0.6946	0.0772			
7	---						
8	Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1						
9							
10	-----						
11							
12	contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value	
13	deo - moi	0.7075	0.196437	9	3.602	0.0143	
14	deo - reg	2.7350	0.196437	9	13.923	<.0001	
15	moi - reg	2.0275	0.196437	9	10.321	<.0001	

PROBLEM 3

$$H_0: \tau_0 = \tau_1 \quad H_A: \tau_0 \neq \tau_1$$

$$H_0: \tau_0 = \tau_2 \quad H_A: \tau_0 \neq \tau_2$$

$$H_0: \tau_0 = \tau_3 \quad H_A: \tau_0 \neq \tau_3$$

$$H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_2 \quad H_A: \tau_1 \neq \tau_2$$

$$H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_3 \quad H_A: \tau_1 \neq \tau_3$$

$$H_0: \tau_2 = \tau_3 \quad H_A: \tau_2 \neq \tau_3$$

$$T^* = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{r_i + r_j}{r_i r_j}} (\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_j)}{\sqrt{SSE/(n - v)}}$$

$$T^* \sim t_{n-v} = t_{28}$$

The p-value obtained from the ANOVA is extremely large, indicating that H_0 should not be rejected. Continuing to look at the pairwise comparisons, the p-values for each contrast are also very large, indicating that there is no difference between the treatments.

1	Analysis of Variance Table						
2							
3	Response: time						
4		Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)	
5	presses	3	0.008047	0.0026824	0.2455	0.8638	
6	Residuals	28	0.305953	0.0109269			
7							
8							
9							
10	contrast		estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
11	0 - 1		0.036142857	0.05151381	28	0.702	0.8956
12	0 - 2		0.013142857	0.05151381	28	0.255	0.9940
13	0 - 3		-0.004857143	0.06120753	28	-0.079	0.9998
14	1 - 2		-0.023000000	0.04674802	28	-0.492	0.9602
15	1 - 3		-0.041000000	0.05725440	28	-0.716	0.8899
16	2 - 3		-0.018000000	0.05725440	28	-0.314	0.9890

CODE APPENDIX

```
1 #####
2 #### Setup
3 #####
4 ## Install and load libraries
5 # ipak function taken from: https://gist.github.com/stevenworthington/3178163
6 ipak <- function(pkg) {
7   new.pkg <- pkg[!(pkg %in% installed.packages()[, "Package"])]
8   if (length(new.pkg))
9     install.packages(new.pkg, dependencies = TRUE)
10   sapply(pkg, require, character.only = TRUE)
11 }
12 packages <- c("ggplot2", "reshape2", "gridExtra", "TSA", "astsa", "orcutt",
13              "nlme", "fGarch", "vars", "lsmeans")
14 ipak(packages)
15
16 #####
17 #### Problem 1
18 #####
19 meds = c(rep('A',2), rep('B',2), rep('Control',2))
20 delta = c(-14,-4,5,-1,-2,6)
21 bpData = data.frame(delta, meds)
22
23 # Calculate ANOVA table and Pairwise Comparison
24 bpModel = aov(delta ~ meds, data=bpData)
25 anova(bpModel)
26 bpLSM = lsmeans(bpModel, ~ meds)
27 contrast(bpLSM, method='pairwise')
28
29 #####
30 #### Problem 2
31 #####
32 # From HW1
33 loss = c(-.3,-.1,-.14,.4,2.63,2.61,2.41,3.15,1.86,2.03,2.26,1.82)
34 type = c(rep('reg',4), rep('deo',4), rep('moi',4))
35 losses = data.frame(loss, type)
36
37 # Calculate ANOVA table and Pairwise Comparison
38 lossModel = aov(loss~type, data=losses)
39 anova(lossModel)
40 lossLSM = lsmeans(lossModel, ~type)
41 contrast(lossLSM, method='pairwise')
42
43
44 #####
45 #### Problem 3
46 #####
47 # From HW4
48 time = c(38.14, 38.20, 38.31, 38.14, 38.29, 38.17, 38.20,
49          38.28, 38.17, 38.08, 38.25, 38.18, 38.03, 37.95, 38.26, 38.30, 38.21,
50          38.17, 38.13, 38.16, 38.30, 38.34, 38.34, 38.17, 38.18, 38.09, 38.06,
51          38.14, 38.30, 38.21, 38.04, 38.37)
52 presses = c(rep('0',7), rep('1',10), rep('2',10), rep('3',5))
53 lights = data.frame(time, presses)
54
55 # Calculate ANOVA table and Pairwise Comparison
56 lightModel = aov(time~presses, data=lights)
57 anova(lightModel)
58 lightLSM = lsmeans(lightModel, ~presses)
59 contrast(lightLSM, method='pairwise')
```