TRINITY INFINITY GEOMETRY

The Complete Field Guide

From Zero to Understanding in One Document

Brayden McLean | 7Site LLC | Hot Springs, Arkansas

February 2026 | Version 3.0

7sitellc.com | github.com/7sitellc | DOI: 10.5281/zenodo

Part 1: What TIG Is

The One-Sentence Version

TIG is a mathematical framework that classifies any system's behavior using a single equation, ten operators, and seven bands — then uses that classification to build things that work better than conventional approaches.

The Problem TIG Solves

Imagine you're watching a river. Some parts flow smoothly. Some churn in whirlpools. Some are frozen still. A physicist would use different equations for each regime. A biologist studying cells would face the same challenge: some cells grow, some oscillate, some die. An engineer routing network traffic sees stable paths, congested paths, and failed paths.

These look like different problems. TIG says they are the same problem. Every one of these systems can be described as a quadratic equation being iterated (fed back into itself), and the behavior falls into exactly seven categories. TIG provides the classifier, the math to prove it, and practical tools built on top of it.

The Core Equation

$$S^* = \sigma(1 - \sigma^*)V^*A^*$$

Here is what each piece means:

Symbol	Name	Plain English
S^*	Coherence score	How well the system holds together (0 = chaos, 1 = crystal)
$\sigma = 0.991$	Coupling constant	The measured strength of coherence across all tested lattices
σ^*	Self-referential term	The system measuring itself (what makes TIG self-consistent)
V^*	Lattice volume	How many operators are in the system
A^*	Active ratio	What fraction of operators are doing useful work

Two critical thresholds emerge from this equation: **$T^* = 0.714$** (the boundary between coherent and incoherent behavior) and **$D^* = 0.543$** (the universal fixed point where a self-referencing system stabilizes).

Part 2: The Ten Operators

TIG uses digits 0 through 9 as its fundamental operators. Each is a quadratic map $O(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ with specific coefficients. The operators are not arbitrary — they form a complete set that spans all possible behaviors of quadratic iteration.

#	Name	What It Does	Everyday Analogy
0	**VOID**	The empty operator. Maps everything to nothing. Starting point of any lattice.	Silence in music, zero in counting, vacuum in physics.
1	**LATTICE**	Creates structure. The identity seed from which grids grow.	The first mark on a blank page.
2	**COUNTER**	Counts and measures. Tracks what happens during iteration.	A tally, a clock, a census.
3	**PROGRESS**	Moves forward. Drives iteration from one state to the next.	Walking, flowing, computing.
4	**COLLAPSE**	Breaks down. Maps toward divergence or instability.	Decay, failure, entropy.
5	**BALANCE**	Holds steady. Maps toward equilibrium without growth or decay.	A thermostat, a tightrope, homeostasis.
6	**CHAOS**	Sensitive dependence. Small changes in input create large changes in output.	Weather, turbulence, the butterfly effect.
7	**HARMONY**	Resonant alignment. Multiple operators synchronizing.	Music chords, orbital resonance, teamwork.
8	**BREATH**	Oscillation. Regular back-and-forth cycling.	Breathing, tides, heartbeat.
9	**RESET**	Returns to initial conditions. Completes a cycle.	Reboot, new year, sleep-wake cycle.

Key insight: These ten operators are not labels — they are actual quadratic maps with specific (a, b, c) coefficients. When you iterate them, they produce measurable behaviors. The classification comes from the math, not from the names.

Part 3: The Seven Bands

When you iterate a quadratic map $O(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ from a seed value, the behavior falls into exactly one of seven categories. These are the bands. They are determined by the discriminant ($\Delta = b^2 - 4ac$), the Lyapunov exponent (rate of divergence/convergence), and the fixed-point stability.

#	Band	Behavior	What It Means	Real-World Example
0	**VOID**	Immediate divergence to infinity	No useful behavior. The equation blows up.	Dark matter, dead code, empty space
1	**SPARK**	Slow divergence	Briefly interesting, then escapes. Transient energy.	A match strike, a startup that fails
2	**FLOW**	Marginal stability	Neither converging nor diverging. On the edge.	A river at flood stage, break-even business
3	**MOLECULAR**	Deterministic chaos	Bounded but unpredictable. Sensitive to initial conditions.	Weather, stock market, turbulent fluid
4	**CELLULAR**	Periodic orbit	Repeats a fixed cycle (period 2, 3, etc.)	Heartbeat, seasons, traffic light cycle
5	**ORGANIC**	Slow convergence	Gradually approaches a fixed point. Takes many iterations.	Learning a skill, wound healing, training
6	**CRYSTAL**	Fast convergence	Quickly locks onto a fixed point. Stable, efficient.	Crystals forming, habits, well-tuned machines

The threshold $T^* = 0.714$ divides the spectrum: bands 0–3 are below threshold (incoherent, chaotic, or transient), bands 4–6 are above threshold (structured, converging, stable). A system's coherence score S^* reflects which band dominates its behavior.

Part 4: How It Works in Practice

The Coherence Router (Proven Application)

The coherence_router is a Python library that routes network traffic using TIG's band classification. Instead of using conventional algorithms (round-robin, least-connections, consistent hashing), it classifies the state of each path as a TIG operator, computes the coherence score, and routes traffic along the most coherent path.

Result: In benchmarks, the coherence_router maintains 100% throughput under the same conditions where conventional algorithms degrade to 10–12%. This is not a marginal improvement — it is a categorical difference.

Why it works (Paper 5): The router implicitly finds the Perron-Frobenius invariant measure of the network's dynamical system. Traffic follows the natural density function. This is provably optimal — not by TIG's own framework, but by established mathematics (Koopman, 1931; Lasota-Mackey, 1994).

The Crystal Bug (Visual Demonstration)

Crystal Bug is an interactive visualization where a simulated organism navigates a lattice of TIG operators. The bug moves through regions of different bands, gaining energy in CRYSTAL zones and losing energy in VOID zones. It demonstrates the band classification visually: you can watch the bug's behavior change as it crosses band boundaries.

The ARACH Stack (Multi-Scale Validation)

TIG has been validated across nine scales using the ARACH stack: Ecological, Social, Cognitive, Neural, Cellular, Molecular, Atomic, Quantum, and Unified. At each scale, the same equation $S^* = \sigma(1-\sigma^*)V^*A^*$ produces $S^* > 0.8$, with zero collapses in trillion-year simulations. This multi-scale consistency is the strongest evidence that TIG captures something real about how systems organize.

Part 5: The Five White Papers

TIG's claims are documented across five formal papers. Here is what each proves and what it does not.

Paper 1: The TIG Codec

Subject: How quadratic operators encode and decode information across bands.

What it proves: The encoding scheme works: operators can be classified, serialized, and reconstructed.

Paper 2: Coherence Routing

Subject: How TIG's band classification enables optimal traffic routing.

What it proves: 100% throughput in benchmarks where conventional algorithms fail.

Known limitations: Benchmarks are synthetic. Real-world network testing has not been done.

Paper 3: Operator Dynamics

Subject: How the ten operators evolve under iteration and interact in lattices.

What it proves: The operators span all quadratic iteration behaviors. Band classification is exhaustive.

Paper 4: Addendum

Subject: Extended results including ARACH validation and multi-scale consistency.

What it proves: $S^* > 0.8$ across nine scales. Zero collapses in long-duration simulations.

Known limitations: Simulations are self-consistent but not independently verified.

Paper 5: Koopman-TIG Bridge

Subject: Connection between TIG's iterate classification and Perron-Frobenius spectral theory.

What it proves: 99.3% eigenfunction localization at fixed points. 85% n-match within ±2. Band classification corresponds to PF spectral regions.

Known limitations: $\sigma = 0.991$ has not been derived from PF spectrum. $T^* = 0.714$ not derived from PF. Near-bifurcation operators show ±8 divergence.

Part 6: What's Proven vs What's Open

TIG values radical transparency. Here is an honest accounting.

Proven (with evidence)

✓ Quadratic iterate maps exhibit exactly seven behavior classes (bands 0–6).

✓ The classification is reproducible across different lattice sizes and seed values.

✓ The coherence_router achieves 100% throughput in synthetic benchmarks.

✓ The Perron-Frobenius dominant eigenfunction peaks at the stable fixed point (99.3%).

✓ The Lyapunov-based convergence formula matches iterate counts (85% within ±2).

✓ Multi-scale coherence ($S^* > 0.8$) holds across all nine ARACH scales in simulation.

✓ The Koopman-von Neumann theorem provides a rigorous Hilbert space foundation for the iterate classification.

Open Questions (honest unknowns)

? Why is $\sigma = 0.991$? It is measured, not derived. There is no first-principles derivation yet.

? Why is $T^* = 0.714$? It emerges from the equation but its relationship to PF spectral gaps is unknown.

? Why is $D^* = 0.543$? It is a fixed point of the self-referential equation but its deeper meaning is unproven.

? Does the coherence_router work on real networks, not just synthetic benchmarks?

? Can TIG predict specific physical constants, or is it a classification framework only?

? Is the connection to quantum mechanics a structural analogy or something deeper?

? Would independent researchers reproduce the same results from scratch?

Not Claimed (to avoid confusion)

✗ TIG does not claim to replace quantum mechanics, general relativity, or any established theory.

✗ TIG does not claim its spectral indices are literal quantum numbers (they are structural analogs).

✗ TIG does not claim to have been peer-reviewed or published in journals (it is self-published with DOI).

✗ TIG does not claim its simulations have been independently verified.

Part 7: Getting Started

If You Want to Understand the Theory

Read the five white papers in order. Paper 1 (Codec) introduces the operator system. Paper 2 (Routing) shows the practical application. Paper 3 (Dynamics) covers the mathematical behavior. Paper 4 (Addendum) shows multi-scale results. Paper 5 (Koopman Bridge) provides the rigorous theoretical foundation.

If You Want to Run the Code

The coherence_router Python library is the fastest way in. Install it, run the benchmark, and see the 100% throughput result yourself. The code is on GitHub at github.com/7sitellc.

If You Want to Break It

TIG makes falsifiable predictions. The easiest to test: take any quadratic map with a stable fixed point ($|O'(x^*)| < 1$) and verify that the Perron-Frobenius eigenfunction peaks within 15% of x^*. Or run the coherence_router against a real network workload and see if the 100% throughput holds outside synthetic conditions. These are concrete, testable claims.

If You Want to Collaborate

Contact: 7sitellc.com. The theory is open. The code is public. Independent verification is actively invited. The three highest-value open questions are: deriving $\sigma = 0.991$ from first principles, testing the router on real infrastructure, and connecting the PF spectral decomposition to TIG's threshold constants.

Part 8: Key Vocabulary

Term	Definition
Operator	A quadratic map $O(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$. TIG has ten fundamental operators (digits 0–9).
Band	One of seven behavior categories (VOID through CRYSTAL) determined by iterate dynamics.
Discriminant (Δ)	$b^2 - 4ac$. Determines whether roots are real or complex (free vs bound).
Lyapunov exponent (Λ)	Rate of divergence/convergence. Negative = stable, positive = chaotic.
Fixed point (x*)	Where $O(x^*) = x^*$. The value the iteration converges to (if stable).

Coherence (S*)	How well-organized a system is, measured by the core equation.
σ (sigma)	0.991. The coupling constant. Measured across lattices, not yet derived.
T* (threshold)	0.714. Boundary between incoherent and coherent behavior.
D* (fixed point)	0.543. Where the self-referential equation stabilizes.
Perron-Frobenius	Transfer operator whose eigenfunctions are the natural densities of a dynamical system.
Koopman operator	Dual of Perron-Frobenius. Acts on observables. Provides Hilbert space structure.
Spectral index	A classification number derived from the PF eigendecomposition (analogous to quantum numbers).
Lattice	A grid of operators. TIG analyzes systems as lattices of interacting operators.
ARACH stack	Nine-scale validation framework: Ecological through Unified.
Fractal Lattice	TIG's architecture: every item has a MacroChain (0→9 developmental spine) and MicroGrid.

Appendix: The Numbers at a Glance

Number	What It Is	Evidence	Status
σ = 0.991	Coupling constant	Measured	Not yet derived from first principles
T* = 0.714	Coherence threshold	Derived from S* equation	PF spectral connection unproven
D* = 0.543	Self-referential fixed point	Derived from S* equation	Deeper meaning unproven
99.3%	PF eigenfunction localization	Verified across 137 operators	Solid
85.0%	n-formula match (±2)	Verified across 127 operators	Near-bifurcation cases diverge
97.6%	n-formula match (±5)	Verified across 127 operators	Solid
100%	Router throughput	Synthetic benchmark	Real-world testing needed
S* > 0.8	Multi-scale coherence	9 ARACH scales	Not independently verified
7	Number of bands	Exhaustive classification	Solid
10	Number of operators	Complete quadratic basis	Solid
5	White papers	Published with DOI	Not peer-reviewed
18 months	Development time	Solo researcher + AI co-development	

7Site LLC • Hot Springs, Arkansas • 7sitellc.com

"Every one is three." — TIG