

Higher Algebraic K : First course

I. Quillen's "+"-construction.

Def 1.1. X CW cpx. X is called acyclic if it has the homology of a pt. i.e. $\tilde{H}_*(X) = 0$. Unless otherwise stated, we'll always assume that H_* , H^* takes coeff. in \mathbb{Z} .

Lem 1.1 Suppose X is acyclic. Then

- 1) X is connected.
- 2) $\pi_1 X$ is perfect (i.e. $(\pi_1 X)^{\text{ab}} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$).
- 3) $H_2(\pi_1 X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

► Sketch of proof. 1), 2) : trivial by definition.

3) Consider the Postnikov filtration of X . Write $G = \pi_1 X$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow & \\ & X_2 & \\ & \downarrow & \\ X_1 = K(\pi_1 X, 1) & \xleftarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

Claim $K(\pi_1 X, 1) \cong BG$. This is b/c considering universal G-principle bundle $G \rightarrow EG \rightarrow BG$ and associated l.e.s. in hpy gps gives $\pi_{k+1} BG \cong \pi_k G$, and the result follows from Whitehead Thm.

Now consider the hpy fiber seq $Ff \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} K(\pi_1 X, 1)$.

Use Serre spectral sequence $E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(BG, H_q(Ff, \mathbb{Z})) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(X, \mathbb{Z})$

Finally check that $Ff \cong \tilde{X}$, universal covering of X , and

$E_{p,q}^2 \cong H_p(G, H_q(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}))$ fits into the s.e.s.

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H_2(G, H_1(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow H_0(G, H_1(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow H_1(G, H_0(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})). \end{aligned}$$

Def 1.2 $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is acyclic if Ff is acyclic, where Ff is the homotopy fiber of f . This implies Ff connected & $\pi_1 Ff$ perfect.

Cor 1.2 $\ker f_* \trianglelefteq \pi_1 X$ is perfect.

Prop 1.3 1) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is acyclic and $\pi_i f$ iso $\Leftrightarrow \pi_i f$ iso $\forall i \geq 0$.

2) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ acyclic iff $f_*: H_*(X, f^* L) \rightarrow H_*(Y, L)$ iso for any local system L . (locally constant sheaf).

Rk 1.1 1) is easy.

2) needs Comparison Theorem in spectral sequence and involves a lot of technical details.

Now we're ready to define the "+" construction.

Def 1.3 Let $P \trianglelefteq \pi_1 X$ be perfect. X CW cpx, based. An acyclic map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a "+" construction on X rel P if $P = \ker f_*$, where $f_*: \pi_1 X \rightarrow \pi_1 Y$.

e.g. 1.1 X acyclic, $f: X \rightarrow pt$ acyclic. It's a "+" construction on X rel $\pi_1 X$.

Thm 1.4 (Quillen)

Let $P \trianglelefteq \pi_1 X$ be perfect. Then a "+" construction on X rel. P , namely $f: X \rightarrow Y$, exists, and it is unique up to htpy.

Def 1.4 The perfect radical of G , denoted $P(G)$, is the unique largest perfect normal subgp of G . (Need Zorn's Lem to prove this).

$X^+ :=$ "+" construction on X rel $P(\pi_1 X)$.

Let R = associative, unital ring. $0_R \neq 1_R$. $BGL(R)$ is the classifying space of $GL(R)$. $GL(R) = \text{colim}_n GL_n(R)$. $GL_n R \hookrightarrow GL_{n+1} R$

$$A \mapsto [A]$$

Def 1.5 The higher algebraic K -theory $K_n(R) = \pi_n(BGL(R)^+)$, $\forall n \geq 1$.

Write $K(R) = K_0(R) \times BGL(R)^+ = \coprod_{K_0(R)} BGL(R)^+$. An alternative definition of higher K is $K_n(R) = \pi_n K(R)$. $\forall n \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cor 1.5} \quad K_1(R) &= \pi_1(BGL(R)^+) = \pi_1(BGL(R)) / P(\pi_1(BGL(R))) \\ &= GL(R) / [GL(R), GL(R)] \end{aligned}$$

Prop 1.6 If $f: BG \rightarrow BG^+$ is a "+" construction, $Ff = \text{htpy fiber of } f$, then $\pi_1 F(f)$ is the universal central extension of $P(\pi_1 BG)$, and $\pi_2 BG^+ \cong H_2(P, \mathbb{Z})$. $P = P(\pi_1 BG)$.

Corl. 1.7 $K_2 R = \pi_2(BGL(R)^+) \cong H_2(E(R); \mathbb{Z}) = \text{classical } K_2$.

We postpone the proof of Prop 1.6 after introducing the construction of "+" construction.

Prop 1.8 $K: \text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{ho(Top)}$ are functorial.

$K_n: \text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$

▲ Construction of "+" construction:

Step 1. $P = \pi_1 X$.

$\pi_1 X = P = [S^1, X]$. $\forall \varphi_\alpha: S^1 \rightarrow X \in \pi_1 X$, one can attach e_α^2 (2-cell) to kill $[\varphi_\alpha]$. Now suppose we killed all $[\varphi_\alpha]$, and get X' simply connected, then from the relative homology

$$0 \rightarrow H_2 X \rightarrow H_2 X' \rightarrow H_2(X', X) \rightarrow H_1 X$$

$\begin{smallmatrix} \parallel \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$

$H_1 X = 0$ since $\pi_1 X = P(\pi_1 X) = P$, and $H_2(X', X)$ is free w/
basis e_α^2 . Now $H_2 X' \cong H_2 X \oplus H_2(X', X)$

$$H_2 X' \cong \pi_2 X' \text{ by Hurewicz since } \pi_1 X' = 0.$$

Set $X^+ =$ attaching e_β^3 to X' along $\psi_\beta : S^2 \rightarrow X'$, where ψ_β
corresponds to a basis of $H_2(X', X)$.

Now X^+ is simply connected. To check $H_* X \cong H_* X^+$:

$$H_* X' \text{ differs from } H_* X \text{ by } H_2(X', X) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha, \quad \alpha = [e_\alpha^2]$$

$$H_* X^+ \text{ differs from } H_* X' \text{ by } H_3(X^+, X) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in I} \mathbb{Z}\beta, \quad \beta = [e_\beta^3]$$

$$\text{but } \partial [e_\beta^3] = e_\beta^2, \forall \beta \in I. \Rightarrow H_3(X^+, X) \text{ vanishes.}$$

Step 2: $P \trianglelefteq \pi_1 X$:

Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ covering corresponding to P , $\pi_1 \tilde{X} = P$. By step 1,
 $\exists \tilde{X}^+$ for \tilde{X} . Let $X^+ = \text{colim} \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}^+ \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{array} \right)$

Check this indeed is the one desired:

$$\text{van Kampen} \Rightarrow \pi_1 X^+ \cong \pi_1 X / P$$

$$\text{also } X^+ / X \cong \tilde{X}^+ / \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\text{homo}} H_*(X^+, X) = H_*(\tilde{X}^+, \tilde{X}) = 0.$$

Pf of Prop 1.6. Similar to Step 2 of construction. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BP & \longrightarrow & BP^+ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG & \longrightarrow & BG^+ \end{array}$$

$P \trianglelefteq \pi_1 BG = G$ acts freely & properly discontinuously on EG . So

$EG/P \rightarrow BG = EG/G$ is a normal covering of BG w/ covering gp G/P .

Attaching 2-, 3-cells to BG lifts to $BP \Rightarrow BP^+$ normal covering of BG^+

Thus $\pi_n(BP^+) \cong \pi_n(BG^+)$, $n \geq 2$. But BP^+ simply connected, by Hurewicz $\Rightarrow \pi_2 BP^+ \cong H_2(BP^+) \cong H_2(BP) \cong H_2(P, \mathbb{Z})$.

The last isomorphism follows from the following theorem:

Thm 1.9 [Rosenberg 5.1.27] $H_*(G, A) \cong H_*(BG; A)$, for $A \in \text{Ab}$ w/ trivial G -action.

Rk 1.2. Moreover, consider the following map of fiber seqs:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Ff & \longrightarrow & BP \\ \parallel & & \downarrow f|_{BP} \\ Ff & \longrightarrow & BG \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & BP^+ \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & BG^+ \end{array}$$

Passing to π_* :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & P & & & & O \\ & & \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ \dots & \rightarrow & \pi_2 BP & \rightarrow & \pi_1 Ff & \rightarrow & \pi_1 BP \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & \pi_2 BG & \rightarrow & \pi_1 Ff & \rightarrow & \pi_1 BG \\ & & \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ & & O & & & & G \end{array}$$

Take $G = GL(R)$, $P = E(R)$, we get $\pi_2 BP^+ \cong \pi_2 BG^+ \cong K_2(R) = H_2(E(R), \mathbb{Z})$

It's now clear that $\pi_1 Ff = S^c R$, the Steinberg gp. by the universal central extension of $E(R)$.

II. Properties of K_n .

- Background in Top: $X \in \text{Top}$ is a H -space if there \exists cts map $\mu: X \times X \rightarrow X$ w/ neutral elel $e \in X$ s.t.
 $x \mapsto \mu(x, e)$, $x \mapsto \mu(e, x)$
 are homotopic to id.

e.g. top gps, SOn , $SU(n)$, $O(n)$, $GL_n(R)$, ...

Claim $BGL(R)^+$ is a H-space.

Consider $\mu: GL(R) \times GL(R) \rightarrow GL(R)$

$$((a_{ij}), (b_{ij})) \mapsto (c_{ij}) = \begin{cases} a_{\frac{i+1}{2}, \frac{j+1}{2}}, & i \equiv j \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ b_{\frac{i}{2}, \frac{j}{2}}, & i \equiv j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

μ induces $B\mu: B(GL(R) \times GL(R)) \cong BGL(R) \times BGL(R) \rightarrow BGL(R)$



$$\mu_*: BGL(R)^+ \times BGL(R)^+ \rightarrow BGL(R)^+$$

μ_* gives a highly-commutative H-space structure on $BGL(R)^+$.

[Theorem 5.2.12., Rosenberg]

- Moreover, for R, S associative, unital rings, one defines similarly

$$GL_p(R) \times GL_q(S) \rightarrow GL_{pq}(R \otimes S) \text{ by } R^p \otimes S^q \cong (R \otimes S)^{pq}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightsquigarrow \mu_{p,q}: BGL_p(R)^+ \times BGL_q(S)^+ &\rightarrow BGL_{pq}(R \otimes S)^+ \\ &\rightarrow BGL(R \otimes S)^+ \end{aligned}$$

$\mu_{p,q}$ compatible w/ stabilization.

Note that $BGL(R \otimes S)^+$ is a H-space. can define a "balanced product" $\gamma_{p,q}: BGL_p(R)^+ \times BGL_q(S)^+ \rightarrow BGL(R \otimes S)^+$

$$\gamma_{p,q}(x, y) = \mu_{p,q}(x, y) - \mu_{p,q}(x, *) - \mu_{p,q}(*, y)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \gamma: BGL(R)^+ \wedge BGL(S)^+ \rightarrow BGL(R \otimes S)^+$$

Passing to $\pi_{p+q}(-)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_*: \pi_{p+q}(BGL(R)^+ \wedge BGL(S)^+) &\stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{\cong} \pi_p BGL(R)^+ \otimes \pi_q BGL(S)^+ \\ &\rightarrow \pi_{p+q} BGL(R \otimes S)^+ \end{aligned}$$

where ① is obtained by using the fact $BGL(-)^+$ is a H-space, and [Theorem 8.7. Whitehead, Elements in Homotopy Theory].

Thm 2.1 (Loday) $r_* : K_p R \otimes K_q S \rightarrow K_{p+q}(R \otimes S)$

is natural in R, S , bilinear, associative. If R is commutative, then

$$K_p(R) \otimes K_q(R) \rightarrow K_{p+q}(R)$$

is graded commutative.

Rk 2.1 If n_1, n_2, \dots, m are units of comm. ring R , then the product of $r_i \in K_i(R)$ is an element $\{n_1, \dots, m\}$ of $K_n(R)$. When $n=2$, this is the Steinberg symbol.

III. Something I like * *: not quite relevant to the topic.

1. Connection to π_n^S , stable homotopy theory.

Let $\Sigma_\infty =$ symmetric gp on ∞ many elements. Consider $X = B\Sigma_\infty$, and the "+" construction on X rel. A_∞ (alternating gp). Then

Thm 3.1 (Barratt - Priddy - Quillen - Segal)

$$X^+ \simeq \Omega^\infty S^\infty = \operatorname{colim}_n \Omega^n S^n \simeq \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty S^0$$

In particular, $\pi_i(B\Sigma_\infty^+) = \pi_i^S$. We can do the following:

- a) X^+ is an infinite loop space. By May's recognition principle, it's an E_∞ -space. But $\pi_0 X^+$ not a gp but monoid \Rightarrow cannot relate it to some connective spectrum. (Better: consider $\mathbb{Z} \times X^+, \dots$)
- b) Is there any way to resolve ii?

Yes! Consider $KR = BGL(R)^+ \times K_0 R$. This is a group-like E_∞ -space. Hence, it defines a connective spectrum, denoted KR . Moreover, this is a ring spectrum. The reason, I believe, due to the "Group Completion"

Theorem" [Theorem 3.2.1, Adams, Infinite loop space].

c) Some computations of stable stems.

$\pi_1^S = \pi_1(B\Sigma_\infty^+) = (\Sigma_\infty)^{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, generated by the equivalence class of odd permutations.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Consider} & BA_\infty & \longrightarrow BA_\infty^+ \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & B\Sigma_\infty & \longrightarrow B\Sigma_\infty^+ \end{array}$$

By the same pf as in Prop 1.6, $\pi_2^S = \pi_2(B\Sigma_\infty^+) \cong H_2(A_\infty, \mathbb{Z})$.

d) Further question motivating Q-construction:

i) K is defined for rings. How about for others?

ii) exact sequences relating each K_i 's?

2. $K_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, operations, Adams conjecture.

Consider the real / complex K -theory KR / KU . There exists a unique collection of cohomology operations on them: $\psi^k: K_{\mathbb{C}}^{(-)} \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}}^{(-)}$ s.t.
 (note that $KU_n = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \times BU, & n \text{ even} \\ \Omega BU, & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$, $K_{\mathbb{C}}^n = [-, KU_n]$)

Def 3.1 (Adams operations)
 1) $\psi^k \psi^\ell = \psi^\ell \psi^k = \psi^{kl}$, $k, l \geq 0$
 2) $\psi^k(L) = L^k$, L line bundle.

This is a kind of cohomological operation.

- Construction of Adams operations: Consider $\lambda_t: Vect(X) \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}}^0 X [[t]]$
 $E \mapsto \sum_{k \geq 0} \Lambda^k(E) t^k$

and $\psi_t: K_{\mathbb{C}}^0 X \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}}^0 X [[t]]$, w/ $\varepsilon^{|E|} =$ trivial bundle w/ rk = rk E .
 $E \mapsto \varepsilon^{|E|} - t \frac{d}{dt} \ln(\lambda_{-t}(E))$

$$\text{then } \psi_t(E) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \psi^k(E) t^k.$$

An important concept useful is Brauer lifting, lifts k -reps of a finite gp G to complex ones. Here k is \mathbb{F}_q in mind. Let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_n k$ be a finite-dim rep of G . $Sp(\rho) \subset k^\times$ is the set of eigenvalues of $\rho(g)$ w/ multiplicity.

Def 3.2 Brauer character of ρ , denoted $\chi_\rho^{\text{br}}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is

$$\chi_\rho^{\text{br}}(g) = \sum_{\lambda \in Sp(\rho)} c(\lambda),$$

where $c: k^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is an embedding.

Thm 3.2 (Green) $\forall \rho \in R_k(G)$, $\exists! \rho^{\text{br}} \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ s.t. $\chi_{\rho^{\text{br}}} = \chi_\rho^{\text{br}}$.

Thus $\rho \mapsto \rho^{\text{br}}$ extends to

Def 3.3 $(-)^\text{br}: R_k(G) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ is called the Brauer lifting of k -reps of G .

Now $\forall \rho \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$, it gives \mathbb{C}^n (for some n) a left action of G by

$$g \cdot v := (\rho(g))(v)$$

Consider its associated bundle $EG \times_G \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow BG$. This process encodes into a map $\beta: R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \longrightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}}^0(BG) = [BG, \mathbb{Z} \times BU]$

$$= \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}(BG).$$

Now $\beta \circ (-)^{\text{br}}: R_k(G) \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}}^0(BG)$. Adams operations behave well w.r.t.

Brauer lifting:

Prop 3.3 $\chi_{\psi^k \rho}(g) = \chi_\rho(g^k) \quad \forall g \in G$.

$$\chi_{\psi^k \rho}^{\text{br}} = \chi_\rho^{\text{br}}$$

Thus we have $\beta \circ (-)^{\text{br}}: R_k(G) \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}}^0(BG)^{\psi^k}$. Quillen's work on $K_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ arises from his proof of Adams Conjecture. Namely,

Thm 3.4 (Adams Conjecture) Let $J: K_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Sph}(X)$ be the J -homomorphism, where $\text{Sph}(X) = \text{gp of stable spherical fibrations on } X, \text{ finite CW cpx.}$ J is induced by taking one pt compactification of v.b. / X . Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in K_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X)$, then $k^n J(\psi^k x - x) = 0$, for some $n \geq 0$ integer.

Key idea $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in previous settiy. Construct $\alpha: BGL(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow BU$, by taking $\text{colim}(\alpha_n: BGL(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow BU)$, each α_n is the Brauer lifting obtained from identity map $I: GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, viewed as a rep. of G . Now for the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BGL(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & BU \\ & \downarrow \mu & \searrow \beta \\ & & BF[p^{-1}] \end{array}$$

where $C = \text{mapping cone of } \alpha$, μ is induced from $x \mapsto J(\psi^k x - x)$

$BF = \text{colim}_n(F_n): F_n$ is monoid of based htpy equivalences $S^n \rightarrow S^n$,

Quillen showed μ, β nullhtopic \Rightarrow conjecture is proved.

► Ref: [Quillen (1970). The Adams Conjecture . Topology Vol. 10. pp. 67-80
Pergamon Press 1971]

Now given the construction of $\alpha: BGL(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow BU$, it induces $\tilde{\alpha}: BGL(\mathbb{F}_q)^+ \rightarrow BU$,

and hence $\tilde{\alpha}_*: K_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \pi_n BU$. Consider $\psi^2 - 1: BU \rightarrow BU$.

Thm 3.5 (Quillen) The homotopy fiber of $\psi^2 - 1$ is $BGL(\mathbb{F}_q)^+$.

Cor 3.6 For every finite field \mathbb{F}_q , $n \geq 1$, we have

$$K_n(\mathbb{F}_q) = \pi_n(BGL(\mathbb{F}_q)^+) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/(q^i - 1), & n = 2i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Note $\pi_{2i} BU = K_{\mathbb{C}}^*(S^{2i})$. The result follows from Bott Periodicity.