

# Lecture 6: Interlude on Model Category Theory

Lecture by Matthew Stevens, Notes taken by Mattie Ji

July 9th, 2025

## Table of Content

### 1 Model Category

1

So far, in our lecture series, we have seen an  $\infty$ -categorical treatment of the motivic world. In this lecture, we will go a bit classical to see a model theoretic perspective that builds the stable motivic homotopy category classically.

### 1 Model Category

To do this, we will talk the concept of model categories. A **model category** is some sort of an abstraction of homotopy theory in the classical sense, that allows us to talk about fibrations, cofibrations, and weak equivalences in a very general sense.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a 1-category. Given  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we say that  $f$  has the **left lifting property** w.r.t to  $g$  (or equivalently  $g$  has the **right lifting property** w.r.t to  $f$ ) if for every commutative diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow h & \downarrow g \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

there exists  $h : B \rightarrow X$  making the diagram commute.

**Remark 1.2.** This choice of  $h$  is generally speaking not unique.

**Definition 1.3.** Given  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we say that  $f$  is a **retract of  $g$**  if there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ f \downarrow & & g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X' \end{array}$$

$\text{curved arrow } X \rightarrow Y \text{ is } id$   
 $\text{curved arrow } X' \rightarrow Y' \text{ is } id$

**Definition 1.4.** A **model category** is a category  $\mathcal{C}$  together with classes of morphisms  $W, C, F$  (called weak equivalences, cofibrations, and fibrations respectively), satisfying the following axioms.

- $\mathcal{C}$  is complete and co-complete.
- All of  $W, C, F$  are closed under retracts.

- If  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $g \in F \cap W$  (these are called **acyclic fibrations**), then  $f$  has the LLP with respect to  $g$ .
- If  $f \in \mathcal{C} \cap W$  (these are called **acyclic cofibrations**) and  $g \in F$ , then  $f$  has the LLP with respect to  $g$ .
- Any morphism can be factorized as  $g \circ f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $g \in F \cap W$ .
- Any morphism can also be factorized as  $g \circ f$  where  $f \in \mathcal{C} \cap W$  and  $g \in F$ .
- $W$  satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property - that is if 2 out of the 3 morphisms  $f, g, f \circ g$  are in  $W$ , then the third one is in  $W$ .

We denote elements of  $W$  by  $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ , elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\hookrightarrow$ , and elements of  $F$  by  $\twoheadrightarrow$ .

**Exercise 1.5.** The cofibrations are precisely the maps having the LLP with respect to all acyclic fibrations.

Now we discuss in some sense the fundamental example of a model category.

**Example 1.6.** The category  $\mathbf{Top}$  is a model category with:

- $W$  being the weak homotopy equivalences.
- $F$  being the Serre fibrations.
- $\mathcal{C}$  being the retracts of inclusion maps of the form  $X \hookrightarrow X \cup \{\text{cells}\}$ .

It turns out this is not the only model category structure on  $\mathbf{Top}$  -  $\mathbf{Top}$  also admits one with:

- $W$  being the homotopy equivalences.
- $F$  being the Hurewicz fibrations.
- $\mathcal{C}$  being the closed Hurewicz fibrations.

Here are some other examples.

**Example 1.7.** The category of simplicial sets  $\mathbf{sSet}$  is a model category with:

- $W$  being maps whose induced map between geometric realizations are weak equivalences.
- $F$  are Kan fibrations.
- $\mathcal{C}$  being categorical monomorphisms (ie. levelwise injections).

This model structure has a name and is called the **Quillen model structure**.

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a model category, an object  $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$  is **fibrant** if the unique map  $X \rightarrow *$  (the terminal object) is a fibration. Dually, we say  $X$  is **cofibrant** if the unique map  $0 \rightarrow X$  (0 being the initial object) is a cofibration.

Given  $Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ , a **fibrant replacement** is a fibrant object  $X$  together with a weak equivalence  $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ . Similarly, we can define a **cofibrant replacement**.

**Proposition 1.9.** A fibrant replacement (resp. cofibrant replacement) always exists.

*Proof.* We can axiomatically factorize a map  $Y \rightarrow *$  as  $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} X \rightarrow *$  where  $X \rightarrow *$  is fibrant. We can do this similarly with cofibrations. ■

We write  $RY$  for the fibrant replacement of  $Y$  and  $QY$  for the cofibrant replacement of  $Y$ . We also note that given a morphism  $f$  taking  $X \rightarrow Y$ , we get morphisms  $Qf : QX \rightarrow QY$  and  $Rf : RX \rightarrow RY$ .

We do this, for fibrant replacement  $R$  for example, by applying the lifting property

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{\sim} & RY \\ \downarrow & & & \nearrow Rf & \downarrow \\ RX & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & & * \end{array}$$

That being said, we also know the lifting exists, this is in general not functorial because we lack uniqueness. Similarly, we can also get one for cofibrant replacement  $Q$ .

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$ , a **cylinder object** for  $X$  is an object, usually denoted  $\text{cyl}(X)$ , such that there is a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \sqcup X & \xrightarrow{id \sqcup id} & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \sim & \\ \text{Cyl}(X) & & \end{array}$$

where the map  $X \sqcup X \rightarrow \text{Cyl}(X)$  is a cofibration and  $\text{Cyl}(X) \rightarrow X$  is an acyclic fibration.

**Remark 1.11.** Some sources do not require conditions on maps into and out of  $\text{Cyl}(X)$  - this is called a good cylinder instead.

**Definition 1.12.** If  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ , a **left homotopy** between  $f$  and  $g$  is a factorization of  $f \sqcup g : X \sqcup X \rightarrow Y$  through  $X \sqcup X \rightarrow \text{Cyl}(X)$  (for some cylinder object).

Dual to the notion of **cylinder object**, there is also the concept of **path object**.

**Definition 1.13.** A path object is an object  $\text{path}(X)$  such that there is a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{path}(X) & \\ \nearrow \sim & & \searrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{id \times id} & X \times X \end{array}$$

where the map  $X \rightarrow \text{path}(X)$  is an acyclic cofibration and the map  $\text{path}(X) \rightarrow X \times X$  is a fibration.

**Definition 1.14.** A **right homotopy** is a factorization of  $(f, g) : X \rightarrow Y \times Y$  through  $\text{Path}(Y) \rightarrow Y \times Y$ .

**Remark 1.15.** The factorization axioms imply cylinder and path objects exist.

**Proposition 1.16.** Suppose  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are **left homotopic**. Given  $h : X' \rightarrow X$  and  $k : Y \rightarrow Y'$ , then  $h \triangleright f \triangleright k$  and  $h \triangleright g \triangleright k$  are left-homotopic. Here whenever we write  $a \triangleright b$ , we mean  $a \circ b$ .

*Proof.* Pick a left homotopy  $H : \text{Cyl}(X) \rightarrow Y$  between  $f$  and  $g$ . Pick a cylinder object  $\text{Cyl}(X')$  of  $X'$ , and apply lifting to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' \sqcup X' & \xrightarrow{h \sqcup h} & X \sqcup X & \longrightarrow & \text{Cyl}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \searrow h' & \nearrow & \downarrow \sim \\ \text{Cyl}(X') & \longrightarrow & X' & \xrightarrow{h} & X \end{array}$$

to get a map  $h' : \text{Cyl}(X') \rightarrow \text{Cyl}(X)$ . After working out long enough, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Cyl}(X') & \xrightarrow{h'} & \text{Cyl}(X) & & & & \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & \searrow H & & & \\ X' \sqcup X' & \xrightarrow{h \sqcup h} & X \sqcup X & \xrightarrow{f \sqcup g} & Y & \xrightarrow{k} & Y' \end{array}$$

The composition  $k \circ H \circ h'$  is the desired homotopy. ■

The more general notion of homotopy theory for us is not transitive, but we do have the following result.

**Proposition 1.17.** Suppose  $X$  is cofibrant and  $Y$  is fibrant, then **left homotopy** is a transitive relation on  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ . Take a left homotopy  $\text{cyl}(X) \rightarrow Y$  between  $f$  and  $g$ , and consider a left homotopy  $\text{cyl}'(X) \rightarrow Y$  (possibly different cylinder object, since cylinder objects need not be unique) between  $g$  and  $h$ . Let  $Z$  be the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_z, \sim} & \text{Cyl}(X) \\ i_v, \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \text{Cyl}'(X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Z \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \searrow \sim \\ & & W \\ & \nearrow \sim & \\ & & X \end{array}$$

with a map induced from  $Z \rightarrow X$  factoring through  $W$ . Now consider the additional

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X \\ & & \downarrow \sim \\ X & \xrightarrow{i_z, \sim} & \text{Cyl}(X) \\ i_v, \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Cyl}'(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \searrow \sim \\ & & W \\ & \nearrow \sim & \\ & & X \end{array}$$

Some reasoning around this tells us that  $W$  is the cylinder for  $X$ . From here, solving the lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ W & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

shows us that  $W \rightarrow Y$  is a left homotopy between  $f$  and  $h$ . ■

**Corollary 1.18.** Suppose we have maps  $f_1, f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g_1, g_2 : Y \rightarrow Z$ , such that  $Z$  is fibrant, and  $f_1, f_2$  and  $g_1, g_2$  are left-homotopic. Then  $f_1 \triangleright g_1$  is left homotopic to  $f_2 \triangleright g_2$ .

**Proposition 1.19.** Suppose that  $X$  is cofibrant. If  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  that are left homotopic, then they are also right homotopic.

*Proof.* Take a left homotopy  $H : \text{Cyl}(X) \rightarrow X$  between  $f$  and  $g$ . Write  $q : \text{Cyl}(X) \rightarrow X$  for the map factorizing  $\text{id} \sqcup \text{id} : X \sqcup X \rightarrow X$ . Let  $\text{path}(Y)$  be a path object for  $Y$  - since  $X$  is **cofibrant**, the endpoint maps  $X \rightrightarrows \text{Cyl}(X)$  are cofibrations. Thus, we can find lift to the following square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{path}(Y) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \\ \text{Cyl}(X) & \xrightarrow{(g \times f, H)} & Y \times Y \end{array}$$

to get a map  $k : \text{Cyl}(X) \rightarrow \text{Path}(Y)$ . If we unwrap the constructions we have done, the map  $i_1 \triangleright k : X \rightarrow Y \times Y$  is a right homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$ . ■

The takeaway is that the general notion of homotopy is not that well-behaved, unless we introduce some fibrancy and cofibrancy to make them more well-behaved. If we summarize what we have done so far, we have proven the following.

**Theorem 1.20.** Let  $\mathcal{C}_{fc}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  composing of fibrant and cofibrant objects. In  $\mathcal{C}_{fc}$ , **left and right homotopy coincides, respect compositions, and define an equivalence relation on hom-sets.**