Model Non-linearization, Overfitting & Regularization

Qinliang Su （苏勤亮）

Sun Yat-sen University

suqliang@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Outline

- Model Non-linearization
- Overfitting
- Model Selection
- Regularization

Introduction

- Only linear relation between input x and output y can be modelled by linear regression



- For linear classifiers, the decision boundaries can only be linear



- For more complex tasks, models should have the ability to capture
  - nonlinear input-output relation



  - nonlinear decision boundaries



How to build models possessing nonlinear modeling ability?

Basic idea: Non-linearizing the linear models with basis functions

$$[x] \longrightarrow [x, x^2, x^3]$$

Non-linearization via Basis Functions

- Transform the features by polynomial

$$[x] \longrightarrow [x, x^2, x^3]$$

Single feature is expanded into 3 features

- Model with original feature

$$f(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$$
$$= [1, x]\boldsymbol{w}$$



- Model with expanded features

$$f(x) = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + w_3 x^3$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\phi}(x)\boldsymbol{w}$$



- Generally, the transformation could be expressed as

$$[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m] \longrightarrow [\phi_1(\boldsymbol{x}), \phi_2(\boldsymbol{x}), \cdots, \phi_n(\boldsymbol{x})] \triangleq \boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

Basis function

$\phi_k(\boldsymbol{x})$ could be any functions that produce meaningful features, *e.g.*,

$$\sqrt{x}, \quad \log x, \quad \frac{1}{x}, \quad x_1 + x_2, \quad x_1 - x_2, \quad x_1 x_2$$

- The non-linearized model now becomes

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{w}$$

which is called basis function model

The basis function model is nonlinear *w.r.t.* $\boldsymbol{x}$, but is *still linear w.r.t. the model parameters* $\boldsymbol{w}$

- With the nonlinearly transformed feature $\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})$, the optimal model parameters $\boldsymbol{w}^*$ for regression is obtained by optimizing the loss

$$L(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{N}\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{X})\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{X}) \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\phi}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(N)}\right) \end{bmatrix}$

- With the notation $\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{X})$, the optimal model parameters $\boldsymbol{w}^*$ is

$$\boldsymbol{w}^* = (\boldsymbol{\Phi}^T\boldsymbol{\Phi})^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Phi}^T\boldsymbol{y}$$

The same as linear regression *except* $\boldsymbol{X}$ *is replaced by* $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$

- We can also employ the numerical methods, *e.g.,* gradient descent, to obtain the optimal solution

- For the classification using the basis functions, the cross-entropy loss becomes

$$L(\boldsymbol{W}) = -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\ell=1}^{N}\sum_{k=1}^{K} y_k^{(\ell)} \log\left[softmax_k\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(\ell)}\right)\boldsymbol{W}\right)\right]$$

The optimal $\boldsymbol{W}^*$ can only be obtained by numerical methods

- Denoting $\boldsymbol{\phi}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(\ell)}\right)$ as $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{(\ell)}$, the gradient can be derived equal to

$$\frac{\partial L(\boldsymbol{W})}{\partial \boldsymbol{w}_j} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\ell=1}^{N}\left(softmax_j\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{(\ell)}\boldsymbol{W}\right) - y_j^{(\ell)}\right)\boldsymbol{\phi}^{(\ell)T}$$

The same as multi-class logistic regression *except that* $\boldsymbol{x}^{(\ell)}$ *is replaced by* $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{(\ell)}$

Outline

- Model Non-linearization
- Overfitting
- Model Selection
- Regularization

Overfitting

- Higher-dimensional features $\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})$ tend to *fit training data better*



1-order



3-order



5-order

Which model is better??

- From the viewpoint of fitting training data, definitely, the higher the model order is, the better the fitting looks

- But high-order models may *perform poor on the testing data*





The ability that a model can perform well on unseen data is called the *generalization ability of the model*

Model Complexity

- Each model corresponds to a degree of complexity
- But it is difficult to give an exact expression to describe the model complexity
- In general, the model complexity depends on the number of parameters, the more parameters, the more complex a model is
- To have a model perform well, we should *balance between model's complexity and representational ability*

Outline

- Model Non-linearization
- Overfitting
- Model Selection
- Regularization

Model Selection

- Model selection: Given a set of models $\{\mathcal{M}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{M}_m\}$, choose the one that can *perform best on the* ***unseen testing data***

Model candidates could be of the same type, or different types

Cannot select the model based on their performance on training data



1-order



3-order



5-order

Validation Set

- Set aside a portion (20% ~ 30%) of training data as the validation set, and use the remaining as the training data



Both the training and validation set *cannot include testing examples*

The validation set cannot be too small. Why??

- Train the model on the training set, while evaluating the model on the held-out validation set
- Choose the model with the best performance on the validation set

- The prediction error on the training and validation datasets



- If the validation error decreases as the model complexity grows, it suggests the model is *under-fitting*
- Otherwise, it implies the model is *overfitting*

Cross-Validation

- Issue with the ordinary validation method

  The training data is often scarce. If a large portion is set aside for validation, there are no sufficient data samples used for training

- A compromise solution: K-fold cross-validation

  - Partition the whole training dataset into K subsets equally
  - Train on the $(K-1)$ subsets, evaluate on the remaining subset
  - Repeat the above steps for K times, with each using a different subset for validation



Information Criteria

- Akaike Information Criteria (AIC)

$$AIC = 2M - 2\log(\mathcal{L})$$

  - M *is the number of parameters*
  - $\mathcal{L}$ *is the log-likelihood*

- Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC)

$$AIC = M\log N - 2\log(\mathcal{L})$$

  - N *is the number of training data examples*

These criteria *can only be used in the probabilistic models due to the requirement of log-likelihood* $\mathcal{L}$

Outline

- Model Non-linearization
- Overfitting
- Model Selection
- Regularization

- Imposing some prior preferences on the parameters, in addition to fitting the training data, *e.g.,*

$$\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{w}) = L(\boldsymbol{w}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2$$

  - $L(\boldsymbol{w})$ is the original regression or classification loss
  - $\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the L_2 norm
  - λ is the hyper-parameter used to control the influence of $\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2$

L_2 regularization

- The properties of L_2 regularization
  - Prone to shrink the model parameters towards zero
  - The larger the λ is, the preference to small values of $\boldsymbol{w}$ is more strong

- Visualization of the impacts of regularization
  - No regularization



1-order



3-order



5-order

  - L_2 regularization with $\lambda = 1$







- Another widely used regularization is L_1 regularization

$$\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{w}) = L(\boldsymbol{w}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_1$$

where $\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_1 \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^{K} |w_k|$ is the L_1 norm

- The contour line of L_2 and L_1 norm



L_2 norm



L_1 norm

- Similar to the L_2 regularization, the L_1 regularization also prefers to have small values for the model parameters

- But the L_1 regularization often leads to sparse solutions for $\boldsymbol{w}$, that is, many elements in $\boldsymbol{w}$ are zeros